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#### Abstract

In this article we prove Tate conjecture for a large class of compact quaternionic Shimura fourfolds.
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1. Introduction. Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension $n$ defined over a number field $F$ and let

$$
\bar{X}=X \times_{F} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}
$$

For a prime number $l$, let $H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}\left(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}\right)$ be the étale cohomology of $\bar{X}$. If $K$ is a number field, we denote $\Gamma_{K}:=\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / K)$. The Galois group $\Gamma_{F}$ acts on $H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}\left(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}\right)$ by a representation $\phi_{i, l}$. For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}\left(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}\right)(j)$ denote the representation of $\Gamma_{F}$ on $H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}\left(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}\right)$ defined by $\phi_{i, l} \otimes \xi_{l}^{j}$, where $\xi_{l}$ is the $l$-adic cyclotomic character. For any finite extension $E / F$ the elements of $V^{i}(X, E):=H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2 i}\left(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}\right)(i)^{\Gamma_{E}}$ are called Tate cycles on $X$ defined over $E$. The union

$$
V^{i}(X):=\cup_{E} V^{i}(X, E)
$$

is the space of all Tate cycles on $X$.
To each algebraic subvariety $Y$ of $X$ of codimension $i$, one can associate a cohomology class

$$
[Y] \in H_{2 n-2 i}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_{B}^{2 i}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})(i),
$$

where $H_{B}^{2 i}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ is the Betti cohomology. Then using the isomorphism

$$
H_{B}^{2 i}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})(i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{l} \cong H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2 i}\left(X, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)(i),
$$

we obtain a class $[Y] \in H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2 i}\left(X, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)(i)$. A cohomology class [ $Y$ ] obtained in this way is called algebraic. If $Y$ is defined over a finite extension $E$ of $F$, then we obtain a class $[Y] \in H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2 i}\left(X, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)(i)^{\Gamma_{E}}$. Let $U^{i}(X, E)$ be the space of algebraic cycles defined over $E$. Then $U^{i}(X, E) \subseteq V^{i}(X, E)$ and the first part of the Tate conjecture [16] states that for any finite extension $E / F$ we have

$$
U^{i}(X, E)=V^{i}(X, E)
$$

i.e. every Tate cycle is algebraic.

The $L$-function $L^{2 i}\left(s, X_{/ F}\right)$ (more exactly the Euler product) attached to the representation $\phi_{2 i, l}$ converges for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>i+1$. The second part of the Tate conjecture [16] states that for any finite extension $E / F$ the $L$-function $L^{2 i}\left(s, X_{/ E}\right)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane and has a pole at $s=i+1$ of order equal to

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}} V^{i}(X, E)
$$

We consider a quartic totally real number field $F$ containing a quadratic subfield. Let $B$ be a quaternion division algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $D:=B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$. We assume that $D$ is a quaternion division algebra over $F$ which splits at the real places. Let $G$ be the algebraic group over $F$ defined by the multiplicative group $D^{\times}$of $D$ and let $\bar{G}=\operatorname{Res}_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(G)$. We denote by $S_{K}:=S_{\bar{G}, K}$ the canonical model of the quaternionic Shimura variety associated with an open compact subgroup $K$ of $\bar{G}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$, where $\mathbb{A}_{f}$ is the finite part of the ring of adeles $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$. Then, $S_{K}$ is a four-dimensional proper smooth variety defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

