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Abstract For $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and $r \in(0,1)$, let $\mu_{a}(r)$ be the so-called generalized Grötzsch function which appears in Ramanujan's generalized modular equations. In this paper, several sharp inequalities for $\mu_{a}(r)$ are obtained and a conjecture on $\mu_{a}(r)$, which was presented by Qiu and Vuorinen in 1999, is proved.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we let $r^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-r^{2}}$ for $r \in(0,1)$. For $x>0$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} \mathrm{e}^{-t} \mathrm{~d} t \quad \text { and } \quad \Psi(x)=\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(x)}{\Gamma(x)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the classical Euler gamma function and psi function, respectively. For real numbers $a, b$ and $c$ with $c \neq 0,-1,-2, \ldots$, the Gaussian hypergeometric function is defined by [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(a, b ; c ; x)={ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b ; c ; x) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, n)(b, n)}{(c, n)} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \quad \text { for }|x|<1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $(a, 0)=1$ for $a \neq 0$, and $(a, n)$ is the shifted factorial function

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a, n) \equiv a(a+1)(a+2) \cdots(a+n-1)=\frac{\Gamma(n+a)}{\Gamma(a)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \in \boldsymbol{N} \equiv\{k: k$ is a positive integer $\}$. For $r \in(0,1)$ and $a \in(0,1)$, the generalized elliptic integrals(cf. [5, §5.5]) are defined as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{K}_{a}=\mathcal{K}_{a}(r) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \pi F\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; r^{2}\right)  \tag{1.4}\\
\mathcal{K}_{a}^{\prime}=\mathcal{K}_{a}^{\prime}(r) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{a}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \\
\mathcal{K}_{a}(0)=\frac{1}{2} \pi, \quad \mathcal{K}_{a}(1)=\infty
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{E}_{a}=\mathcal{E}_{a}(r) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \pi F\left(a-1,1-a ; 1 ; r^{2}\right)  \tag{1.5}\\
\mathcal{E}_{a}^{\prime}=\mathcal{E}_{a}^{\prime}(r) \equiv \mathcal{E}_{a}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \\
\mathcal{E}_{a}(0)=\frac{1}{2} \pi, \quad \mathcal{E}_{a}(1)=\frac{\sin (\pi a)}{2(1-a)}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In the particular case $a=\frac{1}{2}$, the functions $\mathcal{K}_{a}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{a}$ reduce to $\mathcal{K}(r)$ and $\mathcal{E}(r)$, respectively, which are the well-known complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind, respectively (cf. [6]). By symmetry of $a$ and $b$ in (1.2), it is obvious that $\mathcal{K}_{a}=\mathcal{K}_{1-a}$ for $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. Hence, we may assume that $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ in the following. For $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and $r \in(0,1)$, define the generalized Grötzsch function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{a}(r) \equiv \frac{\pi}{2 \sin (\pi a)} \frac{\mathcal{K}_{a}^{\prime}(r)}{\mathcal{K}_{a}(r)}, \quad \mu(r)=\mu_{1 / 2}(r) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\mu_{a}(r)$ plays a very important role in some fields of mathematics. For instance, it is indispensable in geometric function theory, quasiconformal theory and the theory of Ramanujan's modular equations (see $[\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{7}])$. In the general case $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, however, the known properties of $\mu_{a}(r)$ are fewer than those of $\mu(r)$, which is the modulus of the Grötzsch ring domain in the plane. One of the tasks for the study of the properties of $\mu_{a}(r)$ is to extend the known results for $\mu(r)$ to the function $\mu_{a}(r)$. On the other hand, the comparison of $\mu_{a}(r)$ and $\mu(r)$ will enable us to use the known bounds of $\mu(r)$ to give estimates for $\mu_{a}(r)$. In this paper we shall extend some well-known results for $\mu(r)$ to $\mu_{a}(r)$, and give bounds of $\mu_{a}(r)$ in terms of $\mu(r)$ as well as in terms of elementary functions. We shall also prove a conjecture concerning $\mu_{a}(r)[\mathbf{9}]$, and show some properties of $\mathcal{K}_{a}$.

## 2. Main results

We now state our main results. Our first result answers the question of whether the well-known identities [2, (5.2) and (5.4)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mu\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right) \equiv \mu(r), \quad \mu\left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right) \equiv 2 \mu\left(r^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be extended to $\mu_{a}(r)$.

## Theorem 2.1.

(i) For each $r \in(0,1)$, the function

$$
f(a, r) \equiv 2 \mu_{a}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right)-\mu_{a}(r)
$$

is strictly decreasing in $a$ from ( $\left.0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ onto $[0, \infty)$. In particular, for all $r \in(0,1)$ and $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mu_{a}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right) \geqslant \mu_{a}(r) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $a=\frac{1}{2}$.
(ii) For each $r \in(0,1)$, the function

$$
g(a, r) \equiv \mu_{a}\left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)-2 \mu_{a}\left(r^{\prime}\right)
$$

is strictly increasing in a from $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ onto $(-\infty, 0]$. In particular, for all $r \in(0,1)$ and $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{a}\left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right) \leqslant 2 \mu_{a}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $a=\frac{1}{2}$.
The next theorem gives comparisons of $\mu_{a}(r)$ and $\mu(r)$.

