Plumboperloffite, PbMn²⁺₂Fe³⁺₂(PO₄)₃(OH)₃, a new member of the bjarebyite group from Wiperaminga Hill, South Australia, Australia

Peter Elliott^{1,2*} and Anthony R. Kampf³

¹Department of Earth Sciences, School of Physical Sciences, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia.

²South Australian Museum, North Terrace, Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia

³Mineral Sciences Department, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, 900


Exposition Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90007, U.S.A.

*E-mail: peter.elliott@adelaide.edu.au

ABSTRACT

Plumboperloffite, PbMn²⁺₂Fe³⁺₂(PO₄)₃(OH)₃, is a new mineral and member of the bjarebyite group from Wiperaminga Hill West Quarry, Boolcoomatta Reserve, Olary Province, South Australia, Australia. The mineral was found in a single cavity in triplite-barbosalite matrix associated with fluorapatite, phosphosiderite, natrodufrénite and fluorite. The mineral forms intergrowths of subparallel, thin tabular to bladed crystals. Individual crystals are up to 40 µm in length. Plumboperloffite is brownish orange in colour with a vitreous luster. The mineral has brittle tenacity, an excellent cleavage on {100} and uneven fracture. The calculated density is 4.416 g/cm³. Plumboperloffite is biaxial (+), $\alpha = 1.87(1)$, $\beta = 1.88(1)$, $\gamma = 1.89(1)$ as measured in white light. The measured 2V is 88(1)°. Dispersion is apparently strong, based on extinction colours and the orientation is $Y = \mathbf{b}$. The pleochroism in shades of yellow brown is X < Z < Y. Electron microprobe analysis gave the empirical formula (based on 15 O *apfu*)

 $(Pb_{0.92}Ca_{0.04}Ba_{0.01}K_{0.01})_{0.98}(Mn^{2+}{}_{1.84}Fe^{2+}{}_{0.13})_{1.97}(Fe^{3+}{}_{1.97}Al_{0.03})_{2.00}(P_{3.01}O_{11.94})(OH)_{3.06}.$ Plumboperloffite is monoclinic, space group $P2_1/m$ with a = 9.1765(18), b = 12.340(3), c = 12.340(3)



Mineralogical Society

This is a 'preproof' accepted article for Mineralogical Magazine. This version may be subject to change during the production process. DOI: 10.1180/mgm.2023.97

5.0092(10) Å, $\beta = 101.01(3)^{\circ}$, V = 556.8(2) Å³ and Z = 2. The crystal structure has been refined using X-ray single-crystal data to a final R1 = 0.0207 on the basis of 1417 reflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$. The mineral is isostructural with members of the bjarebyite group minerals.

Keywords: plumboperloffite, new mineral species, bjarebyite group, crystal structure, pegmatitic phosphate, Wiperaminga Hill West Quarry, Australia

INTRODUCTION

Bjarebyite-group minerals are secondary phosphates that occur primarily in granitic pegmatites but have also been found in the oxidized zones of ore deposits. Bjarebyite was first described from the Palermo No.1 pegmatite, New Hampshire, USA by Moore *et al.* (1973) and its atomic structure was determined by Moore and Araki (1974). The bjarebyite-group currently consists of seven International Mineralogical Association (IMA) approved minerals (Table 1). The minerals have the general formula $XM_{12}M_{22}(PO_{4})_3(OH)_3$ where X = Ba, Sr, Pb; $M1 = Fe^{2+}$, Mn^{2+} , Mg and M2 = Al, Fe^{3+} . Until the discovery of strontioperloffite (Elliott, 2019), all group members contained Ba as the dominant cation at the *X* site. The new mineral species, plumboperloffite, characterized and described in this paper, is the first member of the group with Pb dominant at the *X* site. The name is for the relationship to perloffite with Pb dominating the *X* site. The mineral name have been approved by the International Mineralogical Association, Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification (IMA 2020–007). The type specimen is deposited in the South Australian Museum, Adelaide, South Australia, (Registration number G34868).