In this paper we prove the first part of the Tate conjecture for $S_{K}$ for non-CM submotives if we assume that the field $F$ is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$. We prove the second part of the Tate conjecture for $S_{K}$, without assuming that $F$ is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$, but only for solvable number fields (see Theorem 8.2 for details). We remark that similar results were obtained by Ramakrishnan [12] in the case of Hilbert modular fourfolds and by Harder, Langlands, Rapoport [4], Murty, Ramakrishnan [11], Klingenberg [8], Lai [11] and Flicker and Hakim [3] in the case of Hilbert modular surfaces and compact quaternionic Shimura surfaces.
2. Quaternionic Shimura fourfolds and surfaces. Let $F$ be a totally real field of degree 4 over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $F$ contains a quadratic number field $F_{0}$. We consider a quaternion division algebra $B$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $D:=B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$. Assume that $D$ is a quaternion division algebra over $F$ which splits at the real places (we remark that given a quaternion division algebra $D$ over $F$ which splits at the real places, there exists a quaternion division algebra $B$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $D:=B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$ if and only if for each rational prime $p$ we have $\sum_{v \mid p} \operatorname{inv}_{v} D_{v}=0$, where $v$ runs over the places of $F$ dividing $p$, and $\operatorname{inv}_{v}$ denotes the invariant of $D$ at $v$ ). Let $G$ be the algebraic group over $F$ defined by the multiplicative group $D^{\times}$. By restricting the scalars, we obtain the algebraic group $\bar{G}=\operatorname{Res}_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(G)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ defined by the propriety $\bar{G}(A)=G\left(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F\right)$ for all $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras $A$.

Then, $\bar{G}(\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})^{4}$. Let $h: \mathbb{C}^{*} \rightarrow \bar{G}(\mathbb{R})$ be defined by $a+b i \mapsto$ $\delta\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ -b & a\end{array}\right)\right.$ ), where $\delta$ denotes the diagonal embedding of $\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ in $\bar{G}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $K_{\infty}$ be the centralizer of $h$ in $\bar{G}(\mathbb{R})$. For each open compact subgroup $K \subset \bar{G}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ set

$$
S_{K}(\mathbb{C})=\bar{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \bar{G}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right) / K K_{\infty}
$$

For $K$ sufficiently small, $S_{K}(\mathbb{C})$ is a complex manifold which is the set of the complex points of a proper smooth four-dimensional variety $S_{K}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, which is called a compact quaternionic Shimura fourfold.

Let $D_{0}$ be a quaternion algebra over $F_{0}$ which splits at the real places such that $D=D_{0} \otimes_{F_{0}} F$ (we remark that $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F_{0}$ is a quaternionic division algebra over $F_{0}$ which has this propriety). Let $G_{0}$ be the algebraic group over $F_{0}$ defined by the multiplicative group $D_{0}^{\times}$. As above by restricting the scalars, we obtain the algebraic group
$\bar{G}_{0}=\operatorname{Res}_{F_{0} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(G_{0}\right)$. Then, $\bar{G}_{0}(\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})^{2}$. Let $h_{0}: \mathbb{C}^{*} \rightarrow \bar{G}_{0}(\mathbb{R})$ be defined by $a+b i \mapsto \delta_{0}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ -b & a\end{array}\right)\right.$ ), where $\delta_{0}$ denotes the diagonal embedding of $\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ in $\bar{G}_{0}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $L_{\infty}$ be the centralizer of $h_{0}$ in $\bar{G}_{0}(\mathbb{R})$. For each open compact subgroup $L \subset \bar{G}_{0}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ set

$$
S_{0 L}(\mathbb{C})=\bar{G}_{0}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \bar{G}_{0}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right) / L L_{\infty}
$$

For $L$ sufficiently small, $S_{0 L}(\mathbb{C})$ is a complex manifold which is the set of the complex points of a proper smooth two-dimensional variety $S_{0 L}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, which is called a compact quaternionic Shimura surface.
3. Cohomologies for quaternionic Shimura fourfolds. Let $K$ be a sufficiently small open compact subgroup of $\bar{G}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$.

If $l$ is a prime number, let $\mathbb{H}_{K}$ be the Hecke algebra generated by the bi- $K$-invariant $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}$-valued compactly supported functions on $\bar{G}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ under the convolution. If $\pi^{\prime}=$ $\pi_{f}^{\prime} \otimes \pi_{\infty}^{\prime}$ is an automorphic representation of $\bar{G}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$, we denote by $\pi_{f}^{\prime K}$ the space of $K$-invariants in $\pi_{f}^{\prime}$. The Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}_{K}$ acts on $\pi_{f}^{\prime K}$.

