
# Think Philosophy for everyone

A Journal of the Royal Institute of Philosophy

Edited by Stephen Law



#### **Think**

#### T. Guidelines for Contributors

The editor welcomes accessible contributions from philosophers and other thinkers on any topic broadly related either to philosophy or to the development of thinking skills. It is anticipated that most contributors will be academics.

Contributions should be below 4,000 words (unless otherwise agreed with the editor). Very short pieces are welcome.

Think welcomes submissions which are clear and to the point and in the straightforward prose characteristic of the best philosophy. At the same time, the editor would also like to encourage the use of imaginative and unusual ways of making ideas engaging and accessible, e.g. through the use of dialogue, humour, illustrations (black and white: line and photos), examples taken from the media, etc. Papers engaging with some topical debate are especially welcome.

Contributors should presuppose no philosophical background knowledge on the part of the reader. The use of jargon and logical notation, especially where unexplained, should be avoided. Please avoid including notes and references if at all possible.

While the presentation of original thought is very much encouraged, a submission need not go beyond providing an engaging an accessible introduction to a particular philosophical issue or line of argument. Authors are asked to include within their submissions clear and fairly thorough introductions to any debates to which they wish to make a contribution.

Contributors of accepted articles will be asked to assign their copyright (on certain conditions) to the Royal Institute of Philosophy so that their interest may be safeguarded.

Authors are responsible for obtaining permission to reproduce any material for which they do not own copyright, to be used in both print and electronic media, and for ensuring that the appropriate acknowledgements are included in their manuscript.

The editor has the assistance of a panel of referees drawn from the Institute's Council. Please include with your contribution a brief statement of your position and institution (where relevant).

#### 2. Submissions

Contributions (as Word documents) and communications should be sent to:

Stephen Law (editor) THINK Heythrop College University of London Kensington Square London W8 5HQ

Email: think@royalinstitutephilosophy.org

#### 3. Format and Style

The editor would be grateful if final submissions were in the *Think* house style. Please use single quotation marks (double when embedded). Please italicize rather than underline.

Where it is absolutely unavoidable that references be included, they should be incorporated into the text (preferably) or else appear as endnotes in the *Think* style. Examples:

Fred Author, Title of The Book (Place: Publisher, 2002), p. 23.

D. Academic, 'Title of Paper', A Journal, vol.1, no.1 (1990), pp. 34-56.

## Think

### Philosophy for everyone

Number 42 • Spring 2016

A Journal of The Royal Institute of Philosophy

**CAMBRIDGE**UNIVERSITY PRESS

#### **Contents**

INTRODUCTION Stephen Law	5	
THE TWO-WAY DOOMSDAY MACHINE Robin Le Poidevin	9	
THE IRRATIONALITY OF RELIGIOUS BELIEF Bryan Frances	15	Think
SCIENCE AND SUPERNATURALISM Clement Dore	35	Think Spring 2016 • 3
DOES FREE WILL EXIST? David Kyle Johnson	53	g 201
MORAL RELATIVISM AND THE EUTHYPHRO DILEMMA David O'Connor	71	δ ω
BERTRAND RUSSELL'S TRIUMPH AND FAILURE Lloyd Reinhardt	79	
A REALITY CHECK TO FORM YOUR PHILOSOPHY William Irwin	97	
WHO WANTS TO BE A SAINT? Gregory W. Dawes	105	
SCIENTISM AND ROMAN CATHOLIC THEOLOGY: TOWARDS EXORCISING THE ZEITGEIST OF INSTITUTIONALIZED TRUTH? Gerry Dunne	117	
LIBERTARIANISM AND THE SEX TRADE ARGUMENT Jane Duran	139	
BELIEF AND EVIDENCE, AND HOW IT MAY AID REFLECTION CONCERNING CHARLIE HEBDO Brenda Watson	151	