(F)UV spectral analysis of 15 extremely hot, hydrogen-rich central stars of planetary nebulae

Marc Ziegler¹, Thomas Rauch¹, Klaus Werner¹ and Jeffrey W. Kruk²

¹Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Kepler Center for Astro and Particle Physics, Eberhard Karls University, Tübingen, Germany email: ziegler@astro.uni-tuebingen.de

²NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, U.S.A.

Abstract. We present results of a (F)UV spectral analysis of 15 hot, hydrogen-rich central stars of planetary nebulae (CSPNe) of DAO-type (A 7, A 31, A 35, A 39, NGC 3587, NGC 6720, NGC 6853, NGC 7293, PuWe 1, Sh 2-174) and O(H)-type (A 36, Lo 1, LSS 1362, NGC 1360, NGC 4361). The sample covers a wide range of parameters ($T_{\rm eff} \approx 70-130$ kK, log g = 5.4-7.4). It represents different stages of post-AGB evolution. The derived stellar parameters are crucial constraints for AGB nucleosynthesis and stellar evolutionary calculations. Detailed spectral analyses using fully line-blanketed NLTE model atmospheres including 23 elements from hydrogen to nickel are performed. Additional modeling of the ISM line absorption enables to unambigiously identify nearly all observed lines and to improve both, the photospheric as well as the ISM model.

Keywords. Stars: abundances, stars: AGB and post-AGB, stars: atmospheres, stars: evolution, stars: individual (WD 0500–156, WD 0851+090, WD 1250–226, A 36, WD 1625+280, Lo 1, LSS 1362, CPD–26 389, NGC 3587, NGC 4361, WD 1851+329, WD 1957+225, WD 2226-210, WD 0615+556, WD 2342+806), planetary nebulae: individual (A66 7, A66 31, A66 35, A66 36, A66 39, Lo 1, LSS 1362, NGC 1360, NGC 3587, NGC 4361, NGC 6720, NGC 6853, NGC 7293, PuWe 1, Sh 2-174)

1. Introduction

CSPNe are hot post-AGB stars ionizing the surrounding matter that they previously expelled on the AGB. Hot post-AGB stars represent an important link between the AGB and the WD cooling track, the former being important for the nucleosynthesis. In the last decade, emphasize was laid on the analysis of H-poor post-AGB stars (cf. Werner & Herwig 2006) although the majority ($\approx 75\%$) of all post-AGB stars is H-rich. Only a few systematic analyses are available in the literature concerning metal abundances of H-rich CSPNe. Good *et al.* (2005) determined abundances for a sample of 16 DAO-type CSPNe from *FUSE* spectra, and Bauer & Husfeld (1995) analyzed a sample of seven sdO stars and four CSPNe.

Metals play a significant role in the analysis of stellar spectra and in stellar evolution. They provide a tool to derive $T_{\rm eff}$ accurately, using the ionization equilibria of successive ionization stages. Metals have many (in the case of iron-group elements millions) of line transitions resulting in a significant impact on the temperature stratification of the stellar atmosphere and, thus, on the determination of other elemental abundances. Metal abundances put constraints on AGB nucleosynthesis calculations. We use high-S/N, high-resolution FUV and UV observations obtained with $FUSE^{\dagger}_{\dagger}$ and HST^{\ddagger}_{\dagger} , respectively, to derive basic stellar parameters ($T_{\rm eff}$, log g, chemical composition, mass, luminosity, distance) as well as interstellar properties like $n_{\rm H\,I}$ and $E_{\rm B-V}$.

† Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer ‡ Hubble Space Telescope



Figure 1. $\log T_{\text{eff}} - \log g$ diagram (upper left) and chemical abundances as a function of $\log \left[T_{\text{eff}}^4/g\right]$. DAO-type CS are displayed in black, O(H) types in red. The evolutionary tracks from Miller Bertolami (priv. comm.) are labeled with the stellar masses (in M_{\odot}). [X] denotes $\log(\text{abundance/solar abundance})$ for species X.

2. Atmosphere modeling and analysis methods

For the calculation of the photospheric spectrum we employed the Tübingen NLTE Model Atmosphere Package (*TMAP*, Werner *et al.* 2003; Rauch & Deetjen 2003).In



Figure 2. Sections of the *STIS* observation of the CSPN of A 35. The ionization equilibrium of Fe V – VII is used to precisely determine T_{eff} ($T_{\text{eff}} = 80 \text{ kK}$, $\log g = 7.2$).

order to calculate the atmospheric structure reliably, the opacities of 23 elements from H to Ni were considered.

The *FUSE* wavelength range (915 - 1180 Å) is highly contaminated by interstellar absorption features. These hamper the analysis of the photospheric spectrum. We employed *OWENS* to model the interstellar line absorption. As a new standard modeling technique the photospheric and the ISM model SEDs are combined. This allows to improve both, the photospheric and the ISM model. The final photospheric model SEDs will be available via the GAVO[†] service TheoSSA[‡].

For the determination of $n_{\rm H I}$ either Ly α (1216 Å, HST/STIS) or Ly β (1026 Å, FUSE) were analyzed. $E_{\rm B-V}$ was determined using spectra obtained with FUSE, STIS, IUE, as well as photometric data from the optical and infrared. $T_{\rm eff}$ was derived using ionization equilibria, e.g. N IV – V, O IV – VI, Si IV – V, Fe V – VIII (Fig. 2). log g was determined from fitting the line wings of H I, He II, C IV, and O VI lines. Abundances were measured by detailed line-profile comparison. If lines were strongly blended by the ISM lines, upper limits were determined only.