We have an action of the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}_{K}$ and an action of the Galois group $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on the étale cohomology $H_{\mathrm{et}}^{4}\left(S_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}\right)$ and these two actions commute. We say that the representation $\pi^{\prime}$ is cohomological if $H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{g}, \bar{G}_{\infty}, \pi_{\infty}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of $\bar{G}_{\infty}$ (the cohomology is taken with respect to the ( $\mathfrak{g}, \bar{G}_{\infty}$ )-module associated with $\pi_{\infty}^{\prime}$ ).

We know the following result (see for example Propositions 1.5 and 1.8 of [15]).
Proposition 3.1. The representation of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \Vdash_{K}$ on the étale cohomology $H_{e t}^{4}\left(S_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}\right)(2)$ is isomorphic to

$$
\oplus_{\pi^{\prime}} \rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right) \otimes \pi_{f}^{\prime K}
$$

where $\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ is a representation of the Galois group $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The above sum is over weight 2 cohomological automorphic representations $\pi^{\prime}$ of $\bar{G}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$, such that $\pi_{f}^{\prime K} \neq 0$, and the $\mathbb{H}_{K}$-representations $\pi_{f}^{\prime K}$ are irreducible and mutually inequivalent, i.e. the decomposition is isotypic with respect to the action of $\mathbb{G}_{K}$.

The representations $\pi^{\prime}$ that appear in Proposition 3.1 are one dimensional or cuspidal and infinite dimensional. If $\pi^{\prime}$ is one dimensional, then $\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ is six dimensional and if $\pi^{\prime}$ is cuspidal and infinite dimensional, then $\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ is 16 dimensional. From now on in this paper we assume that $\pi^{\prime}$ is cuspidal and infinite dimensional, because for $\pi^{\prime}$ one dimensional the algebraicity of the Tate cycles corresponding to the $\pi^{\prime}$ component of $H_{e t}^{4}\left(S_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}\right)$ (see Proposition 3.1) could be proved in the same way as in Proposition 4.11 of [12], and the second part of the Tate conjecture in this case is also trivial (see [12]). We denote by $\pi$ the cuspidal automorphic representation of GL(2) $)_{/ F}$ corresponding to $\pi^{\prime}$ by Jaquet-Langlands correspondence.

Let $\rho_{\pi^{\prime}}=\rho_{\pi}$ be the $l$-adic two-dimensional semisimple representation of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ associated with $\pi^{\prime}$ or with $\pi$ (see [2, 17]). Then the representation $\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ is semisimple (see section 7 of [13]) and $\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{As}_{F / \mathbb{Q}} \rho_{\pi^{\prime}}$, where $\mathrm{As}_{F / \mathbb{Q}} \rho_{\pi^{\prime}}$ is the Asai (or tensor induction) representation (see section 6 of [12]).

We fix an isomorphism $j: \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and define the $L$-function

$$
L^{4}\left(s, S_{K}\right):=\prod_{\pi^{\prime}} \prod_{q} \operatorname{det}\left(1-q^{-s+2} j\left(\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{q}\right)\right) \mid H_{\mathrm{et}}^{4}\left(S_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}\right)(2)^{I_{q}}\right)^{-1}
$$

where $\mathrm{Frob}_{q}$ is a geometric Frobenius element at a rational prime $q$ and $I_{q}$ is a inertia group at $q$ (in order to define the local factor at $l$ one has to use actually the $l^{\prime}$-adic cohomology for some $l^{\prime} \neq l$ and Theorem 3 of $[\mathbf{1}]$ which gives us the expression of the local factors of the zeta functions of quaternionic Shimura varieties).

