

## THE $SP$ -HULL OF A LATTICE-ORDERED GROUP

ROGER D. BLEIER

There have been several recent papers on the subject of the  $P$ -hull and the  $SP$ -hull of an  $l$ -group (lattice-ordered group). The most natural formulation of the concepts was given by P. Conrad in [6]. T. Speed studied  $P$ -groups extensively in [11]; his work was motivated by earlier work by H. Nakano and I. Amemiya in a vector lattice setting. A. Veckler [12] produced the  $SP$ -hull for  $f$ -rings. The ortho-completion of S. Bernau [2] is a related concept.

The best construction of the  $P$ -hull and  $SP$ -hull thus far has been given by D. Chambless [4]. However, his direct limit construction does not leave the reader with a "concrete" feeling for these hulls. K. Keimel [10] has given a nice sheaf-theoretic interpretation of the  $SP$ -hull.

In this paper we give a construction of the  $SP$ -hull and the  $P$ -hull which is substantially different from those previously given. If  $G$  is represented as an  $l$ -subgroup of a cardinal product of totally-ordered groups indexed by  $X$ , then we construct these hulls out of  $G$  and the index set  $X$ . Section 1 lays the foundation for the succeeding sections. In Section 2 we construct the  $SP$ -hull and obtain various corollaries from our construction. In Section 3 it is shown that each  $l$ -homomorphism of  $G$  onto  $H$  whose kernel is a polar extends to an  $l$ -homomorphism of the  $SP$ -hull of  $G$  onto the  $SP$ -hull of  $H$ . Section 4 treats generalizations and the  $P$ -hull. A very nice description of the  $P$ -hull of the free vector lattice on two generators is given.

We briefly review the portion of  $l$ -group theory that we will be using. (We follow Conrad in our terminology. The reader is referred to [8] for the basic theory of  $l$ -groups.)

Let  $S$  be a subset of an  $l$ -group  $G$ . Then

$$S' = \{g \in G \mid |g| \wedge |s| = 0 \text{ for all } s \in S\}$$

is called the polar of  $S$  in  $G$ .  $S'$  is a convex  $l$ -subgroup of  $G$ . The collection  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  of all polars in  $G$  is a Boolean algebra under inclusion. The meet operation is set-theoretic intersection, and the complement of  $A \in \mathcal{P}(G)$  is  $A'$ . We write  $S''$  for  $(S)'$ , and if  $g \in G$ , we write  $g''$  for  $\{g\}''$ .  $A \in \mathcal{P}(G)$  if and only if  $A = A''$ . We denote the join operation in  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  by  $\nabla$ .

An  $l$ -group  $G$  is the *cardinal sum*  $A \oplus B$  of  $l$ -ideals  $A$  and  $B$  of  $G$  if  $A \cap B = 0$  and  $A + B = G$ . If this is the case, then  $B = A'$ , and  $A$  and  $B$  are called (*cardinal*) *summands* of  $G$ . The collection of all summands of  $G$  is a Boolean subalgebra of  $\mathcal{P}(G)$ .

If  $G$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of an  $l$ -group  $H$  such that  $G \cap C \neq 0$  for each non-zero

---

Received February 5, 1973 and in revised form, August 14, 1973.

convex  $l$ -subgroup  $C$  of  $H$ , then we say  $H$  is an *essential extension* of  $G$ . If for each  $h \in H$  with  $h > 0$  there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $0 < g \leq h$ , then we say  $G$  is *dense* in  $H$ . If  $G$  is a dense  $l$ -subgroup of  $H$ , then  $H$  is an essential extension of  $G$ .

Let  $H$  be an essential extension of  $G$ , and let  $*$  denote the polar operation in  $H$ . Then  $A \rightarrow A'^*$  is a Boolean isomorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  onto  $\mathcal{P}(H)$ . [7, Theorem 3.4]. If  $S$  is a subset of  $G$ , then  $S''^{**} = S^{**}$  and  $S'^{**} = S^*$ . [6, Section 2.] Thus  $S'^* = S^{**}$ .

If each element of  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  is a summand of  $G$ , then  $G$  is an *SP-group* (strongly projectable  $l$ -group). If  $H$  is an essential extension of  $G$ , and  $H$  is an *SP-group*, and no proper  $l$ -subgroup of  $H$  that contains  $G$  is an *SP-group*, then we say  $H$  is an *SP-hull* of  $G$ .

If  $g''$  is a summand of  $G$  for each  $g \in G$ , then  $G$  is a *P-group* (projectable  $l$ -group). If  $H$  is an essential extension of  $G$ , and  $H$  is a *P-group*, and no proper  $l$ -subgroup of  $H$  containing  $G$  is a *P-group*, then we say  $H$  is a *P-hull* of  $G$ .

If  $G$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of a cardinal product of totally-ordered groups, we say  $G$  is *representable*.  $G$  is representable if and only if  $g''$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  for each  $g \in G$ . Thus if  $G$  has a *P-hull* or an *SP-hull*, then  $G$  must be representable. Conversely, if  $G$  is representable, then  $G$  has a *P-hull* and an *SP-hull*. Moreover, these hulls are unique. Versions of these results have been obtained by all the authors previously mentioned.

Let  $f$  be an element of the cardinal product  $\prod_{x \in X} T_x$ , where each  $T_x$  is a totally-ordered group. We denote the  $x$ -component of  $f$  by  $f(x)$ , and we define  $S(f) = \{x \in X | f(x) \neq 0\}$ . If  $K$  is a subset of  $\prod_{x \in X} T_x$ , we define

$$S(K) = \{x \in X | f(x) \neq 0 \text{ for some } f \in K\}.$$

Throughout this paper  $G$  denotes an  $l$ -group and  $'$  is the polar operation in  $G$ . Where a statement involves another  $l$ -group, it is often necessary or convenient to use a different symbol for the polar operation in this second  $l$ -group. We often use  $^\perp$  for this purpose. We also use  $*$  for this purpose, but never without express designation, since we sometimes use  $*$  in other ways. *We assume throughout that all  $l$ -groups are representable.*

**1. Fields of sets and extensions of  $l$ -groups.** A *field of subsets* of a set  $X$  is a collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $X$  such that (i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$ , (ii)  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$  if  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ , and (iii)  $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}$  if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Each field of subsets of  $X$  is a Boolean algebra under the partial-ordering of inclusion. On the other hand, suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$  satisfying (i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{B}$ , and (ii)  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{B}$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then, as is well-known in the theory of Boolean algebras, it is possible that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Boolean algebra under the partial-ordering of inclusion but not a field of subsets of  $X$ . This is possible even if we assume  $X \in \mathcal{B}$ . (The collection of all regular open subsets of the real line is an example of this phenomenon.)

