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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be the group $\operatorname{PAff}_{+}(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})$ of piecewise affine circle homeomorphisms or the group $\operatorname{Diff}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})$ of smooth circle diffeomorphisms. A constructive proof that all irrational rotations are distorted in $G$ is given.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a group with some finite generating set $\mathcal{G}$. We define the metric $d_{\mathcal{G}}$ on $G$ by taking $d_{\mathcal{G}}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ to be the infimum over all $k \geq 0$ such that there exist $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k} \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{k} \in\{-1,1\}$ satisfying $g_{2}=f_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}} \cdots f_{k}^{\epsilon_{k}} g_{1}$.

Now let $H$ be an arbitrary group. An element $f \in H$ is called distorted in $H$ if there exists a finitely generated subgroup $G \subset H$ containing $f$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_{\mathcal{G}}\left(f^{n}, \mathrm{id}\right)}{n}=0
$$

for some (and hence every) generating set $\mathcal{G}$. Since the limit always exists, it is enough to verify it for some subsequence. The notion of distortion comes from geometric group theory and was introduced by Gromov in [7].

The problem of the existence of distorted elements in some groups of homeomorphisms has been intensively studied for many years (see [2, 3-6, 8, 10, 11]). Substantial progress has been achieved for groups of diffeomorphisms of manifolds. In particular, Avila [1] proved that rotations with irrational rotation number are distorted in the group of smooth diffeomorphisms of the circle. In this note we give a constructive proof that all irrational rotations are distorted both in the group of piecewise affine circle homeomorphisms,

PAff $_{+}(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})$, and in the group of smooth circle diffeomorphisms, $\operatorname{Diff}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})$. The result gives an answer to Question 11 in [9] (see also Question 2.5 in [5]). So far it has not even been known whether there exist distorted elements in $\operatorname{PAff}_{+}(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})$. Now from $[8]$ it follows that each distorted element is conjugate to a rotation.

From now on let $G$ be either $\operatorname{PAff}_{+}(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})$ or $\operatorname{Diff}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})$. We say that $g \in G$ is trivial on some set if there exists a non-empty open set $I \subset[0,1)$ such that $g(x)=x$ for $x \in I$. The set of all homeomorphisms in $G$ which are trivial on some set will be denoted by $G_{\text {triv }}$. By T we denote the set of all rotations, and let $T_{\alpha}$ be the rotation with rotation number $\alpha$.

This paper is devoted to the proof of the following theorem.
Theorem. All irrational rotations are distorted in $G$.

## 2. Proofs

We first formulate two lemmas and deduce the theorem. The proofs of the lemmas will be given at the end of the paper.

Lemma 1. For any irrational rotation $T_{\alpha}$ and $g \in G_{\text {triv }} \cup T$ there exist a finite generating set $\mathcal{G}_{g} \subset G$ and $a$ constant $C>0$ such that

$$
d_{\mathcal{G}_{g}}\left(T_{\alpha}^{n} g T_{\alpha}^{-n}, \mathrm{id}\right) \leq C \log n \quad \text { for all } n \geq 1
$$

Lemma 2. In $G$ there exist $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l} \in G_{\text {triv }} \cup T$ and $k, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{l} \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k \neq k_{1}+$ $\cdots+k_{l}$, such that for each sufficiently small $\beta>0$ the element $x=T_{\beta}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{k_{1}} g_{1} x^{k_{2}} g_{2} \cdots x^{k_{l}} g_{l}=x^{k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of the theorem. Fix an irrational rotation $T_{\alpha}$. From Lemma 2 it follows that in $G$ there exists an equation of the form (1) such that $x=T_{\beta}$, for all sufficiently small $\beta$, is its solution. Let $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{G}_{g_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{G}_{g_{l}}$, where $\mathcal{G}_{g_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, l$, are finite generating sets derived from Lemma 1 for $T_{\alpha}$. We may rewrite equation (1) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{k_{1}} g_{1} x^{-k_{1}} x^{k_{2}+k_{1}} g_{2} x^{-k_{2}-k_{1}} \cdots x^{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{l}} g_{l} x^{-k_{1}-\cdots-k_{l}}=x^{k-k_{1}-\cdots-k_{l}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\beta_{0}$ be a positive constant such that $x=T_{\beta}$ for $\beta \in\left(0, \beta_{0}\right)$ satisfies (2). Set $m:=$ $k-k_{1}-\cdots-k_{l}$, and let $\left(n_{i}\right)$ be an increasing sequence of integers such that $n_{i} \alpha \in$ $\left(0, \beta_{0}\right)(\bmod 1)$. From Lemma 1 it follows that

$$
d_{\mathcal{G}}\left(T_{\alpha}^{n_{i}\left(k_{1}+\cdots+k_{j}\right)} g_{j} T_{\alpha}^{-n_{i}\left(k_{1}+\cdots+k_{j}\right)}, \mathrm{id}\right) \leq C_{j} \log n_{i} \quad \text { for all } i \geq 1 \text { and } j=1, \ldots, l .
$$

Since $x=T_{n_{i} \alpha}$ satisfies (2), we obtain

$$
d_{\mathcal{G}}\left(T_{\alpha}^{n_{i} m}, \mathrm{id}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{l} C_{j} \log n_{i}:=C \log n_{i} \quad \text { for all } i \geq 1
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_{\mathcal{G}}\left(T_{\alpha}^{n}, \mathrm{id}\right)}{n}=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_{\mathcal{G}}\left(T_{\alpha}^{n_{i} m}, \mathrm{id}\right)}{n_{i} m} \leq \frac{C}{m} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n_{i}}{n_{i}}=0
$$

and the proof is complete.

