High Power Laser Science and Engineering, (2016), Vol. 4, e40, 7 pages. © The Author(s) 2016. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. doi:10.1017/hpl.2016.40

Channel-resolved subcycle interferences of electron wave packets emitted from H₂ in two-color laser fields

Xinhua Xie^{1,2}, Stefan Roither¹, Daniil Kartashov¹, Li Zhang¹, Andrius Baltuška¹, and Markus Kitzler¹

¹Photonics Institute, Technische Universität Wien, A-1040 Vienna, Austria

²Institute of Theoretical Chemistry, University of Vienna, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

(Received 21 June 2016; revised 27 August 2016; accepted 22 September 2016)

Abstract

We report on the observation of subcycle interferences of electron wave packets released during strong field ionization of H_2 with cycle-shaped two-color laser fields. With a reaction microscope we measure three-dimensional momentum distributions of photoelectrons correlated with either H_2^+ or protons within different energy ranges generated by dissociation of H_2^+ . We refer to these different types of photoelectrons as channels. Our results show that the subcycle interference structures of electron wave packets are very sensitive to the cycle shape of the two-color laser field. We explain this behavior by the dependence of the ionization time within an optical cycle on the shape of the laser field cycle. The subcycle interference structures can be further used to obtain insight into the subcycle dynamics of molecules during strong field interaction.

Keywords: molecular dynamics; strong field ionization; wave packet interference

1. Introduction

Both electronic and nuclear vibrational dynamics play a crucial role in molecular reactions, such as molecular ionization, dissociation and isomerization^[1]. In general, nuclear vibrational dynamics happens on time scales from tens of femtoseconds up to hundreds of femtoseconds, while the valence electronic dynamics take place on the sub-femtosecond/attosecond time scale^[2]. Therefore. techniques with attosecond temporal resolution are required to gain insight into the dynamics of valence electrons in molecules. Experimental techniques such as attosecond extreme-ultraviolet or x-ray transient absorption spectroscopy^[3-6], high harmonic spectroscopy^[7-9] and photoelectron spectroscopy based on electron wave packet (EWP) interferences^[10-15], have been demonstrated in studies of attosecond electronic dynamics in atoms and molecules^[16]. To retrieve the motion of valence electrons in a molecule, not only attosecond temporal resolution is required but also information on the involved molecular orbitals and the geometry of the molecule is critical. Since the EWPs released during tunneling ionization of molecules carry phase information on the molecular orbital where they are emitted from^[17], this information can be retrieved

from the interference patterns of EWPs in photoelectron spectra. Over the last few years, EWP interferometry has been applied in studies of ionization dynamics^[12], imaging molecular orbitals^[18] and the influence of the ionic Coulomb potential^[11, 19]. With the phase of the interfering EWPs can be reconstructed, dynamical information taking place during the strong field interaction can be read out from the interference pattern with attosecond temporal resolution^[12].

Four types of strong-field-induced EWP interferences in molecules can be distinguished: the first type is the inter-cycle interference (ICI) produced by EWPs released during different optical cycles which lead to above-threshold ionization (ATI)-like structures in the momentum or the energy distribution of photoelectrons^[13, 20]. The second type is the so-called subcycle interference (SCI) formed by EWPs detached during different half cycles within one optical cycle^[12, 21–23]. The third type of interference is formed by EWPs removed from the system during the same quarter of one optical cycle due to scattering on the ionic potential^[11, 24, 25]. The fourth type is multi-center interference due to EWPs scattering on different nuclei of a molecule^[24, 26]. Accurately defined momentum-totime mapping and a precise identification of the type of observed interference fringes is necessary for retrieving the information on the electronic dynamics and structures from the measured interference pattern. Previous studies revealed

Correspondence to: X. Xie, Photonics Institute, Technische Universität Wien, Gusshausstrasse 27, A-1040 Vienna, Austria. Email: xinhua.xie@tuwien.ac.at

that it is important to consider the influence of the ionic Coulomb potential on the interference patterns^[11, 12, 19, 27]. However, this is not a trivial task. Besides, the existence of the mixture of different kinds of interferences and complicated low-energy structures (LES)^[28–31], affects the positions of the fringe positions will be modified which influences the precision of the phase reconstruction^[20].

