# ON PÓLYA'S THEOREM

## J. SHEEHAN

1. Introduction. In 1927 J. H. Redfield (9) stressed the intimate interrelationship between the theory of finite groups and combinatorial analysis. With this in mind we consider Pólya's theorem (7) and the Redfield-Read superposition theorem (8, 9) in the context of the theory of permutation representations of finite groups. We show in particular how the Redfield-Read superposition theorem can be deduced as a special case from a simple extension of Pólya's theorem. We give also a generalization of the superposition theorem expressed as the multiple scalar product of certain group characters. In a later paper we shall give some applications of this generalization.

**2.** An extension of Pólya's theorem. Suppose that  $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_q$  is a partition of a set D into q subsets and  $D_i$  consists of the  $\alpha_i$  elements  $d_{i1}, d_{i2}, \ldots, d_{i\alpha_i}$ , where

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \alpha_i = m.$$

Let  $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_q$  be a partition of a set R into q subsets. Elements of R are called *figures* and  $R_i$  is called the *i*th *figure range*. Let F be the set of functions of D into R with the restriction

$$f(D_i) \subseteq R_i, \qquad i = 1, 2, \ldots, q$$

An element of F is called an  $\Im$ -configuration. Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a permutation group of degree m and order g which permutes the elements of D and suppose the transitive constituents of  $\mathfrak{G}$  are the q subsets  $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_q$ .  $\Im$ -configurations  $f_1, f_2$  are  $\mathfrak{G}$ -equivalent if there is a  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}$  such that

$$f_1(d_{ij}) = f_2(d_{ij} \sigma), \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha_i; \ i = 1, 2, \dots, q.$$

To each figure there is assigned a unique non-negative integer *m* called its *content*. (In the general case each figure of the *i*th figure range is assigned an ordered set of  $\omega_i$  non-negative integers  $(k_{i1}, k_{i2}, \ldots, k_{i\omega_i})$ . However, without loss of generality and for simplicity, we consider the case when  $\omega_i = 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, q$ .) If  $\phi_{k_i}$  is the number of figures of content  $k_i$  belonging to  $R_i$ , then the polynomial

$$p(x_i) = \sum_{k_i=0}^{\infty} \phi_{k_i} x_i^{k_i}$$

is called the *figure counting series* of  $R_i$ . We now need to define the content of an  $\Im$ -configuration.

Received May 27, 1966.

Suppose  $f \in F$  and f is defined by

 $f: d_{ij} \rightarrow f(d_{ij}) = \lambda_{ij} \in R_i, \qquad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \alpha_i; \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, q;$ 

then, if  $\lambda_{ij}$  has content  $k_{ij}$ , the ordered set (k) of q non-negative integers

(1) 
$$(k) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\alpha_1} k_{1j}, \sum_{j=2}^{\alpha_2} k_{2j}, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha_q} k_{qj}\right)$$

is the *content* of *f*. Letting

$$k_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha_i} k_{ij}, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, q,$$

(1) may be written

(2) 
$$(k) = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_q).$$

Let  $A_{(k)}$  be the number of  $\mathfrak{G}$ -inequivalent  $\mathfrak{F}$ -configurations of content (k). Then

(3) 
$$P(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_q) = \sum_{(k)} A_{(k)} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \ldots x_q^{k_q}$$

is called the *S*-configuration counting series.

The object of this extension of Pólya's theorem is to express  $P(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_q)$  in terms of  $\mathfrak{G}$  and  $p(x_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, q$ . This is accomplished using the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -cycle index  $Z^{I}(\mathfrak{G})$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  defined below. This form of the cycle index of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is mentioned in **(7)** but appears to have been overlooked.