The following technical lemma is crucial to the development in succeeding sections. I owe its proof to an anonymous referee.

LEMMA 1.1. *Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection of subsets of a set  $X$  such that (i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{B}$ , and (ii)  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{B}$  if  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Boolean algebra under the partial-ordering of inclusion, then there exists a Boolean isomorphism  $\eta$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  onto a field  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $X$  with  $\eta B \supseteq B$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{E}_x$  be the collection of all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $x \in B$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}_x$  is empty or  $\mathcal{E}_x$  is a filter in  $\mathcal{B}$ . If  $\mathcal{E}_x$  is empty, let  $\mathcal{U}_x$  be any ultrafilter in  $\mathcal{B}$ ; otherwise let  $\mathcal{U}_x$  be an ultrafilter in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_x \supseteq \mathcal{E}_x$ .

Define, for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\eta B = \{x \in X \mid B \in \mathcal{U}_x\}$ . It is clear that  $\eta$  preserves inclusion, that  $\eta(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ , and that  $\eta$  maps the largest element of  $\mathcal{B}$  to  $X$ . If  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$  we have immediately that  $\eta(A \cap B) \subseteq \eta A \cap \eta B$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in \eta A \cap \eta B$ , then  $A, B \in \mathcal{U}_x$  whence  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{U}_x$ , and thus  $x \in \eta(A \cap B)$ . Thus  $\eta(A \cap B) = \eta A \cap \eta B$ .

Denote the complement of  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  by  $B'$ . We show  $\eta(B') = X \setminus \eta B$ .  $B \cap B' = \emptyset$  so  $\eta(B) \cap \eta(B') = \eta(B \cap B') = \emptyset$ . Suppose  $x \notin \eta B$ . Then  $B \notin \mathcal{U}_x$  and so there exists  $K \in \mathcal{U}_x$  such that  $B \cap K = \emptyset$ .  $K \subseteq B'$  and hence  $B' \in \mathcal{U}_x$ . Thus  $x \in \eta(B')$ . We have shown  $\eta B \cap \eta(B') = \emptyset$  and  $\eta B \cup \eta(B') = X$ . Thus  $\eta(B') = X \setminus \eta B$ .

Suppose  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$  and there exists  $z \in B$  such that  $z \notin A$ . If  $A' \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $B \subseteq A'' = A$ , a contradiction. Thus there exists  $x \in A' \cap B$ .  $B \in \mathcal{U}_x$  and  $A \notin \mathcal{U}_x$  (since  $A' \in \mathcal{U}_x$ ). Thus  $x \in \eta B$  and  $x \notin \eta A$ . Hence, if  $A \neq B$ , then  $\eta A \neq \eta B$ .

We have shown that  $\eta$  is a one-to-one Boolean homomorphism of  $\mathcal{B}$  into the field of all subsets of  $X$ . If  $x \in B$ , then  $B \in \mathcal{E}_x$ , whence  $B \in \mathcal{U}_x$ , and  $x \in \eta B$ . Thus  $\eta B \supseteq B$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , and the proof is complete.

Now, let  $G$  be an  $l$ -subgroup of the cardinal product  $\prod_{x \in X} T_x$ , where each  $T_x$  is a totally-ordered group, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a field of subsets of  $X$ . Suppose  $h \in \prod T_x$  is such that for some finite partition of  $X$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , say  $F_1, \dots, F_n$ , there exist  $g_i \in G$  such that  $h(x) = g_i(x)$  for all  $x \in F_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). We then write  $h = [g_i \mid F_i]$ , and we denote the set of all such  $h$  by  $G[\mathcal{F}]$ .

LEMMA 1.2.  *$G[\mathcal{F}]$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of  $\prod T_x$  that contains  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $h = [g_i \mid F_i]$  and  $f = [g_j \mid F_j]$  are elements of  $G[\mathcal{F}]$ . Then  $h - f = [g_i - g_j \mid F_i \cap F_j] \in G[\mathcal{F}]$  and  $h \vee \mathbf{0} = [g_i \vee \mathbf{0} \mid F_i] \in G[\mathcal{F}]$ . Thus  $G[\mathcal{F}]$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of  $\prod T_x$ . Also, if  $g \in G$ , then  $g = [g \mid X] \in G[\mathcal{F}]$ .

LEMMA 1.3. *For  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  define  $\varphi(F) = \{h \in G[\mathcal{F}] \mid S(h) \subseteq F\}$ . Then  $\varphi(F)$  is a cardinal summand of  $G[\mathcal{F}]$ ; in fact,*

$$G[\mathcal{F}] = \varphi(F) \oplus \varphi(X \setminus F).$$

*Proof.* Clearly  $\varphi(F)$  and  $\varphi(X \setminus F)$  are  $l$ -ideals of  $G[\mathcal{F}]$ , and  $\varphi(F) \cap \varphi(X \setminus F) = \mathbf{0}$ . Suppose  $h = [g_i \mid F_i] \in G[\mathcal{F}]$ . Let  $h_1 \in \prod T_x$  be such that  $h_1(x) = g_i(x)$  for all

$x \in F \cap F_i$  and  $h_1(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in (X \setminus F) \cap F_i$ . Let  $h_2 \in \prod T_x$  be such that  $h_2(x) = g_i(x)$  for all  $x \in (X \setminus F) \cap F_i$  and  $h_2(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in F \cap F_i$ . Then  $h_1, h_2 \in G[\mathcal{F}]$ ,  $h_1 \in \varphi(F)$ ,  $h_2 \in \varphi(X \setminus F)$ , and  $h = h_1 + h_2$ . Thus

$$G[\mathcal{F}] = \varphi(F) \oplus \varphi(X \setminus F).$$

**LEMMA 1.4.** *Let  $H$  be an  $l$ -subgroup of  $\prod_{y \in Y} T_y$ , where each  $T_y$  is a totally-ordered group, and let  $G$  be an  $l$ -subgroup of  $H$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{P}(H)$  such that each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is a summand of  $H$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}^* = \{S(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is a field of subsets of  $S(H)$ , and  $G[\mathcal{F}^*]$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of  $H$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $\emptyset = S(0) \in \mathcal{F}^*$  and  $S(H) \in \mathcal{F}^*$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are convex  $l$ -subgroups of  $H$ , then  $S(A \cap B) = S(A) \cap S(B)$ . Also, since  $A$  is a summand of  $H$ , we have  $S(A) \cup S(A^\perp) = S(H)$  and  $S(A) \cap S(A^\perp) = \emptyset$ . (Here  $^\perp$  denotes the polar operation in  $H$ .) Thus  $\mathcal{F}^*$  is a field of subsets of  $S(H)$ .