## Theorem 2.2.

(i) For each $r \in(0,1)$, the function $h(a, r) \equiv a^{2} \mu_{a}(r)$ is strictly increasing in $a$ from ( $0, \frac{1}{2}$ ] onto ( $0, \frac{1}{4} \mu(r)$ ].
(ii) For each $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$, the function $H(r) \equiv \mu_{a}(r) / \mu(r)$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left(1,1 / \sin ^{2}(\pi a)\right)$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(r) \leqslant \mu_{a}(r) \leqslant \frac{1}{\sin ^{2}(\pi a)} \mu(r) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $a=\frac{1}{2}$.
Applying Theorem 2.2, one can easily derive monotonicity properties of certain functions defined in terms of $\mu_{a}(r)$ and some elementary functions. As an example, we give the following theorem.

## Theorem 2.3.

(i) The function

$$
f_{1}(r) \equiv \frac{\mu_{a}(r)}{\sqrt{r^{\prime}} \log (4 / r)}
$$

is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(1, \infty)$.
(ii) The function

$$
f_{2}(r) \equiv \frac{\mu_{a}(r)}{\operatorname{arth} \sqrt[4]{r^{\prime}}}
$$

is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(1, \infty)$.
(iii) The function $f_{3}(r) \equiv \mu_{a}(r)$ arth $\sqrt[4]{r}$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto

$$
\left(0, \frac{\pi^{2}}{4 \sin ^{2}(\pi a)}\right)
$$

Remark 2.4. In [11, Theorem 1.14], the monotonicity properties of $f(a, r)$ and $g(a, r)$ as functions of $r \in(0,1)$, have been obtained, and [11, Theorem 1.22] says that the function $\mu_{a}(r)$ is strictly decreasing from $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ onto $[\mu(r), \infty)$ with respect to $a$ for given $r$.

In [9], it was conjectured that the function $f_{2}(r) \equiv \mu_{a}(r) /$ arth $\sqrt[4]{r^{\prime}}$ is increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(1, \infty)$. Theorem 2.3 (ii) gives the proof of this conjecture.

## 3. Preliminaries

In this section, we establish the following technical lemma, which shows some properties of $\mathcal{K}_{a}$ and is needed in the proofs of the main theorems.

## Lemma 3.1.

(i) For $x \geqslant 0$ and $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, let $b=1-a$. Then the function $f_{1}(x) \equiv \Psi(x+a)-\Psi(x+b)$ is strictly increasing and concave from $[0, \infty)$ onto $[\Psi(a)-\Psi(b), 0)$.
(ii) For each $n$, the function $f_{2}(a) \equiv(a, n)(1-a, n)$ is strictly increasing on $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$, while the function $g(a) \equiv f_{2}(a) / a$ is strictly decreasing on $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$.
(iii) For $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$, the function

$$
f_{3}(r) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \log \mathcal{K}_{a}(r)
$$

is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$.
(iv) The function $f_{4}(a) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{a} / a$ is strictly decreasing from $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ onto $[2 \mathcal{K}(r), \infty)$.
(v) The function

$$
f_{5}(r) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{K}(r)}{\mathcal{K}_{a}(r)}
$$

is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(1,1 / \sin (\pi a))$.
Proof. (i) It is well-known that $\Psi^{\prime}$ and $\Psi^{\prime \prime}$ are strictly decreasing and increasing, respectively, on $(0, \infty)$. Since $a<b, f_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\Psi^{\prime}(x+a)-\Psi^{\prime}(x+b)>0$, and $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)=$ $\Psi^{\prime \prime}(x+a)-\Psi^{\prime \prime}(x+b)<0$. Thus, the result for $f_{1}$ follows.
(ii) It follows from (1.3) that

$$
f_{2}(a)=(a, n)(1-a, n)=\frac{\Gamma(n+a)}{\Gamma(a)} \frac{\Gamma(n+1-a)}{\Gamma(1-a)}
$$

By logarithmic differentiation, we have

$$
f_{2}^{\prime}(a)=f_{2}(a)\left[f_{1}(n)-f_{1}(0)\right]
$$

which is a product of two positive functions by part (i). Hence, the monotonicity of $f_{2}$ follows.