To obtain a well-defined interference pattern of EWPs, a cycle-shaped laser field is beneficial, because the EWP interference patterns are induced by EWPs released at different times. Their release times are thus extremely sensitive to the shape of the laser field and can be controlled by the shape of the cycle of the laser field. There are several ways to obtain such laser fields. One of the most straightforward methods is to stabilize the carrier-envelope phase of a few-cycle laser pulse. Another method is to lock the phase delay between multiple laser fields with different colors. Such cycle-shaped laser fields have been applied to controlling high harmonic generation^[32, 33], molecular orientation^[34] and dissociation^[35], and single and double ionization of atoms^[12, 27, 36].

In this work, we employed a two-color laser field with precise control over the relative phase between a fundamental laser field and its second harmonic. In a previous study^[12], we have demonstrated that subcycle ionization dynamics can be retrieved from the SCI patterns of atomic targets created by such two-color laser fields. It has been shown that with a cycle-shaped two-color laser field the final momentum of electrons can be shifted to bigger momentum values to avoid overlapping with the complex LES and to minimize the influence from the Coulomb potential^[12].

In the case of molecules, because of the small differences in the ionization potentials and the different angular dependence of ionization rates for different orbitals, tunnel ionization may happen from lower lying molecular orbitals rather than only from the HOMO^[37]. Moreover, the strong field interaction may lead to dissociation of the molecule along different pathways^[38], typically leading to different combinations of photo-ions, which are often addressed as channels. To get access to the electron dynamics of molecular ionization, discrimination between these different channels is necessary. Here we extend subcycle EWP interferometry from atoms to molecules using coincidence measurements which allow disentangling the different contributions of the various possible channels to interference structures in measured photoelectron momentum distributions. For our proofof-principle measurements we chose the simplest molecule, hydrogen (H_2) , as the target.

A schematic view of subcycle EWP interference is shown in Figure 1. The EWPs released at different times within one laser optical cycle may end at the same final momentum and therefore interfere with each other in the momentum space. Measurement of the photoelectron momentum distribution with a reaction microscope allows recording these interferences^[39, 40].



Figure 1. A schematic view of subcycle EWP interferences. When a molecule is exposed to a two-color laser field, shown as the magenta line, EWPs will be released around the field's peaks. In a unit cell of the pulse, the EWPs released during each half cycle (t1 and t2) will lead to the same final momentum p, which leads to interference fringes in the momentum space.

2. Experiments

Coincidence measurements of electrons and ions were performed for H₂ with a reaction microscope. A laser beam from a home-built Ti:sapphire laser amplifier system with a center wavelength of 795 nm, a repetition rate of 5 kHz and a pulse duration (full width at half maximum of the intensity) of about 25 fs is superimposed with the second harmonic beam generated with a 500 µm type-I BBO crystal. The pulse duration of the second harmonic pulse was 46 fs according to self diffraction frequency resolved optical gating (FROG) measurements. The second harmonic beam was polarized parallel to the fundamental beam and the peak laser intensities were about $6 \times 10^{13} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ for each beam. The group velocity delay between the two laser pulses was compensated by calcite plates and a pair of fused silica wedges which was also used to adjust the phase delay between the two colors in steps of 0.06π . The calibration of the relative phase between the two colors and the laser peak intensities was done using reference measurements on helium, as described in Ref. [12]. A weak homogeneous dc field of 2.5 V cm^{-1} was applied along the time-of-flight (TOF) spectrometer to accelerate electrons and ions toward two position-sensitive multi-channel plate detectors. In addition, a homogeneous magnetic field of 6.4 gauss ensures 4π detection of electrons. The beam of hydrogen molecules with a diameter of about 170 µm is prepared by supersonic expansion of H₂ gas through a nozzle with a diameter of 30 µm and collimation with a two-stage skimmer before the ultra-high vacuum interaction chamber (background pressure about 1.3×10^{-10} mbar). TOFs and positions of electrons and ions are recorded and the momentum vectors of all particles are retrieved in the off-line data analysis. Momentum conservation conditions between electrons and



Figure 2. TOF spectrum of H_2 interacting with two-color laser fields. In the H^+ distribution, there are three regions: ZPD, ATD and Coulomb explosion (CE).

