

NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF PENETRATIVE CONVECTION: A PARAMETRIC STUDY

HARINDER P. SINGH

Department of Physics

Sri Venkateswara College, University of Delhi

IAN W. ROXBURGH

Astronomy Unit

Queen Mary & Westfield College, University of London

AND

KWING L. CHAN

Department of Mathematics

The Hong Kong University of Science & Technology

Abstract. In continuation of our earlier studies (Singh, Roxburgh & Chan 1997a,b; hereafter SRC97a,b) we perform some further tests to study the general behaviour of penetrative convection and its scaling with rms vertical velocity by varying a number of input parameters like the aspect ratio and the positioning of the interface between the unstable-lower stable layer.

1. Introduction

In a recent study (SRC97a,b), we performed three-dimensional simulations of convection in a three-layer configuration with a view to study the penetration of flows into the lower stable layer and to examine the scaling relationship between the penetration distance (Δ_d) and the vertical velocity given earlier by Schmitt et al. (1984) and Zahn (1991) which may be stated as $\Delta_d \propto V_{z0}^{3/2}$, where V_{z0} is the vertical velocity at the bottom of the middle convective layer. The total domain of computation consists of ~ 7.5 pressure scale heights with the middle unstable region having 5.4 p.s.h. The lower stable layer extends to a height of 0.4 from the bottom and contains 1.2 p.s.h. The aspect ratio of the numerical box is 1.5. Four different models corresponding to four values of the input flux F_b were computed and the following equation was evaluated to examine the relationship described earlier by taking pair of cases

TABLE 1. Relationship between penetration distance and rms vertical velocity

Model No.	Aspect Ratio	$(F_b(1), F_b(2))$	LHS Eqn.(1)	RHS Eqn.(1)
1	1.5	(0.1875,0.125)	1.18	1.24
2	1.5	(0.1875,0.0625)	1.72	1.78
3	1.5	(0.1875,0.03125)	2.46	2.66
4	1.5	(0.125,0.0625)	1.46	1.43
5	1.5	(0.125,0.03125)	2.08	2.14
6	1.5	(0.0625,0.03125)	1.43	1.49
7	1.5	(0.125,0.0625)	1.40	1.41
8	2.0	(0.125,0.0625)	1.26	1.41
9	3.0	(0.125,0.0625)	1.44	1.54

corresponding to two flux values:

$$\frac{\Delta_d(F_b(1))}{\Delta_d(F_b(2))} = \frac{V_{zo}^{3/2}(F_b(1))}{V_{zo}^{3/2}(F_b(2))}. \quad (1)$$

We obtained six values for the left and the right hand sides of above equation from our four cases. The results are given in Table 1 (Models 1-6). It may be seen that $\Delta_d \propto V_{zo}^{3/2}$.

We do some further tests by shifting the location of the interface between the unstable and the lower stable region from a height of 0.4 from the bottom to a height of 0.5. This provided a larger (2 p.s.h.) lower stable layer at the bottom. Two cases were studied with two different values of the input flux F_b . The ratios of the penetration distances in the two cases were equated with the right hand side of eq.(1). The result for Model 7 confirms the scaling relationship between the penetration distance and the vertical velocity.

The aspect ratio of the box was changed from 1.5 to 2.0 and also by a factor of two from 1.5 to 3.0. Two models (with different F_b values) were computed for each of these aspect ratios. As may be seen from Table 1 (Models 8-9), the scaling relationship implied by eq.(1) has deteriorated slightly and could be attributed to the fact that the number of grid points in the two horizontal directions (35×35) were not changed for the larger aspect ratios. The calculations with improved horizontal resolution for larger aspect ratios are currently under way.

References

- Schmitt, J. H. M. M., Rosner, R., Bohm, H. U. 1984, ApJ, 282, 316
 Singh, H. P., Roxburgh, I. W., Chan, K. L. 1997a, in Stellar Astrophysics, ASP Proc. 1997 Pacific Rim Conf., Eds. K. L. Chan, K. S. Cheng, & H. P. Singh (in Press)
 Singh, H. P., Roxburgh, I. W., Chan, K. L. 1997b, A&A (submitted)
 Zahn, J. P. 1991, A&A, 252, 179