

# LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS ON ALGEBRAS OF MATRICES

MARVIN MARCUS AND B. N. MOYLS

**1. Introduction.** Let  $M_n$  denote the algebra of  $n$ -square matrices over the complex numbers; and let  $U_n$ ,  $H_n$ , and  $R_k$  denote respectively the unimodular group, the set of Hermitian matrices, and the set of matrices of rank  $k$ , in  $M_n$ . Let  $\text{ev}(A)$  be the set of  $n$  eigenvalues of  $A$  counting multiplicities. We consider the problem of determining the structure of any linear transformation (l.t.)  $T$  of  $M_n$  into  $M_n$  having one or more of the following properties:

- (a)  $T(R_k) \subseteq R_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (b)  $T(U_n) \subseteq U_n$
- (c)  $\det T(A) = \det A$  for all  $A \in H_n$ .
- (d)  $\text{ev}(T(A)) = \text{ev}(A)$  for all  $A \in H_n$ .

We remark that we are not in general assuming that  $T$  is a multiplicative homomorphism; more precisely,  $T$  is a mapping of  $M_n$  into itself, satisfying

$$T(aA + bB) = aT(A) + bT(B)$$

for all  $A, B$  in  $M_n$  and all complex numbers  $a, b$ .

We shall show first that if  $T$  satisfies property (a), then there exist non-singular matrices  $U$  and  $V$  such that either

$$T(A) = UAV$$

or

$$T(A) = UA'V,$$

for all  $A \in M_n$ , where  $A'$  is the transpose of  $A$ . We shall then show that any  $T$  satisfying (b), (c), or (d) must in turn satisfy (a), and determine the additional restrictions on  $U$  and  $V$  required in these cases.

**2. Rank Preservers.** In this section we shall characterize all linear transformations of  $M_n$  which preserve rank. To this end it is convenient to consider each matrix of  $M_n$  as an  $n^2$ -vector, and to represent the l.t.  $T$  as an  $n^2 \times n^2$  matrix.

$$(1) \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \dots & T_{1n} \\ \vdots & & & \\ \vdots & & & \\ T_{n1} & & \dots & T_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

---

Received March 19, 1958. The work of the first author was partially completed under U.S. National Science Foundation Grant No. NSF-G 5416. The work of the second author was supported in part by the United States Air Force Office of Scientific Research and Development Command.

where each  $T_{ij}$  is an  $n$ -square matrix. If  $v_j(A)$  denotes the  $j$ th column of  $A$ , then  $T$  maps  $A = (v_1(A), v_2(A), \dots, v_n(A))$  into the matrix

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^n T_{1j}v_j(A), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n T_{nj}v_j(A) \right).$$

Let  $\rho(A)$  denote the rank of  $A$ . If  $T$  preserves rank,  $T(x, 0, \dots, 0) = (T_{11}x, \dots, T_{n1}x)$  has rank 1 for any non-zero vector  $x$  where  $0$  is the zero vector. We shall call  $m$   $n$ -square matrices  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  *collinear* if, for every non-zero  $n$ -vector  $x$ ,

$$\rho(A_1x, \dots, A_mx) = 1.$$

LEMMA 1. *If  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  are collinear, there exist non-zero vectors  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  such that*

$$(2) \quad v_j(A_i) = k_{ij}z_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n;$$

where the  $k_{ij}$  are scalars. Moreover, for each  $j$ ,  $k_{ij} \neq 0$  for some  $i$ .

*Proof.* Let  $e_j$  denote the unit vector with  $j$ th entry equal to 1. Then  $A_ie_j = v_j(A_i)$ . The lemma follows from the fact that  $\rho(v_j(A_1), \dots, v_j(A_m)) = 1$ .