ions can be applied to minimize the background signals. To ensure a high efficiency of coincidence detection, the ionization rate was kept at about 0.4 ionization events per laser shot. More details on the experimental setup can be found in our previous publications^[12, 38].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. H_2 in two-color laser fields

When a hydrogen molecule interacts with a strong laser field, one electron can be removed through tunnel ionization. After single ionization, the molecule will reach a cationic state. H_2^+ may then dissociate into a proton and a hydrogen atom, or H_2^+ can be further ionized and eventually Coulomb-explored into two protons. A typical TOF spectrum of H2 in a strong two-color laser field is presented in Figure 2. The single ionization (H_2^+) , dissociation (H^++H) and Coulomb explosion (H^++H^+) channels can be well distinguished. As marked in Figure 2, there are two pathways for the dissociation of H_2^+ : above-threshold dissociation (ATD) leading to protons with high energy, and zero-photon dissociation (ZPD) leading to low-energy protons^[41, 42]. As the protons generated along these different pathways can be easily distinguished by their momenta, we can distinguish three different channels resulting from singly charged hydrogen, namely the nonfragmenting channel that results in H_2^+ and the ATD and ZPD channels.

First we focus on the channel leading to H_2^+ . A measured electron momentum distribution correlated with H_2^+ is shown in Figure 3, integrated over all relative two-color phases. In the distribution there appear two clear types of structures: finger-like patterns due to scattering of EWPs on the parent nucleus and ATI-like ring structures. Here in this paper, we focus on SCI of EWPs released during strong field interaction. However, in the phase-integrated spectrum shown



Figure 3. A slice through a measured electron momentum distribution in the *x*–*z* plane (laser field polarization along *z*-direction) with a condition $|p_y| < 0.1$ a.u. and integration over all relative phases of the two-color laser fields. Momentum conservation conditions between one electron and H_2^+ are applied to the measured data to ensure coincidence selection. To enhance the visibility of structures induced by EWP interferences, a gaussian function is subtracted from the momentum distribution for each relative phase^[19].

in Figure 3 there are no clear structures of subcycle EWP interferences visible. The reason is that the subcycle EWP interference is very sensitive to the cycle shape of the laser field. As the electron momentum distribution in Figure 3 is integrated over all relative phases between the two-color fields, the structures created by SCI are smeared out.

3.2. Photoelectron momentum distribution over relative phase

Measured distributions of the H_2^+ ionic momentum along the laser polarization direction (p_z) over the relative phase are shown in Figure 4(a). Clear 2π -periodic modulations can be seen. The mean momentum value oscillates over the relative phase [depicted in Figure 4(b) as red circles] and reaches maximum offset at $\Delta \phi = 0.35 + n\pi$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The mean value of the momentum distribution is determined by the shape of the laser field vector potential. For relative phase 0, the vector potential of the two-color field is symmetric which, according to predictions of the simple-man's model within the strong field approximation (SFA)^[43], should lead to zero mean value. As shown previously, the observed offset from 0 is due to the influence of the ionic Coulomb potential^[19, 44, 45]. The phase shift due the Coulomb potential is about -0.2π as compared with the simple-man's results, in which the Coulomb influence is neglected^[44, 45]. The momentum mean value of a measurement on helium with higher laser peak intensity $(1 \times 10^{13} \text{ W cm}^{-2} \text{ for each})$ $color)^{[12]}$ is plotted for comparison in Figure 4(b) as blue squares. The amplitude of the mean value oscillation for helium is almost twice as that for hydrogen because of the higher peak laser intensity. On the other hand, we notice that the phase shift of the helium measurements is about



Figure 4. (a) Measured ion momentum distributions of H_2^+ along the laser polarization direction over the relative phase between the two colors. To enhance the visibility of structures induced by EWP interferences, a gaussian function is subtracted for each relative phase^[19]. (b) The mean value of the momentum distributions of H_2^+ along the laser polarization direction as a function of the relative phase between the two colors. For comparison the same quantity for He⁺ is added to the figure. The gray line represents the simulated results using the SFA.

 -0.3π , i.e., more than that of the hydrogen measurement. This contradicts our previous finding that the Coulomb effect is stronger for lower laser peak intensity^[19]. The reason may be the participation of excited states or non-adiabatic effects in the ionization process of hydrogen^[46, 47].