OCCURRENCE

Plumboperloffite was found on a specimen collected in 2018 from the dumps of the Wiperaminga Hill West Quarry, Boolcoomatta Reserve, Olary Province, South Australia, Australia (140°27'34"E, 31°57'42"S). Pegmatites at Wiperaminga Hill were exploited for feldspar, beryl and muscovite between 1957 and 1980 (Olliver and Steveson, 1984). Four pegmatites were worked in three workings on Wiperaminga Hill West and Wiperaminga Hill East. Recorded production is 31.7 tonnes of beryl and 2030 tonnes of feldspar. The

pegmatites occur near the base of a prominent range of hills which comprise banded quartzmica gneiss and schist of the Early Middle Proterozoic age Willyama Complex. The metasediments have been granitized and in many places are intruded by granite and associated pegmatite and aplite bodies. The pegmatites are usually small lenticular bodies varying from 6 m to 30 m in length and from 1 m to 3 m in width (Hiern, 1966). The pegmatites are mineralogically zoned and comprise an outer border zone of fine- to medium-grained microcline, quartz, plagioclase and muscovite, an intermediate zone of coarse-grained muscovite, quartz, microcline, plagioclase, beryl and apatite (and triplite), and an inner quartz core or cores (Lottermoser and Lu, 1997). The Wiperaminga Hill pegmatites belong to the beryl-columbite phosphate-rare element type in the classification of Černý (1991). Phosphate minerals, dufrénite and floreneite, were first reported from Wiperaminga Hill by King (1954), and Hiern (1966) reported apatite, triplite, dickinsonite and barbosalite. During a visit to the locality in 2018 by one of the authors (PE), masses of orange to dark brown triplite-zwieselite were found on the dumps of the eastern quarry. Secondary phosphate minerals have formed in seams and cavities in the triplite-zwieselite by hydrothermal alteration and weathering, in an oxidizing, low-temperature, low-pH environment (Lottermoser and Lu, 1997). Plumboperloffite occurs in a single cavity in a matrix comprising triplite, barbosalite and minor quartz. Associated minerals are fluorapatite, phosphosiderite, natrodufrénite and fluorite.

PHYSICAL AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES

Plumboperloffite occurs as thin tabular to bladed crystals, with a brownish-orange colour. Individual crystals are up to 40 µm in length (Fig.1). The streak is pale orange and the mineral has a vitreous lustre. The hardness is estimated to be 4 based on the hardness of other bjarebyite-group minerals. The fracture is uneven, the tenacity is brittle and the mineral has an excellent cleavage on {100}. Density was not determined owing to the small amount of material available. The calculated density is 4.416 g/cm³ from the empirical formula. Plumboperloffite is optically biaxial (+) with indices of refraction $\alpha = 1.87(1)$, $\beta = 1.88(1)$, $\gamma = 1.89(1)$ measured in white light. The 2*V* measured directly by conoscopic observation is 88(1)°. The calculated 2V is 90.5°. Dispersion is apparently strong, based on extinction colours, but the sense could not be determined because of the indistinct conoscopic figure. The pleochroism in shades of yellow brown is X < Z < Y. The optical

orientation is $Y = \mathbf{b}$. The optical orientation could not be completely determined because crystals are subparallel intergrowths and the refractive indices are high. The compatibility index $1 - (K_P/K_C)$ is 0.03 (excellent) based upon the empirical formula, density calculated using the single-crystal cell and the measured indices of refraction (Mandarino, 1981).

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

Analyses of plumboperloffite (12 spots) were performed with a Cameca SXFive electron microprobe (wavelength-dispersive spectroscopy mode, 20 kV, 20 nA and 5 μ m beam diameter). Data were processed using the $\phi(\rho Z)$ correction procedure of Pouchou and Pichoir (1985). There was insufficient material for direct determination of H₂O content so it was based upon the crystal structure analysis. The results of the analyses are reported in Table 2. The empirical formula unit, based on 15 O atoms per formula unit (apfu) is (Pb_{0.92}Ca_{0.04}Ba_{0.01}K_{0.01})_{0.98}(Mn²⁺_{1.84}Fe²⁺_{0.13})_{1.97}(Fe³⁺_{1.97}Al_{0.03})_{2.00}(P_{3.01}O_{11.94})(OH)_{3.06}. The ideal formula is PbMn²⁺₂Fe³⁺₂(PO4)₃(OH)₃ which requires PbO 29.19, MnO 18.55, Fe²O₃ 20.87, P₂O₅ 27.86, H₂O 3.53, total 100.00 wt%.

