

ON THE ABSOLUTE SUMMABILITY FACTOR OF FOURIER SERIES

YASUO OKUYAMA

The purpose of this paper is to give a general theorem on the absolute Riesz summability factor of Fourier series which implies Matsumoto's Theorem [*Tôhoku Math. J.* 8 (1956), 114-124] and to deduce some results from the theorem.

1.

Let $\sum a_n$ be an infinite series and s_n its n th partial sum. Let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If the sequence

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{t}_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_k s_k \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

is of bounded variation, that is, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\bar{t}_n - \bar{t}_{n-1}| < \infty$, then the series

$\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|R, P_n, 1|$.

Let $f(t)$ be a periodic function with period 2π and integrable (L) over $(-\pi, \pi)$. We assume without any loss of generality that the Fourier

Received 21 May 1981. I should like to express my sincerest thanks to Professor Tsuchikura and Kanno for their kind and valuable suggestions. This research was partially supported by Grant - in - Aid for Scientific Research (No. 564048), Ministry of Education.

series of $f(t)$ is given by

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(t) \text{ , say,}$$

and

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt = 0 \text{ .}$$

We use the following notations:

$$\phi_x(t) = \phi(t) = \frac{1}{2}\{f(x+t)+f(x-t)\} \text{ ;}$$

$$\Phi(t) = \int_0^t |\phi(u)| du \text{ ;}$$

$$D_n(t) = \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin t/2} \text{ ;}$$

$$L_0(t) = 1, L_1(t) = \log t, L_p(t) = L_1(L_{p-1}(t)) = \log \dots \log t \text{ (} p \text{ times) ,}$$

$$L_p^{(\varepsilon)}(t) = L_1(t) \dots L_{p-1}(t) [L_p(t)]^{1+\varepsilon} \text{ (} \varepsilon \geq 0, p = 1, 2, \dots \text{) ,}$$

where, if the right hand sides are not determined as positive numbers, we replace them by 1's .

Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a monotone decreasing sequence. We put

$\Delta\lambda_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}$. Also we define a function $\lambda(t)$ continuous in the interval $(0, \infty)$ such that $\lambda(n) = \lambda_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\lambda(t)$ is linear for every non-integral t . Similarly $p(t)$ is defined by the sequence $\{p_n\}$ and we put

$$P(t) = \int_0^t p(u) du \text{ .}$$

A denotes a positive absolute constant that is not always the same.

2.

Concerning the absolute Riesz summability factor of Fourier series, Matsumoto [6] proved the following theorem.

THEOREM A. The $|R, P_n, 1|$ summability of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n A_n(t)$ at $t = x$ is a local property where

| | | | |
|-------------|--|---|--|
| P_n | $\exp n^\delta$ | $\exp(\log n)^\delta$ | $\exp(\log \log n)^\delta$ |
| λ_n | $\frac{1}{n^\delta (\log n)^{1+\epsilon}}$ | $\frac{1}{(\log(n+1))^{\delta+\epsilon}}$ | $\frac{1}{(\log \log(n+1))^{\delta+\epsilon}}$ |
| δ | $0 < \delta \leq 1$ | $0 < \delta$ | $0 < \delta$ |
| $\chi(1/t)$ | $\frac{t}{\log 1/t}$ | $\frac{t}{\log 1/t}$ | $\frac{t}{\log 1/t \log \log 1/t}$ |

More precisely, if

$$\Phi(t) = \int_0^t |\phi(u)| du = O\{\chi(1/t)\},$$

then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n A_n(t)$ is summable $|R, P_n, 1|$, at $t = x$.

In this paper we shall generalize Theorem A in the following form.

THEOREM. Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a monotone decreasing sequence and $\{p_n\}$ be a positive sequence such that $\{p_n/P_n\}$ is decreasing.

If

$$(2.1) \quad \int_0^\pi \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^2} dt \int_0^t \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2 P(1/u)} du < \infty$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^\pi \frac{\Phi(t)\lambda(1/t)}{t^2} dt < \infty,$$

then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n A_n(t)$ is summable $|R, P_n, 1|$, at $t = x$.

The conditions (2.1) and (2.2) have been obtained by referring to the theorems on the absolute Nörlund summability of Fourier series, which are

due to Izumi and Izumi [2], [3], Kolhekar [4] and Leindler [5].

In our theorem we put $\lambda(t) = 1/t^\delta(\log t)^{1+\epsilon}$, $\chi(t) = 1/t \log t$ and $P(t) = \exp t^\delta$ ($0 < \delta \leq 1$). Then we have

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^2} dt \int_0^t \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2P(1/u)} du \leq A \int_0^\pi \frac{\log \log 1/u}{u(\log 1/u)^{1+\epsilon}} du < \infty$$

and

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{\Phi(t)\lambda(1/t)}{t^2} dt \leq A \int_0^\pi \frac{dt}{t^{1-\delta}(\log 1/t)^{2+\epsilon}} < \infty.$$

The other cases are similarly showed. Thus we see that our theorem is a generalization of Theorem A.

