

TENSOR PRODUCTS OF BANACH ALGEBRAS*

Boaz Natzitz**

(received September 1, 1968)

Introduction. In [3] Gelbaum defined the tensor product $A \otimes_C B$ of three commutative Banach algebras, A , B and C and established some of its properties. Various examples are given and the particular case where A , B and C are group algebras of L.C.A. groups G , H and K respectively, is discussed there. It is shown there that if K is compact $L_1(G) \otimes_{L_1(K)} L_1(H)$ is isomorphic to $L_1(\hat{S})$ where \hat{S} is L.C.A. if and only if $L_1(G) \otimes_{L_1(K)} L_1(H)$ is semisimple.

It is the purpose of this paper to extend these results to the case where K is L.C.A. group and to point out the connection between the tensor product and spectral synthesis.

This paper is divided into three sections: section 1 is a collection of definitions and theorems which appear in [3]; section 2 deals with group algebras as a topological module; and in section 3 we discuss the case of the tensor product of group algebras.

1. Preliminaries. Let A , B and C be the commutative Banach algebras where A and B are C modules: $\|ac\| \leq \|a\| \|c\|$, $\|bc\| \leq \|b\| \|c\|$ for $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $c \in C$.

We construct the commutative algebra

$$F_C(A, B) = \{f: f \in C^{A \times B}, f(a, 0) = f(0, b) = 0, \gamma_1(f) = \sum \|f(a, b)\| \cdot \|a\| \cdot \|b\| < \infty\}$$

* Supported by Air Force Grant AFOSR-407-63, Yale University and N.S.F. Grant G.24295, University of Minnesota.

** The author wishes to thank Professor B.R. Gelbaum for his advice and encouragement.

where addition and multiplication by scalars are defined as usual and where multiplication of two elements $f_1, f_2 \in F_C(A, B)$ is defined by

$$f_1 * f_2(a, b) = \begin{cases} \{\sum f_1(a_1, b_1) f_2(a_2, b_2) : a_1 a_2 = a, b_1 b_2 = b\} & \text{if } \|a\| \cdot \|b\| > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In $F_C(A, B)$ we consider the closed ideal I (with respect to the semi-norm γ_1) generated by the functions of the following type :

$$1. \quad f(a_1 + a_2, b_1) = -f(a_1, b_1) = -f(a_2, b_1)$$

$$f(a, b) = 0 \quad \text{otherwise}$$

$$2. \quad f(a_1, b_1 + b_2) = -f(a_1, b_1) = -f(a_1, b_2)$$

$$f(a, b) = 0 \quad \text{otherwise}$$

$$3. \quad f(a_1 \phi_1, b_1) = -f(a_1, b_1 \phi_1)$$

$$f(a, b) = 0 \quad \text{otherwise}$$

$$4. \quad f(a_1 \phi_1, b_1) \phi_1 = -f(a_1, b_1)$$

$$f(a, b) = 0 \quad \text{otherwise}$$

where ϕ_1 represents either a scalar or an element of C .

With the above notations the tensor product $D = A \otimes_C B$ is defined to be $F_C(A, B)/I$: D is then a commutative Banach algebra with γ_1 as a (quotient) norm. If C is the complex numbers we obtain the usual tensor product $A \otimes_\gamma B$ endowed with the "greatest cross norm" - the "projective tensor product".

As is customary we denote by $\mathfrak{m}_A, \mathfrak{m}_B, \mathfrak{m}_C$ and \mathfrak{m}_D the maximal spaces of A, B, C and D respectively.

In order to simplify our next theorems we add the following assumption: For every $(M_A, M_B) \in \mathfrak{m}_A \times \mathfrak{m}_B$ there exist $a \in A, b \in B, c_1, c_2 \in C$ such that $\hat{a}c_1(M_A)\hat{b}c_2(M_B) \neq 0$.

[In the general case the one point compactification of \mathfrak{M}_A (resp. \mathfrak{M}_B) or equivalently the adjunction of module identity is needed.]

THEOREM 1. (i) There are continuous mappings $\mu: \mathfrak{M}_A \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_C$, $\nu: \mathfrak{M}_B \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_C$ such that, for $(a, b, c) \in A \times B \times C$ and $(M_A, M_B) \in \mathfrak{M}_A \times \mathfrak{M}_B$, $\hat{a}c(M_A) = \hat{a}(M_A)\hat{c}(\mu(M_A))$, $\hat{b}c(M_B) = \hat{b}(M_B)\hat{c}(\nu(M_B))$.