LEMMA 2. *If the matrices  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  are collinear, and  $z_1, z_\beta$  are linearly independent for some  $\beta$  (cf. (2)), then there exists a non-singular matrix  $A$  and scalars  $l_i$ , not all zero, such that*

$$(3) \quad A_i = l_iA, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

*Proof.* The matrix  $(A_1(e_1 + e_\beta), \dots, A_m(e_1 + e_\beta)) = (k_{11}z_1 + k_{1\beta}z_\beta, \dots, k_{m1}z_1 + k_{m\beta}z_\beta)$  has rank 1. For some  $s$ ,  $k_{s1} \neq 0$ , by Lemma 1. The Grassmann products

$$(k_{s1}z_1 + k_{s\beta}z_\beta) \wedge (k_{i1}z_1 + k_{i\beta}z_\beta) = 0,$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Since  $z_1 \wedge z_\beta \neq 0$ , it follows that  $k_{s1}k_{i\beta} - k_{s\beta}k_{i1} = 0$ , or

$$(4) \quad k_{i\beta} = \frac{k_{s\beta}k_{i1}}{k_{s1}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Moreover,  $k_{s\beta} \neq 0$  (otherwise all  $k_{i\beta} = 0$ ); and (4) holds for all  $\beta$  such that  $z_1$  and  $z_\beta$  are independent.

Suppose now that  $z_1$  and  $z_\gamma$  are dependent; then  $z_\beta$  and  $z_\gamma$  are independent. By the preceding argument,

$$k_{i\gamma} = \frac{k_{s\gamma}k_{i\beta}}{k_{s\beta}} = \frac{k_{s\gamma}}{k_{s\beta}} \left( \frac{k_{s\beta}k_{i1}}{k_{s1}} \right) = \frac{k_{s\gamma}k_{i1}}{k_{s1}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Thus equations (4) hold for all  $1 \leq \beta \leq n$ . It follows that  $A_i = l_iA_s$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , where  $l_i = k_{i1}/k_{s1}$ . In particular  $l_s = 1$ .

The matrix  $A_s$  cannot be singular, for then  $\rho(A_1x, \dots, A_mx) = 0$  when  $x$  is an eigenvector of  $A_s$  corresponding to the eigenvalue 0.

An immediate consequence of Lemmas 1 and 2 is

LEMMA 3. *If the matrices  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are all singular and collinear, then there exist scalars  $k_{ij}$  and a non-zero vector  $z$  such that  $v_j(A_i) = k_{ij}z, i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .*

LEMMA 4. *Let  $T$  be a rank preserver on  $M_n$ . If some block  $T_{\alpha\beta}$  in the representation (1) of  $T$  is non-singular, then there exist scalars  $c_{ij}$  such that*

$$(5) \quad T_{ij} = c_{ij}T_{\alpha\beta}; \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

*Proof.* First note that  $T_{1\beta}, \dots, T_{n\beta}$  are collinear. Since  $T_{\alpha\beta}$  is non-singular, the vectors  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  of Lemma 1 are linearly independent. Hence  $T_{i\beta} = c_{i\beta}T_{\alpha\beta}, i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Suppose  $T_{\sigma\gamma}$  is also non-singular,  $\gamma \neq \beta$ . Then  $T_{i\gamma} = l_{i\gamma}T_{\sigma\gamma}, i = 1, \dots, n$ . If  $T_{\sigma\gamma}$  is not a multiple of  $T_{\alpha\beta}$ , choose a vector  $x$  so that  $T_{\alpha\beta}x$  and  $T_{\sigma\gamma}x$  are linearly independent; and let  $X$  be the matrix with  $v_j(X) = x$  for  $j = \beta, \gamma$ , and  $v_j(X) = 0$  for  $j \neq \beta, \gamma$ . Then  $\rho(T(X)) = 1$ . This implies that

$$(T_{i\beta}x + T_{i\gamma}x) \wedge (T_{t\beta}x + T_{t\gamma}x) = 0, \quad i, t = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since  $T_{\alpha\beta}x \wedge T_{\sigma\gamma}x \neq 0$ ,

$$(6) \quad c_{i\beta}l_{t\gamma} - l_{i\gamma}c_{t\beta} = 0 \text{ for all } i, t.$$

