# ON A THEOREM OF HEILBRONN CONCERNING THE FRAGTIONAL PART OF $\theta n^{2}$ 

MING-CHIT LIU

1. In 1948 Heilbronn [4] proved the following theorem.

Theorem H. For every real $\theta$ and every positive integer $N$, there is an integer $n$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leqq n \leqq N, \quad\left\|\theta n^{2}\right\|<C(\epsilon) N^{-1 / 2+\epsilon} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is an arbitrarily small number, $C(\epsilon)$ depends only on $\epsilon$, and $\|t\|$ means the distance from to the nearest integer.

The interest of the result (1.1) is that the inequality is uniform in $\theta$, and is therefore analogous to the classical inequality of Dirichlet for the fractional part of $\theta n$. In this paper we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem. For every real $\theta$ and every positive integer $N$, there is an integer $n$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leqq n \leqq N, \quad\left\|\theta n^{2}\right\|<A N^{-1 / 2+\epsilon(N)} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is an absolute constant and $\epsilon(N)=1 / \log \log N$. Furthermore, there is a positive integer $N_{1}$ such that for each $N \geqq N_{1}$, (1.2) is true for $A=1$.
2. In what follows, we always assume that $N$ is a sufficiently large positive integer, say $N \geqq N_{0}$, such that all the subsequent asymptotic approximations and inequalities are satisfied. Thus it is difficult to define $N_{0}$ at the beginning or at any particular point. We use the following notation: $x \ll y$ means $x<A y$, where $A$ is a positive absolute constant. [t] is the integral part of $t$. $\epsilon(N)$ means $1 / \log \log N$ and for real $\alpha$, we write $e(\alpha)=\exp \{2 \pi \alpha i\}$.

We need several lemmas.
Lemma 1. Let $d(n)$ be the number of divisors of an integer $n$, including 1 and $n$. Then there exists some positive integer $n_{0}$ such that for all $n \geqq n_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(n)<n^{(3 / 4) \epsilon(n)} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Lemma 1 follows if in [3, p. 262, Theorem 317] we choose $\epsilon>0$ such that $2^{1+\epsilon} \leqq e^{3 / 4}$.

It is remarked that for $n>e^{e}, n^{(3 / 4) \epsilon(n)}$ is an increasing sequence tending to infinity and $\log n=o\left(n^{a \epsilon(n)}\right)$ for any positive constant $a$.

Lemma 2. Suppose that $\Delta$ is a number satisfying $0<\Delta<\frac{1}{2}$ and $r$ is a positive integer. Then there exists a real function $\psi(x)$, periodic with period 1 , which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x)=0 \quad \text { if }\|x\| \geqq \Delta, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\psi(x)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{k} e(k x),
$$

where $c_{k}$ are real and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}=\Delta, \quad\left|c_{k}\right| \ll \min \left(\Delta,\left(\frac{r}{\pi}\right)^{r} \Delta^{-r}|k|^{-r-1}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k \neq 0$.
Proof. This is a particular case of [6, p. 32, Lemma 12] with $\beta=-\alpha=\frac{1}{2} \Delta$.
Lemma 3. Let $S=\sum_{n=1}^{N} e\left(\theta n^{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S|^{2} \ll\left(N+N^{(3 / 4) \epsilon(N)} \sum_{m=1}^{2 N} \min \left(N, \frac{1}{\|\theta m\|}\right)\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Replacing $\epsilon$ in [1, p. 229, Theorem 5.7] by $\frac{3}{4} \epsilon(N)$ and using our Lemma 1 we can prove Lemma 3 in exactly the same way as [1, Theorem 5.7].

Lemma 4. Let

$$
q>0, \quad\left|\theta-\frac{a}{q}\right|<\frac{1}{q^{2}}, \quad(a, q)=1 .
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \min \left(N, \frac{1}{\|\theta j\|}\right) \ll(N+q \log q)
$$

where $p$ is some positive integer.
Proof. Lemma 4 is well known. See, for example, [5, p. 23, Lemma 3.5].
3. The proof of the theorem is essentially a refinement of Davenport's method [2]. We suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\theta n^{2}\right\| \geqq N^{-1 / 2+\epsilon(N)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n=1,2, \ldots, N$. Putting $\Delta=N^{-1 / 2+\epsilon(N)}$ in Lemma 2 we have

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} \psi\left(\theta n^{2}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{k} e\left(k \theta n^{2}\right)=c_{0} N+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k} S_{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{-k} S_{-k}=0
$$

where $S_{k}=\sum_{n=1}^{N} e\left(k \theta n^{2}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta N \leqq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{k} S_{k}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{-k} S_{-k}\right|=T_{1}+T_{2}, \quad \text { say } \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first estimate the value of $T_{1}$.