(ii) Let $\rho = \mu \times \nu: \mathfrak{M}_A \times \mathfrak{M}_B \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_C \times \mathfrak{M}_C$ and let Δ be the diagonal of $\mathfrak{M}_C \times \mathfrak{M}_C$. Then there exists a homomorphism $\tau: \mathfrak{M}_D \rightarrow \rho^{-1}(\Delta)$, a locally compact subset of $\mathfrak{M}_A \times \mathfrak{M}_B$. If $\tau(M_D) = (M_A, M_B)$ and if $\mu(M_A) = M_C = \nu(M_B)$ then for every $\hat{f} = f/I \in D$, with $f(a_n, b_n) = c_n$ $n = 1 \dots$ and $f(a, b) = 0$ otherwise,

$$\hat{f}(M_D) = \Sigma \hat{c}_n(M_C) \hat{a}_n(M_A) \hat{b}_n(M_B).$$

THEOREM 2. Let $\{c\}$ be an approximate identity for C . Then $\{c\}$ is also an approximate identity for A if and only if each $a \in A$ is of the form $a_1 c_1$ where $a_1 \in A$ and $c_1 \in C$. Moreover, for $\epsilon > 0$, a_1 and c_1 can be chosen to satisfy $\|c_1\| = 1$ and $\|a_1 - a\| < \epsilon$.

For the proofs of these theorems as well as several other applications we refer the reader to [1], [3] and [5].

In the next sections we shall denote by $\Sigma c_n(a_n, b_n)$ and $\Sigma c_n(a_n \otimes b_n)$ elements of $F_C(A, B)$ and D respectively.

2. Group Algebras. In this section we shall focus our attention upon group algebras with an additional module property. Although some of the results of this section hold for a larger class of multipliers [5] we shall restrict ourselves to the following particular case [3]:

Let G and K be two L.C.A. groups with dual groups \hat{G} and \hat{K} respectively. Let $\theta: K \rightarrow G$ be a (topological) homomorphism of K into G (so that $\theta(K)$ is locally compact and hence $\theta(k)$ is closed [6] and let $\theta^*: \hat{G} \rightarrow \hat{K}$ be the (induced) dual mapping defined by [12]: $(\theta(k), \alpha) = (k, \theta^*(\alpha))$ where $\alpha \in \hat{G}$.

With the above notations we define the "module action" as

$$ac(g) = \int_K a(g-\theta(k))c(k)dk \text{ for } a \in L_1(G), c \in L_1(K).$$

Under this definition $L_1(G)$ is an $L_1(K)$ module with $\|ac\| \leq \|a\| \|c\|$ and $(a_1c)a_2 = (a_1a_2)c$ etc. Indeed, the usual proofs hold here with the obvious modifications.

We now prove several propositions which will be used in the sequel.

LEMMA 3. Let $\alpha \in \hat{G}$. Then $\hat{a}\hat{c}(\alpha) = \hat{a}(\alpha)\hat{c}(\theta^*(\alpha))$ for $a \in L_1(G)$, $c \in L_1(K)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } \hat{a}\hat{c}(\alpha) &= \int_G ac(g)\overline{(g, \alpha)} dg \\ &= \int_G \int_K a(g-\theta(k))c(k)\overline{(g, \alpha)} dk dg \\ &= \int_K \int_G a(g)c(k)\overline{(g, \alpha)}\overline{(\theta(k), \alpha)} dg dk \\ &= \hat{a}(\alpha)c(\theta^*(\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4. Let $\bar{A}' = \{\sum a_i c_i; a_i \in L_1(G), c_i \in L_1(K)\}$. Then $\bar{A}' = L_1(G)$.

Since \bar{A}' is a closed ideal in $L_1(G)$ it suffices to show that \bar{A}' is not contained in α for every $\alpha \in \hat{G}$. [7, p. 148].

This clearly is the case since $\mathfrak{D}(a, c) \equiv \hat{a}\hat{c}(\alpha) \equiv \hat{a}(\alpha)\hat{c}(\alpha) \neq 0$.

