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Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a separable complex infinite dimensional Hilbert space, $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H})$ the algebra of bounded operators in $\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K}(\mathscr{H})$ the ideal of compact operators, $\mathscr{A}(\mathscr{H})=\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H}) / \mathscr{K}(\mathscr{H})$, and $\pi:: \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H}) \rightarrow \mathscr{A}(\mathscr{H})$ the quotient map. Throughout this paper $A$ denotes a separable nuclear $C^{*}$-algebra with unit. An extension of $A$ is a unital ${ }^{*}$-monomorphism of $A$ into $\mathscr{A}(\mathscr{H})$. Two extensions $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ are strongly (weakly) equivalent if there exists a unitary (Fredholm partial isometry) $U$ in $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H})$ such that

$$
\tau_{1}(a)=\pi\left(U^{*}\right) \tau_{2}(a) \pi(U)
$$

for all $a$ in $A$. We denote the family of strong equivalence classes of extensions of $A$ by Ext ${ }^{s} A$. Recent results of Voiculescu [7] and Choi-Effros [4] show that $\mathrm{Ext}^{s} A$ is always an abelian group. For more information about $\mathrm{Ext}^{s}$ of commutative $C^{*}$-algebras see $[1,2,3]$. We denote the strong equivalence class of an extension $\tau$ by [ $\tau$ ]. Since if $\tau$ has a lifting (i.e. there exists a ${ }^{*}$ monomorphism $\sigma$ of $A$ into $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H})$ such that $\pi \sigma=\tau)$ then every $\tau^{\prime} \in[\tau]$ has a lifting, we can say without ambiguity that $[\tau]$ has a lifting.

Let

$$
T^{+}=\left\{[\tau] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{s} A \mid[\tau] \text { has a lifting }\right\}
$$

Let $T$ be the subgroup of $\operatorname{Ext}^{s} A$ generated by $T^{+}$. We denote the quotient group Ext ${ }^{s} A / T$ by Exy ${ }^{w} A$.

Remark 1. If $A$ has a one-dimensional representation, then for each $[\tau] \in$ $T^{+}$by adding an appropriate multiple of that one-dimensional representation to the lifting of $[\tau]$ we can make the corresponding lifting a unital one. Hence $T=0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^{w} A=\operatorname{Ext}^{s} A$. This will be the case if $A$ has a non-zero commutative quotient, and in particular $\operatorname{Ext}^{s}(A \oplus \mathbb{C})=\operatorname{Ext}^{w}(A \oplus \mathbb{C})$ for any nuclear algebra $A$.

Remark 2. For any finite dimensional $C^{*}$-algebra $A, \operatorname{Ext}^{w} A=0$.
Remark 3. The subgroup $T$ is a homomorphic image of $\mathbb{Z}$. To see this, for each $n>0$ we let $\alpha(n)$ be an element in $T^{+}$which has a lifting $\sigma$ of
codimension $n$, i.e. $\operatorname{dim}\left(1_{H}-\sigma(1)\right)=n$. Suppose that two extensions $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ have liftings $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$, respectively, of the same codimension. Since $\sigma_{i}$ is a faithful representation on $\sigma_{i}(1) \mathscr{H}$, by (Theorem 1.5, [7]), there is a unitary $U$ in $\mathscr{L}\left(\sigma_{1}(1) \mathscr{H}, \sigma_{2}(1) \mathscr{H}\right)$ such that

$$
\sigma_{1}(x)=U^{*} \sigma_{2}(x) U \in \mathscr{K}\left(\sigma_{1}(1) \mathscr{H}\right)
$$

for all $x$ in $A$. Since ind $U=0$ in $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H})$, we can make $U$ a unitary in $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H})$. Hence $\left[\tau_{1}\right]=\left[\tau_{2}\right]$. Thus $\alpha$ is a well-defined monoid morphism of non-negative integers onto $T^{+}$. Hence we can extend $\alpha$ to a group homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$ onto $T$.

The following proposition justifies the notation for Ext ${ }^{\omega}$.
Proposition 1. An extension $\tau$ belongs to the weak equivalence class of the trivial extension if and only if $[\tau] \in T$.