3.

We need the following lemma for the proof of our theorem.

LEMMA. *Under the same assumptions as those of the theorem, we have*

$$(i) \int_0^\pi \frac{\Phi(t)p(1/t)\lambda(1/t)}{t^3P(1/t)} dt \leq A \int_0^\pi \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^2} dt \int_0^t \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2P(1/u)} du < \infty$$

$$(ii) \int_0^\pi \frac{|\Phi(t)|}{t} dt \int_0^t \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2P(1/u)} du \leq A \int_0^\pi \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^2} dt \int_0^t \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2P(1/u)} du < \infty, \text{ and}$$

$$(iii) \int_0^\pi \frac{|\Phi(t)|\lambda(1/t)}{t} dt \leq A \int_0^\pi \frac{\Phi(t)\lambda(1/t)}{t^2} dt < \infty.$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then we obtain by an integration by parts

$$\int_\epsilon^\pi \frac{\Phi(t)p(1/t)\lambda(1/t)}{t^3P(1/t)} dt = \frac{\Phi(\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2P(1/u)} du - \frac{\Phi(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} \int_0^\epsilon \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2P(1/u)} du - \int_\epsilon^\pi \frac{|\Phi(t)|}{t} dt \int_0^t \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2P(1/u)} du + \int_\epsilon^\pi \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^2} dt \int_0^t \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2P(1/u)} du.$$

Thus we have

$$\int_{\epsilon}^{\pi} \frac{\Phi(t)p(1/t)\lambda(1/t)}{t^3P(1/t)} dt + \int_{\epsilon}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t} dt \int_0^t \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2P(1/u)} du$$

$$\leq \frac{\Phi(\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2P(1/u)} du + \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^2} dt \int_0^t \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2P(1/u)} du .$$

Therefore we can obtain cases (i) and (ii) as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Noting that $\frac{d\lambda(t)}{dt} < 0$, case (iii) is similarly proved.

4.

Proof of the theorem. Let \bar{t}_n denote the Riesz means of the series

$\sum \lambda_n a_n$. Then we have, by (1.1),

$$(4.1) \quad \bar{t}_n - \bar{t}_{n-1}$$

$$= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P_{k-1} \lambda_k a_k$$

$$= \frac{p_n P_{n-2} \lambda_{n-1} s_{n-1}}{P_n P_{n-1}} + \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} (P_{k-1} \lambda_k - P_k \lambda_{k+1}) s_k - \frac{p_n P_0 \lambda_1 s_0}{P_n P_{n-1}} .$$

Let $\bar{t}_n(x)$ denote the Riesz means of the series $\sum \lambda_n A_n(x)$. Then we obtain, by (4.1),

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\bar{t}_n(x) - \bar{t}_{n-1}(x)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n P_{n-2} \lambda_{n-1}}{P_n P_{n-1}} \int_0^{\pi} |\phi(t)| |D_{n-1}(t)| dt$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} |P_{k-1} \lambda_k - P_k \lambda_{k+1}| \int_0^{\pi} |\phi(t)| |D_k(t)| dt$$

$$= I + J ,$$

say, where $s_k(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \phi(t) D_k(t) dt$.

Since $D_n(t) = O(n)$ or $O(1/t)$, we have

$$I \leq A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{np_n \lambda_{n-1}}{P_n} \int_0^{\pi/n} |\phi(t)| dt + A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n \lambda_{n-1}}{P_n} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t} dt$$

$$= I_1 + I_2 ,$$

say. Since $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{p_n/P_n\}$ are decreasing, we have, by the lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{np_n \lambda_{n-1}}{P_n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/k+1}^{\pi/k} |\phi(t)| dt \\
 &\leq A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/k+1}^{\pi/k} |\phi(t)| dt \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{np_n \lambda_n}{P_n} \\
 &\leq A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/k+1}^{\pi/k} |\phi(t)| dt \int_t^{\pi} \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^3 P(1/u)} du \\
 &= A \int_0^{\pi} |\phi(t)| dt \int_t^{\pi} \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^3 P(1/u)} du \\
 &= A \int_0^{\pi} \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^3 P(1/u)} \Phi(u) du \\
 &\leq A \int_0^{\pi} \frac{|\Phi(t)|}{t^2} dt \int_0^t \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2 P(1/u)} du < \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n \lambda_{n-1}}{P_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\pi/k+1}^{\pi/k} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t} dt \\
 &\leq A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/k+1}^{\pi/k} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t} dt \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n \lambda_{n-1}}{P_n} \\
 &\leq A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/k+1}^{\pi/k} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t} dt \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{p(n/\pi)\lambda(n/\pi)}{P(n/\pi)} \quad \text{for } n > \pi/(\pi-1) \\
 &\leq A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/k+1}^{\pi/k} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t} dt \int_0^t \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2 P(1/u)} du \\
 &= A \int_0^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t} dt \int_0^t \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2 P(1/u)} du \\
 &\leq A \int_0^{\pi} \frac{|\Phi(t)|}{t^2} dt \int_0^t \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2 P(1/u)} du < \infty .
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by I_1 and I_2 , we see that I is finite.