Let  $g \in G$  and  $F = S(A) \in \mathcal{F}^*$ . Let  $f \in G[\mathcal{F}^*]$  be such that  $f(x) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in F$  and  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X \setminus F = S(A^\perp)$ . We can write  $g = r + s$  where  $r \in A$  and  $s \in A^\perp$ . Now  $S(r) \subseteq S(A)$ ,  $S(s) \subseteq S(A^\perp)$ , and  $S(A) \cap S(A^\perp) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $r(x) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in S(A)$  and  $r(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in S(A^\perp)$ . Thus  $f = r \in H$ , and since each element of  $G[\mathcal{F}^*]$  is the sum of finitely many elements like  $f$ , we conclude  $G[\mathcal{F}^*] \subseteq H$ .

**2. The SP-hull of an  $l$ -group.** Let  $G$  be an  $l$ -subgroup of  $\prod_{x \in X} T_x$ , where each  $T_x$  is a totally-ordered group. The map  $J \rightarrow S(J)$  is a one-to-one inclusion preserving function of  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  onto a collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $X$ ; moreover, the inverse map is also inclusion-preserving. Thus  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Boolean algebra of subsets of  $X$  with respect to the partial-ordering of inclusion. If  $I, J \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ , then  $S(I) \cap S(J) = S(I \cap J) \in \mathcal{B}$ ; also,  $\emptyset = S(0) \in \mathcal{B}$ . Thus by Lemma 1.1 there exists a Boolean isomorphism  $\eta$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  onto a field  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $X$ . We will prove  $G[\mathcal{F}]$  is the SP-hull of  $G$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** *If  $g \in G, J \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ , and  $S(g) \cap S(J) = \emptyset$ , then  $S(g) \cap \eta S(J) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.*  $S(g) \cap S(J) = \emptyset$  implies  $g'' \cap J = 0$ , and hence  $S(g'') \cap S(J) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\eta S(g'') \cap \eta S(J) = \emptyset$ . Since  $S(g) \subseteq S(g'') \subseteq \eta S(g'')$  we conclude

$$S(g) \cap \eta S(J) = \emptyset.$$

**LEMMA 2.2.** (i) *If  $h \in G[\mathcal{F}], J \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ , and  $S(h) \cap S(J) = \emptyset$ , then*

$$S(h) \cap \eta S(J) = \emptyset.$$

(ii) *If  $0 < h \in G[\mathcal{F}]$ , there exists  $g \in G$  with  $0 < g \leq h$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $z \in S(h) \cap \eta S(J)$ . Let  $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $g_1 \in G$  be such that  $z \in F_1 \subseteq \eta S(J)$  and  $h(x) = g_1(x)$  for all  $x \in F_1$ .  $F_1 = \eta S(J_1)$  for some  $J_1 \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ . We have  $z \in S(g_1) \cap F_1$ , so by Lemma 2.1  $S(g_1) \cap S(J_1) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $g_1(x) = h(x)$  for all  $x \in S(J_1)$ , we conclude  $S(h) \cap S(J_1) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus since  $S(J_1) \subseteq S(J)$  we have  $S(h) \cap S(J) \neq \emptyset$ , and (i) is proved.

Now let  $h > 0$ . Since  $S(g_1) \cap S(J_1) \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $0 < k \in J_1$  with  $S(g_1) \cap S(k) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $g = |g_1| \wedge k$ . Then  $0 < g \leq h$ , and (ii) is proved.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $J \in \mathcal{P}(G)$  and  $F = \eta S(J)$ . Let  $\varphi(F) = \{h \in G[\mathcal{F}] | S(h) \subseteq F\}$ . Then  $\varphi(F) = J^\perp$  (where  $\perp$  denotes the polar operation in  $G[\mathcal{F}]$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $h \in \varphi(F)$  and  $g \in J'$ . Then  $S(g) \cap S(J) = \emptyset$ , so by Lemma 2.1,  $S(g) \cap F = \emptyset$ . Thus  $S(g) \cap S(h) = \emptyset$ , and  $|g| \wedge |h| = 0$ . That is,  $h \in J'^\perp$ .

Now suppose  $h \in G[\mathcal{F}]$  and  $h \notin \varphi(F)$ . Then  $h(x) \neq 0$  for some  $x \in X \setminus F = \eta S(J')$ . Thus by Lemma 2.2(i),  $S(h) \cap S(J') \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $h \notin J'^\perp$ .

THEOREM 2.4.  *$G[\mathcal{F}]$  is the SP-hull of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.2,  $G[\mathcal{F}]$  is an  $l$ -group, and  $G$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of  $G[\mathcal{F}]$ .  $G$  is a dense  $l$ -subgroup of  $G[\mathcal{F}]$  by Lemma 2.2(ii). Thus each polar in  $G[\mathcal{F}]$  is of the form  $J^\perp$  where  $J \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ . Thus by Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 1.3,  $G[\mathcal{F}]$  is  $SP$ .

Suppose now that  $K$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of  $G[\mathcal{F}]$  containing  $G$  and that  $K$  is an  $SP$ -group. Let  $*$  denote the polar operation in  $K$ . Then  $J^{**}$  is a summand of  $K$  for all  $J \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ . Thus  $S(J^{**}) \cup S(J^*) = S(K) = S(G[\mathcal{F}])$ . (Note  $S(G) \subseteq S(K) \subseteq S(G[\mathcal{F}]) = S(G)$ .) Since  $G[\mathcal{F}]$  is an essential extension of  $G$ , it is also an essential extension of  $K$ , and thus  $J^{**} \subseteq J^{**\perp\perp} \subseteq J^{\perp\perp}$  and  $J^* \subseteq J^{*\perp\perp} = J^\perp$ . Thus  $S(J^{**}) \subseteq S(J^{\perp\perp})$  and  $S(J^*) \subseteq S(J^\perp)$ . But  $S(J^{\perp\perp}) \cap S(J^\perp) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $S(J^{**}) = S(J^{\perp\perp})$  and  $S(J^*) = S(J^\perp)$ .

Now if  $g \in G$  and  $J \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ , we can write  $g = r + s$  where  $r \in J^{**}$  and  $s \in J^*$ . We have then  $r(x) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in S(J^{\perp\perp}) = S(J^{**})$ , and  $r(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X \setminus S(J^{\perp\perp})$ . Also,  $S(J^{\perp\perp}) = \eta S(J) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus  $r \in G[\mathcal{F}]$ . But each element of  $G[\mathcal{F}]$  is the sum of finitely many elements like  $r$ . Thus  $K = G[\mathcal{F}]$ , and  $G[\mathcal{F}]$  is an  $SP$ -hull of  $G$ .

