

## CORRIGENDUM

# Strongly ergodic equivalence relations: spectral gap and type III invariants – CORRIGENDUM

CYRIL HOUDAYER, AMINE MARRAKCHI, PETER VERRAEDT

doi:10.1017/etds.2017.108, Published by Cambridge University Press,  
27 November 2017.

### 1. Introduction

There is a gap in the proof of [HMV17, Theorem 4.1] which was noticed by Stefaan Vaes. The gap is in the very last line of the proof: we apply [HMV17, Proposition 4.3] to conclude that  $\mathcal{R} \times_{\Omega} G$  is strongly ergodic, but in order to do this, we have first to check that  $\mathcal{R} \times_{\Omega} G$  is ergodic. Let us explain how the proof can be corrected.

### 2. Correction of the proof of [HMV17, Theorem 4.1]

First, we generalize slightly [HMV17, Proposition 4.3] in the following form.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an equivalence relation on a standard measure space  $X$ . Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a non-singular action of an amenable countable discrete group by automorphisms of  $\mathcal{R}$ . If the equivalence relation generated by  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$  is strongly ergodic, then there exists some  $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant subset  $\emptyset \neq Y \subset X$  such that  $\mathcal{R}_Y$  is strongly ergodic.*

*Proof.* We reduce to the case where  $\mathcal{R}$  is ergodic, which was already proved in the original version. Since the equivalence relation generated by  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$  is strongly ergodic, the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $L^{\infty}(X)^{\mathcal{R}}$  must be strongly ergodic. But since  $\Gamma$  is amenable, this is only possible if the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $L^{\infty}(X)^{\mathcal{R}}$  is transitive, i.e.  $L^{\infty}(X)^{\mathcal{R}}$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivariantly isomorphic to  $\ell^{\infty}(\Gamma/H)$  for some subgroup  $H < \Gamma$ . Take an  $H$ -invariant and  $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant subset  $\emptyset \neq Y \subset X$  corresponding to an  $H$ -invariant atom of  $L^{\infty}(X)^{\mathcal{R}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}_Y$  is ergodic and the equivalence relation generated by  $\mathcal{R}_Y$  and  $\mathcal{R}(H \curvearrowright Y)$  must be strongly ergodic. By the ergodic case which is already known, we conclude that  $\mathcal{R}_Y$  is strongly ergodic.  $\square$

We also need to use the notion of Mackey range of a cocycle. Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an equivalence relation on a standard measure space  $X$ ,  $G$  a locally compact second countable abelian group and  $\Omega \in Z^1(\mathcal{R}, G)$  a 1-cocycle. Then the translation action of  $G$  on  $X \times G$  preserves the skew-product equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R} \times_{\Omega} G$ . In particular, it induces an action  $\eta_{\Omega} : G \curvearrowright L^{\infty}(X \times G)^{\mathcal{R} \times_{\Omega} G}$ . This action  $\eta_{\Omega}$  is called the *Mackey range* of  $\Omega$ . It is ergodic if and only if  $\mathcal{R}$  is ergodic.

We sketch the proof of the following well-known observation which relates the kernel of  $[\widehat{\Omega}]$  to the kernel of the Mackey range  $\eta_\Omega$  of the cocycle  $\Omega$ . Recall that a  $G$ -action is *transitive* if it is conjugate to  $G \curvearrowright G/H$  for some closed subgroup  $H < G$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an ergodic equivalence relation on a standard measure space  $X$ . Let  $\Omega \in Z^1(\mathcal{R}, G)$  be a measurable 1-cocycle with values in a second countable locally compact abelian group  $G$ . Let  $\eta_\Omega : G \curvearrowright L^\infty(X \times G)^{\mathcal{R} \times_\Omega G}$  be its Mackey range. Then we have*

$$\ker[\widehat{\Omega}] \subset (\ker \eta_\Omega)^\perp = \{p \in \widehat{G} \mid \langle p, g \rangle = 1 \text{ for all } g \in \ker \eta_\Omega\}$$

and the equality  $\ker[\widehat{\Omega}] = (\ker \eta_\Omega)^\perp$  holds if  $\eta_\Omega$  is transitive.

*Proof.* Take  $p \in \ker[\widehat{\Omega}]$ . Then  $\widehat{\Omega}(p) = \partial u$  for some  $u \in L^0(X, \mathbf{T})$ . By definition of the skew-product construction, we get the relation  $\partial(1 \otimes p) = \partial(u \otimes 1)$ , where we view  $1 \otimes p$  as an element of  $L^0(X \times G, \mathbf{T})$ . Therefore, we have  $\partial(1 \otimes p) = \partial(u \otimes 1)$ . This means that  $u^* \otimes p$  is  $\mathcal{R} \times_\Omega G$ -invariant. Since  $\eta_\Omega(g)(u^* \otimes p) = \langle p, g \rangle (u^* \otimes p)$  for all  $g \in G$ , we conclude that  $\langle p, g \rangle = 1$  for all  $g \in \ker \eta_\Omega$ .

Now suppose that  $\eta_\Omega$  is transitive. Then  $\eta_\Omega$  is conjugate to  $G \curvearrowright G/H$  where  $H = \ker \eta_\Omega$ . Take  $p \in H^\perp$ . Since we can identify  $L^\infty(X \times G)^{\mathcal{R} \times_\Omega G}$  with  $L^\infty(G/H)$  in a  $G$ -equivariant way, we can find some  $\mathcal{R} \times_\Omega G$ -invariant function  $f$  on  $X \times G$  such that  $g \cdot f = \langle p, g \rangle f$  for all  $g \in G$ . This forces  $f$  to be of the form  $f = u^* \otimes p$  where we view  $p$  as a function on  $G$ . Then, as above, we get  $\widehat{\Omega}(p) = \partial u$  and hence  $p \in \ker[\widehat{\Omega}]$ . □

We can finally correct the end of the proof of [HMV17, Theorem 4.1].

*Proof.* (...) Moreover,  $\mathcal{Q}$  is generated by  $\mathcal{R} \times_\Omega G$  and the orbit equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}(H \curvearrowright X \times G)$  of the translation action  $H \curvearrowright X \times G$  on the second coordinate. By Lemma 2.1, we conclude that  $(\mathcal{R} \times_\Omega G)_Y$  is strongly ergodic for some  $\mathcal{R} \times_\Omega G$ -invariant subset  $\emptyset \neq Y \subset X \times G$ . In particular, this implies that  $L^\infty(X \times G)^{\mathcal{R} \times_\Omega G}$  is atomic, and hence that  $\eta_\Omega$  is transitive. Since  $[\widehat{\Omega}]$  is injective, Proposition 2.2 implies that  $\mathcal{R} \times_\Omega G$  is ergodic. We conclude that  $Y = X$  and we are done. □

*Acknowledgements.* We are grateful to Stefaan Vaes for pointing out the gap in the proof of [HMV17, Theorem 4.1]. CH is supported by Institut Universitaire de France and FY2019 JSPS Invitational Fellowship for Research in Japan (long term).

REFERENCES

[HMV17] C. Houdayer, A. Marrakchi and P. Verraedt. Strongly ergodic equivalence relations: spectral gap and type III invariants. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **39** (2019), 1904–1935.