

OP134 Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review Decisions And Access To Anticancer Treatments In Canada

AUTHORS:

Ambrish Singh (ambrishagastya@gmail.com),
Salman Hussain

INTRODUCTION:

The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review (pCODR) plays an important role in public reimbursement decision-making for oncology drugs in Canada. This research studies the relation of positive pCODR decisions to new cancer treatment and their subsequent inclusion in Canada's public drug plans.

METHODS:

We studied all oncology drugs that received an approval from Health Canada and were reviewed by the pCODR from inception till 26th Sep, 2017. The data was obtained from CADTH and Health Canada. Data such as indication, submission type and date, recommendation date, final recommendation, and subsequent provincial funding status was extracted and analyzed. Impact was evaluated by analyzing the percentage of drug submissions with assessment outcome (positive recommendation rate and conditional recommendation rate) and time taken for the final decision (recommendation gap). The percentage of drugs included in public formulary after positive recommendation by pCODR (coverage rate) and the gap in days from positive recommendation to subsequent coverage in provinces (coverage gap) was also assessed.

RESULTS:

Among 119 drugs reviewed by pCODR, the positive recommendation rate was eight percent. Nine applications comprising seven drugs for six indications received positive recommendations, and genitourinary treatments received maximum positive recommendations. The conditional recommendation rate was 52 percent; 62 applications of 45 drugs for 46 indications received conditional recommendation. Lymphoma and myeloma treatments received maximum conditional recommendations. The average recommendation gap for positive and conditional recommendations was 180 and 172 days, respectively. The coverage rate for drugs with positive recommendation was 100 percent for all provinces except 89 percent for

Newfoundland and Labrador, and 67 percent for Prince Edward Island. Among the provinces, British Columbia had a maximum of 433 days and Saskatchewan has the minimum of 165 days coverage gap.

CONCLUSIONS:

Despite Health Canada's approval, only a fraction of oncology drugs receive positive pCODR recommendation; furthermore, provincial drug plans take time to include these in the reimbursement formularies. While health technology assessment is crucial for appropriate allocation of limited resources, efforts should also be made to reduce access barriers, particularly to positively recommended oncology drugs inclusion in provincial formularies.

OP136 Full Texts Versus Conference Abstract Data: How Does The Message Change?

AUTHORS:

Jo Varley-Campbell (j.varley-campbell@exeter.ac.uk),
Chris Cooper, Helen Coelho, Sophie Dodman,
Max Barnish, Ruben Mujica-Mota, Christopher Hyde,
Martin Hoyle

INTRODUCTION:

High quality evidence for test accuracy can be scarce. We assessed the test accuracy of two tests (Actim Partus and PartoSure) for the prediction of preterm birth. Twenty published full-text papers were included whilst conference abstracts were excluded. Since systematic reviews of diagnostic tests on other topics may need to rely on data from conference abstracts, we test whether the findings of our review would change with conference abstracts included.

METHODS:

Conference citations previously excluded (n=108) were re-screened for inclusion using the following criteria: i) the diagnostic test was Actim Partus or PartoSure ii) test accuracy data of preterm delivery within seven days was reported iii) the population was women with signs/symptoms of preterm labor with intact membranes. Relevant test accuracy data were extracted and used to calculate sensitivity and specificity. Pooled sensitivity and specificity for each test were run using data from full-text papers and conference abstracts combined.

These values were compared with the pooled sensitivities and specificities produced for the systematic review using full-text papers only.

RESULTS:

Preliminary pooled sensitivities of the sixteen full-text Actim Partus studies and sixteen full-texts and two abstracts were 0.77 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.68, 0.83) and 0.76 (95% CI 0.69, 0.83) respectively whilst pooled specificities were 0.81 (95% CI 0.76, 0.85) and 0.80 (95% CI 0.75, 0.84) respectively. Preliminary, pooled sensitivities of the four full-text PartoSure studies and four full-texts and three abstracts were 0.83 (95% CI 0.61, 0.94) and 0.82 (95% CI 0.65, 0.92), respectively, whilst pooled specificities were 0.95 (95% CI 0.89, 0.98) and 0.96 (95% CI 0.94, 0.97), respectively.

CONCLUSIONS:

Our findings suggest that the test accuracy results would not alter substantially with the inclusion of conference abstracts. However, work is ongoing to investigate how the assessment of heterogeneity and risk of bias across studies would alter given the difficulties associated with limited methodological reporting from conference abstracts.

OP139 Not Using Data From 'Failed' Primary Research Undermines Health Technology Assessment Reporting

AUTHORS:

Andrew Cook (andrewc@soton.ac.uk)

INTRODUCTION:

The reliability of health technology assessment (HTA) is built on accessing evidence systematically to inform conclusions and recommendations; however, the availability of primary evidence is a source of bias which can undermine an HTA. This omission is often because attempts to generate primary evidence have not been completely successful. Where partial evidence exists, ignoring it constitutes avoidable bias. Taking the Hip Op trial as an example (a study of developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH)) we consider how despite lack of quantitative outcomes data, rich information was obtained that should inform HTA in this area.

METHODS:

The Hip Op trial was an open label trial comparing early against late surgery in the management of DDH. In parallel, a qualitative study attempted to explore the experience of parents of children with DDH.

RESULTS:

The trial protocol called for recruitment of 636 children, but due to changes in clinician equipoise and service configuration only 29 could be recruited. The trial was stopped early. While baseline data for the 29 children was available, no estimate of effect was attempted due to a lack of outcome data; however, the qualitative data was rich, representing the biggest qualitative sample worldwide on this topic. It reflected the patient experience, and shows a clear preference towards early intervention, despite the absence of quantitative evidence.

CONCLUSIONS:

The qualitative work here gives a clear indication that parents have a strong preference. This is data which would not be captured in traditional HTA reports, which tend to focus on quantitative data and meta-analysis. This is, however, information that is important to patients, and should inform clinicians and payers. We discuss how HTA do-ers should make efforts to find this data from 'failed' primary research and incorporate it into their reports, and how HTA do-ers could be alert to this situation.

OP141 A Patient-Reported Outcome Measure For Hemorrhoidal Disease

AUTHORS:

Robin van Tol, Merel Kimman (merel.kimman@mumc.nl), Jarno Melenhorst, Laurents Stassen, Stephanie Breukink, Carmen Dirksen

INTRODUCTION:

Treatment options for hemorrhoidal disease (HD) include conservative treatment (e.g. laxatives), rubber band ligation, and more invasive surgical treatment options. Outcomes reported in clinical trials evaluating treatment effectiveness are heterogeneous, making comparisons difficult. Moreover, clinical outcomes, such as recurrence, complications and symptoms, do not fully represent the relevant benefits and harms of treatment