

## **Editorial. Call for talk**

This issue of *Archaeological dialogues* features a discussion article by Kristian Kristiansen on the widening theoretical gap in archaeology between people focusing on ‘genes’ and those on ‘agents’. Kristiansen’s thought-provoking essay is flanked by comments from Ulrich Veit, Stephen Shennan and John Robb. We believe that the question is a broad one that merits further and open debate. Readers are therefore invited to **participate in this discussion** and send us their reactions in the format of a discussion comment (maximum 2,500 words). As *Archaeological dialogues* continues to be a journal for debating archaeology, we very much welcome your comments.

Debate is a matter of speaking as well as listening. During the past ten years the journal has established itself through a series of much-appreciated in-depth interviews with key figures in the development of archaeological thought. They have included Bruce Trigger, Tjalling Waterbolk, Michael Shanks, Lewis Binford, Leo Klejn and others. Several new interviews are currently under way, and the journal invites readers to submit proposals for interviews with fellow archaeologists whose work and position have been important to the field. Let us know who, in your mind, deserves to be interviewed and why. Tell us why you think you (or anyone else) would qualify for doing the interview. Send your suggestion to the editorial board and check our website for guidelines on interviews. We are interested in your ideas and would like to help you realize them.

Talk, tape, debate. The past won’t speak up on its own.

ad@arts.gla.ac.uk  
www.archaeologicaldialogues.com