INFRARED SPECTROSCOPY

The infrared spectrum of plumboperloffite (Fig. 2) was recorded using a Nicolet 5700 FTIR spectrometer equipped with a Nicolet Continuum IR microscope and a diamond-anvil cell. Data were acquired from a powdered sample during 50 scans in the wavenumber range 4000 to 700 cm⁻¹. The spectrum shows bands at 3471 cm⁻¹ and 3209 cm⁻¹ that correspond to O–H-stretching vibrations of OH groups forming weak hydrogen bonds (Libowitzky and Beran, 2004). The bands at 1157, 1067, 989 and 898 cm⁻¹ are assigned to v₃ vibrations of the PO₄ tetrahedra and the band at 827 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the v₁ vibration of the PO₄ tetrahedra. No absorption attributed to H–O–H bending vibrations was observed in the spectrum.

CRYSTALLOGRAPHY

Powder X-ray diffraction

Powder X-ray diffraction data for plumboperloffite were obtained using a Rigaku R-AXIS Rapid II curved-imaging-plate microdiffractometer, with monochromatised Mo*K*α radiation (50 kV, 40 mA). A Gandolfi-like motion on the φ and ω axes was used to randomize the sample. Observed *d* values and intensities were derived by profile fitting using JADE 2010 software (Materials Data, Inc.). Data (in Å for MoK α) are given in Table 3. Unit-cell parameters refined from the powder data using JADE 2010 with whole-pattern fitting are *a* = 9.17 (2), *b* = 12.41(2), *c* = 5.02(2) Å, β = 100.84(5)° and *V* = 561(3) Å³.

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction

The single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiment was carried out on the micro-focus macromolecular MX2 beamline at the Australian Synchrotron, part of ANSTO (Aragao *et al.*, 2018). A crystal was attached to a MiTeGen polymer loop and X–ray diffraction data was collected at 100 K using a Dectris EigerX 16M detector and monochromatic radiation with a wavelength of 0.710754 Å. The intensity data sets were processed using XDS (Kabsch, 2010) without scaling and with absorption correction and scaling performed using SADABS (Bruker, 2001). Crystal data and experimental details are reported in Table 4.

Structure refinement

The structure was solved in space group $P2_1/m$ using SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a) as implemented in the WinGX suite (Farrugia, 1999). SHELXL-2018 (Sheldrick, 2015b) was used for the refinement of the structure. Following the solution of the structure, the atom coordinates were transformed to correspond to those in the structure of perloffite (Elliott and Willis, 2011). Difference Fourier syntheses then located all H atom positions. The H sites were refined with soft restraints on the O–H distances of 0.85(3) Å for the O-H groups and 0.90(3) Å. The final cycle of the refinement converged to $R_1 = 0.0207$. Final atom coordinates and anisotropic-displacement parameters are listed in Table 5, selected interatomic distances and framework angles are given in Table 6, and bond-valence values, calculated using the parameters of Gagné and Hawthorne (2015) are given in Table 7.

Structure description

Plumboperloffite_is isostructural with minerals of the bjarebyite group. The structure contains one X site (occupied by Pb and minor Ca, Ba and K), one M1 site (occupied by Mn^{2+} and minor Fe^{2+}), one M2 site, (occupied by Fe^{3+} and minor Al) and two P sites. The M1 and M2 sites were refined with full occupancy of Mn and Fe respectively.

 $[M2O_3(OH)_3]$ octahedra share edges to form $[M_2O_6(OH)_4]$ dimers which corner-link to form $(M2_2\phi_9)$ chains along the **b**-direction (Fig. 3). Octahedra that share corners are also linked by P1O₄ tetrahedra. The chains are decorated by P2O₄ tetrahedra, which link between chains in the **c**-direction via the O5 and O6 anions. Chains are linked in the **a**direction *via* $[M1O_5(OH)]$ octahedra, which share an edge with $[M2^{3+}O_3(OH)_3]$ octahedra and corners with P1O₄ and P2O₄ tetrahedra (Fig. 4). The *X* site occupies channels parallel to [001], also provides linkage between chains in the **a**-direction. The *X* site exhibits a lopsided coordination (Fig. 5) with three very short bonds to O1 and O7, four medium length bonds to O2, O4 and OH8 and six very long bonds to O5, O6 and O7. This coordination is distinct from the more regular coordination of the *X* site in the structures of other bjarebyite-group minerals and is attributable to the accommodation of the stereoactive $6s^2$ electron lone pair on Pb²⁺. Coordination of the *M*1 and *M*2 sites is similar to the sites in the structures of other bjarebyite-group minerals. The *M*1O₆ octahedron is strongly distorted with bond angles in the range 86.35 to 109.53°. and The *M*1O₆ octahedron is relatively undistorted with bond angles in the range 86.77 to 96.22°.