Proof of Lemma 1. The proof relies on the observation that for a given interval $I \subset$ $(0,1)$ there exists a finite generating set $\mathcal{G} \subset G$ such that for any $n \geq 1$ there exists a homeomorphism $h_{n}$ with $d_{\mathcal{G}}\left(h_{n}\right.$, id) $\leq C \log n$ for some constant $C>0$ independent of $n$, and $h_{n}(x)=T_{\alpha}^{n}(x)$ for $x \notin I$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $I=(a, 1)$. Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer such that $a+2 / m<1$. Let $h \in G$ be any homeomorphism such that $h(x)=x / 2$ for $x \in[0, a+2 / m)$, and let $r(x)=x+1 / m$.

We shall define $h_{n}$ by induction. Set $h_{0}=$ id. If $n$ is odd we put $h_{n}=T_{\alpha} h_{n-1}$. If $n$ is even, we take $s_{n}:=h_{n / 2} h$ and observe that $s_{n}((0, a))=(n \alpha / 2, a / 2+n \alpha / 2)$. Let $k \in$ $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ be such that $n \alpha / 2+k / m \in[0,1 / m)(\bmod 1)$. Then $r^{k} s_{n}((0, a)) \subset(0, a / 2+$ $1 / m)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{-1} r^{k} h_{n / 2} h(x)=2(x / 2+n \alpha / 2+k / m)=x+n \alpha+2 k / m=T_{\alpha}^{n}(x)+2 k / m \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in(0, a)$. Put $h_{n}:=r^{-2 k} h^{-1} r^{k} h_{n / 2} h$, and let $\mathcal{G}:=\left\{T_{\alpha}, h, r\right\}$. Note that

$$
d_{\mathcal{G}}\left(h_{n}, \mathrm{id}\right) \leq 3 m+3+d_{\mathcal{G}}\left(h_{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}, \mathrm{id}\right) .
$$

Thus we obtain $d_{\mathcal{G}}\left(h_{n}, \mathrm{id}\right) \leq C \log n$. Finally, observe that for any $g \in G_{\text {triv }}$ such that $g(x)=\mathrm{id}$ on $I$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\alpha}^{n} g T_{\alpha}^{-n}=h_{n} g h_{n}^{-1} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, from (3) and the definition of $h_{n}$ and $r$ it follows that $h_{n}(x)=T_{\alpha}^{n}(x)$ for $x \in(0, a)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n}((0, a))=T_{\alpha}^{n}((0, a))=(n \alpha, a+n \alpha) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
h_{n}^{-1}(x)=T_{\alpha}^{-n}(x) \in(0, a) \quad \text { for } x \in(n \alpha, a+n \alpha) .
$$

Since $g(x)=x$ for $x \in(a, 1)$ and $g$ is a homeomorphism, we have $g((0, a))=(0, a)$.
To justify equality (4), first fix $x \in(n \alpha, a+n \alpha)$. Then we have

$$
h_{n}^{-1}(x)=T_{\alpha}^{-n}(x) \in(0, a)
$$

and

$$
\left(g h_{n}^{-1}\right)(x)=\left(g T_{\alpha}^{-n}\right)(x) \in(0, a) .
$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$
h_{n} g h_{n}^{-1}(x)=T_{\alpha}^{n} g T_{\alpha}^{-n}(x) \quad \text { for } x \in(n \alpha, a+n \alpha),
$$

by the fact that $h_{n}(x)=T_{\alpha}^{n}(x)$ for $x \in(0, a)$. On the other hand, if $x \notin(n \alpha, a+n \alpha)$, from (5) and the fact that $T_{\alpha}^{n}$ and $h_{n}$ are homeomorphisms, we obtain

$$
T_{\alpha}^{-n}(x) \in(a, 1] \quad \text { and } \quad h_{n}^{-1}(x) \in(a, 1] .
$$

Since $g(x)=x$ for $x \in(a, 1]$, we have

$$
\left(T_{\alpha}^{n} g T_{\alpha}^{-n}\right)(x)=\left(T_{\alpha}^{n} T_{\alpha}^{-n}\right)(x)=x
$$

and

$$
\left(h_{n} g h_{n}^{-1}\right)(x)=\left(h_{n} h_{n}^{-1}\right)(x)=x .
$$

Thus equality (4) holds true.
Finally, we obtain

$$
d_{\mathcal{G}}\left(T_{\alpha}^{n} g T_{\alpha}^{-n}, \mathrm{id}\right) \leq C \log n .
$$

