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1. Introduction. In a recent paper [6], this author has extended the method of the kernel function [1] to the boundary value problems of the generalized axially symmetric potentials

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{k}{y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=0 \quad(k>0, y>0)
$$

This method can also be applied to a more general class of singular differential equations, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
L[u] \equiv \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{2 \mu}{x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{2 v}{y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=0 \quad(\mu, v>0, x>0, y>0), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L[u] \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x^{2 \mu} y^{2 v} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(x^{2 \mu} y^{2 v} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)=0 \quad(\mu, v>0, x>0, y>0) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall derive in the sequel explicit formulas for the Dirichlet problems of (1.1) in the first quadrant of the $x-y$ plane in terms of sufficiently smooth boundary data, and obtain an error-bound for their approximate solutions. We shall also indicate how the Neumann problem can be solved.
2. The kernel function. We introduce the following notations, where $P=(x, y)$ is a point in the $x-y$ plane, and $R$ is an arbitrary fixed positive constant.

$$
\begin{aligned}
D & =\left\{P: x^{2}+y^{2}<R^{2}, x>0, y>0\right\}, \\
C_{R} & =\left\{P: x^{2}+y^{2}=R^{2}, x \geqq 0, y \geqq 0\right\}, \\
\Gamma_{x} & =\{P: 0 \leqq x<R, y=0\}, \\
\Gamma_{y} & =\{P: x=0,0 \leqq y<R\}, \\
C & =C_{R} \cup \Gamma_{x} \cup \Gamma_{y},
\end{aligned}
$$

$s=$ the arc length on $C$, and $n=$ the exterior normal on $C$.
Then we have the following Green's formulas for two regular functions $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\iint_{D} v L[u] d x d y=-\iint_{D} x^{2 \mu} y^{2 v}\left[u_{x} v_{x}+u_{y} v_{y}\right] d x d y+\int_{C} x^{2 \mu} y^{2 v} v \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} d s  \tag{2.1}\\
\iint_{D}(v L[u]-u L[v]) d x d y=\int_{C} x^{2 \mu} y^{2 v}\left(v \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}-u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}\right) d s . \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $u$ be a solution of (1.1). Then, from (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\{u, v\} \equiv \iint_{D} x^{2 \mu} y^{2 v}\left[u_{x} v_{x}+u_{y} v_{y}\right] d x d y=\int_{C} x^{2 \mu} y^{2 v} v \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} d s \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for $v=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C} x^{2 \mu} y^{2 v} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} d s=0 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathscr{F}(D)$ be a class of functions satisfying (1.1) in $D$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\{u\} \equiv E\{u, u\}<\infty \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C} x^{2 \mu} y^{2 v} u d s=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\|u\|=E\{u\}^{1 / 2}$ represents a Dirichlet norm or $D$-norm for the class $\mathscr{F}(D)$ and any nontrivial element in $\mathscr{F}(D)$ must be a non-constant function. It is known [4, 5] that a complete set of solutions of (1.1) regular at the origin, when expressed in polar coordinates, is given by

$$
f_{n}(r, \theta)=r^{2 n} P_{n}^{(v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2)}\left(1-2 \sin ^{2} \theta\right),
$$

where $n$ is a positive integer and $P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ is the Jacobi polynomial of degree $n$. This set of functions is orthogonal with respect to the $D$-norm over the domain $D$ and can be normalized to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(r, \theta)=\left[c_{n, v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2}\right]^{-1 / 2} r^{2 n} R^{-2 n-\nu-\mu} P_{n}^{(\nu-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2)}(\cos 2 \theta), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n, \alpha, \beta}=\frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1) \Gamma(n+\beta+1)}{(2 n+\alpha+\beta+1) \Gamma(n) \Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The orthonormal property of $u_{n}(r, \theta)$ is deduced from the following formula for Jacobi polynomials [8, p. 68]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1}(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta}\left[P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right]^{2} d x=2^{\alpha+\beta+1} n^{-1} c_{n, \alpha, \beta} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P=(\rho, \phi)$ and $Q=(r, \theta)$ be two arbitrary points in $D$. Define