THEOREM 2.5. *Suppose  $G$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of an  $l$ -group  $M$  such that*

- (i)  $M$  is  $SP$ ,
- (ii) if  $N$  is  $SP$  and  $N$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of  $M$  containing  $G$ , then  $N = M$ , and
- (iii) there exists a Boolean isomorphism  $\tau$  of  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  onto  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  such that  $J \subseteq \tau(J)$  for all  $J \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ .

*Then there exists an  $l$ -isomorphism  $\beta$  of  $G[\mathcal{F}]$  onto  $M$  such that  $\beta(g) = g$  for all  $g \in G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be an  $l$ -subgroup of  $\prod_{y \in Y} T_y$ , where each  $T_y$  is a totally-ordered group, and  $S(M) = Y$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}^* = \{S(K) | K \in \mathcal{P}(M)\}$  is a field of subsets of  $Y$  since  $M$  is  $SP$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}^* = \{S(J) | J \in \mathcal{P}(G)\}$ , where here we take  $S(J)$  as a subset of  $Y$ . Define  $\eta^*: \mathcal{B}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*$  by  $\eta^*(S(J)) = S(\tau(J))$ . Then  $\eta^*$  is a surjective Boolean isomorphism and  $S(J) \subseteq \eta^* S(J)$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $G[\mathcal{F}^*]$  is an  $SP$ -hull of  $G$ . By Lemma 1.4  $G[\mathcal{F}^*]$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of  $M$ . Thus  $G[\mathcal{F}^*] = M$ .

Now define  $\beta: G[\mathcal{F}] \rightarrow G[\mathcal{F}^*]$  by  $\beta[g_i|\eta S(J_i)] = [g_i|\eta^*S(J_i)]$ . We show that  $\beta$  is a well-defined function.

Suppose  $[g_i|\eta S(J_i)] = [0|X] = 0$ . Then  $S(g_i) \cap \eta S(J_i) = \emptyset$ , and thus  $S(g_i'') \cap S(J_i) = \emptyset$ , and  $g_i'' \cap J_i = 0$ . Hence  $S(g_i'') \cap \eta^*S(J_i) = \emptyset$ , and thus  $S(g_i) \cap \eta^*S(J_i) = \emptyset$ . Since this is true for each  $i$ , we conclude that

$$[g_i|\eta^*S(J_i)] = [0|X] = 0.$$

Now suppose  $[g_i|\eta S(J_i)] = [g_j|\eta S(J_j)]$ . Then

$$[g_i - g_j|\eta S(J_i \cap J_j)] = [g_i - g_j|\eta S(J_i) \cap \eta S(J_j)] = [0|X].$$

Thus  $[g_i - g_j|\eta^*S(J_i \cap J_j)] = [0|Y]$  and hence  $[g_i|\eta^*S(J_i)] = [g_j|\eta^*S(J_j)]$ .

Thus  $\beta$  is well-defined. It is readily verified that  $\beta$  is a surjective  $l$ -homomorphism. We show it is an isomorphism. Suppose  $[g_i|\eta S(J_i)] \neq 0$ . Then  $S(g_i) \cap \eta S(J_i) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $i$ , and hence  $S(g_i) \cap S(J_i) \neq \emptyset$ , using Lemma 2.1. Thus  $S(g_i) \cap \eta^*S(J_i) \neq \emptyset$  and hence  $\beta[g_i|\eta S(J_i)] \neq 0$ .

Finally,  $\beta(g) = \beta[g|X] = \beta[g|\eta S(G)] = [g|\eta^*S(G)] = [g|Y] = g$  for all  $g \in G$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.5.

If  $M$  is an  $SP$ -hull of  $G$ , then the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied with  $\tau(J) = J^\perp$ . It follows that  $G$  has a unique  $SP$ -hull (up to isomorphism over  $G$ ). Following [6] we denote the  $SP$ -hull of  $G$  by  $G^{SP}$ .

Our model of the  $SP$ -hull makes many of its properties almost self-evident. We list these below as corollaries. Many have appeared in one form or another at various places in the literature.

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *If  $G$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of a cardinal product  $\prod R_x$  of copies of the real numbers  $R$ , then  $G^{SP}$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of the same cardinal product. (c.f., [9, Theorem 3.3].)*

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *If  $0 < h \in G^{SP}$ , then there exist  $g, \bar{g} \in G$  such that  $0 < g \leq h \leq \bar{g}$ . In particular, if  $G$  is archimedean, then so is  $G^{SP}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2(ii) there exists  $g \in G$  with  $0 < g \leq h$ . Write  $h = [g_i|F_i] \in G[\mathcal{F}]$ , in the notation of Section 1, and let  $\bar{g} = \vee g_i$ . Then  $h \leq \bar{g}$ .

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *If  $G$  is divisible (respectively, a vector lattice, an  $f$ -ring) then so is  $G^{SP}$ . If  $G$  belongs to an equationally-closed class  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $l$ -groups, then so does  $G^{SP}$ .*

*Proof.* Only the case that  $G$  is divisible is treated here; the proofs of the remaining assertions are similar.

Suppose  $G$  is divisible. We can view  $G$  as an  $l$ -subgroup of  $\prod M_\epsilon[\mathcal{M}]G/M$  where each  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  is a minimal prime subgroup of  $G$  (and hence  $G/M$  is a totally-ordered group). Suppose  $h = [g_i|F_i] \in G[\mathcal{F}]$  and  $n$  is a positive integer. There exists  $f_i \in G$  such that  $nf_i = g_i$ . Now  $\bar{h} = [f_i|F_i] \in G[\mathcal{F}]$  and  $n\bar{h} = [nf_i|F_i] = h$ . Thus  $G[\mathcal{F}] = G^{SP}$  is divisible.

An  $l$ -group with the property that each of its  $l$ -epimorphic images is archimedean will be called *hyperarchimedean*. It is proved in [8, p. 2.17] that  $G$  is hyperarchimedean if and only if  $G$  is (isomorphic to) an  $l$ -subgroup of a cardinal product  $\prod R_x$ , where each  $R_x$  is a copy of the real numbers, such that if  $0 < g, \bar{g} \in G$  there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $n\bar{g}(x) > g(x)$  whenever  $\bar{g}(x) \neq 0$ .

**COROLLARY 2.9.** *If  $G$  is hyperarchimedean, then so is  $G^{SP}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be represented as in the preceding paragraph. Let  $0 < h, \bar{h} \in G[\mathcal{F}]$ . Write  $h = [g_i|F_i], \bar{h} = [g_j|F_j]$ . There exists an integer  $n_{ij}$  such that  $n_{ij}g_j(x) > g_i(x)$  whenever  $g_j(x) \neq 0$ . Let  $n$  be the largest of the  $n_{ij}$ . Then  $n\bar{h}(x) > h(x)$  whenever  $\bar{h}(x) \neq 0$ . Thus  $G^{SP}$  is hyperarchimedean.

