

## ON THE NUMBER OF PROLONGATIONS OF A FINITE RANK VALUATION

MICHAEL J. WRIGHT

A (non-archimedean) valuation  $v$  on a field  $K$  is said to be *henselian* if it has a unique prolongation to a valuation on  $K_a$ , the algebraic closure of  $K$ . A *henselization*  $(K^h, v^h)$  of a valuated field  $(K, v)$  is a smallest separable extension of  $K$  containing a henselian prolongation  $v^h$  of  $v$ .  $(K^h, v^h)$  is unique up to  $K$ -isomorphism, and  $(K^h, v^h) = (K, v)$  if and only if  $v$  is henselian. In this paper we confine ourselves to valuations of finite rank.

If  $v$  is a non-henselian rank one valuation on  $K$ , and if  $[K_s:K] = \infty$ ,  $K_s$  being the separable closure of  $K$ , then it is known that  $v$  has infinitely many prolongations to  $K_a$  [1, (27.11)]. We shall see that this is no longer true if the rank of  $v$  is greater than one. Endler has shown that if  $K$  is any field such that  $1 < [K_s:K] < \infty$ , then  $K$  is real closed, that is  $K_a = K_s = K(\sqrt{-1})$  (see [2]). With the aid of this, he proves that if  $(K, v)$  is any rank one non-henselian valuated field with henselization  $(K^h, v^h)$  such that  $[K^h:K] < \infty$ , then again  $K$  is real closed and so  $K_a = K^h = K(\sqrt{-1})$  (see [2]). Hence if on a field  $K$ , a rank one valuation has finitely many prolongations to  $K_a$ , it must have exactly one or two. The question naturally arises as to how many such prolongations a valuation  $v$  of rank  $d > 1$  can have. We conclude that if  $v$  has a finite number it still has exactly one or two by showing that if  $(K^h, v^h)$  is a finite proper extension of  $(K, v)$ , we again have  $K^h = K(\sqrt{-1})$ , even though it is not necessary that  $K$  be real closed.

Our notation will follow that of [5]. Let  $v$  be a valuation on a field  $K$  with residue class field  $K/v$ . If  $A$  is the valuation ring of  $v$  with maximal ideal  $M$ , and if  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $A$ , then  $P$  determines two valuations:

- (i)  $v_P$  on  $K$  with valuation ring  $A_P$  and residue class field  $A_P/PA_P = K/v_P$ , and
- (ii)  $v/P$  on  $K/v_P$  with valuation ring  $A/P$  and residue class field  $A/M = K/v$ .

On the other hand, given an arbitrary valuation  $w$  on a field  $K$  and a valuation  $u$  on the residue class field  $K/w$ , there is a unique valuation  $v$  on  $K$  with a valuation ring  $A$  and prime ideal  $P \subset A$  such that  $v_P = w$  and  $v/P = u$ . We say  $v$  is composed of  $v_P$  and  $v/P$ .

If  $\bar{K}$  is an algebraic extension of  $K$  and  $P$  a prime ideal of the valuation ring  $A$  of  $v$ , we denote by  $g_P$  the number of distinct prolongations of  $v_P$  to  $\bar{K}$ . Letting  $\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_k$  (where  $k = g_P$ ) be these valuations, we let  $(g/P)_{\bar{v}_i}$  be the number of prolongations of  $v/P$  on  $K/v_P$  to  $\bar{K}/\bar{v}_i$ .

---

Received November 25, 1970.

We recall the following facts:

- (a) In the notation above, if  $g$  is the number of prolongations of  $v$  to  $\bar{K}$ , then

$$g = g_M = \sum_{i=1}^{g_P} (g/P)_{\bar{v}_i},$$

for any prime ideal  $P$  of  $A$  (see [5, p. 174]).

- (b) If  $(K^h, v^h)$  is the henselization of  $(K, v)$ ,  $P^h$  and  $P^h \cap A = P$  corresponding prime ideals of the valuation rings of  $v^h$  and  $v$  respectively, then  $K^h$  contains the henselization of  $K$  with respect to  $v_P$ , and  $K^h/(v^h)_{P^h}$  contains the henselization of  $K/v_P$  with respect to  $v/P$  (see [5, p. 210]).
- (c) Let  $(K, v)$  be a valuated field and  $P$  a prime ideal of the valuation ring of  $v$ . If  $(K, v_P)$  and  $(K/v_P, v/P)$  are henselian, then  $(K, v)$  is henselian (see [5, p. 211]).
- (d) If  $K$  is complete with respect to a rank one valuation  $v$ , then  $(K, v)$  is henselian (see [5, p. 198]).
- (e) If  $K$  has two distinct rank one henselian valuations, it is separably closed (see [3]).