3.3. Subcycle interferences of electron wave packets correlated with H_2^+

To observe SCI structures we investigate electron momentum distributions for certain relative phases between the two colors, since for electrons the momentum resolution is much higher than that for ions along the directions perpendicular to the laser polarization direction. The measured electron momentum distributions in the laser polarization plane are illustrated in Figures 5(f)–(j) for five different relative phases (0, 0.25π , 0.5π , 0.75π and π). The structures in the momentum distributions look similar to those measured for helium^[12]. There are narrow ATI-like peaks in the low momentum region as shown in the p_z distributions that have been obtained by cutting a narrow cylinder along the laser polarization direction ($|p_{x,y}| < 0.1$ a.u.) from the 3D momentum distributions [Figures 5(k)–(o)], and fingerlike structures in the 2D momentum distributions [Figures 5(f)-(j)]. SCI structures can be seen in the 1D momentum distribution as broad peaks [Figures 5(k)-(o)]. As compared to the corresponding ones obtained earlier for helium^[12], the momentum distributions in Figures 5(k)-(o) for hydrogen differ in several details because of different laser intensities, different ionic potentials and energy structures.

First, we focus on the results with relative phase 0. According to the shape of the vector potential [Figure 5(a)], the momentum distribution should be symmetric along the p_{z} -coordinate. The measured momentum distribution in Figure 5(k) shows a pronounced asymmetry, though. This can be explained by the influence of the Coulomb potential of the ion, which influences the trajectories of the EWP such that an EWP released before the peak of the laser field will be driven back and will scatter with the parent ion which leads to the appearance of clear finger-like holographic structures. In the results for helium there are no obvious SCI structures visible^[12]. The reason is that for helium the ionization mainly happens near the major peak within one optical cycle and therefore the SCI structures are suppressed^[12]. In contrast, in the momentum distributions for H_2^+ there appear SCI fringes for $p_7 > 0.2$ a.u. [Figure 5(f,k)]. Within the SFA such structures are explained by the interference of EWPs released at t_1 and t_2 , respectively, as indicated in Figure 5(a). The momenta after the laser pulse of EWPs released at t_1 and t_2 will be equal which leads to SCI structures. The reason for the more pronounced SCI structure for a relative phase of 0 in hydrogen than in helium may be the following: the ionization potential of helium, 24.6 eV, is higher than that of hydrogen, 15.5 eV. Therefore, the ionization of helium dominantly happens near the main peak within a laser optical cycle, while in the ionization of hydrogen the two minor peaks also contribute considerably.

For a relative phase of 0.5π , the laser electric field is symmetric [Figure 5(c)]. Therefore, within one optical cycle, ionization happens equally at the two main peaks (t_1 and t_2). The released EWPs will interfere with each other in the momentum space because they will end at the same final momentum. In Ref. [12] the SCI structures observed for a relative phase of 0.5π are exploited for the retrieval of the relative phase of the released EWPs and to investigate the electron dynamics during strong field ionization. As shown in Figure 5(h), there exist clear SCI structures visible on the negative momentum side. A detailed analysis of these structures along the procedures described in Ref. [12] necessitates, however, intricate numerical modeling. As for H₂ this is a much more complex task than for He this is well beyond the scope of the current work.

When the relative phase between the two colors varies, the shape of the laser field's cycle varies. Since tunneling ionization is very sensitive to the field strength of a laser field, the ionization time within an optical cycle depends delicately on the shape of the laser cycle. As a result, SCI structures can be sensitively controlled by varying the



Figure 5. (a)–(e) Electric fields (red lines) and vector potentials (blue lines) for relative two-color phases 0, 0.25π , 0.5π , 0.75π and π . (f)–(j) Measured electron momentum distributions in the laser polarization plane with subtraction of a gaussian function for the five relative phases. (k)–(o) Momentum distributions along the laser polarization direction with $|p_{x,y}| < 0.1$ a.u. for the five relative phases. Vertical gray lines indicate the positions of the ATI peaks.