*Example.* Let  $G$  be the  $l$ -subgroup of  $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} R_n$  consisting of all eventually constant real sequences. (Here  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the natural numbers.) Then  $\mathcal{B} = \{S(J)|J \in \mathcal{P}(G)\}$  consists of all subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Thus the map  $\eta$  in Lemma 1.1 can be taken to be the identity, and hence by Theorem 2.4  $G^{SP}$  is the  $l$ -group of all real sequences which have finite range.  $G^{SP}$  is hyperarchimedean. However, the Dedekind completion of  $G$  is the  $l$ -group of all bounded real sequences, and this is not hyperarchimedean.

**COROLLARY 2.10.** *Suppose  $H$  is an essential extension of  $G$ , and  $H$  is an SP-group. Suppose  $H$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of a cardinal product  $\prod_{y \in Y} T_y$  of a totally-ordered groups  $T_y$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}^* = \{S(J)|J \in \mathcal{P}(H)\}$  is a field of subsets of  $S(H)$ , and  $G[\mathcal{F}^*]$  is the SP-hull of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* This was proved in the first paragraph of the proof of Theorem 2.5.

*Example.* Suppose  $H$  is the  $l$ -group of all continuous almost-finite extended-real-valued functions on an extremally disconnected compact Hausdorff space  $Y$ , and  $H$  is an essential extension of  $G$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}^*$  is the collection of regular open subsets of  $Y$ , and  $G[\mathcal{F}^*]$  is the SP-hull of  $G$  by Corollary 2.10.

Corollary 2.10 can be generalized somewhat. Suppose  $G$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of  $H$ . Let us say  $H$  is a *weak-essential* extension of  $G$  if  $(J + J')^\perp = 0$  for all  $J \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ . (Here  $\perp$  denotes polar in  $H$ .)  $H$  is a weak-essential extension of  $G$  if and only if the map  $J \rightarrow J^\perp$  is a Boolean isomorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  into  $\mathcal{P}(H)$ . [5, Theorem 4.1.] It is clear that each essential extension of  $G$  is a weak-essential extension of  $G$ .

**COROLLARY 2.11.** *Suppose  $H$  is a weak-essential extension of  $G$ , and  $H$  is an SP-group. Then  $G^{SP}$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of  $H$ .*

*Proof.* Represent  $H$  as an  $l$ -subgroup of  $\prod_{y \in Y} T_y$ , where each  $T_y$  is a totally-ordered group, and  $S(H) = Y$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}^* = \{S(J)|J \in \mathcal{P}(G)\}$ , where here  $S(J)$  is taken in  $Y$ . Let  $\eta S(J) = S(J^\perp)$ . Then  $\eta$  is a Boolean isomorphism onto a field of subsets of  $Y$ , and  $\eta S(J) \supseteq S(J)$  for all  $J \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ . Thus  $G[\mathcal{F}^*] = G^{SP}$  by Theorem 2.4. By Lemma 1.4,  $G[\mathcal{F}^*]$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of  $H$ .



*Remark.* Suppose  $G \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ . Then  $J \in \mathcal{P}(G)$  if and only if  $J \in \mathcal{P}(H)$  and  $J \subseteq G$ . It follows by an argument similar to that for Corollary 2.11 that  $G^{SP}$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of  $H^{SP}$ .

**3. Further properties of the SP-hull.** In the first three lemmas in this section,  $G$  and  $H$  are  $l$ -groups which need not be representable, and  $\perp$  denotes the polar operation in  $H$ .

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $\alpha:G \rightarrow H$  be a surjective  $l$ -homomorphism. If  $S$  is a subset of  $G$  such that  $\ker \alpha \subseteq S'$ , then  $\alpha(S') = \alpha(S)^\perp$ . If  $J \in \mathcal{P}(G)$  and  $J \supseteq \ker \alpha$ , then  $\alpha(J) = (\alpha(J'))^\perp$ , an element of  $\mathcal{P}(H)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $h \in \alpha(S')$ . Then  $h = \alpha f$  for some  $f \in S'$ , and  $f \wedge s = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ . Thus  $h \wedge \alpha s = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ , and hence  $h \in \alpha(S)^\perp$ .

On the other hand, suppose  $h \in \alpha(S)^\perp$ . Then  $h = \alpha g$  for some  $g \in G$ , and  $\alpha g \wedge \alpha s = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ . Thus  $g \wedge s \in \ker \alpha$  and hence by hypothesis  $g \wedge s \in S'$ . Thus  $(g \wedge s) \wedge s = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ , and thus  $g \in S'$ . Thus  $h \in \alpha(S')$ .

The last statement in the lemma follows by taking  $S = J'$ . Then  $S' = J'' = J$ , and hence  $\alpha(J) = \alpha(J')^\perp$ .

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $\alpha:G \rightarrow H$  be a surjective  $l$ -homomorphism such that  $\ker \alpha \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ . If  $K \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ , then  $\alpha^{-1}(K) = \{g \in G | \alpha g \in K\}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{P}(G)$ .*

*Proof.*  $K = \alpha(S)^\perp$  for some subset  $S$  of  $G$ . Let  $A = \ker \alpha$ , and let  $D = \{s \wedge b | s \in S \text{ and } b \in A'\}$ . The sentences that follow are equivalent (using  $A = A''$  to get from the fifth to the fourth).  $g \in \alpha^{-1}(K)$ .  $\alpha g \in K$ .  $\alpha g \wedge \alpha s = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ .  $g \wedge s \in A$  for all  $s \in S$ .  $g \wedge s \wedge b = 0$  for all  $s \in S$  and  $b \in A'$ .  $g \in D'$ .

Thus  $\alpha^{-1}(K) = D'$  is a polar in  $G$ .