$$
\begin{align*}
K(P, Q)= & K(\rho, \phi ; r, \theta)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{n}(\rho, \phi) u_{n}(r, \theta) \\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[c_{n, v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2}\right]^{-1} r^{2 n} \rho^{2 n} R^{-4 n-2 \mu-2 v} \\
& \times P_{n}^{(v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2)}(\cos 2 \theta) P_{n}^{(v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2)}(\cos 2 \phi) . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Using a classical inequality for Jacobi polynomials [8, p. 168]

$$
\max _{-1 \leqq x \leqq 1}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right| \sim n^{q} \quad \text { for } \quad q=\max (\alpha, \beta) \geqq-1 / 2
$$

and the asymptotic expansion for the Gamma function, we see that the series (2.10) is dominated by the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A r^{2 n} \rho^{2 n} R^{-4 n} n^{2 q-1} \quad \text { for } \quad q=\max (v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a constant independent of $n$. Hence the series (2.10) converges uniformly if either $P$ and $Q$ lie in any closed subdomain of $D$ or $Q$ is in $C_{R}$ and $P$ is in any closed subdomain of $D$. In addition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(P)=E\{K(P, Q), u(Q)\} \quad(P \in D) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall call $K(P, Q)$ the kernel function of the class $\mathscr{F}(D)$ with respect to the metric $E$.

## 3. Dirichlet problems.

Definition. A function $f(x)$ defined on $-1 \leqq x \leqq 1$ is said to belong to the class $L$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \cos ^{2 \mu} \theta \sin ^{2 v} \theta f(\cos 2 \theta) d \theta=0 \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(B) $f(x)$ can be expanded into a uniformly convergent series of Jacobi polynomials, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=\left[2^{\alpha+\beta+1} n^{-1} c_{n, \alpha, \beta}\right]^{-1} \int_{-1}^{1}(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta} f(x) P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) d x . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Remarks.

1. Condition (A) implies that $a_{0}=0$ for any $f(x)$ in $L$.
2. Let $q=\max (\alpha, \beta) \geqq-1 / 2$ and let $p$ be a positive integer greater than or equal to $2 q+2$. Then $f(x)$ satisfies condition (B) if $f(x) \in C^{P}[-1,1]$. (See [7, p. 301].)

We want to determine a function $u(P)$ in $\mathscr{F}(D)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{P \rightarrow Q} u(P)=f(Q), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is a point in $C_{R}$ and $f(x)$ is an element in the class $L$. This problem will be called the Dirichlet problem.

The representation formula for the Dirichlet problem can be obtained formally from (2.12) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(P)=E\{K(P, Q), u(Q)\}=R^{2 \mu+2 v+1} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \cos ^{2 \mu} \theta \sin ^{2 v} \theta F(\rho, \phi ; r, \theta) f(\cos 2 \theta) d \theta \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by putting $u(Q)=f(Q)=f(\cos 2 \theta)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
F(P, Q)= & F(\rho, \phi ; R, \theta)=\frac{\partial K}{\partial r} \\
= & \sum_{\mid r=R}^{\infty} 2 n R^{-2 \mu-2 v-1}\left[c_{n, v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2}\right]^{-1} \rho^{2 n} R^{-2 n} \\
& \times P_{n}^{(\nu-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2)}(\cos 2 \theta) P_{n}^{(v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2)}(\cos 2 \phi) . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the classical inequality for Jacobi polynomials and the asymptotic expansion for the Gamma function, we can readily show that $F(P, Q)$ and its partial derivatives converge uniformly for $P$ in any closed subdomain of $D$, and $u(P)$ represented by (3.4) is a solution of (1.1). To show (3.3), we note that, from our hypothesis,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\cos 2 \theta)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} P_{n}^{(v-1 / 2, \pi-1 / 2)}(\cos 2 \theta), \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n}$ is given by (3.2). For each $\theta=\theta_{0}, 0 \leqq \theta_{0} \leqq \pi / 2$, the series (3.6) is a convergent series of constants. Then, by Abel's theorem, the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} t^{n} P_{n}^{(\nu-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2)}\left(\cos 2 \theta_{0}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges uniformly for $0 \leqq t \leqq 1$. The condition (B) implies the uniform convergence of (3.7) for all $t$ with $0 \leqq t \leqq 1$ and $\theta$ with $0 \leqq \theta \leqq \pi / 2$. What we need to show is that $u(P)$ defined by (3.4) can be written as (3.7) with $t=\rho^{2} / R^{2}$.