Figure 6. Electron momentum distribution correlated with the ATD pathway for a relative two-color phase of 0.

relative phase of the two-color field. As shown in Figure 5, the interference structures, especially the SCI structures, indeed strongly depend on the relative phase of the two colors.

3.4. Subcycle interferences of electron wave packets for dissociation channels

After single ionization in a two-color field, H_2^+ may dissociate into a proton and a hydrogen atom through ATD or ZPD. We can distinguish the dissociation channels based on the kinetic energy released during the dissociation process. By coincidence gating we can obtain the corresponding photoelectron spectra. From the such obtained photoelectron spectra we can get access to the electron and nuclear dynamics leading to the different dissociation channels. For H₂ these different channels can be easily separated by the proton momentum. We select the proton momentum in the range of $6 < |p_z| < 11$ a.u. for ATD, and $|p_z| < 6$ a.u. for ZDP. Due to the relatively low dissociation probability of the ZPD channel, cf. the small proton yield in Figure 2 in the TOF range corresponding to ZPD, we could not obtain electron momentum distributions with high enough statistics to identify interference structures.

In Figure 6 electron momentum distributions along the laser polarization direction are plotted for the ATD channel and a relative phase of 0. The structure is similar to that of the H_2^+ channel [Figure 5(f)]. This experimental observation of SCI in the strong field ionization of H_2 , however, constitutes a proof-of-principle experiment of channel-resolved EWP interferometry based on SCI. Due to the limited momentum resolution in the distribution in Figure 6 we refrain from a more detailed analysis. In the future, however, we think that channel-resolved subcycle EWP interferometry can be applied to investigate the relation between strong-field-induced electron dynamics and the ensuing nuclear dynamics in molecules, similarly to channel-resolved ATI spectroscopy based on the ICI of EWPs^[13].

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, we demonstrated a proof-of-principle experiment of channel-resolved interferometry based on SCI of EWPs released during strong field interaction of H₂. We report on the observation of SCI in the strong field interaction of hydrogen molecules with cycle-shaped two-color laser fields. It is found that the structures corresponding to SCI are very sensitive to the shape of the two-color laser field cycle. Because ATD and ZPD of H₂⁺ can be distinguished by proton energy, channel-resolved electron momentum spectra could be obtained for the ionization and dissociation channels of H₂⁺. In the future, the channel-resolved subcycle EWP interferometry demonstrated here can be employed for studies of multi-electron and multi-orbital effects in the laser-fieldinduced ionization and dissociation of molecules^[13, 48, 49].

Acknowledgements

This research was financed by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under grants P25615-N27, P28475-N27, P21463-N22, P27491-N27 and SFB-F49 NEXTlite, and by a starting grant from the European Research Council (ERC project CyFi).

References

- 1. K. Yamanouchi, Science 295, 1659 (2002).
- P. Ranitovic, C. W. Hogle, P. Rivière, A. Palacios, X.-M. Tong, N. Toshima, A. González-Castrillo, L. Martin, F. Martin, M. M. Murnane, and H. Kapteyn, Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 111, 912 (2014).
- E. Goulielmakis, Z.-H. Loh, A. Wirth, R. Santra, N. Rohringer, V. S. Yakovlev, S. Zherebtsov, T. Pfeifer, A. M. Azzeer, M. F. Kling, S. R. Leone, and F. Krausz, Nature 466, 739 (2010).
- H. Wang, M. Chini, S. Chen, C.-H. Zhang, F. He, Y. Cheng, Y. Wu, U. Thumm, and Z. Chang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 143002 (2010).
- M. Chini, B. Zhao, H. Wang, Y. Cheng, S. X. Hu, and Z. Chang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 073601 (2012).
- 6. Z.-H. Loh and S. R. Leone, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 4, 292 (2013).
- O. Smirnova, Y. Mairesse, S. Patchkovskii, N. Dudovich, D. Villeneuve, P. Corkum, and M. Y. Ivanov, Nature 460, 972 (2009).
- A. D. Shiner, B. E. Schmidt, C. Trallero-Herrero, H. J. Wörner, S. Patchkovskii, P. B. Corkum, J. C. Kieffer, F. Légaré, and D. M. Villeneuve, Nat. Phys. 7, 464 (2011).
- H. J. Wörner, J. B. Bertrand, B. Fabre, J. Higuet, H. Ruf, A. Dubrouil, S. Patchkovskii, M. Spanner, Y. Mairesse, V. Blanchet, E. Mével, E. Constant, P. B. Corkum, and D. M. Villeneuve, Science **334**, 208 (2011).
- R. Gopal, K. Simeonidis, R. Moshammer, Th. Ergler, M. Dürr, M. Kurka, K.-U. Kühnel, S. Tschuch, C.-D. Schröter, D. Bauer, J. Ullrich, A. Rudenko, O. Herrwerth, Th. Uphues, M. Schultze, E. Goulielmakis, M. Uiberacker, M. Lezius, and M. F. Kling, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 053001 (2009).
- Y. Huismans, A. Rouzée, A. Gijsbertsen, J. H. Jungmann, A. S. Smolkowska, P. S. W. M. Logman, F. Lépine, C. Cauchy, S. Zamith, T. Marchenko, J. M. Bakker, G. Berden, B. Redlich,

