STRICT INEQUALITIES FOR MINIMAL DEGREES OF DIRECT PRODUCTS

NEIL SAUNDERS

(Received 17 March 2008)

Abstract

The minimal faithful permutation degree $\mu(G)$ of a finite group *G* is the least non-negative integer *n* such that *G* embeds in the symmetric group Sym(*n*). Work of Johnson and Wright in the 1970s established conditions for when $\mu(H \times K) = \mu(H) + \mu(K)$, for finite groups *H* and *K*. Wright asked whether this is true for all finite groups. A counter-example of degree 15 was provided by the referee and was added as an addendum in Wright's paper. Here we provide two counter-examples; one of degree 12 and the other of degree 10.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: primary 20B35; secondary 51F15.

Keywords and phrases: faithful permutation representations, complex reflection groups, monomial reflection groups.

1. Introduction

The minimal faithful permutation degree $\mu(G)$ of a finite group *G* is the least nonnegative integer *n* such that *G* embeds in the symmetric group Sym(*n*). It is well known that $\mu(G)$ is the smallest value of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |G:G_i|$ for a collection of subgroups $\{G_1, \ldots, G_n\}$ satisfying $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{core}(G_i) = \{1\}$, where $\operatorname{core}(G_i) = \bigcap_{g \in G} G_i^g$.

We first give a theorem due to Karpilovsky [3] which will be needed later. Its proof can be found in [2] or [7].

THEOREM 1.1. Let A be a nontrivial finite abelian group and let $A \cong A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$ be its direct product decomposition into nontrivial cyclic groups of prime power order. Then

$$\mu(A) = a_1 + \cdots + a_n,$$

where $|A_i| = a_i$ for each *i*.

One of the themes of Johnson and Wright's work was to establish conditions for when

$$\mu(H \times K) = \mu(H) + \mu(K) \tag{1.1}$$

for finite groups H and K. The next result is due to Wright [9].

^{© 2009} Australian Mathematical Society 0004-9727/2009 \$16.00

N. Saunders

THEOREM 1.2. Let G and H be nontrivial nilpotent groups. Then $\mu(G \times H) = \mu(G) + \mu(H)$.

Wright [9] constructed a class of groups \mathscr{C} with the property that for all $G \in \mathscr{C}$, there exists a nilpotent subgroup G_1 of G such that $\mu(G_1) = \mu(G)$. It is a consequence of Theorem 1.2 that \mathscr{C} is closed under direct products and so (1.1) holds for any two groups $H, K \in \mathscr{C}$. Wright proved that \mathscr{C} contains all nilpotent, symmetric, alternating and dihedral groups; however, the extent of it is still an open problem. In [1], Easdown and Praeger showed that (1.1) holds for all finite simple groups.

The counter-example to (1.1) was provided by the referee in Wright's paper [9] and involved subgroups of the standard wreath product $C_5 \wr \text{Sym}(3)$, specifically the group G(5, 5, 3) which is a member of a class of unitary reflection groups. We now give a brief exposition on these groups.

Let *m* and *n* be positive integers, let C_m be the cyclic group of order *m* and $B = C_m \times \cdots \times C_m$ be the product of *n* copies of C_m . For each divisor *p* of *m*, define the group A(m, p, n) by

$$A(m, p, n) = \{(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n) \in B \mid (\theta_1 \theta_2 \dots \theta_n)^{m/p} = 1\}.$$

It follows that A(m, p, n) is a subgroup of index p in B and the symmetric group Sym(n) acts naturally on A(m, p, n) by permuting the coordinates.

The group G(m, p, n) is defined to be the semidirect product of A(m, p, n) by Sym(n). It follows that G(m, p, n) is a normal subgroup of index p in $C_m \wr Sym(n)$ and thus has order $m^n n!/p$.

It is well known that these groups can be realized as finite subgroups of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, specifically as $n \times n$ matrices with exactly one non-zero entry, which is a complex *m*th root of unity, in each row and column such that the product of the entries is a complex (m/p)th root of unity. Thus the groups G(m, p, n) are sometimes referred to as monomial reflection groups. For more details on the groups G(m, p, n), see [5].