The hydrogen bonding scheme for plumboperloffite given in Table 6, is the same as reported in previous studies on bjarebyite-group minerals (e.g. Cooper and Hawthorne, 1994; Elliott, 2019). The H9 atom associated with OH9 hydrogen bonds to O3 with a donor-acceptor distance of H9 \cdots O3 = 1.89(3) Å and an OH9–H2 \cdots O3 angle of 168(8)°, an arrangement typical of strong hydrogen bonding (Libowitzky and Beran, 2004). The H8 atom provides a weak hydrogen bond to O6 with a donor-acceptor distance of H8 \cdots O6 = 2.73(2) Å and an OH8–H8 \cdots O6 angle of 124(6)°.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Ben Wade of Adelaide Microscopy, The University of Adelaide for assistance with the microprobe analysis. The infrared spectrum was acquired with the assistance of the Forensic Science Centre, Adelaide. This research was undertaken in part using the MX2 beamline at the Australian Synchrotron, part of ANSTO, and made use of the Australian Cancer Research Foundation (ACRF) detector.

REFERENCES

- Aragao, D., Aishima, J., Cherukuvada, H., Clarken, R., Clift, M., Cowieson, N.P., Ericsson, D.J., Gee, C.L., Macedo, S., Mudie, N., Panjikar, S., Price, J.R., Riboldi-Tunnicliffe, A., Rostan, R., Williamson, R. and Caradoc-Davies, T.T. (2018) MX2: a high-flux undulator microfocus beamline serving both the chemical and macromolecular crystallography communities at the Australian Synchrotron. *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*, 25, 885–891.
- Bowman, M.G., Downs, R.T, and Yang, H. (2013) Penikisite, BaMg₂Al₂(PO₄)₃(OH)₃, isostructural with bjarebyite, *Acta Crystallographica*, **E69**, i4-i5.
- Bruker (2001) SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Černý, P. (1991) Rare element granitic pegmatites, part I. Anatomy and internal evolution of pegmatite deposits. *Geoscience Canada*, **18**, 49–67.
- Cooper, M. and Hawthorne, F.C. (1994) Refinement of the crystal structure of kulanite. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, **32**, 15–19.
- Elliott, P. and Willis, A.C. (2011) The crystal structure of perloffite. *Mineralogical Magazine*, **75**, 317–325.
- Elliott, P. (2019) Strontioperloffite, SrMn²⁺₂Fe³⁺₂(PO₄)₃(OH)₃, a new bjarebyite-group mineral from the Spring Creek mine, South Australia, Australia. *European Journal of Mineralogy*, **31**, 549–555.
- Farrugia, L.J. (2012) WinGX and ORTEP for Windows: an update. *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, 45, 849–854.
- Gagné, O.C. and Hawthorne, F.C. (2015) Comprehensive derivation of bond-valence parameters for ion pairs involving oxygen. *Acta Crystallographica*, **B71**, 562–578.
- Hiern, M.N. (1966) Feldspar and beryl deposits Wiperaminga Hill. South Australia. Department of Mines and Energy, Mining Review, 124, 44–51.
- Kabsch, W. (2010) XDS. Acta Crystallographica, D66, 125–132.
- Kampf, A.R. (1977) A new mineral: perloffite, the Fe³⁺ analogue of bjarebyite. *Mineralogical Record*, **8**, 112–114.
- King, D. (1954) Examination of Rare-Earth Pegmatite near Wiperaminga Hill, Old
 Boolcoomata Station. Department of Mines and Energy, *Mining Review* 97, 93–94.
- Kolitsch, U., Pring, A. and Tiekink, E.R.T. (2000) Johntomaite, a new member of the bjarebyite group of barium phosphates: description and structure refinement. *Mineralogy and Petrology*, **70**, 1–14.