A permutation  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}$  is of  $\mathfrak{F}$ -type

$$(t) = (\{t_{11}, t_{12}, \ldots, t_{1\alpha_1}\}; \{t_{21}, t_{22}, \ldots, t_{2\alpha_2}\}; \ldots; \{t_{q1}, t_{q2}, \ldots, t_{q\alpha_q}\})$$

if it contains  $t_{ij}$  disjoint cycles of length j of the elements of  $D_i$ . When no confusion arises,  $\sigma$  is simply said to be of  $\Im$ -type (t). The non-negative integers  $t_{ij}$  must satisfy the following equations:

(4) 
$$1t_{i1} + 2t_{i2} + \ldots + \alpha_i t_{i\alpha_i} = \alpha_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, q.$$

The number of permutations of  $\Im$ -type (t) belonging to  $\mathfrak{G}$  is denoted by  $h_{(t)}$ . Let  $g_{ij}$   $(j = 1, 2, ..., \alpha_i; i = 1, 2, ..., q)$  be indeterminates. The  $\Im$ -cycle index  $Z^I(\mathfrak{G})$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is defined by

$$Z^{I}(\mathfrak{G}) = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{(t)} h_{(t)} g_{11}^{t_{11}} \dots g_{1\alpha_{1}}^{t_{1\alpha_{1}}} g_{21}^{t_{21}} \dots g_{2\alpha_{2}}^{t_{2\alpha_{2}}} \dots g_{q1}^{t_{q_{1}}} \dots g_{q\alpha_{q}}^{t_{q}\alpha_{q}},$$

where the summation is over permutations of all  $\Im$ -types (t) belonging to  $\mathfrak{G}$ . We note here that when  $\mathfrak{G}$  is transitive  $Z^{I}(\mathfrak{G})$  is exactly the same (4) as the usual form of the cycle index  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , and this will be assumed below.

*Example.* Suppose that 0 is the permutation group which permutes the symbols *a*, *b*, *c*, *d*, *e*, *f*, and consists of:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f); & (ab) (c) (d) (e) (f); & (a) (b) (cd) (e) (f); & (ab) (cd) (e) (f); \\ & (ab) (c) (d) (ef); & (a) (b) (cd) (ef); & (ab) (cd) (ef); & (a) (b) (c) (d) (ef). \end{array}$$

Then

$$Z^{I}(\mathfrak{G}) = \frac{1}{8}(g_{11}^{2} g_{21}^{2} g_{31}^{2} + g_{12} g_{21}^{2} g_{31}^{2} + g_{11}^{2} g_{22} g_{31}^{2} + g_{11}^{2} g_{21}^{2} g_{32}^{2} + g_{12} g_{22} g_{31}^{2} + g_{12} g_{21}^{2} g_{32} + g_{11}^{2} g_{22} g_{32} + g_{12} g_{22} g_{32}),$$

whereas

$$Z(\mathfrak{G}) = \frac{1}{8}(f_1^6 + 3f_1^4 f_2 + 3f_1^2 f_2^2 + f_2^3)$$

where  $f_1, f_2$  are indeterminates.

Finally, for any set of power series  $f(x_i)$ , i = 1, 2, ..., q, let

$$Z^{I}[\mathfrak{G}, \{f(x_1), f(x_2), \ldots, f(x_q)\}]$$

denote the polynomial obtained from  $Z^{I}(\mathfrak{G})$  by writing

 $g_{ij} = f(x_i^{j}), \qquad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \alpha_i; \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, q.$ 

We are now able to state the theorem. However, the proof will be omitted since it is almost exactly the same as the proof of the *Hauptsatz* itself.

THEOREM 1 (Pólya's theorem, an extension). The  $\Im$ -configuration counting series  $P(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_q)$  is obtained by substituting the set of figure counting series  $p(x_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, q$ , into the  $\Im$ -cycle index  $Z^{I}(\Im)$  of  $\Im$ . Symbolically,

$$P(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_q) = Z^{I}[\emptyset, \{p(x_1), p(x_2), \ldots, p(x_q)\}].$$

