

## ON THE RANK OF CM-TYPE

HIROMICHI YANAI

In the present note, we prove that every simple CM-type is non-degenerate (i.e. the rank is maximal) if the dimension of corresponding abelian varieties is a prime. This follows directly from the argument of Tankeev [5], in which he has treated the 5-dimensional case.

Recently, S. G. Tankeev and K. A. Ribet have established similar results for more general types of abelian varieties (see [3], [4], [6]).

1. Let  $K$  be a CM-field (i.e. a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real number field). We regard  $K$  as a subfield of  $C$ . Let  $L$  be the Galois closure of  $K$  over  $Q$ , and put

$$G = \text{Gal}(L/Q), \quad H = \text{Gal}(L/K), \quad d = [K:Q]/2.$$

We can canonically identify the embeddings of  $K$  into  $C$  with the cosets  $H \backslash G$  ( $G$  acts on  $K$  on the right). We denote the complex conjugation by  $\rho$ , which belongs to the center of  $G$ .

Let  $S$  be a subset of  $H \backslash G$  such that

$$H \backslash G = S \cup S\rho \quad (\text{disjoint union}).$$

The pair  $(K, S)$  or the triple  $(G, H, S)$  is called a *CM-type*. Put

$$\tilde{S} = \{g \in G \mid Hg \in S\}.$$

We say that a CM-type  $(K, S)$  is *simple* if

$$H = \{g \in G \mid g\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}\}.$$

Let

$$H' = \{g \in G \mid \tilde{S}g = \tilde{S}\},$$

and  $K'$  be the corresponding CM-field. Let  $S'$  be the subset of  $H' \backslash G$  which is induced by the inverses of the elements of  $\tilde{S}$ . Then the pair  $(K', S')$  is also a CM-type, which is called the *dual* of  $(K, S)$ .

---

Received February 3, 1984.

From now on, we consider simple CM-types only.

2. Now we define the rank of a CM-type  $(K, S)$ .

Let  $X$  be the free abelian group generated by the cosets of  $H \backslash G$ , so every element in  $X$  is expressed as a formal sum:  $\sum n_\sigma \sigma$  with  $\sigma \in H \backslash G$ ,  $n_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Similarly  $X'$  denotes the corresponding object for  $H' \backslash G$ . Define a homomorphism  $\phi: X \rightarrow X'$  by

$$\sigma \longmapsto \sum_{\tau \in S'} \tau \sigma$$

where the product  $\tau \sigma$  is taken between two elements of  $G$  which are sent to  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  respectively. (Note that  $\phi$  is well defined by the definition of  $H$ ,  $H'$  and  $S'$ .)

Then we define the rank of  $(K, S)$  or the rank of  $(G, H, S)$  by the rank of the image of  $\phi$  (cf. Kubota [1], Ribet [2]). The next proposition summarizes some properties of the rank of CM-type:

PROPOSITION A. *Assume that  $(K, S)$  is a simple CM-type, then*

- (a)  $\text{rank}(K, S) = \text{rank}(K', S')$
- (b) *For any  $g \in G$ ,  $(K, Sg)$  is also a CM-type and  $\text{rank}(K, Sg) = \text{rank}(K, S)$*
- (c)  $\max(2 + \log_2 d, 2 + \log_2 d') \leq \text{rank}(K, S) \leq \min(d + 1, d' + 1)$   
(where  $d' = [K': \mathbb{Q}]/2$ )

(a), (b) and the second inequality in (c) are immediate from the definition of rank (see [1], [2]). The first inequality in (c) is due to Ribet [2].

If  $\text{rank}(K, S) = d + 1$ , then we say that the CM-type  $(K, S)$  is *non-degenerate*. In particular, if  $d \leq 3$ , then every simple CM-type is non-degenerate because of (c) of the proposition.

3. From now on, we assume  $d = \text{prime}$ . Let  $S(d)$  be the symmetric group of degree  $d$ . A homomorphism  $\mu: S(d) \rightarrow \text{Aut}((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^d)$  is defined by the natural permutation. By this action we can make the semidirect product:

$$W_d = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^d \rtimes_\mu S(d).$$

Then by Tankeev [5], we can regard  $G$  as a subgroup of  $W_d$  and  $\rho$  as the element  $(1, 1, \dots, 1) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^d \subset W_d$ .  $G$  acts on  $H \backslash G$  transitively, so  $G$  contains an element of order  $d$ , say  $g_0$ . Then the cyclic subgroup  $G_0$

generated by  $\rho g_0$  forms a complete set of representatives of  $H \backslash G$ . We identify these elements with the corresponding cosets.