Let  $Y$  be a matrix for which  $v_\beta(Y)$  and  $v_\gamma(Y)$  are independent and  $v_j(Y) = 0$  for  $j \neq \beta, \gamma$ . Then  $\rho(Y) = 2$ , while  $\rho(T(Y)) \leq 1$  by (6). This contradiction shows that  $T_{\sigma\gamma}$  is a multiple of  $T_{\alpha\beta}$ , and (5) holds for  $T_{i\gamma}, i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Finally suppose that  $T_{i\gamma}$  is singular for some  $\gamma$  and all  $i$ . By Lemma 3 there exist scalars  $k_{ij}$  and a non-zero vector  $z$  such that  $v_j(T_{i\gamma}) = k_{ij}z$ . Thus  $T_{i\gamma}x$  is a multiple of  $z$  for any vector  $x$ . Choose  $y$  so that  $T_{\alpha\beta}y = z$ , and choose  $x$  independent of  $y$ . For the matrix  $Y$  above with  $v_\beta(Y) = y$  and  $v_\gamma(Y) = x$ ,  $\rho(Y) = 2$ , while  $\rho(T(Y)) \leq 1$ . Hence this case cannot arise. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Not every rank preserver need have a non-singular block in its representation (1). For example, the transformation  $T_1$ , which maps each matrix onto its transpose, is linear and preserves rank. In its matrix,  $T_{ij} = E_{ji}$ , where  $E_{ij}$  is the matrix with 1 in the  $i, j$  position and 0's elsewhere. We have, however, the following result.

LEMMA 5. *Let  $T$  be a rank preserver. If every  $T_{ij}$  in the representation (1) is singular, then the  $n^2 \times n^2$  matrix  $TT_1$  has a non-singular block.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3, there exist vectors  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  such that each column of  $T_{ij}$  is a multiple of  $z_j$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . For any matrix  $A, v_i(T(A))$  is a linear combination of the columns of the  $T_{ij}$ . Hence the columns of  $T(A)$  are linear combinations of the vectors  $z_j$ . This implies that  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  are linearly independent; for, if not, the columns of  $T(A)$  would be linearly dependent, which is not the case when  $A$  is non-singular. Denote the blocks of  $TT_1$  by  $W_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then

$$W_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n T_{ik}E_{jk},$$

and  $v_k(W_{ij}) = v_j(T_{ik})$ . Thus the  $k$ th column of each  $W_{ij}$  is a multiple of  $z_k$ . Since  $TT_1$  preserves rank, the blocks  $W_{11}, \dots, W_{n1}$  are collinear. The result then follows from Lemma 2.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $T$  be a l.t. of  $M_n$  into  $M_n$ .  $T$  is a rank preserver if and only if there exist non-singular matrices  $U$  and  $V$  such that either:*

(7) 
$$T(A) = UAV \quad \text{for all } A,$$

or

(8) 
$$T(A) = UA'V \quad \text{for all } A.$$

*Proof.* The sufficiency of the condition is obvious. For the necessity, if the representation (1) of  $T$  has a non-singular block  $T_{\alpha\beta}$ , choose  $U = T_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $V = (c_{ji})$  in Lemma 4. If  $T$  has no non-singular block, define the rank preserver  $T_2$  by  $T_2(A') = T(A)$ . By Lemma 5,  $T_2$  has a non-singular block; hence there exist  $U$  and  $V$  non-singular such that  $T(A) = T_2(A') = UA'V$  for all  $A$ .

**3. Determinant Preservers.** We shall show that, if a linear transformation  $T$  of  $M_n$  maps unimodular matrices into unimodular matrices, it preserves determinant; that if it preserves determinant, it preserves rank; and determine the appropriate forms of  $U$  and  $V$  in Theorem 1.

**LEMMA 6.** *If the l.t.  $T$  maps  $U_n$  into  $U_n$ , then  $\det T(A) = \det A$  for all matrices  $A$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\det A \neq 0$ ,  $\det [A/(\det A)^{1/n}] = 1$ ; hence  $\det T(A) = (\det A) \cdot \det [T(A)/(\det A)^{1/n}] = \det A$ . Now  $\det T(A)$  is a polynomial in the entries  $a_{ij}$  of  $A$  which is equal to  $\det A$  for all non-singular  $A$ ; thus this relation is an identity so that  $\det T(A) = \det A$  for all  $A$ .

**LEMMA 7.** *If  $T$  preserves determinant, then  $T$  is non-singular and hence onto.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $T(A) = 0$ ; then  $\rho(A) < n$ . There exist non-singular matrices  $M$  and  $N$  such that  $MAN = I_r \dot{+} 0_{n-r}$ , where  $r = \rho(A)$ ,  $I_r$  is the  $r \times r$  unit matrix,  $0_{n-r}$  is the  $(n - r) \times (n - r)$  zero matrix and  $\dot{+}$  denotes the direct sum. For any  $X$ ,  $[\det (MAN + X)]/\det MN = \det (A + M^{-1}XN^{-1}) = \det T(A + M^{-1}XN^{-1}) = \det T(M^{-1}XN^{-1}) = \det M^{-1}XN^{-1}$ . Hence  $\det (MAN + X) = \det X$ . Set  $X = 0_r \dot{+} I_{n-r}$ . Then  $\det (MAN + X) = 1$ , while  $\det X = 0$  unless  $r = 0$ . Hence  $A = 0$ .

