Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 108 (2023), 406–411 doi[:10.1017/S0004972722001678](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0004972722001678)

PROOF OF A CONJECTURE OF BANERJEE AND DASTIDAR ON ODD CRAN[K](#page-0-0)

DAZHAO TAN[G](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3281-6912)[®]

(Received 4 November 2022; accepted 3 December 2022; first published online 13 January 2023)

Abstract

Recently, when studying intricate connections between Ramanujan's theta functions and a class of partition functions, Banerjee and Dastidar ['Ramanujan's theta functions and parity of parts and cranks of partitions', *Ann. Comb.*, to appear] studied some arithmetic properties for $c_o(n)$, the number of partitions of *n* with odd crank. They conjectured a congruence modulo 4 satisfied by $c_o(n)$. We confirm the conjecture and evaluate $c_o(4n)$ modulo 8 by dissecting some *q*-series into even powers. Moreover, we give a conjecture on the density of divisibility of odd cranks modulo 4, 8 and 16.

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 11P83; secondary 05A17.

Keywords and phrases: partitions, congruences, odd crank, Jacobi's identity, Jacobi's triple product identity.

1. Introduction

A partition λ of a nonnegative integer *n* is a finite weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_r$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i = n$. The λ_i for $1 \le i \le r$ are called the parts of the partition λ_i of r for i and i and called the parts of the partition λ . Let $p(n)$ denote the number of partitions of *n*. In 1919, Ramanujan [\[8\]](#page-5-0) discovered three remarkable congruences enjoyed by $p(n)$, namely,

$$
p(5n + 4) \equiv 0 \text{ (mod 5)},\tag{1.1}
$$

$$
p(7n + 5) \equiv 0 \pmod{7},\tag{1.2}
$$

$$
p(11n + 6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}.
$$
 (1.3)

In 1944, Dyson [\[7\]](#page-5-1) introduced the notion of the rank, and further conjectured that this partition statistic could provide a combinatorial interpretation for (1.1) and (1.2) . Dyson's conjecture was later confirmed by Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer [\[4\]](#page-5-2) in 1954. Unfortunately, this partition statistic cannot interpret [\(1.3\)](#page-0-3) combinatorially. Therefore,

This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12201093), the Natural Science Foundation Project of Chongqing CSTB (No. CSTB2022NSCQ–MSX0387), the Science and Technology Research Program of Chongqing Municipal Education Commission (No. KJQN202200509) and the Doctoral start-up research grant (No. 21XLB038) of Chongqing Normal University.

[©] The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

Dyson further conjectured that there exists another statistic, which he named the 'crank', providing a combinatorial interpretation of [\(1.3\)](#page-0-3). This partition statistic was discovered by Andrews and Garvan [\[3\]](#page-5-3) in 1988. For a partition λ , let $l(\lambda)$ denote the largest part of λ , let $\omega(\lambda)$ and $\mu(\lambda)$ denote the number of ones in λ and the number of parts of λ that are larger than $\omega(\lambda)$, respectively. The crank is defined by

$$
\text{crank}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} l(\lambda) & \text{if } \omega(\lambda) = 0, \\ \omega(\lambda) - \mu(\lambda) & \text{if } \omega(\lambda) > 0. \end{cases}
$$

Let $c_o(n)$ denote the number of partitions of *n* with odd crank. The generating function of $c_o(n)$ is given by

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_o(n) q^n = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(q;q)_{\infty}} - \frac{(q;q)_{\infty}^3}{(q^2;q^2)_{\infty}^2} \right).
$$

Throughout the rest of this paper, we always assume that q is a complex number such that $|q|$ < 1 and adopt the following customary notation:

$$
(a;q)_{\infty} := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k),
$$

$$
(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; q)_{\infty} := (a_1; q)_{\infty} (a_2; q)_{\infty} \cdots (a_m; q)_{\infty}.
$$

Recently, Banerjee and Dastidar [\[5\]](#page-5-4) considered some arithmetic properties of $c_o(n)$. By means of *q*-series manipulations, Banerjee and Dastidar [\[5,](#page-5-4) (1.10)] proved that for any $n \geq 0$,

$$
c_o(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{10}.
$$

Based on computer experiments, they conjectured a congruence modulo 4 satisfied by $c_o(n)$.

CONJECTURE 1.1. We have $c_o(2n) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ for any $n \ge 0$.

Baneriee and Dastidar [\[5\]](#page-5-4) verified that Conjecture [1.1](#page-1-0) holds for any $1 \le n \le 2000$. By using some *q*-series techniques, we not only confirm the above congruence modulo 4, but also establish another congruence modulo 8.