### 3. Representation theory.

Definitions. We must now examine certain properties of permutation representations of abstract finite groups. A group G of permutations is called a *permutation representation* of an abstract group P if there is a mapping  $\mu$  of P onto G,  $\sigma \rightarrow \mu(\sigma)$ ,  $\sigma \in P$ ,  $\mu(\sigma) \in G$  such that

$$\mu(\sigma_1)\mu(\sigma_2) = \mu(\sigma_1 \sigma_2), \quad \text{for all } \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in P.$$

If the groups P and G are isomorphic, the permutation representation G of P is said to be *faithful*. Suppose P is of order p. The *characteristic*  $X(\sigma)$  of  $\sigma \in P$  in G is the number of cycles of  $\mu(\sigma)$  of length one. The set of p characteristics  $X(\sigma)$ ,  $\sigma \in P$ , is called the *character* of G and is denoted by X. Now if X and X' are the characters of permutation representations G and G' of P respectively, then

$$(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}') = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\sigma \in P} \mathbf{X}(\sigma) \mathbf{X}'(\sigma^{-1})$$

is called the *scalar product* of X and X'. The *multiple scalar product* is as defined in (3). Let I be the character which has value unity for all  $\sigma \in P$ .

Now suppose G above is a permutation representation of P. Suppose G is of degree  $\alpha$  and permutes the symbols  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\alpha}$ . The permutations induced on the homogeneous products, of degree q, of  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\alpha}$  by G give a permutation representation of P (7, p. 300) called the symmetrized Kronecker product representation of dimension q, of P, denoted by  $(G)^q$ .  $X^q$ 

794

denotes the character of  $(G)^{q}$ . Furthermore, we suppose, for simplicity of notation, that G is transitive. Let

(5) 
$$Z^{I}(G) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{(j)} C_{j_{1}j_{2}} \dots {}_{j_{\alpha}} t_{1}^{j_{1}} t_{2}^{j_{2}} \dots t_{\alpha}^{j_{\alpha}},$$

where the summation is over all  $\Im$ -types (j) belonging to G.  $Z^{I}[G, \mathbf{X}(\sigma)]$ ,  $\sigma \in P$ , is the polynomial obtained from  $Z^{I}(G)$  by writing

 $t_{\lambda} = X(\sigma^{\lambda}), \qquad \lambda = 1, 2, \ldots, k.$ 

Finally the group of order n!, which consists of all possible permutations on n elements, is called the *symmetric group of degree* n and is denoted by  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . We now state as lemmas two well-known results. For Lemmas 1 and 2, see (5) and (10, p. 68) respectively.

LEMMA 1. If 
$$\mu(\sigma)$$
,  $\sigma \in P$  is of  $\Im$ -type  $(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_{\alpha})$ , then  

$$X(\sigma^k) = \sum_{i|k} t j_i,$$

where  $\sum_{t|k}$  denotes that the summation is over all t that divide k (including t = 1) and  $\sigma^k$  denotes the kth power  $(k \ge 1)$  of  $\sigma$ .

Lemma 2.

$$\Re(y^k)\exp\left(\frac{y}{1}t_1+\frac{y^2}{2}t_2+\ldots+\frac{y^p}{p}t_p+\ldots\right)=Z^I(\mathfrak{S}_k),$$

where  $\mathfrak{L}(\ldots)$  denotes "the coefficient of  $\ldots$  in."

Lemma 3.

$$\mathfrak{L}(y^k)Z^I[G, (1-y)^{-1}] = \frac{1}{p}\sum_{\sigma\in P} Z[\mathfrak{S}_k, \mathbf{X}(\sigma)] = \frac{1}{p}\sum_{\sigma\in P} \mathbf{X}^k(\sigma).$$

Proof.

