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#### Abstract

Let $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a probability space, let $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{k}$ be $k \sigma$-subalgebras of $\Sigma$, and let $p \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $1<p<+\infty$. Let $P_{i}: L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be the conditional expectation operator corresponding to $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ for every $i=1,2, \ldots, k$, and set $T=P_{1} \ldots P_{k}$. Our goal in the note is to give a new and simpler proof of the fact that for every $f \in L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, the sequence $\left(T^{n} f\right)_{n \in \mathrm{~N}}$ converges in the norm topology of $L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, and that its limit is the conditional expectation of $f$ with respect to $\mathscr{F}_{1} \cap \mathscr{F}_{2} \cap \ldots \cap \mathscr{F}_{k}$.


1. Introduction. Let $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a probability space, let $p \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $1<p<+\infty$, and let $P_{i}: L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu), i=1,2, \ldots, k$ be $k$ conditional expectation operators. Let $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ be the $\sigma$-subalgebra of $\Sigma$ which defines $P_{i}$ for every $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Set $T=P_{1} P_{2} \ldots P_{k}$.

In [5] Halperin proved that if $p=2$, then for every $f \in L^{2}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, the sequence $\left(T^{n} f\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in the norm topology of $L^{2}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ to the conditional expectation of $f$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{1} \cap \mathcal{F}_{2} \cap \ldots \cap \mathcal{F}_{k}$. Our purpose in the present note is to give a simpler exposition of Halperin's proof, applicable for all $p$. The case $k=2$ has been known for a long time (see [5]), and has been extended to a more general situation by Akcoglu and Sucheston [1]. Extensions and applications of Halperin's result can be found in the papers of Amemiya and Ando [3], Hildebrandt [6], [7], and Hildebrandt and Schmidt [8].

As expected, the question whether or not $\left(T^{n} f\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges a.e. is more difficult. If $k=2$, and $p=2$, then Burkholder and Chow [4] proved that $\left(T^{n} f\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges a.e. for every $f \in L^{2}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$. Using a beautiful construction of Rota [11] or a delicate argument of Stein [12], it follows that if $k=2$, and $p>1$, then $\left(T^{n} f\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges a.e. for every $f \in L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$. To everyone's Ornstein [10] was able to construct an example proving that it is not true, in general, that if $k=2$, then $\left(T^{n} f\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges

[^0]a.e. for every $f \in L^{1}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$. If $k \geqq 3$, it is still an open problem whether or not $\left(T^{n} f\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges a.e. for every $f \in L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu), 1<p<+\infty$.
2. Preliminaries. The following lemma has been obtained independently by Zbăganu [14] and by Akcoglu and Sucheston [2].

Lemma 1. Let $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a probability space, let $p \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $1<p<+\infty$, let $P: L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a conditional expectation operator, and let $\left(f_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements of $L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ such that $\left\|f_{n}\right\|=1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|P f_{n}\right\|=1$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|f_{n}-P f_{n}\right\|=0$.

Let $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a probability space, let $p \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $1<p<+\infty$, and let $S$ be a contraction of $L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$.

Set $M_{n}=(1 / n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S^{i}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
The Banach space $L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ is reflexive. Hence, by the mean ergodic theorem (see Chap. 2 of Krengel's book [9]), the sequence ( $\left.M_{n} f\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent in the norm topology of $L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ for every $f \in L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$.

The next lemma has been noticed independently by Wittmann [13]. We state it here in Wittmann's formulation (our form is slightly weaker).

Lemma 2. Assume that for every $f \in L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, one has that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|S^{n+1} f-S^{n} f\right\|=$ 0 . Then, for every $f \in L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, the sequence $\left(S^{n} f\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in the norm topology of $L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} S^{n} f=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} M_{n} f$.

Proof. Let $f \in L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, and set $g=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} M_{n} f$, the limit being taken in the norm topology of $L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$.

Let $\epsilon>0$. Obviously, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|M_{m} f-g\right\|<\epsilon / 2$.
It is easy to see that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, S^{n-1}-M_{n}=(I-S) R_{n}$ for some linear bounded operator $R_{n}$.

It follows that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|S^{n}\left(S^{m-1}-M_{m}\right) f\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|S^{n}(I-S) R_{m} f\right\|=0
$$

Accordingly, there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geqq \ell$ $\left\|S^{n}\left(S^{m-1}-M_{m}\right) f\right\|<\epsilon / 2$.