We begin with a simple example. Let  $\mathbf{R}$  be the field of real algebraic numbers and  $\mathbf{Z}$  the additive group of integers. Consider the field  $K = \mathbf{R}((x))$  of all formal power series with coefficients in  $\mathbf{R}$  and exponents in  $\mathbf{Z}$ .  $K$  consists of all expressions of the form  $s = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i x^i$ , where  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $a_n \neq 0$ , and  $a_i \in \mathbf{R}$ . If  $s \neq 0$ ,  $n$  is called the order of  $s$  and denoted  $\varphi(s)$ . This  $\varphi$  (with  $\varphi(0) = \infty$ ) is called the order valuation or natural valuation on  $K$  with valuation ring  $\mathbf{R}[[x]]$ , and residue class field  $\mathbf{R}$ . Moreover,  $K$  is complete and hence henselian with respect to  $\varphi$  (see [5, p. 103]). Now let  $v$  be a rank one valuation on  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then  $v$  is non-henselian (see [4]). Let  $w$  be the rank two valuation on  $K$  composed of  $\varphi$  and  $v$ . Thus if  $P$  is the minimal prime ideal of the valuation ring of  $w$ ,  $w_P = \varphi$  and  $w/P = v$ . By (a) then, since  $w_P$  is henselian and  $w/P$  has two prolongations to the algebraic closure  $\mathbf{R}(\sqrt{-1})$  of  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $w$  has exactly two prolongations to  $K_a$ , while  $[K_s:K] = \infty$ . In a similar manner, using the real closure  $\mathbf{R}((x))_{rc}$  of  $\mathbf{R}((x))$  and the unique (as we shall see in Theorem 2) prolongation of  $w$  to  $\mathbf{R}((x))_{rc}$ , we can construct a field  $K$  and a rank three valuation on  $K$  with two prolongations to  $K_a$ . In fact, given any  $d > 1$ , we can find a rank  $d$  valuated field  $(K, v)$  such that  $v$  has exactly two prolongations to  $K_a$  while  $[K_s:K] = \infty$ . Moreover,  $(K^h, v^h)$  is a finite extension of  $(K, v)$ . For, let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be the two prolongations of  $v$  to  $K_a$ , and  $b \in K_a$  be such that  $v_1(b) \neq v_2(b)$ . Then  $K(b)$  is henselian with respect to both  $v_1|_{K(b)}$  and  $v_2|_{K(b)}$ , while  $[K(b):K] < \infty$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $(K, v)$  be a non-henselian valuated field and  $(K^h, v^h)$  its henselization. If  $[K^h:K] < \infty$ , then  $K^h = K(\sqrt{-1})$ , and  $v$  has exactly two prolongations to  $K_a$ .*

*Proof.* Since this is known for rank one valuations, we use induction on the rank of  $v$ . Let the rank of  $v$  be  $d > 1$  and assume the theorem holds for any valuated field  $(F, w)$  where  $w$  is a non-henselian valuation of rank  $(d - 1)$ . If  $[K_s:K] < \infty$ , then  $K_a = K(\sqrt{-1})$ , so  $K^h = K(\sqrt{-1})$ , and we are through. Assume, then, that  $[K_s:K] = \infty$ . Let  $P$  be the minimal prime ideal of the valuation ring of  $v$ . Then, since  $K^h$  contains the henselization of  $K$  with respect to the rank one valuation  $v_P$  by (b), and since  $[K^h:K] < \infty$ ,  $v_P$  must be henselian. Hence by (c),  $v/P$  is a non-henselian rank  $(d - 1)$  valuation on  $K/v_P$ . Since  $[K^h/(v^h)_{P^h}:K/v_P] \leq [K^h:K] < \infty$ , and since  $K^h/(v^h)_{P^h}$  contains the henselization of  $K/v_P$  with respect to  $v/P$  (again by (b)), by induction,  $v/P$  has exactly two prolongations to  $(K/v_P)_a$ , and  $(K/v_P)^h = K(\sqrt{-1})/\bar{v}_P$ ,  $\bar{v}_P$  being the unique prolongation of  $v_P$  to  $K(\sqrt{-1})$ . By (a) then,  $v$  has exactly two prolongations to  $K_a$ . Similarly, since  $v/P$  has two prolongations to

$$K(\sqrt{-1})/\bar{v}_P = (K/v_P)^h,$$

so does  $v$  to  $K(\sqrt{-1})$ . Thus  $K(\sqrt{-1})$  is henselian with respect to a prolongation of  $v$  (in fact, two), so that  $K(\sqrt{-1}) = K^h$ .

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $v$  be a valuation on  $K$ . The number of prolongations of  $v$  to  $K_a$  is either one, two (when  $K^h = K(\sqrt{-1})$ ), or is infinite (when  $[K^h:K] = \infty$ ).*

*Proof.* We need only show that  $[K^h:K] = \infty$  implies  $v$  has an infinite number of prolongations to  $K^h$ . Although this is rather well known, we prove it. Suppose that  $v^h, v_1, \dots, v_n$  are all the prolongations of  $v$  to  $K^h$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , choose  $a_i \in K^h$  such that  $v_i(a_i) \neq v^h(a_i)$ , then let  $F = K(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Thus  $v^h|_F$  has a unique prolongation to  $K^h$  and so to  $K_a$ . Thus  $F$  is henselian with respect to  $v^h|_F$ , a prolongation of  $v$ , and  $[F:K] < \infty$ , which is impossible since we must have  $F = K^h$ .