$$(6) \quad Z^{I}[G, (1-y)^{-1}] = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{(j)} C_{j_{1}j_{2}...j_{\alpha}} (1-y)^{-j_{1}} (1-y^{2})^{-j_{2}} ... (1-y^{\alpha})^{-j_{\alpha}} \\ = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{(j)} C_{j_{1}j_{2}...j_{\alpha}} \exp[-j_{1}\log(1-y) - j_{2}\log(1-y^{2}) \\ -... - j_{\alpha}\log(1-y^{\alpha})] \\ = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{(j)} C_{j_{1}j_{2}...j_{\alpha}} \exp\left[j_{1}\left(y + \frac{y^{2}}{2} + \frac{y^{3}}{3} + ...\right) \\ + j_{2}\left(\frac{y^{2}}{1} + \frac{y^{4}}{2} + \frac{y^{6}}{3} + ...\right) + ... \\ + j_{\alpha}\left(\frac{y^{1.\alpha}}{1} + \frac{y^{2.\alpha}}{2} + \frac{y^{3.\alpha}}{3} + ...\right)\right] \\ = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{(j)} C_{j_{1}j_{2}...j_{\alpha}} \exp\left[j_{1}y + (j_{1} + 2j_{2})\frac{y^{2}}{2} + ... \\ + \left(\sum_{t|k} tj_{t}\right)\frac{y^{k}}{k} + ...\right].$$

On regrouping under the summation and using Lemma 1, equation (6) becomes

(7) 
$$Z^{I}[G, (1-y)^{-1}] = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\sigma \in P} \exp\left[X(\sigma)y + X(\sigma^{2})\frac{y^{2}}{2} + \ldots + X(\sigma^{k})\frac{y^{k}}{k} + \ldots\right].$$

Therefore, from Lemma 2 and equation (7),

(8) 
$$\Re(y^k)Z^I[G, (1-y)^{-1}] = \frac{1}{p}\sum_{\sigma \in P} Z^I[\mathfrak{S}_k, \mathbf{X}(\sigma)].$$

Finally, from (6, p. 300),

(9) 
$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\sigma \in P} Z^{I}[\mathfrak{S}_{k}, \mathbf{X}(\sigma)] = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\sigma \in P} \mathbf{X}^{k}(\sigma).$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

4. Generalization of the Redfield-Read superposition theorem. We now return to the discussion of § 2. Let  $P_H$  denote the transitive permutation representation of P (2, p. 233) induced by a subgroup H of P. Suppose  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a permutation representation of P defined by a homomorphism  $\pi$  from Ponto  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Let  $\pi_i$  be the homomorphism from P onto the permutation group  $\mathfrak{G}_i$  (say), where  $\pi_i(\sigma), \sigma \in P$ , is obtained by considering  $\pi(\sigma)$  simply as a permutation on the elements of  $D_i$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{G}_i$  permutes the elements of  $D_i$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{G}_i$  is a transitive permutation representation of P of degree  $\alpha_i$  and is isomorphic as a permutation group (2, p. 236) to  $P_{H_i}$  for some subgroup  $H_i$ of P of index  $\alpha_i$ . Suppose  $P_{H_i}$  has character  $X_i$ . In particular therefore

(10) 
$$Z(\mathfrak{G}_i) = Z^I(P_{H_i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, q.$$

For all  $\sigma \in P$  the monomial associated with  $\pi_i(\sigma)$  in  $Z^I(\mathfrak{G}_i)$  will be denoted by  $z_i(\sigma)$ . Then the notation  $z_i[\sigma, p(x_i)]$  follows naturally from § 2.

Lemma 4.

$$Z^{I}(\mathfrak{G}) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\sigma \in P} \prod_{i=1}^{q} z_{i}(\sigma).$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from the above if  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a faithful representation of P. If  $\mathfrak{G}$  is not faithful, it is perhaps worth noting that, if  $|\pi|$  is the order of the kernel of  $\pi$ , then

(11) 
$$Z^{I}(\mathfrak{G}) = \frac{|\pi|}{p} \sum_{\sigma \in P} \frac{1}{|\pi|} \prod_{i=1}^{q} z_{i}(\sigma)$$

(12) 
$$= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\sigma \in P} \prod_{i=1}^{q} z_i(\sigma).$$

The equality of (11) and (12) has been tacitly assumed in equations (5) and (6).