PROPOSITION 5. Let $\{u\}$ be an approximate identity for $L_1(K)$. Then $au \rightarrow a$ for every $a \in L_1(G)$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $a_i \in L_1(G)$, $c_i \in L_1(K)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $u \in \{u\}$ such that

$$\left\| a - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i c_i \right\| < \epsilon/3 \quad \text{and} \quad \|c_i - c_i u\| \leq \epsilon/3\eta.$$

Then,

$$(\|u\| \leq 1, \eta > \max \|a_j\|) \quad 1 \leq j \leq n$$

$$\|a - au\| \leq \|a - \sum a_i c_i\| + \|\sum a_i c_i - \sum a_i c_i u\| + \|\sum a_i c_i u\| < \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 = \epsilon.$$

PROPOSITION 6. (i) Let $a \in L_1(G)$, $c \in L_1(K)$. Suppose $\hat{c} = 1$ on $\text{supp}(\hat{a})$. Then $a = ac$.

(ii) Let $a \in L_1(G)$, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exist $a_1 \in L_1(G)$, $c_1 \in L_1(K)$ such that $\|c_1\| = 1$, $\|a - a_1\| < \epsilon$ and $a = a_1 c_1$.

Proof. (i) $\hat{a}\hat{c}(\alpha) = \hat{a}(\alpha)\hat{c}(\theta^*(\alpha)) = \hat{a}(\alpha)$ by Lemma 3 and our assumption. Hence, since $L_1(G)$ is semisimple $ac = a$.

(ii) Theorem 2.

3. Tensor Products by Group Algebras. We now turn our attention to a particular example by a tensor product over a Banach algebra - the case where the algebras involved are group algebras of a locally compact abelian group and where the module action is in accordance with the previous section.

One purpose in the development will be the realization of D as a group algebra $L_1(\hat{S})$ of a L.C.A., \hat{S} constructible from G , H and K . Another equally important problem will be the semisimplicity of D . It is a well-known open question whether the tensor product of semisimple Banach algebras is again semisimple. In the case of group algebras this is true since $L_1(G) \otimes L_1(H) = L_1(G \times H)$ [2], [4] and [11]. Yet in the general case this is known to be true if the condition of "monomorphy" ($A \otimes_{\gamma} B \rightarrow A \otimes B$ is 1-1) holds, which is the case if either one of the algebras satisfies the Grothendieck condition of approximation [2], [4], [10], and [11].

To focus our ideas let G , H , and K be three L.C.A. groups with dual groups \hat{G} , \hat{H} and \hat{K} respectively. Let $\theta: K \rightarrow G$ and $\psi: K \rightarrow H$ be homomorphisms of K into G and H respectively. With the previous definitions the tensor product $D = L_1(G) \otimes_{L_1(K)} L_1(H)$ is a well-defined Banach algebra. We first characterize its maximal ideal space - which turns out to be L.C.A. group S - and then define a linear mapping $T: F_{L_1(K)}(L_1(G), L_1(H)) \rightarrow L_1(S)$ which turns out to be an isomorphism of D onto $L_1(S)$ provided D is semisimple. Several rather powerful theorems are used in this development. Besides Cohen's factorization theorem (Proposition 6) [1], [5] we need Grothendieck's characterization of the tensor product [4] (these are used in showing that T is surjective) and Calderon's result in spectral synthesis [8].

Some of the ideas involved in this discussion appear in [3]. However, our proof of the semisimplicity of D is entirely different.

To make our discussion complete we indicate the proofs of several

propositions which appear already in [3].

THEOREM 7. (i) The maps $\mu: \hat{G} \rightarrow \hat{K}$ and $\nu: \hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{K}$ are the
duals θ^* and ψ^* of the maps θ and ψ .

(ii) $\tau(\mathfrak{m}_D) = \{(\alpha, \beta) : \alpha \in \hat{G}, \beta \in \hat{H}, \theta^*(\alpha) = \psi^*(\beta)\} = (\theta^* \times \psi^*)^{-1} \Delta$
where $\Delta =$ diagonal of $\hat{K} \times \hat{K}$. Hence $\tau(\mathfrak{m}_D)$ is a closed subgroup of $\hat{G} \times \hat{H}$.

(iii) $\widehat{\tau(\mathfrak{m}_D)} = G \times H / \tau(\mathfrak{m}_D)^+$ where $+$ is the annihilator.