We have the canonical isomorphisms:

$$
\Phi_{K}: H_{B}^{4}\left(S_{K}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^{4}\left(S_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}\right)
$$

and

$$
\Phi: H_{B}^{4}(S(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^{4}\left(S, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}\right),
$$

where $H_{B}^{4}\left(S_{K}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is the Betti cohomology, and $S:=\lim _{\leftrightarrows} S_{K}$. We denote by $V\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ the $\pi^{\prime}$-component of $H_{\mathrm{et}}^{4}\left(S, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}\right)(2)$ in the decomposition of Proposition 3.1 and by $V_{B}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ the corresponding $\pi^{\prime}$-component of $H_{B}^{4}(S(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})(2)$. Thus,

$$
V_{B}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l} \cong V\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{As}_{F / \mathbb{Q}} \rho_{\pi^{\prime}}$, for each finite-order Hecke character $v$ of $F$, we have $\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right) \otimes$ $\left.\nu\right|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}}} \cong \rho\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes \nu\right)$, where $I_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the idele group of $\mathbb{Q}$, i.e. $\left.V\left(\pi^{\prime}\right) \otimes \nu\right|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}}} \cong V\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes \nu\right)$ as $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$-modules.
4. Meromorphic continuation. For $\pi^{\prime}$ being a cuspidal representation as in Proposition 3.1, we denote by $A s_{F / F_{0}}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ the isobaric automorphic representation of $\operatorname{GL}\left(4, \mathbb{A}_{F_{0}}\right)$ defined in Theorem D of [14]. Let

$$
\rho_{A s_{F / F_{0}}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)}: \Gamma_{F_{0}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{4}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}\right)
$$

be the $l$-adic representation associated with $A s_{F / F_{0}}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$. Then, $\rho_{A s_{F / F_{0}}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)}=A s_{F / F_{0}} \rho_{\pi^{\prime}}$ and $L\left(s, \rho_{A s_{F / F_{0}}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)}\right)=L\left(s, A s_{F / F_{0}}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right)$. From the proprieties of the Asai representations we know that $\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=A s_{F / \mathbb{Q}} \rho_{\pi^{\prime}}=A s_{F_{0} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(A s_{F / F_{0}} \rho_{\pi^{\prime}}\right)$, and because $A s_{F / F_{0}} \rho_{\pi^{\prime}}$ is automorphic, from Theorem 6.11 of [12], and using the solvable base change for GL(2) (see [10]) and the main theorem of [7], one obtains easily that (see also [1])

Proposition 4.1. If $k / \mathbb{Q}$ is solvable, then the function $L\left(s,\left.\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{k}}\right)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfies a functional equation $s \leftrightarrow 1-s$.
5. Some definitions. For $k$ being a number field, define

$$
\mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right):=\left\{x \in V\left(\pi^{\prime}\right) \mid \rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)(a) x=x \text { for all } a \in \Gamma_{k}\right\},
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\right):=\cup_{k} \mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right),
$$

where $V\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ is the space corresponding to $\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$. The elements of $\mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right)$ are called Tate cycles defined over $k$, and the elements of $\mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ are called Tate cycles. We denote by $\mathbf{U}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right) \subseteq \mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right)$ the subspace of algebraic cycles defined over $k$.

We denote by $r_{\text {alg }}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right):=\operatorname{dim}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}} \mathbf{U}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right)$, by $r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right):=\operatorname{dim}_{\bar{Q}_{l}} \mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right)$, by $r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\right):=\operatorname{dim}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}} \mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ and for $k$ solvable number filed by $r_{a n}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right)$ the order of the pole of $L\left(s,\left.\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{k}}\right)$ at $s=1$. Then, $r_{\text {alg }}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right) \leq r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right)$.

For $v$ being a finite-order character of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$, define

$$
\mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; \nu\right):=\left\{x \in V\left(\pi^{\prime}\right) \mid \rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)(a) x=v^{-1}(a) x \text { for all } a \in \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}\right\},
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime}, \mathbb{Q}^{a b}\right):=\cup_{v} \mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right)
$$

Let $\mathbf{U}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right) \subseteq \mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right)$ and $\mathbf{U}\left(\pi^{\prime}, \mathbb{Q}^{a b}\right) \subseteq \mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime}, \mathbb{Q}^{a b}\right)$ be the subspaces of algebraic cycles. We remark that when $\pi^{\prime}$ is non-CM, for $k$ sufficiently large we have $\mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right)=$ $\mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime}, \mathbb{Q}^{a b}\right)$, i.e. all the Tate cycles are defined over abelian extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$. For $\pi^{\prime}$ of CM type, it is possible to have for all $k$ that $\mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right) \neq \mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime}, \mathbb{Q}^{a b}\right)$.

