# MATRICES IN LINEAR MECHANICAL SYSTEMS 

(1)<br>(received June 25 , 1962)

In a recent interesting paper [1] on the stability of Iinear mechanical systems S. P. Diliberto discusses certain reduction theorems for symmetric and skew-symmetric Hamiltonian matrices with respect to symplectic orthogonal similarity. In what follows it is shown that a unified simple argument will handle both of these problems together and then as an example it is indicated how the argument can be also used to obtain the reduction theorem for symplectic orthogonal matrices.

If A and B are matrices $\mathrm{A} \otimes \mathrm{B}$ will denote their Kronecker product. Let $E$ be the 2 -square matrix $\left(\begin{array}{rr}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $I_{n}$ be the $n$-square identity matrix. Define $J=E \otimes I_{n}$ so that $J^{2}=E^{2} \otimes I_{n}=-I_{2} \otimes I_{n}=-I_{2 n}$. A real $2 n$-square matrix $A$ is called Hamiltonian if $(J A)^{\prime}=J A ; A$ is called symplectic if $A^{\prime} J A=J$. If the following matrices are all real n -square then a block multiplication easily establishes

## LEMMA 1.

(1) $\quad\left(\begin{array}{rr}R & M \\ L & -R^{\prime}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}U & V \\ -V & U\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}X & Y \\ Z & -W\end{array}\right)$
where
(2)

$$
(R+i M)(U+i V)=X+i Y, X=R U-M V, Y=M U+R V ;
$$

$$
\left(R^{\prime}+i L\right)(U+i V)=W+i Z, W=R^{\prime} U-L V, Z=L U+R^{\prime} V
$$

(1) This research was supported by the U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research.
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Note that A is Hamiltonian if and only if

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
R & M  \tag{3}\\
L & -R^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

in which $L$ and $M$ are symmetric; for, if
(4) $\quad A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22}\end{array}\right)$ then
$A^{\prime} J^{\prime}=J A$ implies that $A_{21}^{\prime}=A_{21}, A_{12}^{\prime}=A_{12}, A_{11}^{\prime}=-A_{22}$ and so $A$ has the form (3).

LEMMA 2. A is symplectic orthogonal if and only if
$A=I_{2} \otimes U+E \otimes V$
in which $U+i V$ is n-square unitary.
Proof. Since $A^{\prime}=A^{-1}$ it follows that $A$ must commute with J. Using the notation in (4) it follows immediately by a block multiplication that $A_{11}=A_{22}, A_{12}=-A_{21}$ and $A$ has the form (5). Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A A^{\prime}=\left(I_{2} \otimes U+E \otimes V\right)\left(I_{2} \otimes U^{\prime}+E^{\prime} \otimes V^{\prime}\right) \\
& =I_{2} \otimes\left(U U^{\prime}+V V^{\prime}\right)+E \otimes\left(V U^{\prime}-U V^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $A A^{\prime}=I_{2 n}=I_{2} \otimes I_{n}$ then $U U^{\prime}+V V^{\prime}=I_{n}$ and $V U^{\prime}-U V^{\prime}=0$. These are precisely the necessary and sufficient conditions that $U+i V$ be unitary as can be checked by multiplying out $(U+i V)(U+i V) *=I_{n}$.

In the following theorem $T$ is an orthogonal symplectic matrix and A is Hamiltonian. Diliberto's two reduction theorems are contained in