Since $P_{k-1}\lambda_k - P_k\lambda_{k+1} = -p_k\lambda_k + P_k\Delta\lambda_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} p_k \lambda_k \int_0^{\pi} |\phi(t)| |D_k(t)| dt \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} P_k \Delta \lambda_k \int_0^{\pi} |\phi(t)| |D_k(t)| dt \\
 &= J_1 + J_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

say. The finiteness of J_1 is proved by the same estimation as I because we obtain

$$J_1 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k \lambda_k}{P_k} \int_0^{\pi} |\phi(t)| |D_k(t)| dt.$$

Next, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} P_k \Delta \lambda_k \int_0^{\pi/k} |\phi(t)| |D_k(t)| dt \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} P_k \Delta \lambda_k \int_{\pi/k}^{\pi} |\phi(t)| |D_k(t)| dt \\
 &= J_{21} + J_{22},
 \end{aligned}$$

say. Since $\{\lambda_k\}$ is decreasing, we have, by the lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{21} &\leq A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} k P_k \Delta \lambda_k \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/j+1}^{\pi/j} |\phi(t)| dt \\
 &= A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k P_k \Delta \lambda_k \sum_{n=k+2}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/j+1}^{\pi/j} |\phi(t)| dt \\
 &\leq A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \Delta \lambda_k \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/j+1}^{\pi/j} |\phi(t)| dt \\
 &= A \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/j+1}^{\pi/j} |\phi(t)| dt \sum_{k=1}^j k \Delta \lambda_k \\
 &\leq A \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/j+1}^{\pi/j} |\phi(t)| dt \sum_{k=1}^j \lambda_k \\
 &\leq A \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/j+1}^{\pi/j} |\phi(t)| dt \int_t^{\pi} \frac{\lambda(1/u)}{u^2} du \\
 &= A \int_0^{\pi} |\phi(t)| dt \int_t^{\pi} \frac{\lambda(1/u)}{u^2} du \\
 &= A \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\lambda(1/u)}{u^2} \phi(u) du < \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{22} &\leq A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} P_k \Delta \lambda_k \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \int_{\pi/j+1}^{\pi/j} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t} dt \\
 &= A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k \Delta \lambda_k \sum_{n=k+2}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \int_{\pi/j+1}^{\pi/j} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t} dt \\
 &\leq A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta \lambda_k \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \int_{\pi/j+1}^{\pi/j} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t} dt \\
 &= A \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/j+1}^{\pi/j} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t} dt \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \Delta \lambda_k \\
 &= A \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/j+1}^{\pi/j} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t} \lambda_{j+1} dt \\
 &\leq A \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/j+1}^{\pi/j} \frac{|\phi(t)| \lambda(1/t)}{t} dt \\
 &= A \int_0^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)| \lambda(1/t)}{t} dt \\
 &\leq A \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\lambda(1/t)}{t^2} \phi(t) dt < \infty .
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by J_1 and J_2 we see that J is finite. Therefore, by the above estimations, our theorem is completely proved.

5.

In this section we consider some applications of our theorem.

COROLLARY. Let $\{p_n\}$ be a positive sequence such that $\{p_n/P_n\}$ is decreasing. Suppose that $\chi(t)$ is a positive decreasing function satisfying the condition

$$(5.1) \quad p(t)^{-1} P(t) \chi(t) = O(1) \text{ for } t > 0$$

and $\mu(t)$ is a positive decreasing function such that

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n \mu_n}{n} < \infty ,$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence defined by

$$\alpha_n = \int_{1/n+1}^\pi \frac{\chi(1/t)}{t^2} dt .$$

If $\Phi(t) = O\{\chi(1/t)\}$, then

$$(5.3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{P_n \mu_n}{n^p} A_n(t)$$

is summable $|R, P_n, 1|$, at $t = x$.