We denote by $r_{\text {alg }}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right):=\operatorname{dim}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}} \mathbf{U}\left(\pi^{\prime}, v\right)$, by $r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right):=\operatorname{dim}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}} \mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime}, v\right)$ and by $r_{a n}\left(\pi^{\prime}, \nu\right)$ the order of the pole of $L\left(s, \rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right) \otimes \nu\right)$ at $s=1$. Then, $r_{\text {alg }}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; \nu\right) \leq r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; \nu\right)$, $r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}, \mathbb{Q}^{a b}\right) \leq r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$.
6. Matching Tate cycles and poles. We say that an automorphic representation $\pi$ of GL(2)/F for some number field $F$ is of CM type if there exists some quadratic Galois character $\eta: I_{F} / F^{\times} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}^{\times}$, with $\eta \neq 1$ such that $\pi \cong \pi \otimes \eta$. We say that a representation $\rho$ of a group $G$ is dihedral if there exists a normal subgroup $N$ of index 2 in $G$ and a character $\chi: N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$such that $\rho=\operatorname{Ind}_{N}^{G} \chi$. If $\pi$ is an automorphic representation of GL(2)/F as in Proposition 3.1, then $\pi$ is of CM type if and only if $\rho_{\pi}$ is a dihedral representation. If $\pi$ corresponds to an automorphic representation $\pi^{\prime}$ of $\bar{G}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ by Jacquet-Langlands correspondence, then we say that $\pi^{\prime}$ is CM if $\pi$ is CM.

Using in particular the decomposition (in some cases) of $\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ as a sum of automorphic representations, more exactly as a direct sum of $l$-adic representations associated Hecke characters and of twists by Hecke characters of $\operatorname{Sym}^{2} \pi^{\prime \prime}$ and $\operatorname{Sym}^{4} \pi^{\prime \prime}$ for non-CM representations $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ of GL(2) (which from [5] and [8] we know that are cuspidal and irreducible), in [12] (Propositions 8.6 and 8.8) are proved the following two lemmas (for the definition of $\pi^{\prime}$ and $\pi$ see Proposition 3.1 and the comments after it):

Lemma 6.1. For $\pi^{\prime}$ non- $C M$, all the Tate classes in $V\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ are rational over an abelian number field $k$, with

$$
r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right) \leq 2
$$

hence,

$$
r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}, \mathbb{Q}^{a b}\right)=r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\right) \leq 2 .
$$

Lemma 6.2. Let $F / \mathbb{Q}$ be Galois, and $\pi^{\prime}$ non-CM. Then
(a) $r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}, \mathbb{Q}^{a b}\right) \neq 0$ iff a twist of $\pi$ is a base change from a quadratic subextension of $F$.
(b) $r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}, \mathbb{Q}^{a b}\right)=2$ iff a twist of $\pi$ is a base change from $\mathbb{Q}$.
(c) The following are equivalent:
(i) $r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; \nu\right)=2$ for some $\nu$.
(ii) A twist of $\pi$ is a base change from $\mathbb{Q}$, and $F$ is biquadratic.

From Lemma 6.1 above and section 8 of [12] we know that
Proposition 6.3. For $\pi^{\prime}$ non-CM we have

$$
r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right)=r_{a n}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right) \leq 2,
$$

and thus because $r_{a l g}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right) \leq r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right)$, we have

$$
r_{a l g}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; \nu\right) \leq r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right)=r_{a n}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right) \leq 2 .
$$

We also know that (see Proposition 8.5 of [12])
Proposition 6.4. If $\pi^{\prime}$ is of CM type, we have for any $k$,

$$
r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right)=r_{a n}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right)
$$