The following proposition is essential:

PROPOSITION B (Tankeev [5]).  $(G, H, S)$ ,  $G_0$  and  $g_0$  being as above, assume

$$S \neq \langle g_0 \rangle, \langle \rho g_0 \rangle.$$

Then

$$\text{rank}(G, H, S) \geq \text{rank}(G_0, \{1\}, S).$$

(Note that  $S$  can be naturally regarded as a CM-type for  $G_0$ .)

4. In this section we shall prove the next theorem:

THEOREM. *Every simple CM-type with  $d = \text{prime}$  is non-degenerate.*

As we have remarked at the end of n°2, we may assume that  $d$  is an odd prime.

First we prove a lemma which has been announced by F. Hazama.

LEMMA. *Let  $G_0$  be a cyclic group of order  $2d$  ( $d = \text{odd prime}$ ). Then every simple CM-type  $(G_0, \{1\}, S)$  is non-degenerate.*

We assume that  $(G_0, \{1\}, S)$  is degenerate (i.e.  $\text{rank} < d + 1$ ). Then by Kubota [1], there exists an odd character  $\chi$  of  $G_0$  such that

$$(*) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in S} \chi(\sigma) = 0$$

(where "odd" means  $\chi(\rho) = -1$  for the element  $\rho$  of order 2).

The order of  $\chi$  must be  $2d$  from (\*). Let  $\gamma$  be a generator of  $G_0$ , then  $\zeta = \chi(\gamma)$  is a primitive  $2d$ -th root of unity. Hence (\*) must be of the form:

$$\pm (\zeta^{d-1} - \zeta^{d-2} + \dots + 1) = 0$$

This implies that the CM-type is not simple, and the lemma follows.

Viewing this lemma, Proposition B implies our theorem when  $S \neq \langle g_0 \rangle, \langle g_0 \rangle \rho$ . So we assume  $S = \langle g_0 \rangle$ . (Note that  $S$  and  $S\rho$  have the same rank.)

$G$  induces permutations among the CM-types on  $K$ , and  $H'$  is the stabilizer of  $S$  (see n°1), hence  $2d'$  is the cardinality of the orbit containing  $S$ . If  $d' = 1$ , then

$$\text{rank}(K, S) = \text{rank}(K', S') = d' + 1 = 2.$$

This contradicts (c) of Proposition A. If  $d' > 1$ , there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $Sg \neq S, S\rho$ . But  $(K, Sg)$  is non-degenerate because of Proposition B. By (b) of Proposition A,  $\text{rank}(K, S) = \text{rank}(K, Sg)$ . This implies our theorem.

*Remark.* The rank of CM-type is nothing but the dimension of “Mumford-Tate group” of corresponding abelian varieties. It is known that if this dimension is “maximal” (i.e. the CM-type is non-degenerate in our sense) then the Hodge conjecture is true for these abelian varieties. For details, see [4], [5].

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] Kubota, T., On the field extension by complex multiplication, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **113** n°6 (1965), 113–122.
- [ 2 ] Ribet, K. A., Division field of abelian varieties with complex multiplication, *Soc. Math. de France 2<sup>e</sup> série Mémoire n°2* (1980), 75–94.
- [ 3 ] —, Generalization of a theorem of Tankeev, *Sem. Bordeaux Année 1981–1982 exposé n°17* (1982).
- [ 4 ] —, Hodge classes on certain types of abelian varieties, *Amer. J. Math.*, **105** (1983), 523–538.
- [ 5 ] Tankeev, S. G., On algebraic cycles on simple 5-dimensional abelian varieties, *Math. USSR-Izv.* **19** No. 1 (1982), 95–123.
- [ 6 ] —, Cycles on simple abelian varieties of prime dimension, *ibid.*, **20** No. 1, 157–171 (1983).

*Department of Mathematics  
Nagoya University  
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464  
Japan*