THEOREM 1.2. *For any* $n \geq 0$,

$$
c_o(2n) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},\tag{1.4}
$$

$$
c_o(4n) \equiv 0 \text{ (mod 8).}
$$
 (1.5)

2. Proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-1)

To prove [\(1.4\)](#page-1-2) and [\(1.5\)](#page-1-3), we need the following three auxiliary identities. LEMMA 2.1 [\[2,](#page-5-5) Lemma 4.1]. *We have*

$$
\frac{1}{(q;q)_{\infty}} = \frac{1}{(q^2;q^2)_{\infty}^2}((-q^6,-q^{10},q^{16};q^{16})_{\infty}+q(-q^2,-q^{14},q^{16};q^{16})_{\infty}).
$$
 (2.1)

408 **D.** Tang [3]

LEMMA 2.2 (Jacobi's identity [\[6,](#page-5-6) Theorem 1.3.9]).

$$
(q;q)_{\infty}^3 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (2n+1) q^{n(n+1)/2}.
$$
 (2.2)

LEMMA 2.3 (Jacobi's triple product identity [\[1,](#page-5-7) Lemma 1.2.2]).

$$
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a^{n(n+1)/2} b^{n(n-1)/2} = (-a, -b, ab; ab)_{\infty}, \quad |ab| < 1. \tag{2.3}
$$

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-1)

PROOF OF THEOREM [1.2.](#page-1-1) Define the sequence ${A(n)}_{n\geq0}$ by

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A(n)q^n = \frac{1}{(q;q)_{\infty}} - \frac{(q;q)_{\infty}^3}{(q^2;q^2)_{\infty}^2}.
$$
 (2.4)

Therefore, [\(1.4\)](#page-1-2) and [\(1.5\)](#page-1-3) are equivalent respectively to

$$
A(2n) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},\tag{2.5}
$$

and

$$
A(4n) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.
$$
 (2.6)

However, from [\(2.2\)](#page-2-0),

$$
(q;q)_{\infty}^{3} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} (2n+1) q^{n(n+1)/2}
$$

=
$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{8n} (16n+1) q^{4n(8n+1)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{8n+1} (16n+3) q^{(4n+1)(8n+1)}
$$

+
$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{8n+2} (16n+5) q^{(4n+1)(8n+3)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{8n+3} (16n+7) q^{(4n+2)(8n+3)}
$$

+
$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{8n+4} (16n+9) q^{(4n+2)(8n+5)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{8n+5} (16n+11) q^{(4n+3)(8n+5)}
$$

+
$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{8n+6} (16n+13) q^{(4n+3)(8n+7)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{8n+7} (16n+15) q^{(4n+4)(8n+7)},
$$

from which we further obtain that

$$
(q;q)_{\infty}^{3} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{4n(8n+1)} - 3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(4n+1)(8n+1)} + 5 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(4n+1)(8n+3)} - 7 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(4n+2)(8n+3)}
$$

$$
-7\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(4n+2)(8n+5)} + 5\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(4n+3)(8n+5)}
$$

$$
-3\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(4n+3)(8n+7)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(4n+4)(8n+7)} \pmod{16}.
$$
 (2.7)

Replacing *n* by $-n-1$ in the last four infinite sums in [\(2.7\)](#page-2-1),

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(4n+2)(8n+5)} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} q^{(4n+2)(8n+3)},
$$
\n(2.8)

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(4n+3)(8n+5)} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} q^{(4n+1)(8n+3)},
$$
\n(2.9)

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(4n+3)(8n+7)} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} q^{(4n+1)(8n+1)},
$$
\n(2.10)

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(4n+4)(8n+7)} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} q^{4n(8n+1)}.
$$
 (2.11)

Substituting [\(2.8\)](#page-3-0)–[\(2.11\)](#page-3-1) into [\(2.9\)](#page-3-2),

$$
(q;q)_{\infty}^{3} \equiv \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{4n(8n+1)} - 3 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{(4n+1)(8n+1)} + 5 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{(4n+1)(8n+3)} - 7 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{(4n+2)(8n+3)}
$$
(mod 16).