Theorem 2.

$$\mathfrak{L}(y_1^{\theta_1} y_2^{\theta_2} \dots y_q^{\theta_q}) Z^I[\mathfrak{G}, (1-y_1)^{-1}, (1-y_2)^{-1}, \dots, (1-y_q)^{-1}]$$
  
=  $(X_1^{\theta_1}, X_2^{\theta_2}, \dots, X_q^{\theta_q})$ 

(we suppose that  $(X_{\omega}{}^{\theta\omega}) = (X_{\omega}{}^{\theta\omega}, I), \ 1 \leqslant \omega \leqslant q$ ).

Proof. Using Lemma 4,

$$Z^{I}[(0, (1 - y_{1})^{-1}, (1 - y_{2})^{-1}, \dots, (1 - y_{q})^{-1}] = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\sigma \in P} \prod_{i=1}^{q} z_{i}[\sigma, (1 - y_{i})^{-1}].$$

Therefore, by an extension of Lemma 3,

$$\mathfrak{L}(y_1^{\theta_1} y_2^{\theta_2} \dots y_q^{\theta_q}) Z^I[\mathfrak{G}, (1-y_1)^{-1}, (1-y_2)^{-1}, \dots, (1-y_q)^{-1}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\sigma \in P} \prod_{i=1}^q X_i^{\theta_i}(\sigma) = (X_1^{\theta_1}, X_2^{\theta_2}, \dots, X_q^{\theta_q}).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

5. Theorem 2 and the theory of graphs. We shall now show how Theorem 2 can be interpreted as a generalization of the Redfield-Read superposition theorem. We begin with a simple extension of R. C. Read's definition of a superposed graph (8) and our terminology will be that used in (8).

Suppose  $(\theta_{\Omega}) = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_q)$  is an ordered set of non-negative integers such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \theta_i = \Omega.$$

Let  $G_{ij}$   $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, \theta_i; i = 1, 2, \ldots, q)$  be a set of  $\Omega$  unlabelled graphs, each on *n* nodes, such that the  $\theta_i$  graphs  $G_{i1}, G_{i2}, \ldots, G_{i\theta_i}$  are each topologically similar to some graph  $G_i$  (say),  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, q$  (note that  $G_i$  and  $G_j$  $(1 \leq i, j \leq q; i \neq j)$  are not necessarily distinct). Thus the automorphism group of each of the graphs  $G_{i1}, G_{i2}, \ldots, G_{i\theta_i}$  may be denoted by  $\Gamma(G_i)$ (say),  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, q$ . The  $(\theta_{\Omega})$ -superposition of the  $\Omega$  graphs  $G_{ij}$  is defined as any graph which can be constructed by:

(i) labelling the nodes of each  $G_{ij}$  with the labels  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  in any manner;

(ii) identifying all nodes having the same labels.

Furthermore, if  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_q$  are q "colours" and if, when the graphs  $G_{ij}$  have been labelled, we let  $r_{ij}(A_{\alpha}, A_{\beta})$  be the number of edges in  $G_{ij}$  which join  $A_{\alpha}$  and  $A_{\beta}$ , then the  $(\theta_{\Omega})$ -superposition of the  $\Omega$  graphs  $G_{ij}$  corresponding to this labelling is defined as that graph on n nodes  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  for which  $A_{\alpha}$  and  $A_{\beta}$  are joined by

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\theta_i} r_{ij}(A_{\alpha}, A_{\beta})$$

#### J. SHEEHAN

edges coloured with " $C_i$ " (thus each graph  $G_{i\gamma}$ ,  $\gamma = 1, 2, \ldots, \theta_i$ , may be thought of as being coloured with the same colour  $C_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le q$ ). Two  $(\theta_{\Omega})$ -superposed graphs are *similar as labelled graphs* if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\theta_i} r_{ij}(A_{\alpha}, A_{\beta})$$

is always the same for one graph as for the other  $(i = 1, 2, ..., q; \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, ..., n)$ . Two  $(\theta_{\Omega})$ -superposed graphs are *topologically similar* if, by relabelling one of the graphs, we can convert it into a graph which is similar as a labelled graph to the other. Otherwise they are said to be *distinct*. We shall denote the set of distinct  $(\theta_{\Omega})$ -superposed graphs by  $S(\theta_{\Omega})$  and the cardinal of the set by  $|S(\theta_{\Omega})|$ .