In the case where $g$ is a rotation the conclusion of the lemma is obvious.
Proof of Lemma 2. Let $\beta \in\left(0,10^{-3}\right)$, and let $f_{1} \in G_{\text {triv }}$ be arbitrary such that

$$
f_{1}(x)=0.4+2(x-0.4) \text { for } x \in[0.4,0.6] \quad \text { and } \quad f_{1}(x)=x \text { for } x \in[0.9,1.1] .
$$

Set

$$
H_{1}=T_{2 \beta}^{-1} f_{1} T_{2 \beta} f_{1}^{-1}
$$

It is obvious that

$$
H_{1}(x)=x+2 \beta \text { for } x \in[0.41,0.79] \quad \text { and } \quad H_{1}(x)=x \text { for } x \in[0.91,1.09] .
$$

Define

$$
H_{2}=T_{1 / 2} H_{1}^{-1} T_{1 / 2} H_{1},
$$

and observe that

$$
H_{2}(x)=x-2 \beta \quad \text { for } x \in[0.95,1] .
$$

Simple computation gives

$$
T_{1 / 2} H_{2} T_{1 / 2} H_{2}=\mathrm{id.}
$$

Set

$$
H_{3}=T_{2 \beta} H_{2} .
$$

Then we have

$$
H_{3}(x)=x \quad \text { for } x \in[0.95,1]
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2 \beta+1 / 2} H_{3} T_{-2 \beta-1 / 2} H_{3}=T_{4 \beta} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take an arbitrary $f_{2} \in G_{\text {triv }}$ satisfying

$$
f_{2}(x)=2 x \quad \text { for } x \in[0,0.49],
$$

and define

$$
H_{4}=f_{2}^{-1} H_{3} f_{2}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
H_{4}(x)= \begin{cases}H_{3}(2 x) / 2 & \text { for } x \in[0,1 / 2) \\ x & \text { for } x \in[1 / 2,1)\end{cases}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{5}=T_{1 / 2} H_{4} T_{1 / 2} H_{4} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the graph of $H_{5}$ is built from two scaled copies of $H_{3}$, that is,

$$
H_{5}(x)= \begin{cases}H_{3}(2 x) / 2 & \text { for } x \in[0,1 / 2) \\ H_{3}(2 x-1) / 2+1 / 2 & \text { for } x \in[1 / 2,1)\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, by (6) and (7), we finally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\beta+1 / 4} H_{5} T_{-\beta-1 / 4} H_{5}=T_{2 \beta} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, this is easy to see if we realize that (8) is simply equation (6) rewritten in the new coordinates $(x / 2, y / 2)$. Subsequently plugging $H_{5}, H_{4}, H_{3}, H_{2}$ and $H_{1}$ into formula (8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{\beta} T_{1 / 4} T_{1 / 2} f_{2}^{-1} T_{\beta}^{2} T_{1 / 2} f_{1} T_{\beta}^{-2} f_{1}^{-1} T_{\beta}^{2} T_{1 / 2} T_{\beta}^{-2} f_{1} T_{\beta}^{2} f_{1}^{-1} f_{2} T_{1 / 2} f_{2}^{-1} T_{\beta}^{2} T_{1 / 2} f_{1} T_{\beta}^{-2} \\
& \cdot f_{1}^{-1} T_{\beta}^{2} T_{1 / 2} T_{\beta}^{-2} f_{1} T_{\beta}^{2} f_{1}^{-1} f_{2} T_{\beta}^{-1} T_{-1 / 4} T_{1 / 2} f_{2}^{-1} T_{\beta}^{2} T_{1 / 2} f_{1} T_{\beta}^{-2} f_{1}^{-1} T_{\beta}^{2} T_{1 / 2} T_{\beta}^{-2} f_{1} T_{\beta}^{2} \\
& \cdot f_{1}^{-1} f_{2} T_{1 / 2} f_{2}^{-1} T_{\beta}^{2} T_{1 / 2} f_{1} T_{\beta}^{-2} f_{1}^{-1} T_{\beta}^{2} T_{1 / 2} T_{\beta}^{-2} f_{1} T_{\beta}^{2} f_{1}^{-1} f_{2}=T_{\beta}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\beta \in\left(0,10^{-3}\right)$ was arbitrary, we obtain that each $T_{\beta}$ sufficiently small satisfies equation (1) with the functions $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l} \in\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{1}^{-1}, f_{2}^{-1}, T_{1 / 2}, T_{-1 / 2}, T_{1 / 4}, T_{-1 / 4}\right\} \subset$ $G_{\text {triv }} \cup \mathrm{T}$ and $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{l} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Obviously, some of the $k_{i}$ are equal to 0 ( $k_{2}$, for instance) but $k_{1}+\cdots+k_{l}=8$. Since $k=2$, the proof of the lemma is complete.
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