Proof. Suppose $\tau$ belongs to the weak equivalence class of the trivial extension. Then there exists a Fredholm partial isometry $W$ such that $\pi\left(W^{*}\right) \tau(\cdot) \pi(W)$ has a unital lifting $\sigma$. We may assume that $W^{*} W=1$ or $W W^{*}=1$. If $W^{*} W=1_{H}$ then $W \sigma(\cdot) W^{*}$ is a lifting of $\tau$. And if $W W^{*}=1_{\mathscr{H}}$, then $W^{*} \sigma(\cdot) W$ is a *-homomorphism of $A$ into $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H})$. Let $\tau_{1}=\pi\left(W^{*} \sigma(\cdot) W\right)$. Consider $\tau+\tau_{1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\tau+\tau_{1}\right)(a) & =\tau(a) \oplus \tau_{1}(a)=\pi(W) \sigma(a) \pi\left(W^{*}\right) \oplus \pi\left(W^{*}\right) \sigma(a) \pi(W) \\
& =\pi\left(W \oplus W^{*}\right)(\sigma(a) \oplus \sigma(a)) \pi\left(W^{*} \oplus W\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a$ in $A$. Since $\operatorname{ind}\left(W \oplus W^{*}\right)=0$ in $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H} \oplus \mathscr{H})$, the above relations show that $\left[\tau_{1}\right]=[\tau]^{-1}$. Hence $[\tau] \in T$.

For the other half of the proof, it is easy to see that if an extension $\tau$ is weakly equivalent to a trivial extension, then so is $[\tau]^{-1}$ (here we mean that $\tau^{\prime} \in[\tau]^{-1}$ is weakly equivalent to a trivial one). And also it is obvious that the sum of two weakly trivial extensions is weakly equivalent to a trivial one. Thus any $[\tau]$ in $T$ is weakly equivalent to a trivial extension.

Corollary. Two extensions $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ are weakly equivalent iff $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ determine the element in $\operatorname{Ext}^{w}$ A.

Proof. $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ determine the same element in $\operatorname{Ext}^{\omega} A$ iff $\left[\tau_{1}\right]+\left[\tau_{2}\right]^{-1} \in T$ iff $\left[\tau_{1}\right]+\left[\tau_{2}\right]^{-1}$ is weakly trivial iff $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ are weakly equivalent.

Remark 4. Since $\operatorname{Ext}^{w}(A \oplus B)=\operatorname{Ext}^{w} A \oplus \operatorname{Ext}^{w} B$ (see Proposition 3.21, [3]), $\operatorname{Ext}^{w} A=\operatorname{Ext}^{s}(A \oplus \mathbb{C})$. This way of looking at $\operatorname{Ext}^{w} A$ arose in conversation with M. D. Choi.

For certain classes of $C^{*}$-algebras one can compute Ext. We begin with matrix algebras.

Lemma 1. (Proposition 1, [6]). Let $\tau_{i}$ be two extensions of a matrix algebra $M_{n}$ of rank $n$ and let $\sigma_{i}$ be lifting of $\tau_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Then $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ are strongly equivalent iff

$$
\text { codimension } \sigma_{1}(1) \equiv \text { codimension } \sigma_{2}(1)(\bmod n)
$$

This result was also obtained independently by Brown-Duglas-Fillmore, Bunce-Deddens, and Pearcy-Salinas.

Now suppose $A=\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}} A_{n}$, where the $A_{n}^{\prime} s$ have the same unit and $A_{n}$ is contained in $A_{n+1}$, and suppose that all $A_{n}^{\prime} s$ and $A$ are nuclear. Then Ext ${ }^{s} A_{n}$ with connecting homomorphisms $i_{n}^{*}:$ Ext $^{s} A_{n+1} \rightarrow$ Ext $^{s} A_{n}$, where $i_{n}$ are inclusions, form an inverse system of groups. It is easy to see that

$$
\Phi: \mathrm{Ext}^{s} A \rightarrow \lim _{\leftrightarrows} \mathrm{Ext}^{s} A_{n}
$$

by $\Phi([\tau])=\left\{\left[\tau \mid A_{n}\right]\right\}$ is always surjective. (See Theorem 2.5, [3]) We will show that $\Phi$ is an isomorphism for UHF algebras.