Set $n_{\epsilon}=m+\ell$.
Since $S g=g$, we obtain that for every $n \geqq n_{\epsilon}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|S^{n} f-g\right\| \leqq & \left\|S^{n} f-S^{n-m+1} M_{m} f\right\| \\
& +\left\|S^{n-m+1} M_{m} f-g\right\| \\
= & \left\|S^{n-m+1}\left(S^{m-1}-M_{m}\right) f\right\| \\
& +\left\|S^{n-m+1}\left(M_{m} f-g\right)\right\|<\epsilon / 2+\epsilon / 2=\epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

We have therefore proved that for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists $n_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \geqq n_{\epsilon}$ one has that $\left\|S^{n} f-g\right\|<\epsilon$.
Q.E.D.

Remark. Lemma 2 can be stated in a much more general form; that is, the space $L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ can be replaced by any reflexive Banach space.
3. The convergence of the iterates of a product of conditional expectation operators. Let $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a probability space, let $p \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $1<p<$ $+\infty$, and let $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{k}: L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be $k$ conditional expectation operators. Set $T=P_{1} P_{2} \ldots P_{k}$.

Lemma 3. For every $f \in L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, one has that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\left(T^{n+1}-T^{n}\right) f\right\|=0$.
Proof. Let $f \in L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$. Obviously, we may assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|T^{n} f\right\| \neq 0$.
Set $\alpha=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|T^{n} f\right\|$.
It follows that $\left\|T^{n+1} f\right\| \leqq\left\|P_{i} P_{i+1} \ldots P_{k} T^{n} f\right\| \leqq\left\|T^{n} f\right\|$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, and $i=1,2, \ldots, k$.

Accordingly, $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|P_{i} P_{i+1} \ldots P_{k} T^{n} f\right\|=\alpha$ for every $i=1,2, \ldots, k$.
Using Lemma 1 , we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|T^{n+1} f-T^{n} f\right\| \leqq & \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \| P_{i} P_{i+1} \ldots P_{k} T^{n} f \\
& -P_{i+1} P_{i+2} \ldots P_{k} T^{n} f \| \\
& +\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|P_{k} T^{n} f-T^{n} f\right\|=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Q.E.D.

Let $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ be the $\sigma$-subalgebra of $\Sigma$ which defines $P_{i}$ for every $i=1,2, \ldots, k$.
Theorem 4. For every $f \in L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, the sequence ( $\left.T^{n} f\right)_{n \in \mathrm{~N}}$ converges in the norm topology of $L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ to the conditional expectation of $f$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{1} \cap \mathcal{F}_{2} \cap \ldots \cap \mathcal{F}_{k}$.

Proof. Using Lemma 3 and Lemma 2, we obtain that for every $f \in L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, the sequence $\left(T^{n} f\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in the norm topology of $L^{p}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, and that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} T^{n} f=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}(1 / n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{i} f$.

Set $g=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} T^{n} f$.
Notice that $g$ is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{1} \cap \mathcal{F}_{2} \cap \ldots \cap \mathcal{F}_{k}$. Clearly, we may and do assume that $g \neq 0$. Taking into consideration that $\left\|T^{n+1} f\right\| \leqq\left\|P_{i} P_{i+1} \ldots P_{k} T^{n} f\right\| \leqq$ $\left\|T^{n} f\right\|$ for every $i=1,2, \ldots, k$, and every $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, it follows (using Lemma 1) that $g=P_{k} g=P_{k-1} P_{k} g=\cdots=P_{1} P_{2} \cdots P_{k} g$; hence, $g=P_{1} g=P_{2} g=\cdots=P_{k} g$.

To complete the proof of the theorem, it is enough to prove that for every $A \in \mathcal{F}_{1} \cap \ldots \cap \mathcal{F}_{k}$, one has that $\int_{A} f d \mu=\int_{A} g d \mu$.

To this end, let $A \in \mathcal{F}_{1} \cap \mathcal{F}_{2} \cap \ldots \cap \mathcal{F}_{k}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{A} g d \mu & =\int_{A}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}(1 / n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{i} f\right) d \mu \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{A}\left((1 / n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{i} f\right) d \mu \\
& =\int_{A} f d \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

Q.E.D.
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