**LEMMA 8.** *If  $T$  preserves determinant, then  $T$  preserves rank.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be an arbitrary matrix. There exist non-singular matrices  $M_1, N_1, M_2, N_2$ , such that  $M_1AN_1 = Y_1 = I_r \dot{+} 0_{n-r}$  and  $M_2T(A)N_2 = Y_2 = I_s \dot{+} 0_{n-s}$  where  $r = \rho(A)$  and  $s = \rho(T(A))$ . Define a mapping  $\phi$  of  $M_n$  by:

$$\phi(X) = M_2T(M_1^{-1}XN_1^{-1})N_2.$$

Then  $\phi$  is linear with the property:  $\det \phi(X) = k \det X$ , where

$$k = \det (M_2 M_1^{-1} N_1^{-1} N_2);$$

also  $\phi(Y_1) = Y_2$ . Set  $Y_3 = 0_r + I_{n-r}$ . For any scalar  $\lambda$ ,  $\det (\lambda Y_1 + Y_3) = \lambda^r$ . On the other hand,  $\det \phi(\lambda Y_1 + Y_3) = \det (\lambda Y_2 + \phi(Y_3)) = p(\lambda)$ , a polynomial in  $\lambda$  of degrees  $\leq s$ . Since  $p(\lambda) \equiv k\lambda^r, k \neq 0$ , identically in  $\lambda$ , it follows that  $r \leq s$ , and  $\rho(A) \leq \rho(T(A))$ .

By Lemma 7,  $T^{-1}$  exists; moreover, since  $T$  preserves determinant,  $\det B = \det (TT^{-1}(B)) = \det T^{-1}(B)$  for all  $B$  in  $M_n$ . Thus  $T^{-1}$  preserves determinant, and  $\rho(T(A)) \leq \rho(T^{-1}T(A)) = \rho(A)$ . Therefore  $\rho(A) = \rho(T(A))$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $T$  be a l.t. of  $M_n$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T$  maps  $U_n$  into  $U_n$ .
- (ii)  $T$  preserves determinant.
- (iii) There exist unimodular matrices  $U$  and  $V$  such that either (7) or (8) holds.

*Proof.* Lemma 6 gives (i)  $\leftrightarrow$  (ii); (iii)  $\rightarrow$  (ii) is obvious. If  $T$  preserves determinant, then by Lemma 8 and Theorem 1, there exist non-singular matrices  $U_1$  and  $V_1$  such that  $T(A) = U_1 A V_1$  or  $T(A) = U_1 A' V_1$ . Since  $\det T(I) = 1, \det U_1 V_1 = 1$ . Choose  $U = U_1 / (\det U_1)^{1/n}$  and  $V = V_1 / (\det V_1)^{1/n}$ . Thus (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii).

We shall show in the next section that preservation of determinant for Hermitian matrices is also equivalent to conditions (i)—(iii).

**4. Eigenvalue Preservers.**

**LEMMA 9.** *Let  $T$  be a l.t. of  $M_n$ . If  $\text{ev}(T(H)) = \text{ev}(H)$  for all Hermitian matrices  $H$ , then  $\text{ev}(T(A)) = \text{ev}(A)$  for all  $A$  in  $M_n$ .*

*Proof.* Note first that if  $H$  is Hermitian and satisfies the given condition, then  $\text{tr}\{[T(H)]^m\} = \text{tr}\{H^m\}$  for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\text{tr}(X)$  denotes the trace of  $X$ . For any matrix  $A$  there exist Hermitian matrices  $K, L$  such that  $A = K + iL$ . For real  $\alpha, K + \alpha L$  is Hermitian and

$$(9) \quad \text{tr}\{[T(K + \alpha L)]^m\} = \text{tr}\{(K + \alpha L)^m\}.$$

For each  $m$ , equation (9) is a polynomial equation in  $\alpha$  of degree  $\leq m$  satisfied by all real  $\alpha$ . Hence (9) is satisfied by all complex  $\alpha$ , and in particular by  $\alpha = i$ . If the eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $T(A)$  are  $\lambda_j$  and  $\mu_j$ , respectively,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^m = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j^m \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

It follows that the corresponding elementary symmetric functions of the  $\lambda_j$  and the  $\mu_j$  are equal, and that  $\text{ev}(T(A)) = \text{ev}(A)$ .