Let $P \in D_{0}$ (a closed subdomain of $D$ ). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(\rho, \phi)= & R^{2 \mu+2 v+1} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sin ^{2 v} \theta \cos ^{2 \mu} \theta f(\cos 2 \theta) F(\rho, \phi ; r, \theta) d \theta \\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 n\left[c_{n, v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2}\right]^{-1} P_{n}^{(v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2)}(\cos 2 \phi) \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \cos ^{2 \mu} \theta \sin ^{2 v} \theta f(\cos 2 \theta) P_{n}^{(v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2)}(\cos 2 \theta) d \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\rho \rightarrow R$, we have (3.3). The interchange of integration and summation is valid because of uniform convergence of (3.5) in $D_{0}$.

We summarize our result as
Theorem 1, Let $f(x)$ belong to the class L. Then there exists a solution of (1.1) given by (3.4) and (3.5) such that $u(R, \phi)=f(\cos 2 \phi)$.

The series (3.5) can be put into closed form by means of the formula for the generating function of Jacobi polynomials. Explicitly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
F(P, Q)= & F(\rho, \phi ; R, \theta)=(t-1) R^{-2 \mu-2 v-1}(\sqrt{ } t)^{-\mu-v-1} . \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{d}{d k}\left[\frac{\cos (v-\mu) \omega}{\left\{k^{2}-\left(a^{2}+2 a b \cos \chi+b^{2}\right)\right\}^{(\mu+v) / 2}}\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\frac{k^{2}-(a+b \cos \chi)^{2}}{k^{2}-(b+a \cos \chi)^{2}}\right)^{(v-\mu) / 2}\right] \sin ^{\mu+v+1} \chi d \chi \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega$ is the acute angle (positive or negative) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cot \omega=\frac{k \sin \chi \sqrt{k^{2}-\left(a^{2}+2 a b \cos \chi+b^{2}\right)}}{k^{2} \cos \chi-(a+b \cos \chi)(b+a \cos \chi)}, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=\sin \phi \sin \theta, b=\cos \phi \cos \theta, t=\rho^{2} / R^{2}, k=\left[t^{-1 / 2}+t^{1 / 2}\right] / 2$. For details, we refer to the paper of G. N. Watson [9].

When we put $\mu=v=\sigma,(3.8)$ is simplified to
$F_{\sigma}(P, Q)=(t-1) R^{-4 \sigma-1} \pi^{-1} t^{-\sigma-1 / 2} \int_{0}^{\pi} 2 k \sigma\left[k^{2}-\left(a^{2}+2 a b \cos \chi+b^{2}\right)\right]^{-\sigma-1} \sin ^{2 \sigma-1} \chi d \chi$.
We observe that $F_{\sigma}(P, Q)$ is of constant sign whereas $F(P, Q)$ is not for arbitrary values of $\mu$ and $\nu$. Hence, if we consider the particular case of equation (1.1) for which $\mu=\nu=\sigma$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+2 \sigma\left(\frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)=0 \quad(\sigma>0, x>0, y>0) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