A. F. G. van der Meer, H. G. Muller, W. Vermin, K. J. Schafer, M. Spanner, M. Y. Ivanov, O. Smirnova, D. Bauer, S. V. Popruzhenko, and M. J. J. Vrakking, Science **331**, 61 (2011).

- X. Xie, S. Roither, D. Kartashov, E. Persson, D. G. Arbó, L. Zhang, S. Gräfe, M. S. Schöffler, J. Burgdörfer, A. Baltuška, and M. Kitzler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 193004 (2012).
- A. E. Boguslavskiy, J. Mikosch, A. Gijsbertsen, M. Spanner, S. Patchkovskii, N. Gador, M. J. J. Vrakking, and A. Stolow, Science 335, 1336 (2012).
- 14. L. J. Zipp, A. Natan, and P. H. Bucksbaum, Optica 1, 361 (2014).
- 15. X. Xie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 173003 (2015).
- 16. F. Krausz and M. Ivanov, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 163 (2009).
- X. Xie, G. Jordan, M. Wickenhauser, and A. Scrinzi, J. Mod. Opt. 54, 999 (2007).
- M. Meckel, D. Comtois, D. Zeidler, A. Staudte, D. Pavicic, H. C. Bandulet, H. Pepin, J. C. Kieffer, R. Dörner, D. M. Villeneuve, and P. B. Corkum, Science **320**, 1478 (2008).
- X. Xie, S. Roither, S. Gräfe, D. Kartashov, E. Persson, C. Lemell, L. Zhang, M. S. Schöffler, A. Baltuška, J. Burgdörfer, and M. Kitzler, New J. Phys. 15, 43050 (2013).
- D. G. Arbó, K. L. Ishikawa, K. Schiessl, E. Persson, and J. Burgdörfer, Phys. Rev. A 82, 043426 (2010).
- F. Lindner, M. G. Schätzel, H. Walther, A. Baltuška, E. Goulielmakis, F. Krausz, D. B. Milošević, D. Bauer, W. Becker, and G. G. Paulus, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 040401 (2005).
- 22. D. G. Arbó, E. Persson, and J. Burgdörfer, Phys. Rev. A 74, 063407 (2006).
- D. G. Arbó, S. Nagele, X.-M. Tong, X. Xie, M. Kitzler, and J. Burgdörfer, Phys. Rev. A 89, 043414 (2014).
- M. Spanner, O. Smirnova, P. B. Corkum, and M. Y. Ivanov, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 37, L243 (2004).
- 25. X.-B. Bian and A. Bandrauk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 1 (2012).
- S. N. Yurchenko, S. Patchkovskii, I. V. Litvinyuk, P. B. Corkum, and G. L. Yudin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 223003 (2004).
- L. Zhang, X. Xie, S. Roither, D. Kartashov, Y. Wang, C. Wang, M. Schöffler, D. Shafir, P. B. Corkum, A. Baltuška, I. Ivanov, A. Kheifets, X. Liu, A. Staudte, and M. Kitzler, Phys. Rev. A 90, 061401 (2014).
- C. I. Blaga, F. Catoire, P. Colosimo, G. G. Paulus, H. G. Muller, P. Agostini, and L. F. Dimauro, Nat. Phys. 5, 335 (2009).
- 29. W. Quan, Z. Lin, M. Wu, H. Kang, H. Liu, X. Liu, J. Chen, J. Liu, X. T. He, S. G. Chen, H. Xiong, L. Guo, H. Xu, Y. Fu, Y. Cheng, and Z. Z. Xu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 093001 (2009).
- C. Liu and K. Z. Hatsagortsyan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 113003 (2010).
- D. Dimitrovski, J. Maurer, H. Stapelfeldt, and L. B. Madsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 103005 (2014).