The example preceding Theorem 1 shows that if  $v$  is a rank  $d > 1$  valuation on  $K$ , it is possible for  $K^h$  to be a finite extension of  $K$  while  $K$  is not real closed, something that cannot happen for rank one valuations. However, in our example  $K$  is still a real field (i.e.,  $-1$  is not a sum of squares in  $K$ ). Indeed, this is always true.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $(K, v)$  is a valuated field such that  $K^h = K(\sqrt{-1})$ , then  $K$  is a real field and  $v$  has a unique prolongation to a valuation on  $K_{rc}$ .*

*Proof.* If the rank of  $v$  is one, or if  $[K_s:K] < \infty$ , we are through, for then  $K$  is real closed. Assume, then, that  $v$  is of rank  $d > 1$ ,  $[K_s:K] = \infty$ , and that the theorem holds for valuations of rank  $(d - 1)$ . Then using the notation exactly as in Theorem 1,  $v/P$  is a non-henselian rank  $(d - 1)$  valuation on  $K/v_P$ , and  $(K/v_P)^h = K(\sqrt{-1})/\bar{v}_P$ . So by induction,  $K/v_P$  is a real field. If  $-1 = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i)^2$ , where  $a_i \in K$  and  $v_P(a_1)$  is minimal among the  $v_P(a_i)$ , then by multiplying through by  $(a_1)^{-2}$  if necessary (that is, if  $v_P(a_1) < 0$ ),  $-1 = \sum_{i=1}^n (c_i)^2$ , where  $c_i \in A_P$ , the valuation ring of  $v_P$ . Therefore,

$-1 + PA_P$  can be written as the sum of squares in  $K/v_P = A_P/PA_P$ , which is impossible since  $K/v_P$  is real. Thus  $K$  is a real field. Since  $K^h = K(\sqrt{-1}) \not\subseteq K_{rc}$ ,  $v$  has a unique prolongation to a valuation on  $K_{rc}$ .

If  $v$  is a rank one valuation on  $K$  with exactly two prolongations to  $K_a$ , it is known that  $K/v$  is algebraically closed (see [6]). Actually this holds for a valuation of any rank  $d$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $K^h = K(\sqrt{-1})$ ,  $K/v$  is algebraically closed.*

*Proof.* Since half of our work is done, we again use induction on the rank of  $v$ . Suppose that  $v$  is of rank  $d > 1$ . If  $[K_s:K] < \infty$ , then  $K_a = K^h = K(\sqrt{-1})$ . Hence  $(K/v)_a = K^h/v^h = K/v$ . Thus suppose that  $[K_s:K] = \infty$ . Let  $P$  be the minimal prime ideal of the valuation ring of  $v$ . Then, as before,  $v_P$  is henselian and  $v/P$  is not. By induction, since  $v/P$  has two prolongations to  $(K/v_P)_a$ , and since the rank of  $v/P$  is  $d - 1$ , the residue class field  $K/v$  is algebraically closed.

Finally, while it is not possible that a field  $K$  possess three rank one valuations having one, two, and an infinite number of prolongations respectively to  $K_a$ , this can happen, for example, for three rank two valuations. Let  $K$  be a real closed field with a henselian rank one valuation  $v_1$  (e.g. if  $K$  is the real closure of  $\mathbf{R}((x))$  and  $v_1$  the unique prolongation of the order valuation on  $\mathbf{R}((x))$  to  $K$ ). Let  $v_2$  be a non-henselian rank one valuation on  $K$  and let  $w_1, w_2$  be the rank two valuations on  $F = K((y))$  composed of the order valuation  $\varphi$  on  $F$  and  $v_1, v_2$ . Now let  $w$  be a rank two prolongation of a suitable rank two valuation on  $K(y)$  (namely, a valuation of  $K(y)$  whose valuation ring is not contained in the valuation ring of the  $y$ -adic valuation). Then if  $P$  is the minimal prime ideal of the valuation ring of  $w$ ,  $w_P$  is not henselian by (e), since  $w_P \neq \varphi$  and  $F_s \neq F$ . Since  $[F_s:F] = \infty$ ,  $w_P$  has an infinite number of prolongations to  $F_a$ . Thus  $w$  has an infinite number of prolongations, while  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  have one and two respectively.

#### REFERENCES

1. O. Endler, *Bewertungstheorie. Unter Benutzung einer Vorlesung von W. Krull*, Vols. I and II, Bonn. Math. Schr. No. 15 (1963).
2. ———, *A note on henselian valuation rings*, Can. Math. Bull. 11 (1968), 185–189.
3. I. Kaplansky and O. F. G. Schilling, *Some remarks on relatively complete fields*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (1942), 744–747.
4. P. Ribenboim, *A short note on henselian fields*, Math. Ann. 173 (1967), 83–88.
5. ———, *Theorie des valuations* (Sem. Math. Sup., Université de Montréal, 1964).
6. D. Rim, *Relatively complete fields*, Duke J. Math. 24 (1957), 197–200.

*Loyola University of Los Angeles,  
Los Angeles, California*