

## OPENNESS OF FID-LOCI

RYO TAKAHASHI

*Department of Mathematics, School of Science and Technology, Meiji University, 1-1-1 Higashimita,  
Tama-ku, Kawasaki 214-8571, Japan  
email: takahasi@math.meiji.ac.jp*

(Received 13 January 2006; revised 3 June, 2006; accepted 10 June, 2006)

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be a commutative Noetherian ring and  $M$  a finite  $R$ -module. In this paper, we consider Zariski-openness of the FID-locus of  $M$ , namely, the subset of  $\text{Spec } R$  consisting of all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  has finite injective dimension as an  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module. We prove that the FID-locus of  $M$  is an open subset of  $\text{Spec } R$  whenever  $R$  is excellent.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 13D05, 13F40.

**1. Introduction.** Throughout the present paper, we assume that all rings are commutative and Noetherian.

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a property of local rings. The  $\mathbb{P}$ -locus of a ring  $R$  is the set of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$  such that the local ring  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  satisfies the property  $\mathbb{P}$ . It is a natural question to ask whether the  $\mathbb{P}$ -locus of  $R$  is an open subset of  $\text{Spec } R$  in the Zariski topology, and it has been considered for a long time. For example, it is known that the  $\mathbb{P}$ -locus of an excellent ring is open if  $\mathbb{P}$  is any of the regular property, the complete intersection property, the Gorenstein property, and the Cohen-Macaulay property. As to the details of openness of loci for properties of local rings, see [3], [4, §6–7], [6], [7, §24], [8], and [9].

On the other hand, let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a property of modules over a local ring. The  $\mathbb{P}$ -locus of a module  $M$  over a ring  $R$  is defined to be the subset of  $\text{Spec } R$  consisting of all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that the  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  satisfies  $\mathbb{P}$ . The locus of a finite module for the property of finite projective dimension is known to be an open subset [1, Corollary 9.4.7], and so is the locus of a finite module for the Gorenstein property if the base ring is acceptable, and therefore if it is excellent [5, Corollaries 4.6 and 4.7].

In this paper, we will consider openness of the locus of a finite module for the property of finite injective dimension, which we call the FID-locus. We shall prove that the FID-locus of a finite module satisfying certain conditions is an open subset. Using this result, we will show the following:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $R$  be an excellent ring and  $M$  a finite  $R$ -module. Then the FID-locus*

$$\text{FID}_R(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \mid \text{id}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} < \infty\}$$

*of  $M$  is an open subset of  $\text{Spec } R$  in the Zariski topology.*

Of course, this theorem implies the result of Greco and Marinari [3, Corollary 1.5] asserting that the Gorenstein locus of an excellent ring is open.

**2. The results.** Throughout this section, let  $R$  be a commutative Noetherian ring. Recall that a subset  $U$  of  $\text{Spec } R$  is called *stable under generalization* provided that if  $\mathfrak{p} \in U$  and  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } R$  with  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  then  $\mathfrak{q} \in U$ . We begin by stating two lemmas. The former is called the “topological Nagata criterion”; it is a criterion for Zariski-openness which is due to Nagata.

LEMMA 2.1. [7, Theorem 24.2] *The following are equivalent for a subset  $U$  of  $\text{Spec } R$ :*

- (1)  $U$  is an open subset of  $\text{Spec } R$ ;
- (2)  $U$  is stable under generalization, and contains a nonempty open subset of  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in U$ .

LEMMA 2.2. [3, Lemma 1.1] *Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a minimal prime of a finite  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then there exist an element  $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  and a chain*

$$0 = N_0 \subsetneq N_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq N_n = M_f$$

*of  $R_f$ -submodules of  $M_f$  such that  $N_i/N_{i-1} \cong R_f/\mathfrak{p}R_f$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

Next, we study an easy lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  and  $M$  a finite  $R$ -module. If  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ , then  $M_f = 0$  for some  $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{p}$  is not in the support of the  $R$ -module  $M$ , hence  $\mathfrak{p}$  does not contain the annihilator ideal  $\text{Ann}_R M$ . Therefore there is an element  $f \in \text{Ann}_R M \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . We easily obtain  $M_f = 0$ . □