By the reflection property of the gamma function [12],

$$
\Gamma(x) \Gamma(1-x)=\frac{\pi}{\sin (\pi x)}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(a)=\frac{\sin (\pi a)}{\pi a}[\Gamma(n+a) \Gamma(n+1-a)] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} a} \log [\Gamma(n+a) \Gamma(n+1-a)]=f_{1}(n)<0,
$$

$\Gamma(n+a) \Gamma(n+1-a)$ is strictly decreasing in $a$. Clearly, $\sin (\pi a) /(\pi a)$ is strictly decreasing in $a$ on ( $0, \frac{1}{2}$ ]. Hence, the right-hand side of (3.1) is a product of two positive and strictly decreasing functions, so that $g$ is strictly decreasing in $a$.
(iii) By (1.2), we have

$$
f_{3}(r)=\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n} r^{2 n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n} r^{2 n}},
$$

where $A_{n}=f_{2}(a)\left[f_{1}(n)-f_{1}(0)\right] /(n!)^{2}$ and $B_{n}=f_{2}(a) /(n!)^{2}$. Since $A_{n} / B_{n}=f_{1}(n)-$ $f_{1}(0)$ is strictly increasing in $n$ by part (i), $f_{3}$ is strictly increasing in $r$ by [8, Lemma 2.1].
(iv) By (1.2),

$$
f_{4}(a)=\frac{\pi}{2}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{g(a)}{(n!)^{2}} r^{2 n}\right],
$$

and hence the monotonicity of $f_{4}$ follows from part (ii). The limiting values are clear.
(v) Write

$$
C_{n}=\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}, n\right)^{2}}{(a, n)(1-a, n)} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{n+1}-C_{n} & =\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}, n\right)^{2}}{(a, n)(1-a, n)}\left[\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}+n\right)^{2}}{(a+n)(1-a+n)}-1\right] \\
& =\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}, n\right)^{2}}{(a, n)(1-a, n)} \frac{\frac{1}{4}-a(1-a)}{(a+n)(1-a+n)} \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $C_{n}$ is strictly increasing in $n$. By (1.2),

$$
\frac{\mathcal{K}(r)}{\mathcal{K}_{a}(r)}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}, n\right)^{2}}{(n!)^{2}} r^{2 n}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, n)(1-a, n)}{(n!)^{2}} r^{2 n}\right)^{-1},
$$

which is strictly increasing by [8, Lemma 2.1]. $f_{5}\left(0^{+}\right)=1$ is clear. By l'Hôpital's rule,

$$
f_{5}\left(1^{-}\right)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}}{2(1-a)\left(\mathcal{E}_{a}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}_{a}\right)}=\frac{1}{\sin (\pi a)} .
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

## 4. Proofs of main theorems

Proof of Theorem 2.1. (i) Let $x=2 \sqrt{r} /(1+r)$. Then $x^{\prime}=(1-r) /(1+r), \mathrm{d} x / \mathrm{d} r=$ $x^{\prime} x / 2 r$.

Differentiation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} & =\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} r}\left[2 \mu_{a}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right)-\mu_{a}(r)\right] \\
& =-2 \frac{1}{x x^{\prime 2} F\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; x^{2}\right)^{2}} \frac{x x^{\prime}}{2 r}+\frac{1}{r r^{\prime 2} F\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; r^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{r r^{\prime 2}}\left[\frac{1}{F\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; r^{2}\right)^{2}}-(1+r)^{2} \frac{1}{F\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; x^{2}\right)^{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Landen inequalities [10, Theorem 1.2] and Lemma 3.1 (iii), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial(\partial f / \partial r)}{\partial a} & =\frac{1}{r r^{\prime 2}}\left[-2 \frac{F_{a}^{\prime}\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; r^{2}\right)}{F\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; r^{2}\right)^{3}}+2(1+r)^{2} \frac{F_{a}^{\prime}\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; x^{2}\right)}{F\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; x^{2}\right)^{3}}\right] \\
& \geqslant \frac{2}{r r^{\prime 2}}\left[\frac{F_{a}^{\prime}\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; x^{2}\right)}{F\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; x^{2}\right) F\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; r^{2}\right)^{2}}-\frac{F_{a}^{\prime}\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; r^{2}\right)}{F\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; r^{2}\right)^{3}}\right] \\
& =\frac{2}{r r^{\prime 2} F\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; r^{2}\right)^{2}}\left[f_{3}(x)-f_{3}(r)\right] \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
F_{a}^{\prime}\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; r^{2}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial a} F\left(a, 1-a ; 1 ; r^{2}\right)
$$

It follows that $\partial f / \partial r$ is strictly increasing in $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Hence, for $0<a<b \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial f(a, r)}{\partial r}<\frac{\partial f(b, r)}{\partial r}
$$