1.1. A note on cyclotomic polynomials The following definition and result is taken from [4].

DEFINITION 1.3. For *r* a prime number, the polynomial

$$Q_r(x) = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^{r-1}$$

is called the *r*th *cyclotomic polynomial*. The roots of this polynomial are nontrivial *r*th roots of unity.

THEOREM 1.4. Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field of q elements and let n be a positive integer coprime to q. Then the polynomial $Q_n(x)$ factors into $(\phi(n))/d$ distinct monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of the same degree d, where d is the least positive integer such that $q^d \equiv 1 \mod n$ and ϕ is the Euler's phi function.

Thus for *r* a prime, $Q_r(x)$ splits into (r-1)/d monic irreducible factors, where *d* is the multiplicative order of *r* in the group of units $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$.

We shall use this result in the next section when we calculate the minimal degree of G(2, 2, 5).

2. Calculation of minimal degrees

2.1. Calculation of $\mu(G(4, 4, 3))$ Recall that $G(4, 4, 3) = A(4, 4, 3) \rtimes \text{Sym}(3)$, where

$$A(4, 4, 3) = \{(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) \in C_4 \times C_4 \times C_4 \mid \theta_1 \theta_2 \theta_3 = 1\}$$

which is isomorphic to a product of two copies of the cyclic group of order 4. Hence

$$G(4, 4, 3) \cong (C_4 \times C_4) \rtimes \text{Sym}(3).$$

From now on, we shall let G denote G(4, 4, 3). A presentation for this group can be given thus:

$$G = \langle x, y, a, b | x^4 = y^4 = b^3 = a^2 = 1, xy = yx, x^a = y, x^b = y,$$

$$y^b = x^{-1}y^{-1}, b^a = b^{-1} \rangle.$$

Since $\langle x, y \rangle \cong C_4 \times C_4$ is a proper subgroup of *G*, then, by Theorem 1.1, $8 = \mu(\langle x, y \rangle) \le \mu(G)$. Moreover, since *G* is a proper subgroup of the wreath product $W := C_4 \wr \text{Sym}(3)$, for which $\mu(W) = 12$, then we have the inequalities

$$8 \le \mu(G) \le 12.$$

We shall prove that in fact $\mu(G) = 12$ by a sequence of lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. $\langle x^2, y^2 \rangle$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G.

PROOF. Observe by the conjugation action of *a* and *b* on x^2 and y^2 that $M := \langle x^2, y^2 \rangle$ is indeed normal in *G*. Let *N* be a nontrivial normal subgroup of *G* so there exists an

$$\alpha = x^i y^j b^k a^l$$

in N where $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, k \in \{0, 1, 2\}, l \in \{0, 1\}$ are not all zero. It remains to show that M is contained in N.

CASE (a): k = l = 0. Subcase (i): i = j so $\alpha = x^i y^i$. Then $\alpha \alpha^b = x^i y^i y^i x^{-i} y^{-i} = y^i \in N$, so $y^{-i} \alpha = x^i \in N$. But $i \neq 0$, so $M \subseteq \langle x^i, y^i \rangle$. Hence $M \subseteq N$, as required.

Subcase (ii): $i + j \neq 0 \mod 4$. Then $\alpha \alpha^a = x^{i+j} y^{i+j}$ and we are back in subcase (i). Subcase (iii): $i + j \equiv 0 \mod 4$. Then $\alpha \alpha^b = x^{i-j} y^i$. If $2i - j \neq 0 \mod 4$, then we are back in subcase (ii), so suppose that $2i \equiv j \mod 4$. Then, together with $i + j \equiv 0 \mod 4$, it follows that i = 0. Therefore j is zero and α is trivial, a contradiction. This completes case (a).

[3]

CASE (b): $k \neq 0$ or $l \neq 0$.