(iv) $\tau(\mathfrak{m}_D)^+ = (\theta \times -\psi) \Gamma = Q$ where $\Gamma =$ diagonal of $K \times K$.

(v) $\tau(\mathfrak{m}_D) = \widehat{G \times H / Q}$.

(vi) If $\bar{z} \in D$ and $\bar{z} = \sum c_m (a_m \otimes b_m)$ then for $M_D \in \mathfrak{m}_D \hat{z}(M_D) =$
 $\sum c_m (\gamma) \hat{a}_m (\alpha) \hat{b}_m (\beta)$, where $\tau(M_D) = (\alpha, \beta)$ and $\theta^*(\alpha) = \psi^*(\beta) = \gamma$.

Proof. (i), (ii) and (vi) follow from Theorem 1, (iii) follows from (ii) by duality and (v) follows from (iv) by duality. To prove (v) we first note that Q is closed since its locally compact group in the relative topology (the mappings are open) [6].

Next we show that $Q \subset \tau(M_D)^+$. Indeed, for $g = \theta(k)$, $h = -\psi(k)$, $k \in K$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \tau(M_D)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (g, \alpha)(h, \beta) &= (\theta(k), \alpha)(-\psi(k), \beta) = (k, \theta^*(\alpha)) \overline{(k, \psi^*(\beta))} \\ &= (k, \gamma) \overline{(k, \gamma)} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

by (ii) and (iii).

Finally, let $(\alpha_o, \beta_o) \in Q^+$ then $1 = (\theta(k), \alpha_o)(-\psi(k), \beta_o)$; hence $\theta^*(\alpha_o) = \psi^*(\beta_o)$. Hence $(\alpha_o, \beta_o) \in \tau(\mathfrak{m}_D)$ (by (ii)) and this completes the proof since Q is closed.

Let T be the linear operator defined on the functions in $F_{L_1(K)}(L_1(G), L_1(H))$ with finite support and values in $L_1(G \times H/Q)$;

T is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Tc(a, b)(g, h) &= \int_Q \int_K a(g - \theta(k_1) - \theta(k_2)) c(k_1) b(h + \psi(k_2)) dk_1 dq_2 \\ &= \int_Q a c(g - \theta(k_2)) b(h + \psi(k_2)) dq_2, \end{aligned}$$

where dq represents the Haar measure on $Q = (\epsilon x - \psi) \text{diag}(K \times K)$ and $(\overset{\circ}{g}, \overset{\circ}{h})$ represents the coset $(g, h) + Q$. By a proper choice of the Haar measures we have that the mapping $F \rightarrow \int_Q F((g, h) + q) dq$ is surjective and

$$\int_{G \times H/Q} \int_Q F((g, h) + q) dq \overset{\circ}{d}g \overset{\circ}{d}h = \int_{G \times H} F(g, h) dg dh,$$

[7], [12].

PROPOSITION 8. (i) T is bounded, ($\|Tf\| \leq \gamma_1(f)$).

(ii) $T[I] = 0$.

(iii) T is multiplicative on D where T denotes the induced mapping by (ii).

(iv) $T: D \rightarrow L_1(G \times H/Q)$ is surjective.

(v) T is isomorphic.

Proof. (i), (ii) and (iii) are straight-forward.

(iv) is a consequence of Propositions 6 and the isometric isomorphism between $L_1(G) \otimes_{\gamma} L_1(H)$ and $L_1(G \times H)$. Indeed, let $\sum a'_n b_n \in L_1(G \times H)$; write $a'_n = a_n c_n$ and consider $\sum c_n (a_n \otimes b_n) \in D$ by proper choice of a_n and c_n . Then

$$T \sum c_n (a_n \otimes b_n) = \int_Q a_n c_n (g - \theta)(k_2) b_n (h + \psi(k_2)) dq_2$$

which is surjective.

(v) One half is obvious. The second follows directly from the identity $\hat{z}(M_D) = \hat{Tz}(\alpha, \beta)$ where $(\alpha, \beta) \leftrightarrow M_D$ (Theorem 7).