- Libowitzky, E. and Beran, A. (2004) IR spectroscopic characterisation of hydrous species in minerals. Pp. 227–279 in: Spectroscopic Methods in Mineralogy. (A. Beran and E. Libowitzky, editors). EMU Notes in Mineralogy, 6. Eötvös University Press, Budapest,
- Lottermoser, B.G. and Lu, J. (1997) Petrogenesis of rare-element pegmatites in the Olary Block, South Australia, part 1. Mineralogy and chemical evolution. *Mineralogy and Petrology*, **59**, 1–19.
- Mandarino, J.A. (1981) The Gladstone-Dale relationship: Part IV: The compatibility concept and its application. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, **19**, 441-450.
- Moore, P.B., Lund, D.H., and Keester, K.L. (1973) Bjarebyite, (Ba,Sr)(Mn,Fe,Mg)₂Al₂(OH)₃(PO₄)₃, a new species. *Mineralogical Record*, **4**, 282–285.
- Moore, P.B, and Araki, T. (1974) Bjarebyite, Ba(Mn,Fe)²⁺₂Al₂(OH)₃[PO₄]₃: Its atomic arrangement. *American Mineralogist*, **59**, 567–572.
- Olliver, J.G. and Steveson, B.G. (1982) Pegmatites in the Olary Province. A review of feldspar and beryl mining north of Olary and the results of reconnaissance sampling of feldspar. *South Australian Department of Mines and Energy*, report **81**/74.
- Pouchou, J.-L., and Pichoir, F. (1991) Quantitative analysis of homogeneous or stratified microvolumes applying the model "PAP". In K.F.J. Heinrich and D.E. Newbury, Eds., *Electron Probe Quantitation*, p. 31–75. Plenum Press, New York.
- Sheldrick, G.M. (2015a) SHELXT Integrated space-group and crystal-structure determination. *Acta Crystallographica*, A71, 3–8.
- Sheldrick, G.M. (2015b) Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta Crystallographica, C71, 3–8.

FIGURE CAPTIONS



Figure 1. Orange crystals of plumboperloffite associated with natrodufrénite (black) and fluorite (white) The scale bare is 200 µm.




Figure 2. The FT-IR spectrum of powdered plumboperloffite.

Prequipilshe




Figure 3. The crystal structure of plumboperloffite projected on (100). Hydrogen atoms are grey spheres. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by dotted lines.




Figure 4. The crystal structure of plumboperloffite projected onto (001). The *X* positions are shown as purple spheres. The unit cell is outlined.




Figure 5. The Pb coordination in plumboperloffite showing Pb-O bond lengths in angstroms, Å.

Table 1. Comparison of	related minerals.						
Mineral name	plumboperloffite	strontioperloffite	bjarebyite	johntomaite	kulanite	perloffite	penikisite
Formula	PbMn ²⁺ ₂ Fe ³⁺ ₂ (PO ₄) 3(OH) ₃	SrMn ²⁺ ₂ Fe ³⁺ ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ (OH) ₃	BaMn ²⁺ ₂ Al ₂ (PO 4) ₃ (OH) ₃	BaFe ²⁺ ₂ Fe ³⁺ ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ (OH) ₃	BaFe ²⁺ ₂ Al ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ (OH) ₃	BaMn ²⁺ ₂ Fe ₂ (PO ₄) 3(OH) ₃	BaMg ₂ Al ₂ (PO ₄) 3 (OH) ₃
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	$P2_{1}/m$	$P2_{1}/m$	$P2_{1}/m$	$P2_{1}/m$	$P2_{1}/m$	$P2_{1}/m$	$P2_{1}/m$
<i>a</i> (Å)	9.1765(18)	9.2195(18)	8.930(14)	9.199(9)	9.014(1)	9.223(5)	8.9577(4)
<i>b</i> (Å)	12.340(3)	12.410(3)	12.073(24)	12.359(8)	12.074(1)	12.422(8)	12.0150(5)
<i>c</i> (Å)	5.0092(10)	4.9990(10)	4.917(9)	5.004(2)	4.926(1)	4.995(2)	4.9079(2)
β (°)	101.01(3)	100.09(3)	100.15(13)	100.19(6)	100.48(1)	100.39(4)	100.505(2)
$V(Å^3)$	556.8(2)	563.12(19)	521.8	559.9(5)	527.1(1)	562.9(5)	519.37
Ζ	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Reference	This work	Elliott, (2019)	Moore and Araki (1974)	Kolitsch <i>et al.</i> (2000)	Cooper and Hawthorne (1994)	Kampf (1977)	Bowman <i>et al</i> . (2013)