Subcase (i): l = 0 so $k \neq 0$. Then $\alpha \alpha^{-b} = x^i y^j b^k (x^{-j} y^{i-j} b^k)^{-1} = x^{i+j} y^{2j-i}$. If $i + j \neq 0$ or $2j - i \neq 0 \mod 4$, then we are back in case (a) so suppose that $i + j \equiv 2j - i \equiv 0 \mod 4$. Solving gives i = j = 0 and so $\alpha = b^k$, whence $\langle b \rangle \in N$. Hence

$$b^{-1}b^x = b^{-1}x^{-1}bx = y^{-1}x \in N$$

and we are back in case (a).

Subcase (ii): $l \neq 0$ and $k \neq 0$. Then

$$\alpha \alpha^{-a} = x^i y^j b^k a^l (x^j y^i b^{-k} a^l)^{-1} = x^i y^j b^k a^l a^{-l} b^k x^{-j} y^{-i} = x^p y^q b^{2k}$$

where $p, q \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and we are back in subcase (i), replacing k by 2k. Subcase (iii): k = 0 so l = 1. Then

$$\alpha \alpha^{-b} = x^i y^j a (x^i y^j a)^{-b} = x^p y^q b^2$$

for some $p, q \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and again we are back in subcase (i).

This completes the proof.

It is worth observing at this point that Lemma 2.1 tells us that any minimal faithful representation of G is necessarily transitive. That is, any minimal faithful representation is given by just a single core-free subgroup.

LEMMA 2.2. Elements of $\langle x, y \rangle b$ and $\langle x, y \rangle b^2$ have order 3. All other elements of *G* have order dividing 8.

PROOF. It is a routine calculation to show that any element of the form $\alpha = x^i y^j b^k$ for *k* nonzero has order 3. Now suppose that $\alpha = x^i y^j b^k a^l$, where *l* is nonzero. Then l = 1 and

$$\alpha^2 = x^p y^q (b^k a)^2 = x^p y^q,$$

for some p, q, which has order dividing 4. Therefore α has order dividing 8.

It is an immediate consequence that G does not contain any element of order 6.

LEMMA 2.3. If L is a core-free subgroup of G then $|G:L| \ge 12$.

PROOF. Suppose for a contradiction that $\operatorname{core}(L) = \{1\}$ and |G:L| < 12. Since |G| = 96, |L| > 8. However, if |L| > 12 then |G:L| < 8 and so $\mu(G) < 8$, contradicting the fact that $\mu(G) \ge 8$. Therefore |L| = 12 and so, by the classification of groups of order 12 (see [6]), *L* is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

$$L \cong \begin{cases} C_{12}, \\ C_6 \times C_2, \\ A_4, \\ D_6, \\ T = \langle s, t \mid s^6 = 1, s^3 = t^2, sts = s \rangle. \end{cases}$$

П

Notice that the groups C_{12} , $C_6 \times C_2$, D_6 and T each contain an element of order 6 and so cannot be isomorphic to L by Lemma 2.2.

Hence L is isomorphic to A_4 and so we can find two noncommuting elements $\alpha = x^i y^j b^k$ and $\beta = x^s y^t b^r$ of order 3 that generate it such that $\alpha\beta$ has order 2. Now

$$\alpha\beta = x^p v^q b^{k+i}$$

for some $p, q \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and so $k + r \equiv 0 \mod 3$ by Lemma 2.2. Without loss of generality, let k = 1. Now, we get three possibilities:

$$\alpha\beta = \begin{cases} x^2, \\ y^2, \\ x^2y^2 \end{cases}$$

and upon conjugation by $\alpha = x^i y^j b$, we get respectively

$$(\alpha\beta)^{\alpha} = \begin{cases} y^2, \\ x^2y^2, \\ x^2. \end{cases}$$

So in each case we get $\langle x^2, y^2 \rangle \subseteq L$, contradicting that L is core-free.

Combining the above lemmas, we find that any minimal faithful representation of *G* is necessarily transitive and that any faithful transitive representation has degree at least 12. Therefore, $12 \le \mu(G)$. But $\mu(G) \le 12$, so we have proved the following.

THEOREM 2.4. The minimal faithful permutation degree of G(4, 4, 3) is 12.