7. Twisted Hirzebruch-Zagier cycles. We use the same notations as in section 2, i.e. we consider a quaternion division algebra $D_{0}$ over some quadratic subextension $F_{0}$ of $F$ such that $D=D_{0} \otimes_{F_{0}} F$. Then, the map $h$ factors through the map $h_{0}$ of $R_{\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ into $\bar{G}_{0 \mathbb{R}}$. The natural diagonal embedding of $\bar{G}_{0}$ into $\bar{G}$ defines a morphism

$$
\delta_{L, K}: S_{0 L} \hookrightarrow S_{K}
$$

over $\mathbb{Q}$, if $L$ is contained in $K$.
For any $g \in \bar{G}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$, and any open compact subgroup $K$ of $\bar{G}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$, define the corresponding Hirzebruch-Zagier cycle (or H-Z cycle) (relative to $\bar{G}_{0}$ ) to be the algebraic cycle of codimension 2 of $S_{K}$ given by

$$
{ }^{D_{0}} Z_{g, K}=R(g)\left(\delta_{\bar{G}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{f}\right) \cap g K g^{-1}, g K g^{-1}}\left(S_{0 \bar{G}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{f}\right) \cap g K g^{-1}}\right)\right),
$$

where $R(g): S_{g K^{-1}} \rightarrow S_{K}$ is the right translation action on Shimura varieties.
Now for each character of finite order $\mu$ of $F$, we have the usual twisted correspondence $R(\mu) \subset S_{K} \times S_{K[\mu]}$, where $K[\mu]$ is some level which depends on $K$ and $\mu$ (see for example section 5 of [12] for details). This twisting correspondence is algebraic and acts on any cohomology group, Betti or étale, of the fourfold $S=\lim S_{K}$. The induced operator sends the $\pi^{\prime}$-component to the $\pi^{\prime} \otimes \mu$-component. The twisting correspondence $R(\mu)$ is rational over $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{1}\right)$, where $\mu_{1}=\left.\mu\right|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}}}$, and $I_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the idele group of $\mathbb{Q}$.

For each character of finite order $\mu$ of $F$ and each H-Z cycle $Z$ on $S$, let $Z(\mu)$ be the $\mu$-twisted $H-Z$ cycle obtained by pushing forward $Z$ under $R(\mu)$. Then, $Z(\mu)$ is algebraic and rational over $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{1}\right)$.
8. Matching algebraic cycles and poles. We prove

Proposition 8.1. Let $F$ be a quartic, Galois, totally real number field, and $\pi^{\prime}$ be a non-CM cuspidal automorphic representation of $\bar{G}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ of weight 2 that appears in

Proposition 3.1. Then for any character of finite order $v$ of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we have

$$
r_{a l g}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; \nu\right)=r_{a n}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right)
$$

Proof. From Proposition 6.3 we know that $r_{\text {alg }}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; \nu\right) \leq r_{a n}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; \nu\right) \leq 2$.
We distinguish three cases:
(A) $r_{a n}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right)=0$. Then $r_{\text {alg }}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right)=0$ and we are done.
(B) $r_{a n}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; \nu\right)=1$. Then as in the proof of Theorem 9.1 of [12], one can find a quadratic subfield $F_{1}$ of $F$ and a finite-order character $\mu$ of $F$ such that $r_{\text {alg }}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right)=$ $r_{\text {alg }}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes \mu ; 1\right)$ and such that $L\left(s, A s_{F / F_{1}}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes \mu\right)\right)=L\left(s, A s_{F / F_{1}}(\pi \otimes \mu)\right)$ has a simple pole at $s=1$, which by the residue formula of [4] implies that there exists some function $\phi$ in the space of $\pi$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathrm{GL}\left(2, F_{1}\right) Z\left(\mathbb{A}_{F_{1}}\right) \backslash \operatorname{GL}\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{F_{1}}\right)} \phi(g) \mu(\operatorname{det}(g)) d g \neq 0,
$$