Tuble 2. Analytical data for plantoopenonite.							
Constituent	Wt.%	Range	Stand. Dev.	Probe Standard			
PbO	26.60	25.82-27.44	0.48	galena			
BaO	0.25	0.10-0.61	0.15	barite			
CaO	0.28	0.08–0.86	0.25	plagioclase			
K ₂ O	0.23	0.11-0.39	0.09	sanidine			
MnO	17.06	16.51–17.62	0.29	rhodonite			
FeO*	1.23	0.78–2.09	0.41	almandine-pyrope			
Fe ₂ O ₃ *	20.53	20.13-20.78	0.18	almandine-pyrope			
Al ₂ O ₃	0.18	0.01–0.50	0.14	almandine-pyrope			
P_2O_5	27.98	27.79–28.58	0.23	apatite			
H ₂ O (calc)**	3.60						
Total	97.94						

Table 2. Analytical data for plumboperloffite.

*FeO and Fe₂O₃ calculated to give $Fe^{3+}+Al = 2.00$ **H₂O calculated from the refined formula.

Table 3. Powder X-ray data (d in Å) for plumboperloffite. Only calculated lines with $I \ge 3$ are listed. I_{calc} values have been scaled so that the three lines contributing to the highest observed peak have a total intensity of 100.

the t	nree n	nes cor	itridi	uing i	οι	ne n	ignest o	observe	a pe	ak nav	e	<u>a tot</u>	al inter	isity of	100.		
$I_{\rm obs}$	$d_{\rm obs}$	$d_{\rm calc}$	I_{calc}	hkl		$I_{\rm obs}$	$d_{ m obs}$	d_{calc}	I_{calc}	hkl		$I_{\rm obs}$	$d_{\rm obs}$	$d_{ m calc}$	I_{calc}	hkl	
25	9.029	9.0076	39	100		0	2.1673	2.1643	3	250		7	1.6255	1.6262	12	441	
12	6.196	6.1700	10	020		9	2.10/3	2.1517	8	340		/	1.0233	1.6117	6	-1 2 3	
40	5.105	5.0903	38	120				2.1338	4	-132				1.6068	4	-502	
8	4.848	4.9170	19	001				2.1258	4	122		14	1.5937	1.5960	9	501	
0	4.040	4.7108	3	-101		16	2.1233	2.1154	5	420		14	1.3937	1.5872	6	-162	
		4.5677	32	011				2.1099	8	-3 0 2				1.5837	9	-442	
		4.4010	11	-111				2.1013	5	151				1.5775	3	062	
5	4.027	4.0061	5	101				2.0836	3	-421				1.5703	3	-303	
9	3.770	3.7442	11	-121		25	2.0669	2.0567	20	060				1.5590	4	-541	
		3.6907	7	-201		23	2.0009	2.0516	5	-251				1.5577	7	-313	
20	3.657	3.6377	25	220				2.0051	3	160		15	1.5595	1.5553	7	252	
6	3.553	3.5360	21	-211				2.0030	3	202				1.5531	3	361	
18	3.367	3.3600	12	121				1.9964	8	-322				1.5425	4	080	
		3.1673	5	-221		29	1.9919	1.9837	21	132				1.5269	3	-233	
100	3.158	3.1549	50	031				1.9772	8	212				1.5255	8	271	
		3.0984	44	-131		57	1.9384	1.9493	16	-431		22	1.5273	1.5226	6	033	
18	3.064	3.0372	16	230				1.8974	3	061		23	1.5275	1.5202	10	370	
42	2.950	2.9556	36	211		14	1.8806	1.8790	4	341				1.5191	6	-601	
23	2.898	2.9186	39	140		14	1.8800	1.8721	11	-242				1.5132	5	123	
23	2.090	2.8699	28	131				1.8551	6	-351				1.5106	3	412	
		2.7470	3	-231		6	1.8342	1.8278	3	421		0	1.4978	1.4967	3	-532	
		2.7422	52	-311				1.8255	4	142		,	1.4978	1.4937	11	-371	
58	2.738	2.7300	28	221		9	1.8070	1.8015	12	500				1.4728	3	-362	
		2.6998	32	320		1	1.7736	1.7826	3	510		6	1.4639	1.4684	3	-1 4 3	
13	2.584	2.5808	16	-141		4	1.7750	1.7680	10	-422		0	1.4039	1.4547	4	-413	
		2.5452	6	240				1.7458	4	302				1.4536	3	203	
12	2.498	2.4959	16	-102		7	1.7424	1.7416	8	-3 4 2				1.4511	5	-243	
11	2.452	2.4585	12	002				1.7286	5	312		5	1.4451	1.4395	4	181	
11	2.432	2.4464	7	-112		4	1.7079	1.7091	6	351				1.4326	3	072	
		2.4111	5	012		7	1.6746	1.6837	5	-432		6	1.4251	1.4275	3	432	
4	2.364	2.3692	3	301				1.6685	6	152		0	1.4231	1.4251	7	-631	
		2.2153	8	410				1.6594	4	071				1.4113	3	-272	
30	2.205	2.2057	5			26	1.6519	1.6542	5	-531				1.4063	3	-602	
50	2.205	2.2005	5	-222		20	1.0319	1.6479	6	-451				1.3759	4	281	