THEOREM 1. If A is:
(a) skew-symmetric then there exists a real diagonal matrix $D$ such that
(6) $T^{-1} A T=E \otimes D ;$
(b) symmetric then there exists a real diagonal matrix D such that
(7) $\mathrm{T}^{-1} \mathrm{AT}=\mathrm{F} \otimes \mathrm{D}$
where $F=\left(\begin{array}{rr}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$.
Proof. (a): The matrix $A$ has the form (3) and if in addition $A^{\prime}=-A$ it follows that $R^{\prime}=-R$ and $L=-M$ is symmetric. Thus $A=I_{2} \otimes R+E \otimes M$. Let $H=R+i M$ and notice that $H *=R^{\prime}-i M^{\prime}=-R-i M=-H$. Thus $H$ is skew-hermitian and by the diagonalization theorem for skewhermitian matrices there is a unitary $U+i V, U, V$ real, and a real diagonal $D$ such that $(R+i M)(U+i V)=(U+i V)(i D)$ $=-V D+i U D$. By (2) $X=-V D, Y=U D$. Also $\left(R^{\prime}+i L\right)(U+i V)$ $=(-R-i M)(U+i V)=-(R+i M)(U+i V)=V D-i U D$ so $W=V D$ and $Z=-U D$. Let $T=I_{2} \otimes U+E \otimes V$ and by Lemma 2, T is orthogonal symplectic. Then from (1) $A T=\left(\begin{array}{rr}-V D & U D \\ -U D & -V D\end{array}\right)=T(E \otimes D)$, completing the proof of $(a)$.
(b): If A is symmetric then from (3) again it follows immediately that $R^{\prime}=R$ and $M=L$ is also symmetric. Then

$$
A=F \otimes R+E \otimes L
$$

Let $H$ be the complex symmetric matrix $R+i L$. A classical result of I. Schur [2] states that a unitary matrix $S=U+i V$ exists such that

$$
S^{\prime}(R+i L) S=D
$$

in which D is a real diagonal matrix (whose main diagonal elements are the singular values of $R+i L$ ). Now
$\left(S^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=\bar{S}=U-i V$ so that $(R+i M)(U+i V)=(U-i V) D=U D-i V D$.
From (2) again $X=U D, \quad Y=-V D$. Also ( $\left.R^{\prime}+i L\right)(U+i V)$
$=(R+i M)(U+i V)=U D-i V D$ so $W=U D, \quad Z=-V D$.

Once again $T=I_{2} \otimes U+E \otimes V$ is orthogonal symplectic and from (1),

$$
\left.A T=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{rr}
U D & -V D \\
-V D & -U D
\end{array}\right.\right)=T(F \otimes D)
$$

completing the proof of (b).

Another result that follows by the same kind of argument is

THEOREM 2. If $A$ is symplectic and orthogonal then there exists a symplectic orthogonal $T$ such that

$$
\mathrm{T}^{-1} \mathrm{AT}=\mathrm{I}_{2} \otimes \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{E} \otimes \mathrm{~K}
$$

in which $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ is a real diagonal matrix and $K=\operatorname{diag}\left(-\sqrt{1-d_{1}^{2}}, \ldots \pm \pm \sqrt{1-d_{n}^{2}}\right)$.

Proof. If $A$ is symplectic and orthogonal then by Lemma $2 A=I_{2} \otimes R+E \otimes L$ in which $R+i L$ is unitary. By the diagonalization theorem for unitary matrices obtain a unitary matrix $U+i V$ for which (RU-LV) + i(LU + RV) $=(R+i L)(U+i V)=(U+i V)(D+i K)=(U D-V K)+i(V D+U K)$, in which $D$ and $K$ are diagonal matrices. Let $T$ be the orthogonal symplectic matrix $I_{2} \otimes U+E \otimes V$ and compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A T & =\left(I_{2} \otimes R+E \otimes L\right)\left(I_{2} \otimes U+E \otimes V\right) \\
& =I_{2} \otimes(R U-L V)+E \otimes(L U+R V) \\
& =I_{2} \otimes(U D-V K)+E \otimes(V D+U K) \\
& =\left(I_{2} \otimes U+E \otimes V\right)\left(I_{2} \otimes D+E \otimes K\right) \\
& =T\left(I_{2} \otimes D+E \otimes K\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The fact that $A$ is orthogonal implies that $D^{2}+K^{2}=I_{n}$ and the proof is complete.
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