Before stating the next theorem we make the following assumptions about Theorem 2: (a)  $P = \mathfrak{S}_n$ ; (b)  $H_i = \Gamma(G_i), i = 1, 2, \ldots, q$ .

THEOREM 3.  $|S(\theta_{\Omega})| = (X_1^{\theta_1}, X_2^{\theta_2}, \ldots, X_q^{\theta_q}).$ 

*Proof.* Let  $D_i$  consist of the  $\alpha_i$  distinct labelled graphs obtained by labelling  $G_i$  with the labels  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  in all possible ways. Let  $R_i$  consist of figures  $\phi_{i0}, \phi_{i1}, \phi_{i2}, \ldots, \phi_{i\beta}, \ldots$  of content  $0, 1, 2, \ldots, \beta, \ldots$  respectively. Then

 $p(x_i) = (1 - x_i)^{-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, q.$ 

Clearly:

(i) each  $\Im$ -configuration of content  $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_q)$  corresponds uniquely to a  $(\theta_{\Omega})$ -superposed graph and conversely:

(ii) two such graphs are topologically similar if and only if their corresponding 3-configurations are Ø-equivalent.

The theorem follows immediately.

Remark 1. If  $\theta_i = 1$  (i = 1, 2, ..., q), then Theorem 3 is an exact statement of Read's superposition theorem (8; 3, p. 278). Also Dr. R. C. Read has pointed out to me that when q = 1 the formula of Theorem 3 is given in (9).

Remark 2. If, in Theorem 3, the elements of  $D_i$  are regarded as the cosets of  $\Gamma(G_i)$  with respect to P and we drop the assumption that  $P = \mathfrak{S}_n$ , then it is clear that  $|S(\theta_{\Omega})|$  is equal to the number of transitive constituents of

$$(P_{H_1})^{\theta_1} \otimes (P_{H_2})^{\theta_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes (P_{H_q}^{\theta_q}),$$

where  $\otimes$  denotes "Kronecker product" (3).

In a later paper we shall give some applications of Theorem 3.

6. Acknowledgments. The doctoral thesis (10) on which this paper is based was written under the supervision of Dr. H. O. Foulkes, to whom the

author is indebted for his kind help and encouragement, and with the support of a grant from the Department of Scientific and Industrial Research. The author also wishes to thank Dr. C. St. J. A. Nash-Williams for several useful hints on the preparation of the manuscript.

#### References

- 1. N. G. de Bruijn, Generalization of Pólya's fundamental theorem in enumeriative combinatorial analysis, Indag. Math., 21 (1959), 59-69.
- 2. W. Burnside, Theory of groups of finite order, 2nd ed. (Cambridge, 1911).
- 3. H. O. Foulkes, On Redfield's group reduction functions, Can. J. Math., 15 (1963), 272-284.
- 4. F. Harary, The number of linear, directed, rooted, and connected graphs, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1955), 445-463.
- 5. F. Harary and E. M. Palmer, *The power group enumeration theorem*, J. Combinatorial Theory, to appear.
- 6. F. D. Murnaghan, The theory of group representation, (Baltimore, 1938).
- 7. G. Pólya, Kombinatorische Anzahlbestimmungen für Gruppen, Graphen, und chemische Verbindungen, Acta Math., 68 (1938), 145–154.
- 8. R. C. Read, The enumeration of locally restricted graphs, I, J. London Math. Soc., 34 (1959), 417-436.
- 9. J. H. Redfield, The theory of group reduced distributions, Amer. J. Math., 49 (1927), 433-455.
- 10. J. Sheehan, The superposition of graphs (Thesis, Swansea, 1965).

University College of Swansea, and King's College, Aberdeen