We define the *FID-locus* of an  $R$ -module  $M$  to be the set of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$  such that the  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  has finite injective dimension, and denote it by  $\text{FID}_R(M)$ . Now, we can prove the following proposition, which will play a key role in the proof of our main result.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module, and let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{FID}_R(M)$ . Suppose that the FID-locus  $\text{FID}_{R/\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{p}, M))$  contains a nonempty open subset of  $\text{Spec } R/\mathfrak{p}$  for each integer  $j$  with  $0 \leq j \leq \text{ht } \mathfrak{p}$ . Then there exists an element  $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that the FID-locus  $\text{FID}_R(M)$  contains  $V(\mathfrak{p}) \cap D(f)$ .*

*Proof.* First of all, we note that to prove the proposition we can freely replace our ring  $R$  with its localization  $R_g$  for an element  $g \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . In fact, we have  $\mathfrak{p}R_g \in \text{FID}_{R_g}(M_g)$  and  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p}R_g = \text{ht } \mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $U_j$  be a nonempty open subset of  $\text{Spec } R/\mathfrak{p}$  which is contained in  $\text{FID}_{R/\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{p}, M))$  for  $0 \leq j \leq \text{ht } \mathfrak{p}$ . Write  $U_j = D(I_j/\mathfrak{p})$  for some ideal  $I_j$  of  $R$  containing  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and we see that  $D(I_jR_g/\mathfrak{p}R_g)$  is a nonempty open subset of  $\text{Spec } R_g/\mathfrak{p}R_g$  which is contained in  $\text{FID}_{R_g/\mathfrak{p}R_g}(\text{Ext}_{R_g}^j(R_g/\mathfrak{p}R_g, M_g))$ . If there exists an element  $\frac{h}{g^n} \in R_g \setminus \mathfrak{p}R_g$  with  $h \in R$  and  $n \geq 0$  such that  $V(\mathfrak{p}R_g) \cap D(\frac{h}{g^n})$  is contained in  $\text{FID}_{R_g}(M_g)$ , then  $h$  is an element of  $R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  and  $V(\mathfrak{p}) \cap D(gh)$  is contained in  $\text{FID}_R(M)$ .

Suppose that  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ . Then we have  $M_f = 0$  for some  $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  by Lemma 2.3. Hence the set  $D(f)$  is itself contained in the locus  $\text{FID}_R(M)$ , and there is nothing more to prove. Therefore in what follows we consider the case where  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ . Since  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a finite  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of finite injective dimension,  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring by virtue of [2, Corollary 9.6.2, Remark 9.6.4(a)]. Put  $n = \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and take a sequence  $\mathbf{x} = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  of elements in  $\mathfrak{p}$  which forms an  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -regular sequence. Then, putting  $H_i = (0 :_{R/(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1})} x_i)$ , we have  $(H_i)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Hence Lemma 2.3 implies

that  $(H_i)_{f_i} = 0$  for some  $f_i \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . Setting  $f = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n$ , we see that  $f$  is in  $R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  and that  $\mathbf{x}$  is an  $R_f$ -regular sequence. Replacing  $R$  with  $R_f$ , we may assume that  $\mathbf{x}$  is an  $R$ -regular sequence.

Set  $\bar{R} = R/(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}/(\mathbf{x})$ . Then  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a minimal prime of  $\bar{R}$ , hence is an associated prime of  $\bar{R}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_1 = \bar{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_s$  be the associated primes of  $\bar{R}$ . Taking an element of the set  $\bigcap_{i=2}^s \mathfrak{P}_i \setminus \mathfrak{P}_1$ , we easily see that there is an element  $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\text{Ass } \bar{R}_f = \{\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \bar{R}_f\}$ , where  $\bar{f}$  denotes the residue class of  $f$  in  $\bar{R}$ . Replacing  $R$  with  $R_f$ , we may assume that  $\text{Ass } \bar{R} = \{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ .

On the other hand, since  $\text{id}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} < \infty$ , we have  $\text{id}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} = n$  by [2, Theorem 3.1.17] and hence  $\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n+1}(\kappa(\mathfrak{p}), M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ , where  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$  denotes the residue field of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Therefore it follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $\text{Ext}_{R_f}^{n+1}(R_f/\mathfrak{p}R_f, M_f) = 0$  for some  $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . Replacing  $R$  with  $R_f$ , we may assume that

$$\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(R/\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0. \tag{2.4.1}$$

Here, we establish a claim.

CLAIM. *One may assume that  $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$  for all integers  $j > n$ .*

*Proof of Claim.* If  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{p} = (\mathbf{x})$  and the  $R$ -module  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  has projective dimension  $n$  since  $\mathbf{x}$  is an  $R$ -regular sequence of length  $n$ . Hence  $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$  for  $j > n$ , as desired. Assume  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ . Then we have  $\emptyset \neq \text{Min}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) \subseteq \text{Ass}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) \subseteq \text{Ass } \bar{R} = \{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ , and therefore  $\text{Min}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) = \{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ . According to Lemma 2.2, for some element  $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  there is a chain

$$0 = N_0 \subsetneq N_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq N_l = \bar{\mathfrak{p}} \bar{R}_f$$

of  $\bar{R}_f$ -modules such that  $N_i/N_{i-1} \cong \bar{R}_f/\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \bar{R}_f \cong R_f/\mathfrak{p}R_f$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Replacing  $R$  with  $R_f$ , we may assume that there is a chain  $0 = N_0 \subsetneq N_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq N_l = \bar{\mathfrak{p}}$  of  $\bar{R}$ -modules such that each  $N_i/N_{i-1}$  is isomorphic to  $R/\mathfrak{p}$ .

We have obtained a series of exact sequences of  $R$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow N_{i-1} \rightarrow N_i \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq l). \tag{2.4.2}$$

Using these sequences and 2.4.1, we can get  $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}, M) = 0$ . The natural exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \bar{R} \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow 0$  induces an exact sequence of Ext modules:  $0 = \text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n+2}(R/\mathfrak{p}, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n+2}(\bar{R}, M)$ . Noting that  $\bar{R}$  has projective dimension  $n$  as an  $R$ -module, we have  $\text{Ext}_R^i(\bar{R}, M) = 0$  for every  $i > n$ , and  $\text{Ext}_R^{n+2}(R/\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$ . Using the sequences 2.4.2 again, we get  $\text{Ext}_R^{n+2}(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}, M) = 0$ . Iterating this procedure shows the claim.  $\square$

The assumption of the proposition yields a nonempty open subset  $U_j$  of  $\text{Spec } R/\mathfrak{p}$  contained in the locus  $\text{FID}_{R/\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{p}, M))$  for  $0 \leq j \leq n$ . We can write  $U_j = D(I_j/\mathfrak{p})$  for some ideal  $I_j$  of  $R$  which strictly contains  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Hence there exists an element  $f_j \in I_j \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ , and setting  $f = f_0 f_1 \cdots f_n$ , we see that the set  $D(f)$  is contained in  $D(I_j/\mathfrak{p})$  for any  $0 \leq j \leq n$ .

Fix a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{p}) \cap D(f)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}$  belongs to  $D(I_j/\mathfrak{p}) = U_j$ , which is contained in  $\text{FID}_{R/\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{p}, M))$  for  $0 \leq j \leq n$ . Hence  $\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{q}}}^j(R_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{q}}, M_{\mathfrak{q}})$  has finite injective dimension as an  $R_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -module for any integer  $j$  with  $0 \leq j \leq n$ . Put  $m = \max\{\text{id}_{R_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{q}}}(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{q}}}^j(R_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{q}}, M_{\mathfrak{q}})) \mid 0 \leq j \leq n\}$ . Consider the following spectral

sequence:

$$E_2^{i,j} = \text{Ext}_{R_q/\mathfrak{p}R_q}^i(\kappa(\mathfrak{q}), \text{Ext}_{R_q/\mathfrak{p}R_q}^j(R_q/\mathfrak{p}R_q, M_q)) \implies \text{Ext}_{R_q}^{i+j}(\kappa(\mathfrak{q}), M_q).$$