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let  $\alpha:G \rightarrow H$  be a surjective  $l$ -homomorphism such that  $\ker \alpha \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ . Define  $\bar{\alpha}:\mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  by  $\bar{\alpha}(J) = \alpha(J \blacktriangledown \ker \alpha)$ . Then  $\bar{\alpha}$  is a surjective Boolean homomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{I \in \mathcal{P}(G) | I \supseteq \ker \alpha\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Boolean algebra with  $\ker \alpha$  as least element. The map  $J \rightarrow J \blacktriangledown \ker \alpha$  is a Boolean homomorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  onto  $\mathcal{A}$ . Also, by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, the map  $I \rightarrow \alpha(I)$  is a Boolean isomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{P}(H)$ . Thus  $\bar{\alpha}$  is a surjective Boolean homomorphism.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Suppose  $G$  and  $H$  are representable  $l$ -groups, and  $\alpha:G \rightarrow H$  is a surjective  $l$ -homomorphism such that  $\ker \alpha \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ . Then there exists a surjective  $l$ -homomorphism  $\beta:G^{SP} \rightarrow H^{SP}$  such that  $\beta g = \alpha g$  for all  $g \in G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be an  $l$ -subgroup of  $\prod_{x \in X} T_x$ , where each  $T_x$  is a totally-ordered group. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{S(J) | J \in \mathcal{P}(G)\}$ , and let  $\eta:\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  be as in Lemma 1.1. Then  $G^{SP} = G[\mathcal{F}]$  by Theorem 2.4.



Similarly, let  $H$  be an  $l$ -subgroup of  $\prod_{y \in Y} T_y$ , where each  $T_y$  is a totally-ordered group. Let  $\mathcal{B}^* = \{S(K) \mid K \in \mathcal{P}(H)\}$ , and let  $\eta^*: \mathcal{B}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*$  be as in Lemma 1.1. Again,  $H^{SP} = H[\mathcal{F}^*]$  by Theorem 2.4.

Let  $\bar{\alpha}$  be as in Lemma 3.3. Define  $\beta: G[\mathcal{F}] \rightarrow H[\mathcal{F}^*]$  by  $\beta[g_i | \eta S(J_i)] = [\alpha g_i | \eta^* S(\bar{\alpha}(J_i))]$ . We show that  $\beta$  is well-defined. For this, as in the proof of Theorem 2.5, it is enough to show that if  $[g_i | \eta S(J_i)] = 0$ , then

$$[\alpha g_i | \eta^* S(\bar{\alpha}(J_i))] = 0.$$

Suppose  $[g_i | \eta S(J_i)] = 0$ . Then  $g_i'' \cap J_i = 0$ , and hence

$$(g_i'' \nabla \ker \alpha) \cap (J_i \nabla \ker \alpha) = \ker \alpha,$$

and hence  $\alpha(g_i'' \nabla \ker \alpha) \cap \alpha(J_i \nabla \ker \alpha) = 0$ . Now,  $\alpha(g_i'' \nabla \ker \alpha)$  is a polar in  $H$  by Lemma 3.1, and  $\alpha g_i$  is an element of  $\alpha(g_i'' \nabla \ker \alpha)$ . Hence  $(\alpha g_i)^{\perp\perp} \subseteq \alpha(g_i'' \nabla \ker \alpha)$  and thus  $(\alpha g_i)^{\perp\perp} \cap \alpha(J_i \nabla \ker \alpha) = 0$ . Therefore  $S((\alpha g_i)^{\perp\perp}) \cap S(\alpha(J_i \nabla \ker \alpha)) = \emptyset$ , and hence by Lemma 2.2,

$$S(\alpha g_i) \cap \eta^* S(\alpha(J_i \nabla \ker \alpha)) = \emptyset.$$

Thus  $[\alpha g_i | \eta^* S(\alpha(J_i \nabla \ker \alpha))] = 0$ , and  $\beta$  is well-defined.

It is easily verified that  $\beta$  is an  $l$ -homomorphism. To see that  $\beta$  is surjective, it is enough to note that  $\alpha$  is surjective and that each finite partition of  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{F}^*$  is the image of a finite partition of  $X$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . The latter is true because each finite partition of  $H$  in  $\mathcal{P}(H)$  is the image under  $\bar{\alpha}$  of some finite partition of  $G$  in  $\mathcal{P}(G)$ . (This is an elementary fact about Boolean algebras.)

Finally, if  $g \in G$ , then

$$\beta g = \beta[g|X] = \beta[g|\eta S(G)] = [\alpha g | \eta^* S(\bar{\alpha}G)] = [\alpha g | \eta^* S(H)] = [\alpha g | Y] = \alpha g.$$

**THEOREM 3.5.** *If  $A \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ , then  $G^{SP} \simeq (G/A)^{SP} \oplus (G/A')^{SP}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G \subseteq \prod_{x \in X} T_x$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  be as in the construction of  $G^{SP}$  in Section 2, and let  $F = \eta S(A')$ . Denote by  $g|_F$  the element of  $\prod_{x \in F} T_x$  such that  $g|_F(x) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in F$ . Then  $L = \{g|_F \mid g \in G\}$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of  $\prod_{x \in F} T_x$  and  $\alpha: G \rightarrow L$  by  $\alpha g = g|_F$  is a surjective  $l$ -homomorphism. If  $g \in A$ , then  $S(g) \cap S(A') = \emptyset$ , and so by Lemma 2.2,  $S(g) \cap F = \emptyset$ , and hence  $g \in \ker \alpha$ . Moreover, if  $g \in \ker \alpha$ , then  $S(g) \cap F = \emptyset$ , and thus  $S(g) \cap S(A') = \emptyset$  and  $g \in A'' = A$ . Thus  $L \simeq G/\ker \alpha = G/A$ .

By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 the polars in  $L$  are of the form  $\alpha J$  where  $J \in \mathcal{P}(G)$  and  $J \supseteq A$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}^* = \{S(\alpha(J)) \mid J \in \mathcal{P}(G) \text{ and } J \supseteq A\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^* = \{E \in \mathcal{F} \mid E \subseteq F\}$ . Define  $\eta^*: \mathcal{B}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*$  by  $\eta^*(S(\alpha J)) = \eta S(J) \cap \eta S(A')$ . If  $E \in \mathcal{F}^*$ , then  $(X \setminus E) \cap F \in \mathcal{F}$ , and hence there exists  $C \in \mathcal{P}(G)$  such that  $\eta S(C) = (X \setminus E) \cap F$ .  $C \subseteq A'$  since  $\eta S(C) \subseteq \eta S(A') = F$ . Thus  $C' \supseteq A'' = A$ , and

$$\eta^* S(\alpha(C')) = \eta S(C') \cap F = (X \setminus \eta S(C)) \cap F = (X \setminus ((X \setminus E) \cap F)) \cap F = E,$$

since  $E \subseteq F$ . Thus  $\eta^*$  is a surjective function. Also, it is clear that  $\eta^*$  preserves inclusion.

Suppose  $I, J \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ ,  $I \supseteq A$ ,  $J \supseteq A$ , and that  $\eta^*S(\alpha I) \subseteq \eta^*S(\alpha J)$ . Then  $\eta S(I \cap A') = \eta(S(I) \cap S(A')) = \eta S(I) \cap \eta S(A') = \eta^*S(\alpha I) \subseteq \eta^*S(\alpha J) = \eta S(J \cap A')$ , and thus  $I \cap A' \subseteq J \cap A'$ . Now since  $I \supseteq A$  and  $J \supseteq A$ , we have  $I = A \nabla I = (A \nabla I) \cap (A \nabla A') = A \nabla (I \cap A') \subseteq A \nabla (J \cap A') = J$ . It follows that  $\eta^*$  is one-to-one and that its inverse preserves inclusion.

