

# REMETRIZATION IN STRONGLY COUNTABLE-DIMENSIONAL SPACES

B. R. WENNER

Although the Lebesgue dimension function is topologically invariant, the dimension-theoretic properties of a metric space can sometimes be made clearer by the introduction of a new, topologically equivalent metric. A considerable amount of effort has been devoted to the problem of constructing such metrics; one example of the fruits of this research is the following theorem by Nagata (**2**, Theorem 5).

*In order that  $\dim R \leq n$  for a metrizable space  $R$  it is necessary and sufficient to be able to define a metric  $\rho(x, y)$  agreeing with the topology of  $R$  such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and for every point  $x$  of  $R$ ,*

$$\rho(S_{\epsilon/2}(x), y_i) < \epsilon \quad (i = 1, \dots, n + 2)$$

*imply*

$$\rho(y_i, y_j) < \epsilon \quad \text{for some } i, j \text{ with } i \neq j.$$

A metric  $\rho$  which satisfies the condition of this theorem is called *Nagata's metric* (this term was introduced, to the best of the author's knowledge, by Nagami (**1**, Definition 9.3)). The question arises as to whether or not an equivalent metric can be introduced (on a metric space) which is Nagata's metric on each of countably many finite-dimensional closed subspaces; although the answer is not known, we shall show that it is always possible to introduce an equivalent metric which has a slightly weaker property. The following definition will be needed.

*Definition.* Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space,  $Y \subset X$ ,  $\dim Y = n$ , and  $\rho_Y$  the induced metric on  $Y$ . Define  $S_\alpha(x|Y) = \{y \in Y: \rho_Y(y, x) < \alpha\}$ . Then we say that  $\rho$  has *Property A on  $Y$*  if and only if there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for every positive  $\epsilon < \delta$  and every  $x \in Y$ ,

$$\rho_Y(S_{\epsilon/2}(x|Y), y_i) < \epsilon \quad (i = 1, \dots, n + 2)$$

*imply*

$$\rho_Y(y_i, y_j) < \epsilon \quad \text{for some } i, j \text{ with } i \neq j.$$

We note that if  $Y$  is a subspace for which  $\rho_Y$  is Nagata's metric on  $Y$ , then  $\rho$  has Property A on  $Y$ . The converse is not true, as can be seen by a zero-dimensional example: let  $X = Y = \{w, x, y, z\}$ , and define  $\rho$  by the formulae  $\rho(w, x) = \rho(w, y) = \rho(x, y) = 1$ ,  $\rho(w, z) = 2$ , and  $\rho(x, z) = \rho(y, z) = 3$ . Then

---

Received January 19, 1968.

for  $2 < \epsilon \leq 3$  we see that  $\rho(S_{\epsilon/2}(w), x) = 2 < \epsilon$  and  $\rho(S_{\epsilon/2}(w), z) = 2 < \epsilon$  but  $\rho(x, z) = 3 \geq \epsilon$ ; thus  $\rho$  is not Nagata's metric on  $Y$ . It is immediate, however, that  $\rho$  has Property A on  $Y$  (choose  $\delta \leq 2$ ).

We are now in a position to prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $X$  be a metric space, and for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  let  $X_k$  be a non-void finite-dimensional closed subset of  $X$ . Then there exists an equivalent metric for  $X$  which has Property A on each  $X_k$ .*

*Proof.* The desired metric is the function  $\rho$  which we have constructed in a previous paper (3, proof of Theorem 1). We shall use the terminology and conclusions of this proof; of particular importance is the result that for any sequence of integers  $1 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \dots$  and any open subset  $U$  of  $X$ ,

$$(1) \quad S_{m_2 m_3 \dots}(U) \subset S^3(U, \mathfrak{U}_{m_1+1}).$$

For any positive integer  $k$  we let  $n$  be the dimension of  $X_k = Y$  and choose  $\epsilon < \delta = 1/2^k$ ; then  $\epsilon$  has the non-terminating expansion