We have  $E_2^{i,j} = 0$  if  $i > m$ , and the above claim shows that  $E_2^{i,j} = 0$  if  $j > n$ . From this spectral sequence, we see that  $\text{Ext}_{R_q}^i(\kappa(\mathfrak{q}), M_q) = 0$  for  $i > m + n$ . This implies that the  $R_q$ -module  $M_q$  has finite injective dimension (cf. [2, Proposition 3.1.14]), that is  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{FID}_R(M)$ . It follows that  $V(\mathfrak{p}) \cap D(f)$  is contained in  $\text{FID}_R(M)$ , which completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

Now we state and prove our main result of this paper.

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module. Suppose that  $\text{FID}_{R/\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{p}, M))$  contains a nonempty open subset of  $\text{Spec } R/\mathfrak{p}$  for any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{FID}_R(M)$  and any integer  $0 \leq j \leq \text{ht } \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $\text{FID}_R(M)$  is an open subset of  $\text{Spec } R$ .*

*Proof.* Proposition 2.4 shows that for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{FID}_R(M)$  there exists  $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\text{FID}_R(M)$  contains  $V(\mathfrak{p}) \cap D(f)$ . Note that  $V(\mathfrak{p}) \cap D(f)$  is not an empty set since  $\mathfrak{p}$  belongs to it. On the other hand, it is easy to see from [2, Proposition 3.1.9] that  $\text{FID}_R(M)$  is stable under generalization. Thus the theorem follows from Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

We denote by  $\text{Reg}(R)$  the *regular locus* of  $R$ , namely, the set of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$  such that the local ring  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is regular. The following result can be obtained from the above theorem.

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *Let  $R$  be an excellent ring. Then  $\text{FID}_R(M)$  is an open subset of  $\text{Spec } R$  for any finite  $R$ -module  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{FID}_R(M)$  and an integer  $j$  with  $0 \leq j \leq \text{ht } \mathfrak{p}$ . By the definition of an excellent ring, the regular locus  $\text{Reg}(R/\mathfrak{p})$  is an open subset of  $\text{Spec } R/\mathfrak{p}$ . The zero ideal of  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  belongs to  $\text{Reg}(R/\mathfrak{p})$ , hence it is nonempty. Noting that any module over a regular local ring has finite injective dimension, we see that  $\text{Reg}(R/\mathfrak{p})$  is contained in the locus  $\text{FID}_{R/\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{p}, M))$ . Thus all the assumptions of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied, and it follows that  $\text{FID}_R(M)$  is open in  $\text{Spec } R$ .  $\square$

We denote by  $\text{Gor}(R)$  the *Gorenstein locus* of  $R$ , that is, the subset of  $\text{Spec } R$  consisting of all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$  such that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a Gorenstein local ring. Since  $\text{Gor}(R)$  coincides with  $\text{FID}_R(R)$ , the above corollary yields a result of Greco and Marinari [3, Corollary 1.5]:

**COROLLARY 2.7** (Greco-Marinari). *Let  $R$  be an excellent ring. Then the Gorenstein locus  $\text{Gor}(R)$  is open in  $\text{Spec } R$ .*

## REFERENCES

1. M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **60** (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
2. W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, revised edition. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **39** (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
3. S. Greco and M. G. Marinari, Nagata’s criterion and openness of loci for Gorenstein and complete intersection, *Math. Z.* **160** (1978), no. 3, 207–216.

4. A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. II*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 24, 1965.
5. G. J. Leuschke, Gorenstein modules, finite index, and finite Cohen-Macaulay type, *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002), no. 4, 2023–2035.
6. C. Massaza and P. Valabrega, Sull'apertura di luoghi in uno schema localmente noetheriano, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (5)* **14** (1977), no. 3, 564–574.
7. H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*. Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **8** (Cambridge University Press, 1989).
8. M. Nagata, On the closedness of singular loci, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **1959** 1959 29–36.
9. R. Y. Sharp, Acceptable rings and homomorphic images of Gorenstein rings, *J. Algebra* **44** (1977), no. 1, 246–261.