

ON A BOOLEAN ALGEBRA OF PROJECTIONS CONSTRUCTED BY DIEUDONNÉ

by H. R. DOWSON
(Received 4th July 1968)

1. Dieudonné (4) has constructed an example of a Banach space X and a complete Boolean algebra \tilde{B} of projections on X such that \tilde{B} has uniform multiplicity two, but for no choice of x_1, x_2 in X and non-zero E in \tilde{B} is EX the direct sum of the cyclic subspaces $\text{clm}\{Ex_1: E \in \tilde{B}\}$ and $\text{clm}\{Ex_2: E \in \tilde{B}\}$. Tzafriri observed that it could be deduced from Corollary 4 (9, p. 221) that the commutant \tilde{B}' of \tilde{B} is equal to $A(\tilde{B})$, the algebra of operators generated by \tilde{B} in the uniform operator topology. A study of (3) suggested the direct proof of the second property given in this note. From this there follows a simple proof that \tilde{B} has the first property.

In this connection, the author has shown in (5) that if \tilde{B} is a complete Boolean algebra of projections on X , then when X is a Hilbert space $\tilde{B}' = A(\tilde{B})$ if and only if \tilde{B} has uniform multiplicity one, while if X is a Banach space uniform multiplicity one implies that $\tilde{B}' = A(\tilde{B})$. Therefore in general the reverse implication fails in a Banach space.

2. The reader is referred to (1) and (2) for terminology used in this paper. Next we recall the definition and properties of a class of Banach spaces studied by Halperin (6) and Lorentz (7), (8).

Let K be a compact interval of the form $[0, y]$ where $y > 0$. Two non-negative measurable functions f_1, f_2 on K are said to be *equimeasurable* if and only if for every $k \geq 0$

$$m\{x \in K: f_1(x) \geq k\} = m\{x \in K: f_2(x) \geq k\},$$

where $m(\cdot)$ denotes Lebesgue measure on R . For each non-negative measurable function f on K , which is finite a.e., the *decreasing rearrangement* of f is the function f^* defined by

$$f^*(0) = \text{ess. sup}_{x \in K} |f(x)|$$

$$f^*(x) = \sup \{k \geq 0: m\{y: f(y) \geq k\} \geq x\} \quad x \in K, x \neq 0.$$

f^* is continuous on the left. Also f and f^* are equimeasurable by construction. Now let w be a positive function which is decreasing and integrable over K . The set of equivalence classes of complex measurable functions on K such that $w|f|^*$ is integrable forms a Banach space L_w^1 under the norm

$$\|f\|_w = \int_0^y w |f|^* dx.$$

If $m > 0$, denote by f_m the function equal to f if $|f(x)| \leq m$ and defined by

$$f_m(x) = \frac{mf(x)}{|f(x)|}, \quad |f(x)| > m.$$

If $f \in L^1_w$, $\|f - f_m\|_w \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that the set $B(K)$ of bounded Borel measurable functions on K is norm dense in L^1_w . Now $B(K)$ is a Banach algebra under the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|$. For f in $B(K)$ and g in L^1_w , we have $fg \in L^1_w$ and $\|fg\|_w \leq \|f\| \|g\|_w$. It follows that the map $T_f : g \rightarrow fg$ is a bounded linear operator on L^1_w such that $\|T_f\| \leq \|f\|$. Moreover the map $f \rightarrow T_f$ is a continuous algebra homomorphism of $B(K)$ into an algebra of bounded linear operators on L^1_w .

3. Dieudonné (4, p. 10) constructed a compact interval $K = [0, y]$ and functions w_1, w_2, w_3 decreasing and integrable over K such that

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(y) &= w_2(y) = w_3(y) = 1; \\ \int_0^y w_1^2 dx &= \int_0^y w_2^2 dx = \int_0^y w_3^2 dx = +\infty; \\ \int_0^y w_1 w_2 dx &< \infty; \int_0^y w_2 w_3 dx < \infty; \int_0^y w_3 w_1 dx < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let \tilde{X} be the complex Banach space $L^1_{w_1} \oplus L^1_{w_2} \oplus L^1_{w_3}$ under the norm

$$\|(f_1, f_2, f_3)\| = \|f_1\|_{w_1} + \|f_2\|_{w_2} + \|f_3\|_{w_3}$$

where $f_i \in L^1_{w_i}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let X be the closed subspace of \tilde{X} consisting of elements (f_1, f_2, f_3) for which

$$f_1(x) + f_2(x) + f_3(x) = 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

If $\tau \in \Sigma_K$, the Borel subsets of K , then the map

$$E(\tau) : (f_1, f_2, f_3) \rightarrow (\chi_\tau f_1, \chi_\tau f_2, \chi_\tau f_3)$$

defines a projection on X and the family $\{E(\tau) : \tau \in \Sigma_K\}$ forms a σ -complete Boolean algebra \tilde{B} of projections on the separable Banach space X . Hence \tilde{B} is a complete countably decomposable Boolean algebra of projections on X . \tilde{B} has uniform multiplicity two (4, pp. 7-8).