Stellar masses were determined by comparison of the stars' positions in the log T_{eff} – log g diagram with evolutionary tracks of Miller Bertolami (priv. comm.). Distances were then calculated following the flux calibration of Heber *et al.* (1984).

3. Results

Our main results are shown in Tab. 1. As the radiation pressure ($\propto T_{\text{eff}}^4$) counteracts the gravitational settling Fig. 1 shows the abundances as a function of $\log(T_{\text{eff}}^4/g)$. DAO

† German Astrophysical Virtual Observatory

‡ Theoretical Stellar Spectra Access, http://dc.g-vo.org/theossa

DN	$T_{\rm eff}$	$\log g$	d [mol	M	$E_{\rm B-V}$	$m_{ m V}$	$n_{\rm H~I}$ [10 ²⁰ cm ⁻²]	v _{rad}
PN	[KK]	[cm/sec]	[pc]	[M _☉]				[km/sec]
A7	109	7.0	734_{-312}^{+226}	0.544	0.08	15.45	4.0 ± 1.5	41 ± 17
A 31	94	7.4	421^{+130}_{-180}	0.588	0.09	15.51	1.5 ± 1.5	80 ± 10
A 35	80	7.2	$214\substack{+66\\-92}$	0.521	0.09	13.80	5.0 ± 1.5	-10 ± 10
A 39	117	6.3	1748^{+529}_{-735}	0.533	0.13	15.60	5.0 ± 2.0	30 ± 12
$\operatorname{NGC}3587$	94	7.0	$975\substack{+299 \\ -412}$	0.527	0.00	16.04	0.3 ± 0.4	30 ± 12
$\rm NGC6720$	100	6.9	440^{+134}_{-186}	0.534	0.08	14.20	3.0 ± 2.0	-19 ± 10
$\rm NGC6853$	126	6.5	663^{+201}_{-279}	0.547	0.07	13.70	1.6 ± 0.3	-25 ± 10
$\rm NGC7293$	120	6.8	469^{+142}_{-197}	0.538	0.00	13.52	1.3 ± 0.2	-10 ± 10
$\operatorname{PuWe} 1$	94	7.0	365^{+67}_{-37}	0.527	0.21	15.53	2.7 ± 1.4	35 ± 15
$\operatorname{Sh}2 ext{-}174$	69	6.7	634^{+260}_{-362}	0.402	0.00	14.74	3.0 ± 0.2	40 ± 10
A 36	108	5.9	451^{+137}_{-190}	0.543	0.07	11.57	6.0 ± 0.5	40 ± 10
Lo 1	100	7.0	754^{+230}_{-322}	0.538	0.00	15.39	0.6 ± 1.4	80 ± 15
$\mathrm{LSS}1362$	99	5.4	1057^{+322}_{-446}	0.559	0.15	12.50	0.5 ± 0.2	0 ± 10
$\mathrm{NGC}1360$	100	5.7	499^{+152}_{-210}	0.534	0.00	11.20	0.5 ± 0.1	50 ± 10
$\operatorname{NGC}4361$	126	6.0	$1009\substack{+305 \\ -423}$	0.553	0.04	13.20	2.3 ± 0.2	16 ± 10

Table 1. Main results for the DAO-type (upper part) and O(H)-type CSPNe. The typical error for the mass determination is $\pm 0.05 M_{\odot}$, for $E_{\rm B-V} \pm 0.02$. The values for $m_{\rm V}$ were taken from the SIMBAD database. For A 35 and Lo 1 $m_{\rm V}$ was derived using model SEDs.

and O(H)-type CSPNe do not differ in $T_{\rm eff}$ but the O(H)-type stars have lower log g (5.4–6.0) compared to the DAO-type CS (6.5–7.4). The exception is Lo 1 (log g = 7.0). The position of the O(H)-type CS in the log $T_{\rm eff}$ – log g diagram shows that they are less evolved than the DAO-type CS. From a comparison of the stellar positions in the log $T_{\rm eff}$ – log g diagram with evolutionary tracks from Miller Bertolami (priv. comm.) a mean mass of $\overline{M} = 0.535 \,\rm M_{\odot}$ is derived. This is significantly lower than the mean mass for DA and DO-type white dwarfs. However, some objects of our sample are known binary stars. Excluding these (A 7, A 31, A 35, A 39, Sh 2–174) a mean mass of $\overline{M} = 0.540 \,\rm M_{\odot}$ can be deduced, which is still too low. The derived distances agree well with the literature values.

Acknowledgements

TR is supported by the German Aerospace Center (DLR) under grant 05 OR 0806. This research has made use of the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France. This work used the profile-fitting procedure OWENS developed by M. Lemoine and the FUSE French Team.

References

Bauer, F. & Husfeld, D. 1995, A&A, 300, 481
Good, S. A., Barstow, M. A., Burleigh, M. R., et al. 2005, MNRAS, 363, 183
Heber, U., Hunger, K., Jonas, G., & Kudritzki, R.-P. 1984, A&A, 130, 119
Rauch, T. & Deetjen, J. L. 2003, ASP-CS, 288, 103
Werner, K., Deetjen, J. L., Dreizler, S., Nagel, T., & Rauch, T. 2003, ASP-CS, 288, 31
Werner, K. & Herwig, F. 2006, PASP, 118, 183