We include a detailed proof of this identity since the involved computations are typical. To this end let $d\sigma = \overset{\circ}{d}g \overset{\circ}{d}h$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma a_n \hat{c}_n(\alpha) \hat{b}_n(\beta) &= \Sigma \int_{G \times H} \int_K a_n(g - \ell(k_1)) c_n(k_1) b_n(h)(g, \alpha)(h, \beta) dk_1 dg dh \\
&= \Sigma \int_{G \times H/Q} \int_Q \int_K a_n(g - \theta(k_1 + k_2)) c_n(k_1) b_n(h + \psi(k_2)) \overline{(g - \theta(k_2), \alpha)(h - \psi(k_2), \beta)} dk_1 dq_2 d\sigma \\
&= \Sigma \int_{G \times H/Q} \int_Q \int_K a_n(g - \theta(k_1 + k_2)) c_n(k_1) b_n(h + \psi(k_2)) \overline{(g, h), (\alpha, \beta)} dk_1 dq_2 d\sigma \\
&= \Sigma \int_{G \times H/Q} T(c_n \otimes b_n)(g, h) \overline{T(c_n \otimes b_n)(\alpha, \beta)} d\sigma = \overline{T(c_n \otimes b_n)(\alpha, \beta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to simplify some of the statement, we introduce the following definitions:

Let

$$S = \{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma); \alpha \in \hat{G}, \beta \in \hat{H}, \gamma \in K, \theta^*(\alpha) = \psi^*(\beta) = \gamma\}.$$

A cube is a set of the form $E = E_{\hat{G}} \times E_{\hat{H}} \times E_{\hat{K}}$ where $E_{\hat{G}}, E_{\hat{H}}$ and $E_{\hat{K}}$ are subsets of \hat{G}, \hat{H} and \hat{K} respectively and where $E \cap S = \emptyset$. An element $X = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i c_i$ of $L_1(G \times H \times K)$ where $a_i \in L_1(G), b_i \in L_1(H), c_i \in L_1(k), i = 1, \dots, n$ will be called a generator. A term abc will be a component of the generator.

LEMMA 9. (i) S is a closed subgroup of $\hat{G} \times \hat{H} \times \hat{K}$.

(ii) If $E = E_{\hat{G}} \times E_{\hat{H}} \times E_{\hat{K}}$ is a cube then $\theta^*(E_{\hat{G}}) \cap \psi^*(E_{\hat{H}}) \cap E_{\hat{K}} = \emptyset$.

Proof. (i) If $\lambda = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ does not belong to S then for $\theta^*(\alpha) = \gamma_1 \neq \gamma$ (similarly for $\psi^*(\beta) = \gamma_2 \neq \gamma$) we choose two disjoint neighbourhoods (in \hat{K}) V_γ of γ and V_{γ_1} of γ_1 . Then $\theta^{*-1}(V_{\gamma_1}) \times \hat{H} \times V_\gamma$ is a cube neighbourhood of λ .

(ii) (By contradiction) If $\lambda \in \theta^*(E_{\hat{G}}) \cap \psi^*(E_{\hat{H}}) \cap E_{\hat{K}}$ then $\gamma = \theta^*(\alpha) = \psi^*(\beta)$ and $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in S \cap E$.

LEMMA 10. Let $f \in L_1(G \times H \times K)$ with $\hat{f} = 0$ on S . Then for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a generator z with components $z_i, i = 1, \dots, L = L(\epsilon, s, t)$ such that

- (i) $\{\text{supp. } \hat{z}_i\}$ are compact cubes;
- (ii) $\|z-f\| < \epsilon$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that the support of \hat{f} is a compact set disjoint from S . For, by Calderon's Theorem, [1], S is a spectral set and the usual triangle inequality completes the argument.

Let $\text{supp. } \hat{f} = \Lambda$ be a compact set disjoint from S . Choose generators x and y such that $\hat{x} = 1$ on Λ and the $\text{supp. } \hat{x}_i$ are compact cubes where x_i are the components for x for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\|y-f\| < \epsilon / \|x\|$. Then $z = x*y$ satisfies the lemma.

LEMMA 11. Let $\bar{f} = \sum c_n (a_n \otimes b_n) \in L_1(G) \otimes_{L_1(K)} L_1(H)$.

Then $f = \sum a_n b_n c_n \in L_1(G \times H \times K)$. If $\hat{f} \equiv 0$ then $f = 0$ on S .