where $Z$ denotes the centre of GL(2). From [6] (the main theorem) and [3] (the appendix), we deduce that that there exists some function $\phi^{\prime}$ in the space of $\pi^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\int_{\bar{G}_{1}(\mathbb{Q}) \bar{Z}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right) \backslash \bar{G}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)} \phi^{\prime}(g) \mu(\operatorname{det}(g)) d g \neq 0,
$$

where $\bar{Z}_{1}$ denotes the centre of $\bar{G}_{1}=\operatorname{Res}_{F_{1} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(G_{1}\right)$, and $G_{1}$ is the algebraic group over $F_{1}$ defined by the multiplicative group $D_{1}^{\times}$of a suitable quaternion division algebra $D_{1}$ over $F_{1}$ which satisfies that $D=D_{1} \otimes_{F_{1}} F$ (more exactly let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of places $v$ of $F_{1}$ which split into two different places $w$ and $\bar{w}$ of $F$ such that $D_{w}$ and $D_{\bar{w}}$ are ramified (we remark that because $D=B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$, we get that $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F_{1}$ is a quaternion division algebra over $F_{1}$, and thus we have that for each two different places $w$ and $\bar{w}$ of $F$ dividing a place $v$ of $F_{1}, D_{w}$ and $D_{\bar{w}}$ have the same invariant). If $|\mathcal{S}|$ is even, then there exists a quaternion division algebra $D_{1}$ over $F_{1}$ which ramifies at exactly the places $v$ in $\mathcal{S}$ such that $D=D_{1} \otimes_{F_{1}} F$. Then by the main theorem of [6], $D_{1}$ satisfies the above propriety. If $|\mathcal{S}|$ is odd, then from [3] (appendix) we know that there exists a place (actually infinitely many) $v_{1}$ of $F_{1}$ outside $S$ which does not split into $F$ and a quaternion division algebra $D_{1}$ over $F_{1}$ which is ramified at exactly the places $v$ in $\mathcal{S} \cup v_{1}$, such that $D=D_{1} \otimes_{F_{1}} F$ and has the above propriety). Hence, the integral of a (2,2)-form $\eta_{\phi^{\prime}}$ on the compact quaternionic Shimura fourfold $S_{K}$ defined by $\phi^{\prime}$ has a non-zero $\mu$-twisted period over a Hecke translate of the embedded compact quaternionic Shimura surface attached to $D_{1}$. Thus, the corresponding twisting self-correspondence of the fourfold defines for some $g \in \bar{G}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ a $\mu$-twisted H -Z cycle $Z(\mu)={ }^{D_{1}} Z_{g, K}(\mu)$ of codimension 2 such that

$$
\int_{Z(\mu)} \eta_{\phi^{\prime}} \neq 0,
$$

and hence $Z(\mu)$ is homologically non-trivial. Thus, $r_{\text {alg }}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; \nu\right) \geq 1$, and we obtain that $r_{\mathrm{alg}}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; \nu\right)=1$, and we are done.
(C) $r_{a n}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right)=2$. From part (c) of Lemma 6.2 we deduce that $F / \mathbb{Q}$ is biquadratic, and then as in [12], one can find a finite-order character $\mu$ of $F$ such that $r_{\text {alg }}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right)=$ $r_{\text {alg }}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes \mu ; 1\right)$ and such that $\pi \otimes \mu$ is a base change from two quadratic subfields $F_{1}$ and
$F_{2}$ of $F$. Then as in (B) because the functions $L\left(s, A s_{F / F_{1}}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes \mu\right)\right)$ and $L\left(s, A s_{F / F_{2}}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes\right.\right.$ $\mu$ )) have simple poles at $s=1$, we get, for some quaternion algebras $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ over $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ and some $g_{1}, g_{2} \in \bar{G}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$, two twisted codimension 2 algebraic cycles $Z_{1}:={ }^{D_{1}}$ $Z_{g_{1}, K}(\mu)$ and $Z_{2}:={ }^{D_{2}} Z_{g_{2}, K}(\mu)$ on $S$ which are homologically non-trivial because the period integrals of some (2,2)-forms over these cycles are non-zero. But these two cycles could be proportional in the $\pi^{\prime}$-component of the cohomology, and thus one may have to replace one of them by a twisted version. Then in [12], Lemma 9.3, a finite-order character $\xi$ of $F$ is defined such that it has some special signature at the infinite places, and such that $\left.\xi\right|_{I_{F_{1}}}=1$, and thus $\left.\xi\right|_{I_{\mathrm{Q}}}=1$ and hence

$$
r_{a n}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes \xi ; v\right)=r_{a n}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right)
$$