Thus  $\eta^*$  is a Boolean isomorphism of  $\mathcal{B}^*$  onto  $\mathcal{F}^*$ . Hence

$$(G/A)^{SP} \simeq L^{SP} \simeq L[\mathcal{F}^*]$$

by Theorem 2.4.  $L[\mathcal{F}^*]$  can be identified with  $\varphi(F) = \{h \in G[\mathcal{F}] \mid S(h) \subseteq F\}$ . Similarly,  $(G/A')^{SP}$  is isomorphic to  $\varphi(X \setminus F)$ . By Lemma 1.3 we conclude  $G[\mathcal{F}] = \varphi(F) \oplus \varphi(X \setminus F) \simeq (G/A)^{SP} \oplus (G/A')^{SP}$ .

**4. The P-hull of an l-group.** In this section we generalize the results of Section 2, and we consider the P-hull of an l-group.

We assume  $G$  is an l-subgroup of  $\prod_{x \in X} T_x$ , where each  $T_x$  is a totally-ordered group, and that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  such that, for each  $g \in G$ ,  $g'$  is an element of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We let  $\mathcal{C} = \{S(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  and let  $\eta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  be a Boolean isomorphism onto a field  $\mathcal{E}$  of subsets of  $X$  (as in Lemma 1.1).  $G[\mathcal{E}]$  is an l-subgroup of  $\prod T_x$  by Lemma 1.2.

**THEOREM 4.1.**  *$G$  is a dense l-subgroup of  $G[\mathcal{E}]$ , and if  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $A^\perp$  is a summand of  $G[\mathcal{E}]$ . If  $H$  is an l-subgroup of  $G$  containing  $G$  which has the property that  $A'^*$  is a summand of  $H$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $H = G[\mathcal{E}]$ . (Here  $\perp$  denotes polar in  $G[\mathcal{E}]$ , and  $*$  denotes polar in  $H$ .) Moreover, these properties characterize  $G[\mathcal{E}]$  up to isomorphism over  $G$ .*

The interested reader can without difficulty modify the proofs of Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 to obtain a proof of Theorem 4.1.

**THEOREM 4.2.**  *$G[\mathcal{E}]$  is a P-group.*

*Proof.* Let  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $g \in G$ . Let  $k \in G[\mathcal{E}]$  be given by  $k(x) = g(x)$  if  $x \in E$  and  $k(x) = 0$  if  $x \in X \setminus E$ . We show  $k^{\perp\perp} = \varphi(E \cap \eta S(g''))$  from which it follows by Lemma 1.3 that  $k^{\perp\perp}$  is a summand of  $G[\mathcal{E}]$ .

Let  $0 < f \in k^{\perp\perp}$ . Then  $f \wedge r = 0$  for all  $r \in k^\perp$ . Suppose that there exists  $z \in (X \setminus E) \cap S(f)$ . Let  $r \in G[\mathcal{E}]$  be given by  $r(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in X \setminus E$  and  $r(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in E$ . Then  $r \in k^\perp$  but  $f \wedge r > 0$ . We conclude from this contradiction that  $S(f) \subseteq E$ . Also,  $k^{\perp\perp} \subseteq g^{\perp\perp} = g'^{\perp\perp}$ , and hence  $S(f) \subseteq S(g'^{\perp\perp}) = \eta S(g'')$ . Thus  $S(f) \subseteq E \cap \eta S(g'')$ , and  $f \in \varphi(E \cap \eta S(g''))$ .

On the other hand, suppose  $0 < f \notin k^{\perp\perp}$ . Then there exists  $r \in k^\perp$  with  $k \wedge r > 0$ . We have  $S(r) \cap E \cap S(g) = \emptyset$ . Let  $E = \eta S(J)$  where  $J \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ . Then  $S(r) \cap S(J) \cap S(g) = \emptyset$ , and hence  $S(r) \cap S(J) \cap S(g'') = \emptyset$ . Thus  $S(r) \cap S(J \cap g'') = \emptyset$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} S(r) \cap E \cap \eta S(g'') &= S(r) \cap \eta S(J) \cap \eta S(g'') = S(r) \cap \eta(S(J) \cap S(g'')) = \\ &= S(r) \cap \eta S(J \cap g'') = \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is by (the appropriate analogue to) Lemma 2.2. Since  $k \wedge r > 0$  we conclude there exists  $x \in X \setminus (E \cap \eta S(g''))$  such that  $k(x) \neq 0$ . Thus  $k \notin \varphi(E \cap \eta S(g''))$ .

Finally, if  $0 < h \in G[\mathcal{E}]$ , then  $h = k_1 \vee \dots \vee k_n$  where each  $k_i$  is like  $k$  in the preceding paragraphs. Thus  $h^{\perp\perp}$  is the join in  $\mathcal{P}(G[\mathcal{E}])$  of  $k_1^{\perp\perp}, \dots, k_n^{\perp\perp}$ . Since the cardinal summands of an  $l$ -group  $H$  always form a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{P}(H)$ , we conclude  $h^{\perp\perp}$  is a summand of  $G[\mathcal{E}]$ .

**THEOREM 4.3.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  generated by  $\{g'' \mid g \in G\}$ , then  $G[\mathcal{E}]$  is the  $P$ -hull of  $G$ .*

*Remark.* The possibility of using the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  generated by  $\{g'' \mid g \in G\}$  to produce the  $P$ -hull of  $G$  was first utilized by D. Chambless [4] in his direct limit construction.

*Proof of Theorem 4.3.*  $G$  is a dense  $l$ -subgroup of  $G[\mathcal{E}]$  by Theorem 4.1, and by Theorem 4.2  $G[\mathcal{E}]$  is a  $P$ -group.

Suppose now that  $K$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of  $G[\mathcal{E}]$  containing  $G$  and that  $K$  is a  $P$ -group. Let  $\mathcal{A}_1$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  generated by  $\{g^{**} \mid g \in G\}$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{P}(G[\mathcal{E}])$  generated by  $\{g^{\perp\perp} \mid g \in G\}$ . ( $*$  denotes polar in  $K$ , and  $\perp$  denotes polar in  $G[\mathcal{E}]$ .)

