# THE MARKOFF SPECTRUM OF AN ALGEBRAIC NUMBER FIELD 

A. C. WOODS<br>To Kurt Mahler on his seventy-fifth birthday

(Received 4 January 1978)
Communicated by J. H. Coates


#### Abstract

The Markoff spectrum of an algebraic number field is defined and it is proved that the spectrum of $Q(\sqrt{5})$ is not discrete.


Subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc. (MOS) 1970): 12 A 25

Let $K$ be a finite extension of the rational numbers $\mathbf{Q}$ find let $M$ be a full module of $K$. Further, denote by $n(M)$ the least value of $|N(m)|$ as $m$ runs over all nonzero elements of $M$ and by $D(M)$ the discriminant of $M$. Put

$$
\mu(M)=n(M) / \sqrt{ }(|D(M)|)
$$

The number $\mu(M)$ is an invariant of the similarity class of modules containing $M$. In analogy to the Markoff spectrum of real indefinite quadratic forms the set of such numbers $\mu(M)$ is called the Markoff spectrum of $K$. The first question concerning this set of numbers is whether it possesses any finite limit points. Here we prove the following:

Theorem. The Markoff spectrum of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ has at least one limit point.
Proof. Let $u_{0}=1, u_{1}=1$ and, in general, $u_{n+2}=u_{n+1}+u_{n}, n \geqslant 0$, be the Fibonacci numbers. Denote by $L_{n}$ the sublattice of $L$ of basis

$$
\left(u_{n}, u_{n}\right),\left(\left(2 u_{n-1}+u_{n}\right) w,\left(2 u_{n-1}+u_{n}\right) w^{\prime}\right) .
$$

It suffices to prove $m\left(L_{n}\right)=u_{n}^{2}$, for $n \geqslant 3$, as in this case, since
it follows that

$$
d\left(L_{n}\right)=u_{n}\left(2 u_{n-1}+u_{n}\right) \sqrt{5}
$$

$$
\mu\left(L_{n}\right)=1 /\left(2\left(u_{n-1} / u_{n}\right)+1\right) \sqrt{5}>1 / 3 \sqrt{ } 5
$$

Since the ratios $u_{n-1} / u_{n}$ are all distinct, the theorem follows.
It remains to show $m\left(L_{n}\right)=u_{n}^{2}$ for $n \geqslant 3$. In fact this is also true when $n=1,2$ but we do not need this.

The values taken by the quadratic form

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{n}(x, y) & =\left(u_{n} x+w\left(2 u_{n-1}+u_{n}\right) y\right)\left(u_{n} x+w^{\prime}\left(2 u_{n-1}+u_{n}\right) y\right) \\
& =u_{n}^{2} x^{2}+\left(u_{n}^{2}+2 u_{n-1} u_{n}\right) x y-\left(2 u_{n-1}+u_{n}\right)^{2} y^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

as $(x, y)$ ranges over all pairs of rational integers other than $(0,0)$, are precisely the values of $x_{1} x_{2}$ as ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ) ranges over all points of $L_{n}$ other than 0 . Hence it suffices to show that the minimal value of $\left|F_{n}(x, y)\right|$ is $u_{n}^{2}$ when $(x, y)$ ranges over all pairs of rational integers other than $(0,0)$. We use the classical theory of reduction of binary indefinite quadratic forms to complete the proof, see for example Dickson (1930).

The standard notation for continued fractions is employed, so that ( $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ ) denotes the simple continued fraction

$$
a_{1}+1 /\left(a_{2}+1 /\left(a_{3}+\ldots+1 / a_{n}\right) \ldots\right)
$$

of finite length, whereas ( $a_{1}, \overline{a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}}$ ) denotes the simple continued fraction of infinite length obtained when the block $a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ is repeated a countable number of times.

Lemma. For $n \geqslant 1$, if $\theta=(1, \overline{2,1, \ldots, 1,3,1, \ldots, 1,4})$ then $\theta$ is a root of $F_{n+2}(x, 1)$.
Proof. All rational numbers appearing in this proof will be in lowest terms.
For $n \geqslant 1$, we have $(\leftarrow, \ldots, 1)=u_{n} / u_{n-1}$, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\stackrel{\leftarrow}{1, \ldots, \overrightarrow{1}, 4})=\left(4 u_{n}+u_{n-1}\right) /\left(u_{n}+3 u_{n-1}\right) \\
& \left(\stackrel{\leftarrow-1, \overrightarrow{1}, 3)=\left(u_{n}+2 u_{n-1}\right) /\left(2 u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right)}{ } .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore also

$$
z=(\stackrel{\leftarrow}{1}, \ldots, 1,3, \leftarrow, \stackrel{n}{1}, \ldots, 1,4)=\left(4 u_{n}^{2}+10 u_{n} u_{n-1}+5 u_{n-1}^{2}\right) /\left(9 u_{n}^{2}-4 u_{n-1}^{2}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2, z) & =\left(17 u_{n}^{2}+20 u_{n} u_{n-1}+6 u_{n-1}^{2}\right) /\left(4 u_{n}^{2}+10 u_{n} u_{n-1}+5 u_{n-1}^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(3 u_{n+2}^{2}+2 u_{n+2} u_{n+1}+u_{n+1}^{2}\right) /\left(4 u_{n+1}^{2}+2 u_{n+1} u_{n+2}-u_{n+2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next,

$$
\begin{aligned}
w & =(\stackrel{\leftarrow-1, \ldots, 1,3, \stackrel{n \longrightarrow}{\stackrel{n}{1}, \ldots, 1})}{ } \\
& =\left(u_{n}^{2}+2 u_{n} u_{n-1}+u_{n-1}^{2}\right) /\left(2 u_{n}^{2}-u_{n-1}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so,

$$
(2, w)=u_{n+2}^{2} / u_{n+1}^{2}
$$

Hence, if $\psi=(2, z, \psi)$ then $\psi$ satisfies the equation

$$
\psi=\frac{\left(3 u_{n+2}^{2}+2 u_{n+2} u_{n+1}+u_{n+1}^{2}\right) \psi+u_{n+2}^{2}}{\left(4 u_{n+1}^{2}+2 u_{n+1} u_{n+2}-u_{n+2}^{2}\right) \psi+u_{n+1}^{2}}
$$

and therefore also $\theta=1+1 / \psi$ satisfies $F_{n+2}(\theta, 1)=0$, which proves the lemma.
It now follows that the ordered set of integers

$$
2,1, \ldots, 1,3,1, \ldots, 1,4
$$

is a period of the form $F_{n+2}(x, y)$. Hence, applying the classical theory of reduction of indefinite binary quadratic forms, see for example Dickson (1930), it is easy to see that the required minimum value of $F_{n+2}(x, y)$ is attained for $(x, y)=(p, q)$ where $p$ and $q$ are relatively prime integers for which

$$
p / q=(1,2,1, \ldots, 1,3,1, \ldots, 1) .
$$

As $p / q=(1,2, w)=\left(u_{n+2}^{2}+u_{n+1}^{2}\right) / u_{n+2}^{2}$, so the required minimum value is

$$
\left|F_{n+2}\left(u_{n+2}^{2}+u_{n+1}^{2}, u_{n+2}^{2}\right)\right|=u_{n+2}^{2}
$$

since

$$
u_{n+2}^{2}+2 u_{n+2} u_{n+1}-u_{n+1}^{2}=(-1)^{n}
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.
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