## leader

## Where did that come from?

In the instant of inspiration, few architects can determine precisely where an idea came from. Neuroscientists may be unable to account for the synaptic connections which spark those rushes of insight, but all architects are familiar with what they are like. Such ideas have value in many ways, not the least of which is economic. The UK government, for one, has recently championed the value of creative industries to the economy.

In our last editorial, we discussed the same government's consultation on a new framework to measure the quality of research in universities. Another consultative document has been produced since we wrote which does not shy away from the most controversial proposal: the attempt to measure the impact of research on business, the economy, social policy and society, rather than solely on academic and professional communities. **arq** has been delighted to receive both letters on this theme (pp. 5–10) and a perspective piece by Sebastian Macmillan (pp. 11–16). The latter examines architects' criticisms of recent research assessment exercises, arguing that a tendency to special pleading about architecture's distinctiveness serves to weaken the discipline. As the most recent UK research audit showed – and as debates in **arq** about the nature and conduct of architectural research have illustrated – we can be assured of the significance and rigour of our work. Indeed, Murray Fraser argues in his letter that architectural research has come of age. So the issue at stake is not one concerning the quality of architectural research, but instead the efficacy (and desirability) of its measurement.

Herman Hertzberger has written about the library of ideas which architects carry with them in order to design: the buildings, technical principles, experiences, art works, theories, films, music, whose rich mix lies behind and between those flashes of inspiration. As designers, we gather ideas where we can find them. We tap a huge diversity of sources, some more oblique than others. Many ideas of great value seem to come from somewhere else. The papers in this issue of **arq** derive from a conference called 'Architecture in the Space of Flows' held at the University of Newcastle-upon-Tyne. They explore architecture as a phenomenon of time as well as space, examining how it is embedded in the various flows – social, cultural, infrastructural – of daily life. The parameters are outlined by the conference organisers, Chris L. Smith and Andrew Ballantyne, in their contribution (pp. 21–27). These papers comprise an exploration of ideas whose direct impact on design – or indeed the economy or society – would, we suspect, be very hard to measure. Their contribution is not immediately straightforward; it lies in their potential to add to the library of ideas which helps us to imagine.

We concluded the last editorial by arguing that creativity has long eluded quantitative measurement and that the ideas which sustain architecture and architects remain multiple, shifting, contradictory and contingent. We may not be able to account precisely for where all our ideas come from as architects, but few would deny the value of sustaining creative imagination, and its transformative potential. It is difficult to reconcile these necessary creative contingencies on the one hand with society's increasing impulse to assure accountability and measure reliability on the other. However these priorities are not necessarily incompatible. A key challenge for architects as leaders in the creative industries, and for architectural research, is to find ways to champion the merits of speculative creative work, and explicate its value, in an age which seeks legitimation in quantitative metrics.

THE EDITORS

## architectural research quarterly Subscribe now for 2010

Innovative in conception, unique in breadth and generously illustrated, this pace-setting quarterly publication from Cambridge University Press links, on a global scale, the worlds of architectural practice and research. arq regularly includes extensive peer-reviewed sections on design, history, theory, construction, environmental design, information technology and practice - as well as structures, urbanism and documents. These are supplemented by letters, reports, reviews, a directory of specialist research centers and consultancies and an annual index. Each issue opens with a leader and closes with insight, a personal end-piece. In its ten year history, **arq** has published work from all over the world: from Chile to Sweden and from Japan to the Netherlands - with a strong representation from the United Kingdom and United States. arq, like architecture itself, is all-embracing and written by and for both practitioners and academics. It provides an outlet for all those who wish to disseminate their work to an international audience.

Please enter my subscription to **arq**: architectural research quarterly, volume 14, 2010

£193/\$320 institutions print and electronic

£31/\$52 students print only

£43/\$66 individuals print only

EU residents only. VAT may be payable at your local rate if not registered.

Our VAT registration number: GB 214 1416 14 If registered, your VAT registration no:

Total subscription payment £/\$ \_\_\_\_\_ EU residents only, if not registered add VAT at appropriate rate £ \_\_\_\_\_

Canadian residents, add 7% GST \$

Total £/\$

Address

Name

## Payment enclosed

Cheque in sterling or US dollars (payable to Cambridge University Press)

Credit Card – VISA | MasterCard | American Express (delete where applicable)

Card no \_\_

Expiry date \_\_\_\_

Signature\_\_

Photocopy this page and send your order to: Journals Customer Services, Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge, CB2 8RU, UK

- т +44 (0)1223 326070
- F +44 (0)1223 315052
- E journals@cambridge.org

or in USA, Canada and Mexico send to: Cambridge University Press, 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York NY 10013-2473, USA

- T (914) 937 9600
- F (914) 937 4712
- E journals\_subscriptions@cup.org