Since  $G[\mathcal{E}]$  and  $K$  are essential extensions of  $G$ , the maps  $A \mapsto A^{\perp\perp}$  and  $A \mapsto A^{**}$  are Boolean isomorphisms of  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_2$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1$ , respectively. Since  $G[\mathcal{E}]$  is a  $P$ -group, we conclude that  $A^{\perp\perp}$  is a summand of  $G[\mathcal{E}]$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Similarly,  $A^{**}$  is a summand of  $K$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

One can now imitate the argument in the body of the proof of Theorem 2.4 and get  $K = G[\mathcal{E}]$ . Thus  $G[\mathcal{E}]$  is a  $P$ -hull of  $G$ .

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  be as in Theorem 4.3. If  $M$  is a  $P$ -hull of  $G$ , then there exists an  $l$ -group isomorphism  $\beta$  of  $G[\mathcal{E}]$  onto  $M$  with  $\beta g = g$  for all  $g \in G$ . Thus  $G$  has a unique  $P$ -hull.*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be an  $l$ -subgroup of  $\prod_{y \in Y} T_y$ , where each  $T_y$  is a totally-ordered group, and  $S(M) = Y$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}^* = \{S(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ , where  $S(A)$  is taken in  $Y$ . The map  $\mu$  by  $\mu(A) = A^{\perp\perp}$  is a Boolean isomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{P}(M)$ . Also,  $\mu(g'') = g''^{\perp\perp} = g^{\perp\perp}$  is a summand of  $M$  for all  $g \in G$ , since  $M$  is a  $P$ -group. Thus  $A^{\perp\perp}$  is a summand of  $M$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , and hence  $\mathcal{C}^* = \{S(A^{\perp\perp}) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is a field of subsets of  $Y$ . Now  $\eta^*: \mathcal{C}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*$  by  $\eta^*(S(A)) = S(A^{\perp\perp})$  is a surjective Boolean isomorphism and  $C \subseteq \eta^*C$  for all  $C \in \mathcal{C}^*$ . By Theorem 4.3,  $G[\mathcal{E}^*]$  is a  $P$ -hull for  $G$ .

The remainder of the proof is exactly similar to the arguments used in proving Theorem 2.5.

The results in Section 2 extend easily to the general setting of this section. The same does not seem to be true for the results in Section 3.

Let  $G$  be an  $l$ -subgroup of a product of totally-ordered groups. The only non-constructive step in our existence proofs for  $G^{SP}$  and  $G^P$  is the proof of Lemma 1.1.

In cases where the map  $\eta$  of the Lemma can be produced constructively, we get a fairly concrete model of the  $P$ -hull or  $SP$ -hull. We give an example illustrating this possibility.

*Example.* The  $P$ -hull of the free vector lattice  $FVL2$  on two generators.

Let  $X = R^2 \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $W = \prod_{x \in X} R_x$ . ( $R$  denotes the real numbers; thus  $X$  is the plane punctured at the origin.) Then  $W$  is the vector lattice of all real-valued functions on  $X$ . By a cone in  $X$  we mean a subset  $K$  of  $X$  such that  $rk \in K$  whenever  $k \in K$  and  $0 < r \in R$ .  $K$  is an open (closed) cone in  $X$  if and only if  $K$  is a topologically open (closed) subset of  $X$ .

Let  $H = \{f \in W \mid f \text{ is continuous, and there exist a finite number of closed cones } K_1 \dots K_n \text{ in } X \text{ with } K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_n = X \text{ and there exist linear functionals } f_1, \dots, f_n: R^2 \rightarrow R \text{ such that } f(x) = f_i(x) \text{ for all } x \in K_i\}$ . It was shown in [1] that  $FVL2 \subseteq H$  and in [3] that  $FVL2 = H$ .

The collection  $\{f'' \mid f \in FVL2\}$  is a Boolean subalgebra of  $\mathcal{P}(FVL2)$ .  $\mathcal{C} = \{S(f'') \mid f \in FVL2\}$  consists of the regular open cones in  $X$  that have only finitely many connected components. (See [1] for proofs of these last two sentences.)  $\mathcal{C}$  is a Boolean algebra of subsets of  $X$ . Let  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $C \neq \emptyset$  and  $C \neq X$ . Each component of  $C$  has a boundary which consists of two rays, one at the clockwise-most extremity of the component, the other on the counterclockwise side. We let  $\eta C$  be the union of  $C$  and the clockwise boundary rays of its components, and we let  $\eta\emptyset = \emptyset$  and  $\eta X = X$ . Then  $\eta$  is a Boolean homomorphism of  $\mathcal{C}$  onto a field  $\mathcal{E}$  of subsets of  $X$  and  $\eta C \supseteq C$  for  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ .

By Theorem 4.3,  $H[\mathcal{E}]$  is the  $P$ -hull of  $FVL2$ .  $\mathcal{E}$  consists of  $\emptyset, X$ , and all those cones in  $X$  with finitely many components, each of which is closed on the clockwise side and open on the counterclockwise side.

Now we can give the following nice description of the  $P$ -hull of  $FVL2$ : A function  $f: X \rightarrow R$  is in the  $P$ -hull of  $FVL2$  if and only if  $f = 0$  or there exist a finite number of connected cones  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  in  $X$  with  $E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_n = X$  and with each  $E_i$  closed on the clockwise side and open on the counterclockwise side, and there exist linear functionals  $f_1, \dots, f_n: R^2 \rightarrow R$  such that  $f(x) = f_i(x)$  for all  $x \in E_i$ .

REFERENCES

1. K. Baker, *Free vector lattices*, Can. J. Math. 20 (1968), 58–66.
2. S. Bernau, *Orthocompletions of lattice groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 16 (1966), 107–130.
3. R. Bleier, *Archimedean vector lattices generated by two elements*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (1973), 1–9.
4. D. Chambless, *Representation of the projectable and strongly projectable hulls of a lattice-ordered group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 34 (1972), 346–350.
5. ———, *The representation and structure of lattice-ordered groups and f-rings*, Ph.D. Thesis, Tulane University, 1971.
6. P. Conrad, *Hulls of representable l-groups and f-rings*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 16 (1973), 385–415.
7. ———, *The lateral completion of a lattice-ordered group*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 19 (1969), 444–486.

8. ——— *Lattice-ordered groups*, Tulane University, 1970.
9. P. Conrad and D. McAlister, *The completion of a lattice-ordered group*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. *9* (1969), 182–208.
10. K. Keimel, *Représentation de groupes et d'anneaux réticulés par des sections dans des faisceaux*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Paris, 1970.
11. T. Speed, *On lattice-ordered groups* (preprint).
12. A. Veckler, *Structural orderability of algebras and rings*, Soviet Math. Dokl. *6* (1965), 1201–1204.

*University of Texas,  
Austin, Texas*