Table 4. Crystal data, data collection and refinement details.

Crystal data

Formula	PbMn ²⁺ ₂ Fe ³⁺ ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ (OH) ₃					
Space group	$P2_{1}/m$					
<i>a,b,c</i> (Å)	9.1765(18), 12.340(3), 5.0092(10)					
β(°)	101.01(3)					
$V(Å^3), Z$	556.8(2), 2					
<i>F</i> (000)	702.0					
$\mu (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	20.344					
Absorption correction	multi-scan, T_{\min} , T_{\max} = 0.34, 0.43					
Crystal dimensions (µm)	0.25 x 0.20 x 0.05					
Dat	a collection					
Diffractometer	Dectris EigerX 16M					
Temperature (K)	100					
Radiation	Synchrotron, $\lambda = 0.710754$ Å					
Crystal detector distance (mm)	108.033					
θ range (°)	2.80 - 28.70					
<i>h</i> , <i>k</i> , <i>l</i> ranges	$-12 \rightarrow 12, -16 \rightarrow 16, -6 \rightarrow 6$					
Total reflections measured	9136					
Unique reflections	1417 ($R_{\rm int} = 0.0355$)					
Re	efinement					
Refinement on	F^2					
<i>R</i> 1 for $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$.	2.07%					
w $R2^{\dagger}$ for all F_0^2	7.23%					
Reflections used $Fo>4\sigma(Fo)$	1417					
Number of parameters refined	119					
GooF	0.927					
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max}$	0.000					
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} \left(e/\text{\AA} \right)$	1.119, -2.248					
	0X					

[†] $wR2 = \Sigma w(|F_0|^2 - |F_c|^2)^2 / \Sigma w|F_0|^2)^{1/2}; w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0646 \text{ P})^2 + 2.08 \text{ P}];$ P = ([max of (0 or F_0^2)] + 2 F_c^2)/ 3

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2023.97 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Table 5. Fractional atomic coordinates and displacement parameters for plumboperloffite	cement parameters for plumboper	splacen	and dist	coordinates	l atomic	Fractional	Table 5
---	---------------------------------	---------	----------	-------------	----------	------------	---------