2.2. Calculation of $\mu(G(2, 2, 5))$ In this section, let *G* and *A* denote the groups G(2, 2, 5) and A(2, 2, 5) respectively. Let c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 be the generators of the base group *A* and let $b = (1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5)$ be the 5-cycle in Sym(5). Define a subgroup *H* of *G* by

$$H := \langle c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, b \rangle = A \rtimes \langle b \rangle.$$

Then it can easily be proved that H is isomorphic to

$$(C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2) \rtimes C_5$$

and, furthermore, we may treat A as a four-dimensional $\langle b \rangle$ -module over the finite field \mathbb{F}_2 . The element b acts on the generators of the base group thus:

$$c_1^b = c_2, \quad c_2^b = c_3, \quad c_3^b = c_4, \quad c_4^b = c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4.$$

The matrix of this action with respect to this basis is the companion matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and so the minimal polynomial for this action is the cyclotomic polynomial $Q_5(\lambda) = 1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \lambda^3 + \lambda^4$. By Theorem 1.4, $Q_5(\lambda)$ splits into $(\phi(5))/d$ monic irreducible polynomials of degree *d*, where *d* is the multiplicative order of 2 mod 5. So in this particular case, since $\phi(5) = d = 4$, $Q_5(\lambda)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_2 . This shows that *A* is a minimal normal subgroup of *H*, and we prove below that it is the unique minimal normal subgroup of *H*.

PROPOSITION 2.5. A is the unique minimal normal subgroup of H.

PROOF. It suffices to show that *A* is contained in every nontrivial normal subgroup of *H*. Let *N* be a nontrivial normal subgroup of *H* and suppose that *N* does not contain *A*. Then, by normality, the group *AN* is the internal direct product of *A* with *N*. Since *A* is maximal in *H*, we must have that AN = H and so every nontrivial element not contained in *A* centralizes *A*. But *b* is not contained in *A* and we have $c_1b = c_2b$, a contradiction.

It is immediate from this proposition that every minimal faithful representation of H is transitive and thus given by a single core-free subgroup.

PROPOSITION 2.6. If L is a nontrivial core-free subgroup of H, then $|H : L| \ge 10$.

PROOF. Suppose that *L* is a core-free subgroup of *H* whose index is strictly less than 10. Since $8 \le \mu(H) \le 10$,

$$8 \le |H:L| < 10.$$

Moreover, since $|H| = 2^4.5$, we can deduce that |L| = 10 and this forces L to be either the cyclic group or the dihedral group of order 10.

If *L* is the dihedral group, then there is an element of order 2 which normalizes and hence inverts the element of order 5. Observe that any element of order 5 has the form ab^{j} , where $a \in A$ and $1 \le j \le 4$. Since *H* is the semidirect product of *A* with $\langle b \rangle$, all elements of order 2 are contained in *A*, of which none can invert *b*.

Suppose now that *L* is the cyclic group of order 10. Then there is an element of order 5 commuting with an element of order 2. We may treat this element of order 2 as a 1-eigenvector for the element *b*. However, this contradicts that fact that 1 is not a solution to $Q_5(\lambda)$ in \mathbb{F}_2 . Therefore no such *L* can exist and we have proved the proposition.

The above results immediately prove the following.

THEOREM 2.7. The minimal faithful permutation degree of G(2, 2, 5) is 10.

3. G(4,4,3) forms a counter-example of degree 12

As above, let $W = C_4 \wr \text{Sym}(3)$ be the wreath product. Observe at this point that since the base group of W is $C_4 \times C_4 \times C_4$, and $\mu(C_4 \times C_4 \times C_4) = 12$ by Theorem 1.1, $\mu(W) = 12$. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ be generators for the base group of W and let $a = (2 \ 3), b = (1 \ 2 \ 3)$ be generators for Sym(3) acting coordinatewise on the base group. It follows that $\gamma := \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3$ commutes with a and b and thus lies in the centre of W. Let $H = \langle \gamma \rangle$, so $\mu(H) = 4$.

