

MEDICO-PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION.

M.P.C. EXAMINATION.

ENGLAND.

December 19, 1890.

The following candidates received the Certificate of Efficiency in Psychological Medicine:—

Black, Robert S.
Hicks, John A., jun.
Hitchings, Robert.

Henderson, Jane B.
Pilkington, Frederick W.
Soutar, James G.

SCOTLAND.

December 16, 1890.

The following candidates passed the Examination:—

McCallum, Stuart.

Simpson, John.

Mitchell, Alexander.

IRELAND.

January 9, 1891.

Conolly, Richard M.

Porter, Charles.

*Correspondence.*TO THE EDITORS OF *The Journal of Mental Science.*

The author of "Mad Doctors" is extremely obliged to the Editors of the "Journal of Mental Science" for the sympathetic review which appeared in the January number. He is thankful for the liberal praise, and deeply grateful for the kind manner in which the many faults of taste are excused. He is very conscious *now* that personal analysis is a thing to be avoided, and that while proving a person's incapacity for fairness in one respect, one is very apt to give false impressions as to that person's general capabilities and honesty of purpose. There are passages in "Mad Doctors" which have erred in giving a one-sided view of certain persons, and the author takes this opportunity of declaring most emphatically that never for one moment did he intend to impeach the honesty of the persons criticized.

So much he feels is due to himself as well as to his victims.

While apologizing thus, the author does not retract one word as too strong to express his utter detestation of the style of evidence given before the Committee of the London County Council, and his hearty contempt for the Report upon that evidence. If fools will rush in where angels fear to tread, they must expect to receive some of the knocks which frighten the cautious celestials.

The author begs to state that the writer of the review has entirely misrepresented him in the following sentence:—"The writer," he says, "ranges himself

among the practical men as against the more scientific, though for the life of us we cannot see the advantage of a man who is only practical over one who, besides that, uses the experience of others as recorded in books and papers."

There is not one word in "Mad Doctors" to justify this. The author's whole argument is backing empiricism, or the deductive method against the inductive method. He defines empiricism thus:—"Practice based upon experience, not theory." He says that doctors do good "because they trust to their own experience or the communicated experience of others." Here the author uses exactly the same words as the critic, says precisely what the critic believes the writer ought to have said.

The author considers himself highly scientific, and, as such, he objects to the "ex uno disce omnes" of the weekly articles of the "Lancet" and "British Medical." As such he requires that long series of test cases should be given when any new treatment is published; as such he compares the "a priori reasoning in the chemical laboratory" with the exact methods of true science, and learns a sorrowful lesson.

They are the truly scientific who are the most sceptical.

The critic has an unkind little laugh at the author, when he states that in illness the medical agnostic would become a medical believer, accept the medical faith, and probably swallow the pharmacopeia, if necessary, for the salvation of his body.

The author can only say that this argument is parallel to that of the clergyman who said that agnostics always believed in God in the hour of death.

Neither argument is true, and, were either true, it would only prove that the brain is a very imperfect organ, and that the throne of reason rests upon a narrow base, the base being bodily health.

THE AUTHOR OF "MAD DOCTORS."

February, 1891.

RATING OF ASYLUMS (LUNACY ACT, 1890).

TO THE EDITORS OF *The Journal of Mental Science*.

GENTLEMEN,—The following copy of a letter recently (17th March) received from the Local Government Board may be of interest in connection with the short paragraph under the above heading in the last number of the Journal.

"With reference to your inquiry as to whether the rates paid in respect of the County Lunatic Asylums should be charged to the maintenance account, I am directed to state that the Board consider that the amount of the rate should *not* be charged to the maintenance account, but to the building and repairs and farming and gardening accounts, according to the circumstances, as shown in the forms of the financial tables prescribed by the Board's General Order of the 23rd June last."

This may be taken as an authority for debiting the accounts named with the new charge instead of making the maintenance the sole sufferer, and the decision, whether right or wrong, is satisfactory as enabling asylums to act uniformly in this matter without any fear of our new bogie man—the Government Auditor—before our eyes.

I am, Gentlemen,

Your obedient Servant,

J. BEVERIDGE SPENCE.

Burntwood Asylum, near Lichfield,
March 20, 1891.