It may be suggested that in the first line of para. (v) of the paper, the words "more than," though correct in themselves, go a little beyond what is proved in para. (iii), and that the omission of these words might, without weakening the argument, make it easier for readers to link up the two paragraphs.

Your obedient servant,

A. R. REID.

3 GEORGE STREET, 7th May 1942.

CORRIGENDA

This volume, p. 116. The last line should read:

$$S_n = (1+j)a_x - (s_n - 1)$$

p. 217. Line 3 should read:

$$\nabla_x = \sum a_n (1 + i - x)^{-n}$$

p. 217. Middle of page. Expression for ξ should read: $\xi = [n + \frac{1}{2}t(t+1)]/(t+2)$.