a stronger result on the Dirichlet problem can be obtained.
Theorem 2. Let $f(\cos 2 \theta)$ be a continuous function defined on $0 \leqq \theta \leqq \pi / 2$ and satisfying the condition (A) of the class $L$. Then there exists a solution of (3.11) given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\rho, \phi)=R^{4 \sigma+1} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sin ^{2 \sigma} \theta \cos ^{2 \sigma} \theta F_{\sigma}(\rho, \phi ; R, \theta) f(\cos 2 \theta) d \theta \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} u(\rho, \phi)=f(\cos 2 \phi) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is clear from Theorem 1 that $u(\rho, \phi)$ is a solution of (3.12). We need only to show (3.13). Firstly, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=R^{4 \sigma+1} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sin ^{2 \sigma} \theta \cos ^{2 \sigma} \theta F_{\sigma}(\rho, \phi ; R, \theta) d \theta \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

on account of orthonormal property of the functions $u_{n}(r, \theta)$ defined by (2.7) and the uniform convergence of $F_{\sigma}(P, Q)$ for $P$ in any closed subdomain of $D$.

Let $Q_{0}=\left(R, \phi_{0}\right)$ be a fixed point in $C_{R}$, so that $0 \leqq \phi_{0} \leqq \pi / 2$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Then
there exists a $\delta>0$ such that $\left|f(\cos 2 \theta)-f\left(\cos 2 \phi_{0}\right)\right|<\varepsilon / 2$ for all $\theta$ in $S_{1}=\left\{\theta:\left|\theta-\phi_{0}\right|<\delta\right\}$. On the other hand, for all $\theta$ in $S_{2}=[0, \pi / 2]-S_{1}$, the function $F_{\sigma}(P, Q) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $P \rightarrow Q_{0}$. Hence, for all $P$ such that $\left|P-Q_{0}\right|<\delta_{1}<\delta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{4 \sigma+1} \int_{S_{2}} F_{\sigma}(\rho, \phi ; R, \theta) \sin ^{2 \sigma} \theta \cos ^{2 \sigma} \theta d \theta<\varepsilon / 4 M \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=\max _{0 \leqq \theta \leq \pi / 2}|f(\cos 2 \theta)|$.
Thus we have

$$
\left|u(\rho, \phi)-f\left(\cos 2 \phi_{0}\right)\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} R^{4 \sigma+1} \sin ^{2 \sigma} \theta \cos ^{2 \sigma} \theta F_{\sigma}(\rho, \phi ; R, \theta)\left[f(\cos 2 \theta)-f\left(\cos 2 \phi_{0}\right)\right] d \theta\right| .
$$

On splitting the integral into two parts $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, and applying (3.14) and (3.15), we have $\left|u(\rho, \phi)-f\left(\cos 2 \phi_{0}\right)\right|<\varepsilon$ for all $P$ such that $\left|P-Q_{0}\right|<\delta_{1}$.

We may obtain approximations to the solution of the Dirichlet problem by taking a finite number of terms in the series (3.5). Let

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{N}(\rho, \phi ; R, \theta)= & \sum_{n=1}^{N} 2 n R^{-2 \mu-2 v-1}\left[c_{n, v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2}\right]^{-1} \\
& \times \rho^{2 n} R^{-2 n} P_{n}^{(v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2)}(\cos 2 \theta) P_{n}^{(v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2)}(\cos 2 \phi) \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\max _{-1 \leqq x \leqq 1}\left|P_{n}^{(v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2)}(x)\right|=\Gamma(n+q+1) / \Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(q+1)
$$

for $q=\max (v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2) \geqq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|F(\rho, \phi ; R, \theta)-F_{N}(\rho, \phi ; R, \theta)\right| \\
& \quad \leqq \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} 2 R^{-2 \mu-2 v-1} \frac{(2 n+\mu+v) \Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(n+\mu+v)}{\Gamma(n+\mu+1 / 2) \Gamma(n+v+1 / 2)}\left[\frac{\Gamma(n+q+1)}{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(q+1)}\right]^{2}(\rho / R)^{2 n} \\
& \quad \leqq 2 R^{-2 \mu-2 v-1} K v^{N+1} \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho^{2} / R^{2} \leqq v<1$, and $K$ is the sum of the convergent series