Also $L\left(s, A s_{F / F_{1}}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes \xi \mu\right)\right)$ has a simple pole at $s=1$, and thus, if we define

$$
Z_{3}:==^{D_{1}} Z_{g_{3}, K}^{*}(\mu \xi)
$$

we have for some $g_{3} \in \bar{G}\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$, and some $\phi^{\prime}$ in the space of $\pi^{\prime}$ that

$$
\int_{Z_{3}} \eta_{\phi^{\prime}} \neq 0
$$

Then, from Lemma 9.4 of [12], we know by looking at the signatures at infinite places of the classes of $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ and $Z_{3}$ in $V_{B}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ that the space spanned by the classes of $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ and $Z_{3}$ in $V_{B}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ has dimension 2. Thus, $r_{\text {alg }}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; \nu\right) \geq 2$ and we obtain that $r_{\text {alg }}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; \nu\right)=2$, and we are done.

We can deduce now the following result.
Theorem 8.2. Let $F$ be a quartic totally real number field containing a quadratic subfield. Let $\pi^{\prime}$ be an automorphic representation as in Proposition 3.1. Then,
(a) For any solvable number field $k$, the function $L\left(s,\left.\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{k}}\right)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the complex plane and satisfies a functional equation $s \leftrightarrow 1-s$.
(b) If $F / \mathbb{Q}$ is Galois, then for any solvable number field $k$ we have that $\operatorname{dim}_{\bar{Q}_{l}} \mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right)$ is equal to the order of the pole of the function $L\left(s,\left.\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{k}}\right)$ at $s=1$. If $\pi^{\prime}$ is $C M$, this result is true for any number field $k$.
(c) If $F / \mathbb{Q}$ is Galois and $\pi^{\prime}$ is non-CM, then for any number field $k$ we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}} \mathbf{U}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}} \mathbf{V}\left(\pi^{\prime}, k\right) .
$$

Proof. Part (a) is the statement of Proposition 4.1. Now assume that $F / \mathbb{Q}$ is Galois and $\pi^{\prime}$ is non-CM. Then from Propositions 6.3 and 8.1 we get that $r_{\text {alg }}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; \nu\right)=$ $r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right)=r_{a n}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; v\right) \leq 2$, and from Lemma 6.1 we deduce part (c). Now if $\pi^{\prime}$ is $C M$, part (b) is the statement of Proposition 6.4. For $\pi^{\prime}$ non-CM and $k$ solvable we know from (a) that $L\left(s,\left.\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{k}}\right)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the complex plane, and we have to match the order of the pole of $L\left(s,\left.\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{k}}\right)$ at $s=1$ with the dimension of the space of the Tate cycles defined over $k$. But because $k$ is solvable and $\pi^{\prime}$ is non-CM we get that $\left.\rho_{\pi^{\prime}}\right|_{F k}$ is cuspidal irreducible. Now because $K$ contains a quadratic subextension $F_{0}$ we get that $\left.\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{k}}$ is a tensor product of Asai representations of degree 4, 2 or 1 associated with cuspidal representations of GL(2). When we have degree 4 , i.e $\left.\rho\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{k}}=A s_{F k / k} \rho_{\pi^{\prime \prime}}$, for some cuspidal non-CM automorphic representation $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ of GL(2)/Fk (associated with $\left.\rho_{\pi^{\prime}}\right|_{\Gamma_{F k}}$ ) and $F k$ has a quadratic subextension $k^{\prime} / k$,
we obtain part (b) exactly as in [12], section 8 (it is proved in the same way as Proposition 6.3). The rest of the cases are similar.
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