Thanks to (2.3) ,

$$
(q;q)_{\infty}^{3} \equiv (-q^{28}, -q^{36}, q^{64}; q^{64})_{\infty} - 3q(-q^{20}, -q^{44}, q^{64}; q^{64})_{\infty}
$$

+ $5q^{3}(-q^{12}, -q^{52}, q^{64}; q^{64})_{\infty} - 7q^{6}(-q^{4}, -q^{60}, q^{64}; q^{64})_{\infty}$ (mod 16). (2.12)

Substituting (2.1) and (2.12) into (2.4) yields

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A(n)q^n \equiv \frac{1}{(q^2;q^2)^2_{\infty}}((-q^6,-q^{10},q^{16};q^{16})_{\infty}+q(-q^2,-q^{14},q^{16};q^{16})_{\infty})
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{(q^2;q^2)^2_{\infty}}((-q^{28},-q^{36},q^{64};q^{64})_{\infty}-3q(-q^{20},-q^{44},q^{64};q^{64})_{\infty}
$$

$$
+5q^3(-q^{12},-q^{52},q^{64};q^{64})_{\infty}-7q^6(-q^4,-q^{60},q^{64};q^{64})_{\infty}) \pmod{16}.
$$
(2.13)

Taking all terms of the form q^{2n} in [\(2.13\)](#page-3-4), after simplification,

 410 D. Tang [5]

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A(2n)q^n \equiv \frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty^2} ((-q^3, -q^5, q^8; q^8)_\infty - (-q^{14}, -q^{18}, q^{32}; q^{32})_\infty + 7q^3(-q^2, -q^{30}, q^{32}; q^{32})_\infty) \pmod{16}.
$$
 (2.14)

According to [\(2.3\)](#page-2-2),

$$
(-q^3, -q^5, q^8; q^8)_{\infty} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{4n^2+n}
$$

=
$$
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{4(2n)^2 + 2n} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{4(2n-1)^2 + (2n-1)}
$$

=
$$
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{16n^2 + 2n} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{16n^2 - 14n + 3}
$$

=
$$
(-q^{14}, -q^{18}, q^{32}; q^{32})_{\infty} + q^3(-q^2, -q^{30}, q^{32}; q^{32})_{\infty},
$$
(2.15)

where we have used (2.3) in the last step. Combining (2.14) and (2.15) gives

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A(2n)q^n \equiv 8q^3 \frac{(-q^2, -q^{30}, q^{32}; q^{32})_{\infty}}{(q;q)_{\infty}^2} \text{ (mod 16)}.
$$
 (2.16)

The congruence [\(2.5\)](#page-2-4) follows immediately from [\(2.16\)](#page-4-1).

Moreover, from the congruence $(q; q)_{\infty}^2 \equiv (q^2; q^2)_{\infty} \pmod{2}$,

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A(2n)q^n \equiv 8q^{3} \frac{(-q^2, -q^{30}, q^{32}; q^{32})_{\infty}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \pmod{16}.
$$
 (2.17)

The congruence (2.6) follows immediately from (2.17) .

This completes the proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-1)

 \Box

3. Concluding remarks

We conclude this paper with two remarks.

First, the numerical evidence suggests the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 3.1. We have

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\# \{ m | c_o(2m+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \ 1 \le m \le n \}}{n} = \frac{1}{2},
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\# \{ m | c_o(2m) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}, \ 1 \le m \le n \}}{n} = \frac{1}{4},
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\# \{ m | c_o(4m+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}, \ 1 \le m \le n \}}{n} = \frac{1}{2},
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\# \{ m | c_o(4m) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}, \ 1 \le m \le n \}}{n} = \frac{1}{2}.
$$

Second, it would be interesting find a combinatorial proof of (1.4) and (1.5) .

Acknowledgement

The author would like to acknowledge the anonymous referee for the careful reading and helpful suggestions that have improved the quality of the paper to a great extent.

References

- [1] G. E. Andrews and B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Lost Notebook. Part I* (Springer, New York, 2005).
- [2] G. E. Andrews, B. C. Berndt, S. H. Chan, S. Kim and A. Malik, 'Four identities and third order mock theta functions', *Nagoya Math. J.* 239 (2020), 1–32.
- [3] G. E. Andrews and F. G. Garvan, 'Dyson's crank of a partition', *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 18(2) (1988), 167–171.
- [4] A. O. L. Atkin and P. Swinnerton-Dyer, 'Some properties of partitions', *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* 4 (1954), 84–106.
- [5] K. Banerjee and M. G. Dastidar, 'Ramanujan's theta functions and parity of parts and cranks of partitions', *Ann. Comb.*, to appear. Published online (25 October 2022).
- [6] B. C. Berndt, *Number Theory in the Spirit of Ramanujan*, Student Mathematical Library, 34 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006).
- [7] F. J. Dyson, 'Some guesses in the theory of partitions', *Eureka (Cambridge)* 8 (1944), 10–15.
- [8] S. Ramanujan, 'Congruence properties of partitions', *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* 19(2) (1919), 207–210.

DAZHAO TANG, School of Mathematical Sciences, Chongqing Normal University, Chongqing 401331, PR China e-mail: dazhaotang@sina.com