Definition. $A C^{*}$-algebra $A$ with unit is approximately finite $(A F)$ if there is an increasing sequence of finite dimensional algebras $A_{n}$ with the same unit such that $A=\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}} A_{n}$. If there is an increasing sequence of full matrix algebras with the same unit, the algebra is said to be uniformly hyperfinite (UHF). Suppose that $M_{n_{1}}$ is contained in $M_{n_{2}}$. Then $n_{1}$ divides $n_{2}$ and the homomorphism induced by the inclusion is the obvious map of $\mathbb{Z} / n_{2} \mathbb{Z}$ onto $\mathbb{Z} / n_{1} \mathbb{Z}$. If $A=\overline{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}} M_{n_{k}}$ is UHF, then for $[\tau] \in \operatorname{Ext}^{s} A, \Phi([\tau])$ can be regarded as a sequence $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$, where $a_{n}$ is the minimum of dimension ( $1-\sigma_{k}(1)$ ) where $\pi \sigma_{k}=\tau \mid M_{n_{k}}$.

Lemma 2. (Lemma 2, [6]). Suppose $M_{n_{1}} \subset M_{n_{2}}$. If [ $\tau_{0}$ ] is the identity element of $\operatorname{Ext}^{s} M_{n_{2}}$ i.e. $\tau_{0}$ has a unital lifting, then every unital lifting $\sigma$ of $\tau_{0} \mid M_{n_{1}}$ can be extended to a unital lifting of $\tau_{0}$.

Proposition 2. For any UHF algebra $A=\overline{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}} M_{n_{k}}$, $\Phi: \operatorname{Ext}^{s} A \rightarrow \lim \mathbb{Z} / n_{k} \mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since any UHF algebra is nuclear, $\operatorname{Ext}^{s} A$ is a group. It suffices to prove that $\Phi$ is one-to-one. For this purpose, suppose $\Phi([\tau])=0$. By applying Lemma 2 to each $\tau \mid M_{n_{1}}$, we get a unital *-monomorphism $\sigma$ of $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} M_{n_{k}}$ into $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H})$ such that $\tau \mid \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} M_{n_{m}}=\pi \sigma$. By continuity we get a unital lifting of $[\tau]$.

This result was obtained independently by Primsner and Popa [5].
Proposition 3. If $A=\rrbracket_{k=1}^{\infty} M_{n_{k}}$ is UHF, then $\operatorname{Ext}^{w} A \cong\left(\left\lfloor\mathbb{i m} \mathbb{Z} / n_{k} \mathbb{Z}\right) / \mathbb{Z}^{\prime}\right.$, where $\mathbb{Z}^{\prime}$ is the subgroup generated by $(1,1, \ldots, 1, \ldots)$.

Proof. It is obvious that if $\tau$ has a lifting then the corresponding sequence described prior to Lemma 2 is constant eventually. Conversely, if the corresponding sequence is constant eventually, then by Lemma $2 \tau$ has a lifting. Hence the subgroup $T$ is isomorphic to the subgroup generated by $(1,1, \ldots)$.

For $A F$ algebras, $\Phi$ is not always an isomorphism. For if $A$ is UHF, then $A \oplus \mathbb{C}$ is $A F$, and by Remark $1 \operatorname{Ext}^{s}(A \oplus \mathbb{C})=\operatorname{Ext}^{w}(A \oplus \mathbb{C})=\operatorname{Ext}^{\mathrm{w}} A$, and the latter is nonzero by Proposition 3. But $\operatorname{Ext}^{s}\left(M_{n_{k}} \oplus \mathbb{C}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{w}\left(M_{n_{k}} \oplus \mathbb{C}\right)=0$ by Remark 2. In a private communication, L. G. Brown has indicated that lim ${ }^{(1)}$ sequence of [3] holds for $A F$ algebras (this gives an expression for ker $\Phi$ ).

We have used the fact that $\beta: \operatorname{Ext}^{w} A \oplus \operatorname{Ext}^{w} B \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{\omega}(A \oplus B)$, defined by

$$
\beta\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)(a \oplus b)=\tau_{1}(a) \oplus \tau_{2}(b)
$$

for $a$ in $A$ and $b$ in $B$, is an isomorphism. The same map defines a homomorphism $\beta^{s}$ of $\operatorname{Ext}^{s} A \oplus \operatorname{Ext}^{s} B$ onto $\operatorname{Ext}^{s}(A \oplus B)$. For two UHF algebras $\beta^{s}$ is never one-to-one. The following generalization of the original statement for UHF algebras was pointed out by J. Phillips and the referee.