Proof. Putting $\lambda(t) = P(t)\mu(t)/tp(t)$ in the theorem, we have, by (5.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\pi \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^2} dt & \int_0^t \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2P(1/u)} du \\ &= \int_0^\pi \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2P(1/u)} du \int_u^\pi \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^2} dt \\ &\leq A + \int_0^1 \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2P(1/u)} du \int_u^\pi \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^2} dt \\ &\leq A + A \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{1/n+1}^{1/n} \frac{p(1/u)\lambda(1/u)}{u^2P(1/u)} du \int_{1/n+1}^\pi \frac{\chi(1/t)}{t^2} dt \\ &\leq A + A \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n \int_{1/n+1}^{1/n} \frac{\mu(1/u)}{u} du \\ &\leq A + A \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\alpha_n \mu_n}{n} < \infty . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have, by (5.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\pi \frac{\Phi(t)\lambda(1/t)}{t^2} dt &\leq A + \int_0^1 \frac{\Phi(t)\lambda(1/t)}{t^2} dt \\ &\leq A + A \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{1/n+1}^{1/n} \frac{\chi(1/t)P(1/t)\mu(1/t)}{tp(1/t)} dt \\ &\leq A + A \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{1/n+1}^{1/n} \frac{\mu(1/t)}{t} dt \\ &\leq A + A \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\mu_n}{n} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the facts that $\{\alpha_n\}$ is increasing and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \mu_n/n$ converges. Hence we prove the corollary.

Here we shall make a list of the interesting examples of P_n, λ_n and $\chi(t)$ in the corollary. If we put $\lambda_n = P_n \mu_n / n p_n$ and $\mu_n = 1/L_s^{(\epsilon)}(n)$, then we have the following list:

| | P_n | λ_n | $\chi(1/t)$ | δ |
|-------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| (i) | $\exp n^\delta$ | $\frac{1}{n^\delta L_s^{(\epsilon)}(n)}$ | $\frac{t}{L_s^{(0)}(1/t)}$ | $0 < \delta \leq 1$ |
| (ii) | n^δ | $\frac{1}{L_s^{(\epsilon)}(n)}$ | $\frac{t}{L_s^{(0)}(1/t)}$ | $0 < \delta$ |
| (iii) | $\exp L_s(n)^\delta$ | $\frac{L_s^{(0)}(n)}{L_s(n)^\delta L_{s+p}^{(\epsilon)}(n)}$ | $\frac{t}{L_{s+p}^{(0)}(1/t)}$ | $0 < \delta$ |
| (iv) | $L_s(n)^\delta$ | $\frac{L_s^{(0)}(n)}{L_{s+p}^{(\epsilon)}(n)}$ | $\frac{t}{L_{s+p}^{(0)}(1/t)}$ | $0 < \delta$ |
| (v) | $\exp \frac{n}{L_s(n)^\delta}$ | $\frac{L_s(n)^\delta}{n L_{s+p}^{(\epsilon)}(n)}$ | $\frac{t}{L_{s+p}^{(0)}(1/t)}$ | $0 < \delta$ |
| (vi) | $\frac{n}{L_s(n)^\delta}$ | $\frac{1}{L_{s+p}^{(\epsilon)}(n)}$ | $\frac{t}{L_{s+p}^{(0)}(1/t)}$ | $0 < \delta$ |

where s is a positive integer and p is a non-negative integer.

By Mohanty's lemma [7], we see that cases (i), (iii) and (v) are also deduced from cases (ii), (iv) and (vi), respectively. The positive number ϵ in $L_s^{(\epsilon)}(n)$ or $L_{s+p}^{(\epsilon)}(n)$ is indispensable from the theorems due to Matsumoto [6] and Dikshit [1].

References

- [1] G.D. Dikshit, "Localization relating to the summability $|R, \lambda_n, 1|$ of Fourier series", *Indian J. Math.* 7 (1965), 31-39.
- [2] Masako Izumi and Shin-ichi Izumi, "Absolute Nörlund summability factor of Fourier series", *Proc. Japan Acad.* 46 (1970), 642-646.
- [3] Masako Izumi and Shin-ichi Izumi, "Absolute Nörlund summability factor of Fourier series", *Indian J. Math.* 12 (1970), 175-188.
- [4] S.V. Kolhekar, "On absolute Nörlund summability factors for Fourier series", *Ann. Polon. Math.* 18 (1966), 107-113.
- [5] László Leindler, "On the absolute summability factors of Fourier series", *Acta Sci. Math.* 28 (1967), 323-336.
- [6] Kishi Matsumoto, "Local property of the summability $|R, \lambda_n, 1|$ ", *Tôhoku Math. J.* (2) 8 (1956), 114-124.
- [7] R. Mohanty, "On the summability $|R, \log \omega, 1|$ of a Fourier series", *J. London Math. Soc.* 25 (1950), 67-72.

Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Engineering,
Shinshu University,
Nagano 380,
Japan.