ATOM	x	у	Z	Ueq	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
X	0.53089(2)	0.75	0.81612(4)	0.01373(13)	0.01212(16)	0.02002(17)	0.00940(17)	0	0.00298(10)	0
M1	0.29861(6)	-0.10841(4)	0.21722(12)	0.00569(16)	0.0056(3)	0.0053(3)	0.0063(3)	0.00021(18)	0.0016(2)	0.00053(18)
M2	0.09489(6)	0.39784(4)	0.13454(11)	0.00540(15)	0.0048(3)	0.0068(3)	0.0049(3)	0.00017(16)	0.00149(19)	-0.00041(16)
P1	0.16275(14)	0.75	0.6835(3)	0.0038(2)	0.0041(5)	0.0049(5)	0.0027(6)	0	0.0010(4)	0
P2	0.33514(10)	0.44237(7)	0.71734(19)	0.0052(2)	0.0050(4)	0.0066(4)	0.0042(4)	0.0007(3)	0.0015(3)	0.0010(3)
O1	0.2878(4)	0.75	0.9401(8)	0.0064(7)	0.0072(16)	0.0076(16)	0.0040(17)	0	0.0004(13)	0
O2	0.2374(4)	0.75	0.4344(8)	0.0089(12)	0.0119(19)	0.0091(18)	0.008(2)	0	0.0084(14)	0
O3	0.0698(3)	0.6478(2)	0.6877(6)	0.0069(5)	0.0077(11)	0.0061(10)	0.0083(12)	-0.0016(9)	0.0053(10)	-0.0012(9)
O4	0.3775(3)	0.5521(2)	0.6183(6)	0.0095(5)	0.0103(12)	0.0096(12)	0.0089(13)	0.0041(10)	0.0025(10)	0.0004(10)
O5	0.2655(3)	0.4584(2)	0.9764(6)	0.0079(5)	0.0083(12)	0.0120(12)	0.0040(12)	-0.0014(9)	0.0022(10)	-0.0003(9)
O6	0.2256(3)	0.3832(2)	0.4964(6)	0.0103(5)	0.0101(12)	0.0134(12)	0.0063(13)	-0.0012(10)	-0.0010(10)	-0.0006(10)
O7	0.4718(3)	0.3689(2)	0.8075(6)	0.0086(5)	0.0051(12)	0.0102(12)	0.0099(13)	0.0031(10)	0.0000(10)	0.0019(9)
OH8	0.1341(5)	0.25	0.0026(9)	0.0096(8)	0.0123(18)	0.0087(17)	0.0075(18)	0	0.0015(15)	0
OH9	0.0617(3)	0.5601(2)	0.1952(6)	0.0066(5)	0.0070(11)	0.0086(12)	0.0039(12)	-0.0021(9)	0.0007(9)	0.0007(9)
H8	0.204(9)	0.25	-0.08(2)	0.032						
H9	0.053(8)	0.590(6)	0.334(10)	0.032					\sim	

Prepublished

Table	6. Selecte	d interatomi	c distances (Å),	angles (°) and	hydrogen bor	nds for plumboperloffite.
X		07	2.392(3) (x2)	M2	03	1.977(3)
		O1	2.427(4)		O6	1.982(3)
		O4	2.897(3) (x2)		OH8	1.996(2)
		O2	2.992(3)		05	2.028(3)
		O8	3.034(4)		OH9	2.039(3)
		O5	3.232(3) (x2)		OH9	2.057(3)
		O6	3.388(3) (x2)		< <i>M</i> –O>	2.013
		O7	3.447(3) (x2)			
		<xo></xo>	3.012			
				P1	O3	1.525(3) (x2)
M1		O4	2.119(3)		O2	1.534(4)
		O7	2.153(3)		O1	1.551(4)
		O2	2.187(2)		<p-o></p-o>	1.534
		O5	2.198(3)			
		O1	2.222(2)	P2	O4	1.518(3)
		OH9	2.236(3)		O6	1.531(3)
		< <i>M</i> –O>	2.186		O7	1.544(3)
					05	1.565(3)
					<p–o></p–o>	1.540
Hydroger	n bonds					
$D-H\cdots A$		D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$\angle D$ –H··· A	
OH8-H8		0.81(3)	2.73(6)	3.263(5)	124(6)	
OH9-H9		0.81(3)	1.89(3)	2.682(4)	168(8)	
- 11	1			1 07		NO.
Table			lysis for plumbo	•		
	X	<i>M</i> 1	M2	P1 P2	2 H1	H2 Sum
01	0.41	0.31		1.20		1.93
						~
02	0.11	0.34		1.26		1.71
03			0.56	1.28 x2↓		0.21 2.05

*Bond-valence parameters used are from Gagne and Hawthorne (2015). Bond-valences for the X, M1 and M2 sites are based on the refined occupancy.

0.03

0.97

1.00

1.17

1.26

1.22

4.95

2.00

2.05

1.89

2.03

2.14

0.79 2.01

1.00

https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2023.97 Published online by Cambridge University Press

 $0.14 \text{ x}2 \downarrow 0.40$

 $0.44 \ x2 {\downarrow} \quad 0.41$

0.04 x2↓

0.33

0.30

2.05

0.48

0.55

0.53 x2

0.47

0.45

3.04

5.02

0.07

0.05

0.10

2.10

O4

05

06

O7

OH8

OH9

Sum