$$
T_{1}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{k} S_{k}\right|=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{M}+\sum_{M+1}^{\infty}\right)\left|c_{k} S_{k}\right|=T_{11}+T_{12}, \quad \text { say },
$$

where $M=\left[N^{1 / 2-(31 / 32) \epsilon(N)}\right]$. By (2.3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{12} & =\sum_{k=M+1}^{\infty}\left|c_{k} S_{k}\right|  \tag{3.3}\\
& \ll N\left(\frac{r}{\pi}\right)^{r} \Delta^{-r} \sum_{k=M+1}^{\infty} k^{-r-1} \\
& \ll N r^{r-1} \Delta^{-r} M^{-r} \\
& \ll N \Delta\left(r^{r} \Delta^{-r-1} M^{-r}\right) . \\
T_{11} & =\sum_{k=1}^{M}\left|c_{k} S_{k}\right|  \tag{3.4}\\
& \ll \Delta \sum_{k=1}^{M}\left|S_{k}\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $S_{-k}=\bar{S}_{k}$, we have the same estimate of the value of $T_{2}$. It follows from (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(1-A r^{r} \Delta^{-r-1} M^{-r}\right) \ll \sum_{k=1}^{M}\left|S_{k}\right|, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is some absolute constant. Putting $r=[32 / \epsilon(N)]=[32 \log \log N]$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{r} \Delta^{-r-1} M^{-r} & \ll r^{r} N^{1 / 2-(1 / 32) r \epsilon(N)-\epsilon(N)} \\
& \ll(32 \log \log N)^{(32 \log \log N)} N^{-1 / 2} \\
& =o(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (3.5) that $N \ll \sum_{k=1}^{M}\left|S_{k}\right|$. Using Hölder's inequality we have

$$
M^{-1} N^{2} \ll \sum_{k=1}^{M}\left|S_{k}\right|^{2} .
$$

By Lemma 3 we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{-1} N^{2} & \ll \sum_{k=1}^{M}\left(N+N^{(3 / 4) \epsilon(N)} \sum_{m=1}^{2 N} \min \left(N, \frac{1}{\|\theta k m\|}\right)\right) \\
& \ll M N+N^{(3 / 4) \epsilon(N)} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \sum_{m=1}^{2 N} \min \left(N, \frac{1}{\|\theta k m\|}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $M^{2} N^{-1} \leqq N^{-\epsilon(N)}=o(1)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{-1} N^{2-(3 / 4) \epsilon(N)} \ll \sum_{k=1}^{M} \sum_{m=1}^{2 N} \min \left(N, \frac{1}{\|\theta k m\|}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $j=k m(k=1,2, \ldots, M ; m=1,2, \ldots, 2 N)$. Since, by Lemma 1 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(j) & \ll(2 M N)^{(3 / 4) \epsilon(2 M N)} \\
& \ll N^{(9 / 8) \epsilon(N)},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{-1} N^{2-(15 / 8) \epsilon(N)} \ll \sum_{j=1}^{2 M N} \min \left(N, \frac{1}{\|\theta j\|}\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $a / q$ is any irreducible fraction such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\theta-\frac{a}{q}\right|<1 / q^{2} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We divide the sum on the right of (3.7) into blocks of $q$ terms. The number of blocks is at most $q^{-1} 2 M N+1$. By Lemma 4 we see that

$$
M^{-1} N^{2-(15 / 8) \epsilon(N)} \ll\left(q^{-1} M N+1\right)(N+q \log q) .
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \leqq M^{-1} N^{2-2 \epsilon(N)} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
N & \ll M^{-1} N^{2-(15 / 8) \epsilon(N)} N^{-1 / 2}=o\left(M^{-1} N^{2-(15 / 8) \epsilon(N)}\right) ; \\
M N \log q & \ll M^{-1} N^{2-(15 / 8) \epsilon(N)}\left(N^{-(1 / 16) \epsilon(N)} \log N\right) \\
& =o\left(M^{-1} N^{2-(15 / 8) \epsilon(N)}\right) ; \\
q \log q & \ll M^{-1} N^{2-(15 / 8) \epsilon(N)}\left(N^{-(1 / 8) \epsilon(N)} \log N\right) \\
& =o\left(M^{-1} N^{2-(15 / 8) \epsilon(N)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$
M^{-1} N^{2-(15 / 8) \epsilon(N)} \ll q^{-1} M N^{2}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
q & \ll M^{2} N^{(15 / 8) \epsilon(N)}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \ll N^{1-(1 / 16) \epsilon(N)} \\
& \leqq N .
\end{align*}
$$

Then the consequence of the assumption (3.1) made at the beginning of this section is that if $a / q$ satisfies (3.8) and (3.9), then it necessarily satisfies (3.10). By Dirichlet's theorem, there exists $a / q$ such that $q \leqq M^{-1} N^{2-2 \epsilon(N)}$ and

$$
\left|\theta-\frac{a}{q}\right|<q^{-1} M N^{-2+2 \epsilon(N)}
$$

This $q$ must also satisfy (3.10). Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\theta q^{2}\right\| & <\left|\theta q^{2}-a q\right| \\
& <q M N^{-2+2 \epsilon(N)} \\
& \ll N^{-1 / 2+(31 / 32) \epsilon(N)} \\
& <A N^{-(1 / 32) \epsilon(N)} N^{-1 / 2+\epsilon(N)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $q=n$ and define $N_{1}>N_{0}$ such that $A N_{1}^{-(1 / 32) \epsilon\left(N_{1}\right)}<1$. This proves the theorem.
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