- E. Goulielmakis, M. Schultze, M. Hofstetter, V. S. Yakovlev, J. Gagnon, M. Uiberacker, a. L. Aquila, E. M. Gullikson, D. T. Attwood, R. Kienberger, F. Krausz, and U. Kleineberg, Science **320**, 1614 (2008).
- S. Haessler, T. Balčiunas, G. Fan, G. Andriukaitis, A. Pugžlys, A. Baltuška, T. Witting, R. Squibb, A. Zaïr, J. W. G. Tisch, J. P. Marangos, and L. E. Chipperfield, Phys. Rev. X 4, 021028 (2014).
- 34. S. De, I. Znakovskaya, D. Ray, F. Anis, N. G. Johnson, I. a. Bocharova, M. Magrakvelidze, B. D. Esry, C. L. Cocke, I. V. Litvinyuk, and M. F. Kling, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 1 (2009).
- D. Ray, F. He, S. De, W. Cao, H. Mashiko, P. Ranitovic, K. P. Singh, I. Znakovskaya, U. Thumm, G. G. Paulus, M. F. Kling, I. V. Litvinyuk, and C. L. Cocke, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 223201 (2009).
- L. Zhang, X. Xie, S. Roither, Y. Zhou, P. Lu, D. Kartashov, M. Schöffler, D. Shafir, P. B. Corkum, A. Baltuška, A. Staudte, and M. Kitzler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 193002 (2014).
- J. Wu, L. Schmidt, M. Kunitski, M. Meckel, S. Voss, H. Sann, H. Kim, T. Jahnke, A. Czasch, and R. Dörner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 1 (2012).
- 38. X. Xie, K. Doblhoff-Dier, S. Roither, M. S. Schöffler, D. Kartashov, H. Xu, T. Rathje, G. G. Paulus, A. Baltuška, S. Gräfe, and M. Kitzler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 243001 (2012).
- R. Dörner, V. Mergel, O. Jagutzki, J. Ullrich, L. Spielberger, R. Moshammer, and H. Schmidt-Böcking, Phys. Rep. 330, 95 (2000).
- J. Ullrich, R. Moshammer, A. Dorn, R. Dörner, L. Ph. Schmidt, and H. Schmidt-Böcking, Rep. Prog. Phys. 66, 1463 (2003).
- 41. B. Moser and G. N. Gibson, Phys. Rev. A 80, 041402 (2009).
- J. H. Posthumus, J. Plumridge, L. J. Frasinski, K. Codling, E. J. Divall, A. J. Langley, and P. F. Taday, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 33, L563 (2000).
- 43. P. B. Corkum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 1994 (1993).
- 44. S. Chelkowski, A. D. Bandrauk, and A. Apolonski, Phys. Rev. A: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 70, 13815 (2004).
- 45. S. Chelkowski and A. D. Bandrauk, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 53815 (2005).
- 46. G. Yudin and M. Ivanov, Phys. Rev. A 64, 6 (2001).
- M. Spanner, J. Mikosch, A. Gijsbertsen, A. E. Boguslavskiy, and A. Stolow, New J. Phys. 13, 093010 (2011).
- 48. X. Xie, K. Doblhoff-Dier, H. Xu, S. Roither, M. S. Schöffler, D. Kartashov, S. Erattupuzha, T. Rathje, G. G. Paulus, K. Yamanouchi, A. Baltuška, S. Gräfe, and M. Kitzler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 163003 (2014).
- X. Xie, S. Roither, M. Schöffler, E. Lötstedt, D. Kartashov, L. Zhang, G. G. Paulus, A. Iwasaki, A. Baltuška, K. Yamanouchi, and M. Kitzler, Phys. Rev. X 4, 021005 (2014).