By integration,

$$
\int_{r}^{1} \frac{\partial f(a, r)}{\partial r} \mathrm{~d} r<\int_{r}^{1} \frac{\partial f(b, r)}{\partial r} \mathrm{~d} r
$$

hence,

$$
f(a, r)>f(b, r)
$$

This yields the monotonicity of $f(a, r)$ in $a$.
For $r \in(0,1), f\left(\frac{1}{2}, r\right)=0$ by $(2.1)$. Write $A(a, n)=(a, n)(1-a, n) /(n!)^{2}$ and $B(a, n)=$ $\Gamma(n+a) \Gamma(n+1-a) /(n!)^{2}$. By (1.2) and (1.6),

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(a, r) & =2 \frac{\pi}{2 \sin (\pi a)} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A(a, n) x^{\prime 2 n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A(a, n) x^{2 n}}-\frac{\pi}{2 \sin (\pi a)} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A(a, n) r^{\prime 2 n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A(a, n) r^{2 n}} \\
& =\frac{\pi}{\sin (\pi a)}\left\{\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sin (\pi a) \pi^{-1} B(a, n) x^{\prime 2 n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sin (\pi a) \pi^{-1} B(a, n) x^{2 n}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sin (\pi a) \pi^{-1} B(a, n) r^{\prime 2 n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sin (\pi a) \pi^{-1} B(a, n) r^{2 n}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\pi}{\sin (\pi a)}\left\{\frac{1+\sin (\pi a) \pi^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B(a, n) x^{2 n}}{1+\sin (\pi a) \pi^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B(a, n) x^{2 n}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1+\sin (\pi a) \pi^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B(a, n) r^{\prime 2 n}}{1+\sin (\pi a) \pi^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B(a, n) r^{2 n}}\right\} \\
& \sim \frac{\pi}{2 \sin (\pi a)}(a \rightarrow 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $f\left(0^{+}, r\right)=\infty$. The inequality (2.2) and its equality case are clear.
(ii) Let $t=(1-r) /(1+r)$. Then $r^{\prime}=2 \sqrt{t} /(1+t)$, and $g(a, r)=-f(a, t)$. Hence, the assertion about $g$ follows from part (i).

Proof of Theorem 2.2. (i) By [3, Theorem 4.1(5)], we have

$$
h_{r}(a, r) \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d} h}{\mathrm{~d} r}=-\frac{\pi^{2}}{4} \frac{a^{2}}{r r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}_{a}^{2}}
$$

which is strictly decreasing in $a$ by Lemma 3.1 (iv). Therefore, for $0<a<b \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\int_{r}^{1} h_{r}(a, r) \mathrm{d} r>\int_{r}^{1} h_{r}(b, r) \mathrm{d} r .
$$

This gives

$$
a^{2} \mu_{a}(r)<b^{2} \mu_{b}(r)
$$

and hence $h(a, r)$ is strictly increasing in $a$. Clearly, $h\left(\frac{1}{2}, r\right)=\frac{1}{4} \mu(r)$. Let $A(a, n)$ and $B(a, n)$ be as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 (i). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(0^{+}, r\right) & =\lim _{a \rightarrow 0^{+}} a^{2} \frac{\pi}{2 \sin (\pi a)} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A(a, n) r^{\prime 2 n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A(a, n) r^{2 n}} \\
& =\lim _{a \rightarrow 0^{+}} a \frac{\pi a}{\sin (\pi a)} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sin (\pi a) \pi^{-1} B(a, n) r^{\prime 2 n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sin (\pi a) \pi^{-1} B(a, n) r^{2 n}} \\
& =\lim _{a \rightarrow 0^{+}} a \frac{\pi a}{\sin (\pi a)} \frac{1+\sin (\pi a) \pi^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B(a, n) r^{\prime 2 n}}{1+\sin (\pi a) \pi^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B(a, n) r^{2 n}} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Write $H_{1}(r)=\mu_{a}(r)$ and $H_{2}(r)=\mu(r)$. Then $H_{1}\left(1^{-}\right)=H_{2}\left(1^{-}\right)=0$ and, by [3, Theorem 4.1(5)],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{H_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{H_{2}^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{-\frac{1}{4} \pi^{2}\left(r r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}_{a}^{2}\right)^{-1}}{-\frac{1}{4} \pi^{2}\left(r r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}^{2}\right)^{-1}}=\left(\frac{\mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{K}_{a}}\right)^{2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the monotonicity of $H$ follows from Lemma 3.1 (v) and [3, Lemma 5.1].
The limiting values follow from l'Hôpital's rule, (4.1) and Lemma 3.1 (v). The second inequality in (2.4) is clear, while the first inequality in (2.4) holds by [11, Theorem 1.22].

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Parts (i) and (ii) follow from Theorem 2.2 (ii) and the corresponding results for $\mu(r)$ (see [2, Theorem $5.13(5),(6)]$ ). Part (iii) follows from part (ii) and the identity

$$
\mu_{a}(r) \mu_{a}\left(r^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{4 \sin ^{2}(\pi a)}
$$
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