$$\epsilon = 1/2^{m_1} + 1/2^{m_2} + \dots,$$

where  $k < m_1 < m_2 < \dots$ . Let  $x, y_1, \dots, y_{n+2}$  be members of  $Y$  such that  $\rho_Y(S_{\epsilon/2}(x|Y), y_i) < \epsilon$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n + 2$ . Then there exist points  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+2}$  of  $Y$  such that  $\rho(x_i, y_i) < \epsilon$  and  $\rho(x, x_i) < \epsilon/2$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n + 2$ ; these imply, respectively, that  $y_i \in S(x_i, \mathfrak{S}_{m_1 m_2 \dots})$  and that  $x_i \in S(x, \mathfrak{S}_{m_1+1, m_2+1, \dots})$  (3, (1.2)). The former implies that for each  $i = 1, \dots, n + 2$  there exists  $U_i \in \mathfrak{U}_{m_1}$  such that  $x_i, y_i \in S_{m_2 m_3 \dots}(U_i)$ ; hence,  $x_i \in S^3(U_i, \mathfrak{U}_{m_1+1})$  by (1). The latter implies that there exists a  $U'_i \in \mathfrak{U}_{m_1+1}$  such that  $x, x_i \in S_{m_2+1, m_3+1, \dots}(U'_i) \subset S^3(U'_i, \mathfrak{U}_{m_1+2}) = S(U'_i, \mathfrak{U}_{m_1+2}^*) \subset S(U'_i, \mathfrak{U}_{m_1+1})$ ; thus,  $x \in S^3(x_i, \mathfrak{U}_{m_1+1})$ .

Putting these two facts together, we see that  $x \in S^6(U_i, \mathfrak{U}_{m_1+1})$ ; thus,  $U_i \cap S^6(x, \mathfrak{U}_{m_1+1}) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n + 2$ ; this implies that  $U_i = U_j$  for some  $i \neq j$  (3, condition 3 on the uniformity  $\{\mathfrak{U}_i\}$ ). But then  $y_i, y_j \in S_{m_2 m_3 \dots}(U_i)$ , therefore  $y_i \in S(y_j, \mathfrak{S}_{m_1 m_2 \dots})$  and  $\rho(y_i, y_j) < \epsilon$ , which proves the theorem.

Nagami has shown that a completion of an  $n$ -dimensional space with respect to Nagata's metric is  $n$ -dimensional (1, Theorem 9.4). An analogous result for Property A emerges from the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space. For each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  let  $X_k$  be a non-void finite-dimensional closed subset of  $X$ , and let  $\rho$  have Property A on each  $X_k$ . If  $X^*$  is a completion of  $X$  with respect to  $\rho$ , then for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\dim [\text{cl}_{X^*}(X_k)] = \dim X_k$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\dim X_k^* = \dim X_k$ , where by  $X_k^*$  we mean the completion of  $X_k$  with respect to the metric induced by  $\rho$  on  $X_k$ . Let  $Y = X_k$  for some  $k$ ,  $n = \dim Y$ ,  $\delta$  the number referred to in the

definition of Property A (for  $\rho_Y$ ), and  $m$  an integer such that  $1/2^m < \delta$ . Then for all  $i \geq m$  we define  $\mathfrak{U}_i$  as in Nagami's proof (1, proof of Theorem 9.4) with respect to  $\rho_Y$ ; Nagami's proof then shows that a completion  $Z$  of  $Y$  with respect to  $\{\mathfrak{U}_i: i = m, m + 1, \dots\}$  is essentially the same as  $Y^*$  and that  $\dim Z \leq n$ ; thus,  $\dim Y^* \leq n$  and the theorem is proved.

We might note one aspect of this work in the event that  $X$  is a strongly countable-dimensional metric space; i.e.,  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ , where each  $X_i$  is closed and finite-dimensional. Then an application of Theorems 1 and 2 provides an equivalent metric and a completion (with respect to this metric) in which the closure of each  $X_i$  is dimension-preserving.

The following analogue to the previously mentioned theorem of Nagami follows directly from Theorem 2.

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space,  $\dim X \leq n$ , and  $\rho$  have Property A on  $X$ . If  $X^*$  is a completion with respect to  $\rho$ , then  $\dim X^* \leq n$ .*

#### REFERENCES

1. K. Nagami, *Mappings of finite order and dimension theory*, Japan. J. Math. 30 (1960), 25–54.
2. J. Nagata, *Note on dimension theory for metric spaces*, Fund. Math. 45 (1958), 143–181.
3. B. R. Wenner, *Dimension on boundaries of  $\epsilon$ -spheres*, Pacific J. Math. 27 (1968), 201–210.

*University of Missouri,  
Kansas City, Missouri*