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2 p+2 N+\mu+v+1) \Gamma(p+N+2) \Gamma(p+N+\mu+v+1)[\Gamma(N+p+q+2)]^{2}}{\Gamma(p+N+\mu+3 / 2) \Gamma(p+N+v+3 / 2) \Gamma(p+N+2)[\Gamma(q+1)]^{2}}\left(\rho^{2} / R^{2}\right)^{p}
$$

Thus we have the following theorem.
Theorem 3. Let $f(\cos 2 \theta)$ satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1 , and $\max _{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi / 2}|f(\cos 2 \theta)|=M$. Then the error in using the approximating kernel $F_{N}(P, Q)$ in Theorem 1 is bounded by $K M \pi v^{N+1}$ for those points $P$ in the closed subdomain $D_{0}=\left\{(\rho, \phi): \rho^{2} / R^{2} \leqq v, 0 \leqq \delta \leqq \phi \leqq \pi / 2-\delta\right\}$.

Remark. Bergman and Herriot [2] obtained a numerical solution of boundary value problem for the equation $u_{x x}+u_{y y}-C(x, y) u=0, C>0$. Their method can also be applied to our case by considering the kernel $F_{N}(\rho, \phi ; R, \theta)$ in the representation formula.
4. Neumann problems. The Neumann problem is to determine a function in $\mathscr{F}(D)$ such that its normal derivative assumes a given function on the boundary $C_{R}$. The representation formula for the solution of the Neumann problem is also given by (2.12),

$$
\begin{align*}
u(\rho, \phi) & =u(P)=E\{K(P, Q), u(Q)\}=E\{u(Q), K(P, Q)\} \\
& =R^{2 \mu+2 v+1} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \cos ^{2 \mu} \theta \sin ^{2 v} \theta K(\rho, \phi ; R, \theta) f(\cos 2 \theta) d \theta \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
K(\rho, \phi ; R, \theta)= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[c_{n, v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2}\right]^{-1} \rho^{2 n} R^{-2 n-2 \mu-2 v} \\
& \cdot P_{n}^{(v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2)}(\cos 2 \phi) P_{n}^{(v-1 / 2, \mu-1 / 2)}(\cos 2 \theta) . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall state the main results here and omit all the details since the approach and arguments are essentially the same as in the Dirichlet problem.

Theorem 4. Let $f(x)$ belong to the class $L$. Then there exists a solution of $(1.1)$ given by (4.1) and (4.2), such that

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} \frac{\partial u(\rho, \phi)}{\partial \rho}=f(\cos 2 \phi)
$$

Theorem 5. Let $f(\cos 2 \theta)$ be a continuous function defined on $0 \leqq \theta \leqq \pi / 2$ and satisfying the condition (A) of the class $L$. Then there exists a solution of (3.11) given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\rho, \phi)=R^{4 \sigma+1} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \cos ^{2 \sigma} \theta \sin ^{2 \sigma} \theta K_{\sigma}(\rho, \phi ; R, \theta) f(\cos 2 \theta) d \theta \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} \frac{\partial u(\rho, \phi)}{\partial \rho}=f(\cos 2 \phi)
$$

where

$$
K_{\sigma}(\rho, \phi ; R, \theta)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+\sigma) \Gamma(n) \Gamma(n+2 \sigma)}{[\Gamma(n+\sigma+1 / 2)]^{2}} \rho^{2 n} R^{-2 n-2 \mu-2 v} C_{n}^{\sigma}(\cos 2 \phi) C_{n}^{\sigma}(\cos 2 \theta)
$$

and $C_{n}^{\sigma}(x)$ is the Gegenbauer polynomial of degree $n$.
As in the Dirichlet problem, we can get an approximate solution by taking a finite number of terms in $K(P, Q)$. However, we shall not go into details for the estimates of its error-bound.
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