LEMMA 10. If  $\text{ev}(T(A)) = \text{ev}(A)$  for all  $A \in M_n$ , then  $T(I) = I$ , where  $I$  is the unit matrix of order  $n$ .

*Proof.*  $T$  preserves determinant. Hence, for  $\lambda$  complex and  $A \in M_n$ ,  $\det(\lambda I - A) = \det(\lambda T(I) - T(A)) = \det(\lambda I - CT(A))$ , where  $C = (T(I))^{-1}$ . Thus  $\text{ev}(T(A)) = \text{ev}(A) = \text{ev}(CT(A))$ . Since  $T$  is non-singular by Lemma 7,  $T(A)$  ranges over all of  $M_n$  as  $A$  does. Hence  $\text{ev}(A) = \text{ev}(CA)$  for all  $A$ . Choose  $U$  unitary so that  $CU = H$ , where  $H$  is positive definite Hermitian. Then  $\text{ev}(U) = \text{ev}(CU) = \text{ev}(H)$ , so that  $U$  has positive eigenvalues. Hence  $U = 1$  and  $C = H$ . Since the eigenvalues of  $C = (T(I))^{-1}$  are all 1,  $C = I$ ,  $C^{-1} = I$ , and  $T(I) = I$ .

From Lemmas 9 and 10 and Theorem 2 we obtain

THEOREM 3. Let  $T$  be a l.t. of  $M_n$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $T$  preserves eigenvalues for all Hermitian matrices in  $M_n$ .
- (ii)  $T$  preserves eigenvalues for all matrices in  $M_n$ .
- (iii) There exists a unimodular matrix  $U$  such that either  $T(A) = UAU^{-1}$  for all  $A \in M$  or  $T(A) = UA'U^{-1}$  for all  $A \in M_n$ .

THEOREM 4. Let  $T$  be a l.t. of  $M_n$ . If  $\text{ev}(T(H)) = \text{ev}(H)$  and  $T(H)$  is Hermitian for all Hermitian  $H$  in  $M_n$ , then the matrix  $U$  in Theorem 3 (iii) is unitary.

*Proof.*  $T(H) = (T(H))^*$  implies  $UHU^{-1} = U^{-1}HU^*$  and  $U^*UH = HU^*U$  for all Hermitian  $H$ . It follows easily that  $U^*U = I$ .

THEOREM 5. Let  $T$  be a l.t. of  $M_n$ . Then  $T$  preserves determinant if and only if it preserves determinant for Hermitian matrices.

*Proof.* Define  $\phi(A) = CT(A)$ , where  $C = (T(I))^{-1}$ . If  $T$  preserves determinant for Hermitian  $H$ , then  $\det(\lambda I - H) = \det(\lambda T(I) - T(H)) = \det(\lambda I - \phi(H))$  for all real  $\lambda$ . Hence  $\text{ev}(\phi(H)) = \text{ev}(H)$ , and by Lemma 9,  $\text{ev}(\phi(A)) = \text{ev}(A)$  for all  $A$ . Thus  $\det A = \det \phi(A) = \det T(A)$  for all  $A$ .

Professor N. Jacobson communicated to us the following information while this paper was in press: Theorem 1 was obtained by L. K. Hua (Science Reports of the National Tsing Hua University, Ser. A, 5 (1948) pp. 150–81) and in more general form by H. Jacob (Amer. J. Math., 77 (1955) pp. 177–89). In both these papers  $T$  is assumed non-singular; actually our proof of Theorem 1 requires only that  $T(R_i) \subseteq R_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, n$  without the assumption that  $T$  be non-singular. Also Dieudonné (Archiv. d. Math., 1 (1948) pp. 282–7) shows that if  $T$  preserves the cone  $\det A = 0$  and  $T$  is non-singular then  $T$  has the form indicated in Theorem 2 (iii). Again, our result does not require the assumption that  $T$  be non-singular: this follows if  $T$  preserves all determinants (Lemma 7).

*University of British Columbia*