of W. Let $H = \langle \gamma \rangle$, so $\mu(H) = 4$. Set $x = \gamma_1^{-1} \gamma_2^2 \gamma_3^{-1}$ and $y = \gamma_1^{-1} \gamma_2^{-1} \gamma_3^2$. Then it readily follows that

$$x^{a} = x^{b} = y, \quad y^{a} = x, \quad y^{b} = x^{-1}y^{-1},$$

so that $G = \langle x, y, a, b \rangle$ is isomorphic to G(4, 4, 3). Moreover, with a little calculation it can be shown that $G \cap H = \{1\}$.

It now follows that W is an internal direct product of G and H. Therefore by Theorem 2.4,

$$12 = \mu(G \times H) < \mu(G) + \mu(H) = 16$$

and so G and H form a counter-example to (1.1) of degree 12.

4. G(2,2,5) forms a counter-example of degree 10

In this section, we let U be the wreath product $C_2 \wr \text{Sym}(5)$. Let $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_5$ generate the base group of U and let $a = (1 \ 2)$ and $b = (1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5)$ be generators for Sym(5) action coordinatewise on the base group. Let

$$c_1 = \theta_1 \theta_2, \quad c_2 = \theta_2 \theta_3, \quad c_3 = \theta_3 \theta_4, \quad c_4 = \theta_4 \theta_5.$$

Then it can be easily proved that $G := \langle c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, b, a \rangle$ is isomorphic to the group G(2, 2, 5). Let $\theta = \theta_1 \theta_2 \theta_3 \theta_4 \theta_5$ and set $K := \langle \theta \rangle$. Then with a little calculation it can be shown that $G \cap K = \{1\}$ and that G and K centralize each other in U. So U is the internal direct product of G with K and so by Theorem 2.7,

$$10 = \mu(G \times K) < \mu(G) + \mu(K) = 10 + 2 = 12$$

and we get a counter-example of degree 10.

Finally, we remark that using the result from [8] that $\mu(G(p, p, p)) = p^2$ for p a prime, it follows that $\mu(G(3, 3, 3)) = 9$. However, the centralizer $C_{\text{Sym}(9)}(G(3, 3, 3))$ in Sym(9) is a proper subgroup of G(3, 3, 3). So it is not possible to get a counterexample to (1.1) of degree 9 in this case, by this method.

Similarly, by realizing G(2, 2, 3) as Sym(4), it is immediate that $\mu(G(2, 2, 3)) = 4$ and again a counter-example to (1.1) of degree 4 is impossible by this method.

The author does not know whether 10 is the minimal degree of any counterexample. Furthermore, the author is not aware of any examples where, for two groups G and H,

$$\min\{\mu(G), \mu(H)\} < \mu(G \times H) < \mu(G) + \mu(H).$$

N. Saunders

References

- [1] D. Easdown and C. E. Praeger, 'On minimal faithful permutation representations of finite groups', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **38** (1988), 207–220.
- [2] D. L. Johnson, 'Minimal permutation representations of finite groups', *Amer. J. Math.* **93** (1971), 857–866.
- [3] G. I. Karpilovsky, 'The least degree of a faithful representation of abelian groups', *Vestnik Khar'kov Gos. Univ.* **53** (1970), 107–115.
- [4] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, Finite Fields (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
- [5] P. Orlik and H. Terao, Arrangements of Hyperplanes (Springer, Berlin, 1992).
- [6] J. Pedersen, *Groups of Small Order* (University of South Florida, 2005), Online Notes, http://www.math.usf.edu/ eclark/algctlg/small_groups.html.
- [7] N. Saunders, 'Minimal faithful permutation representations of finite groups', Honours Thesis, University of Sydney, 2005.
- [8] _____, 'The minimal degree for a class of finite complex reflection groups', Preprint, 2008.
- [9] D. Wright, 'Degrees of minimal embeddings of some direct products', *Amer. J. Math.* **97** (1975), 897–903.

NEIL SAUNDERS, School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia e-mail: neils@maths.usyd.edu.au