Proposition 4. For two nuclear $C^{*}$-algebras $A$ and $B, \beta^{s}\left(\left[\tau_{1}\right],\left[\tau_{2}\right]\right)=0$ if and only if either $\left[\tau_{1}\right]$ and $\left[\tau_{2}\right]^{-1}$ have lifting of the same codimension or $\left[\tau_{1}\right]^{-1}$ and [ $\tau_{2}$ ] have lifting of the same codimension.

Proof. $(\Leftarrow)$ Without loss of generality we assume $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}^{-1}$ have lifting of codimension $k_{0}$, say $\tau_{1}=\pi \sigma_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}^{-1}=\pi \sigma_{2}$, where codimension of $\sigma_{i}(1)$ is $k_{0}$. Let $\sigma_{1}=\left.\sigma\right|_{\mathrm{A} \oplus 0}, \sigma_{2}=\left.\sigma\right|_{0 \oplus B}, \sigma(1)\left(H_{1} \oplus H_{2}\right)=K_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}(1)\left(H_{1} \oplus H_{2}\right)=K_{2}$. Since where $U$ is a unitary of $H_{2}$ onto $\sigma_{2}(1) H_{2}$. Then [ $\left.\tau_{B}\right]=\left[\tau_{2}\right]$ and $\tau_{1}+\tau_{B}$ has a unital lifting. ( $\Rightarrow$ )

Suppose $\beta^{s}\left(\left[\tau_{1}\right],\left[\tau_{2}\right]\right)=0$. Then there exists a unital lifting $\sigma$ of $\beta^{s}\left(\left[\tau_{1}\right],\left[\tau_{2}\right]\right)$. Let $\sigma_{1}=\left.\sigma\right|_{\mathrm{A} \oplus 0}, \sigma_{2}=\left.\sigma\right|_{0 \oplus B}, \sigma(1)\left(H_{1} \oplus H_{2}\right)=K_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}(1)\left(H_{1} \oplus H_{2}\right)=K_{2}$. Since $\pi \sigma_{i}(1)=\tau_{i}(1)$, there exists partial isometries $W_{i}$ such that $\pi\left(W_{i}\right)=\tau_{i}(1)$, $W_{i} W_{i}^{*} \leq \sigma_{i}(1)$ and $W_{i}^{*} W_{i} \leq P_{i}$, where $P_{i}$ are projections onto $H_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Since $\pi\left(W_{1} \oplus W_{2}\right)=1, \quad \operatorname{ind}\left(W_{1} \oplus W_{2}\right)=0$, which implies that ind $W_{1}($ in $L\left(H_{1}, K_{1}\right)=-$ ind $W_{2}\left(\right.$ in $\left.L\left(H_{2}, K_{2}\right)\right)$. Therefore we get a unitary extension $U_{1} \oplus U_{2}$ of $W_{1} \oplus W_{2}$ such that either

$$
U_{1}: H_{1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{k_{0}} \rightarrow K_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad U_{2}: H_{2} \ominus \mathbb{C}^{k_{0}} \rightarrow K_{2}
$$

or

$$
U_{1}: H_{1} \ominus \mathbb{C}^{k_{0}} \rightarrow K_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad U_{2}: H_{2} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{k_{0}} \rightarrow K_{2}
$$

where $K_{0}=\mid$ ind $W_{1} \mid$. Again we may assume the latter occurs. Since $\pi\left(U_{1} \oplus\right.$ $\left.U_{2}\right)=1, \pi\left(U_{i}\right)=\tau_{i}(1)$, and since

$$
\left(U_{1} \oplus U_{2}\right)^{*}\left(\sigma_{1(.)} \oplus \sigma_{2(.)}\right)\left(U_{1} \oplus U_{2}\right)=U_{1}^{*} \sigma_{1(\cdot)} U_{1} \oplus U_{2}^{*} \sigma_{2(.)} U_{2}
$$

we can assume that $K_{1}=H_{1} \ominus \mathbb{C}$ and $K_{2}=H_{2} \oplus \mathbb{C}$. Therefore $\tau_{1}$ has a lifting $U_{1}^{*} \sigma_{1(\cdot)} U_{1}$ of codimension $K_{0}$ and $\tau_{2}^{-1}$ has a lifting of codimensiơn $K_{0}$.
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