Proof. $\|f\| \leq \sum \|a_n\| \|b_n\| \|c_n\| = \gamma_1(\sum c_n (a_n, b_n)) < \infty$.

Also, $f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sum \widehat{a_n b_n c_n}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sum \hat{a}_n(\alpha) \hat{b}_n(\beta) \hat{c}_n(\gamma) = f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 0$ by Theorem 7.

LEMMA 12. Let $\bar{f} = c(a \otimes b) \in L_1(G) \otimes_{L_1(K)} L_1(H)$.

Let $\theta^*(\text{supp } \hat{a}), \psi^*(\text{supp } \hat{b}), \text{supp } \hat{c}$ be compact subsets of \hat{K} . Then, if $\theta^*(\text{supp } \hat{a}) \cap \psi^*(\text{supp } \hat{b}) \cap \text{supp } \hat{c} = \emptyset$, $\bar{f} = 0$.

Proof. Choose V_1, V_2, V_3 neighbourhoods of $\theta^*(\text{supp } \hat{a}), \psi^*(\text{supp } \hat{b}), \text{supp } \hat{c}$ respectively such that $V_1 \cap V_2 \cap V_3 = \emptyset$. Choose local identities $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in L_1(K)$ such that $\hat{c}_i = 0$ outside of V_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $\hat{c}_1 = 1$ on $\theta^*(\text{supp } \hat{a}), \hat{c}_2 = 1$ on $\psi^*(\text{supp } \hat{b}), c_3 = 1$ on $\text{supp } \hat{c}$. Now $V_1 \cap V_2 \cap V_3 = \emptyset$ implies $c_1 c_2 c_3 = 0$ whence $c(a \otimes b) = c c_1 (a c_1 \otimes b c_2) = c c_1 c_2 c_3 (a \otimes b) = 0(a \otimes b) = 0$.

COROLLARY. Let z be a generator with components $z_i = a_i b_i c_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $\text{supp } \hat{z}_i$ be a compact cube. Then $z = \sum_1^n c_i (a_i \otimes b_i) = 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 9, $\theta^*(\text{supp } \hat{a}_i) \cap \psi^*(\text{supp } \hat{b}_i) \cap \text{supp } \hat{c}_i = \emptyset$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence, by Lemma 11 and the compactness of $\theta^*(\text{supp } a_i)$ etc., we get the required result.

THEOREM 13. D is semisimple.

Proof. Let $\bar{y} = \sum c_n(a_n \otimes b_n)$ be such that $\hat{y} \equiv 0$. Consider, in accordance with Lemma 11, $y = \sum a_n b_n c_n \in L_1(G \times H \times K)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. By Lemma 10 there exists a generator z with components z_i , $i = 1, \dots, L$ such that $\text{supp } \hat{z}_i$ are compact cubes and $\|z - y\| < \epsilon$. By the previous corollary $\sum c_i(a_i \otimes b_i) = 0$. On the other hand we have that $\gamma_1(\bar{y} - \sum c_i(a_i \otimes b_i)) \leq \|y - z\| < \epsilon$. Hence $\gamma_1(\bar{y}) < \epsilon$, and D is semisimple.

REFERENCES

1. P.J. Cohen, Factorization in group algebras. *Duke Math. J.* 26 (1959) 199-205.
2. B.R. Gelbaum, Tensor products and related questions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 103 (1962) 525-547.
3. B.R. Gelbaum, Tensor products over Banach algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 118 (1965) 134-149.
4. A. Grothendieck, Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucleaires. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 16 (1955).
5. E. Hewitt, The range of certain convolution operators. *Math. Scand.* 15 (1964) 147-155.
6. E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis I* (Springer, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1963).
7. L.H. Loomis, *An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis.* (Van Nostrand, New York, 1953).
8. W. Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups.* (Interscience, New York 1962).
9. H. Schaefer, *Topological vector spaces.* (Macmillan, New York 1966).
10. L. Schwartz, *Produits tensoriels topologiques d'espaces vectoriels topologiques.* *Fac. Sci. de Paris, Seminaire Schwartz* (1953-1954).

11. J. Tomiyama, Tensor products of commutative Banach algebras
Tohoku, Math. J. 12 (1960) 147-154.
12. A. Weil, L'integration sans les groupes topologiques et ses
application. (Hermann, Paris 1953).

McGill University