4. Now let $T \in \tilde{B}'$ and let $T(1, 0, -1) = (u, v, -u-v)$. We shall show that v is 0 a.e. If not, then since v is integrable over K , there would exist a compact subset δ of K with $m(\delta) > 0$ in which v is continuous and non-zero. The hypothesis $T \in \tilde{B}'$ implies that for each function f in $B(K)$

$$T(f, 0, -f) = (fu, fv, -fu-fv).$$

Since T is continuous, the map A of the subspace $\{(f, 0, -f) : f \in B(K)\}$ under the \tilde{X} -norm into $L^1_{w_2}$ defined by

$$A : (f, 0, -f) \rightarrow fv$$

is continuous. We shall show that this gives a contradiction.

For every x in δ , define

$$h(x) = \int_0^x \chi_\delta(t) dt$$

$$g(x) = w_2(h(x)).$$

Put $g(x) = 0$ for x in $K \setminus \delta$. It follows that g is equimeasurable to the restriction of w_2 to an interval $[0, y']$ where $y' = m(\delta)$. Hence $g \in L^1_{w_1}$ and $g \in L^1_{w_3}$, but $g \notin L^1_{w_2}$. Since $|v|$ is bounded below in δ by a positive number c , we have $|gv|^* \geq cg^*$ and so $gv \notin L^1_{w_2}$. Now the sequence $\{(g_m, 0, -g_m)\}, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ converges to $(g, 0, -g)$ in X and so by the continuity of the map A the sequence $\{g_m v: m = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ ought to converge to a limit in $L^1_{w_2}$. However

$$|g_m v|^* \geq cg_m^*.$$

This gives a contradiction since the norm of g_m^* in $L^1_{w_2}$ becomes arbitrarily large with m .

Hence $v = 0$. It is now easy to see that u is essentially bounded. If not then for every $m > 0$ there would be a measurable set $\tau \subseteq K$ with $m(\tau) > 0$ where $|u(x)| \geq m$. Now

$$\|T(\chi_\tau, 0, -\chi_\tau)\| \geq m \|(\chi_\tau, 0, -\chi_\tau)\|$$

and this contradicts the continuity of T . It follows that there is a bounded Borel measurable function u_1 such that

$$T(1, 0, -1) = (u_1, 0, -u_1).$$

Similarly there are bounded Borel measurable functions u_2 and u_3 such that

$$T(0, 1, -1) = (0, u_2, -u_2),$$

$$T(1, -1, 0) = (u_3, -u_3, 0).$$

By the linearity of T , $u_1 = u_2 = u_3$ a.e. It follows that $\tilde{B}' = A(\tilde{B})$.

Finally, suppose there is $F \neq 0$ in \tilde{B} and x_1, x_2 in X such that FX is the direct sum of the cyclic subspaces

$$M(x_1) = \text{clm} \{Ex_1: E \in \tilde{B}\} \text{ and } M(x_2) = \text{clm} \{Ex_2: E \in \tilde{B}\}.$$

Then $x_1, x_2 \in FX$, $x_1 \neq 0$, and $x_2 \neq 0$, since \tilde{B} has uniform multiplicity two. It follows readily that there is a projection P in \tilde{B}' with range $M(x_1)$. However, from above every projection in \tilde{B}' lies in \tilde{B} . This contradicts the fact that \tilde{B} has uniform multiplicity two.

5. It is possible to construct a similar counterexample in which X is uniformly convex. It suffices to take in Section 3

$$\tilde{X} = L^2_{w_1} \oplus L^2_{w_2} \oplus L^2_{w_3}$$

under the norm defined by

$$\|(f_1, f_2, f_3)\| = \left[\int_0^y \{w_1(|f_1|^*)^2 + w_2(|f_2|^*)^2 + w_3(|f_3|^*)^2\} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and in Section 4 to define $g(x) = (w_2(h(x)))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for x in δ .

REFERENCES

- (1) W. G. BADE, On Boolean algebras of projections and algebras of operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1955), 345-359.
- (2) W. G. BADE, A multiplicity theory for Boolean algebras of projections on Banach spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **92** (1959), 508-530.
- (3) J. DIEUDONNÉ, Sur la bicommutante d'une algèbre d'opérateurs, *Portugal. Math.* **14** (1955), 35-38.
- (4) J. DIEUDONNÉ, Champs de vecteurs non localement triviaux, *Arch. Math.* **7** (1956), 6-10.
- (5) H. R. DOWSON, On the commutant of a complete Boolean algebra of projections, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **19** (1968), 1448-1452.
- (6) I. HALPERIN, Function spaces, *Canad. J. Math.* **5** (1953), 273-288.
- (7) G. LORENTZ, Some new functional spaces, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **51** (1950), 37-55.
- (8) G. LORENTZ, On the theory of spaces Λ , *Pacific J. Math.* **1** (1951), 411-429.
- (9) L. TZAFRIRI, On multiplicity theory for Boolean algebras of projections, *Israel J. Math.* **4** (1966), 217-224.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
 URBANA, ILLINOIS
 AND
 UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW