SOME PROPERTIES OF INDICATRICES IN A FINSLER SPACE(1)

BY T. N. SRIVASTAVA AND SHÔJI WATANABE⁽²⁾

(Dedicated to Prof. J. Kanitani on the occasion of his 80th birthday)

1. Introduction. Let (M^n, F) be an *n*-dimensional Finsler space where M^n is the underlying *n*-dimensional manifold and $F = F(x^i, X^i)^{(3)}$ is the Finsler fundamental function. F being a differentiable function of the point $x = (x^i) \in M^n$ and element of support $X = (X^i) \in T_x(M^n)$ where $T_x(M^n)$ is the tangent space of M^n at x and is positively homogeneous of degree one with respect to X. Thus the fundamental function F determines at every point $x \in M^n$ an indicatrix in $T_x(M^n)$ defined by the equation $F(x^i, X^i) = 1$ (X^i : variable).

From now and onwards we shall confine ourselves to a fixed point $x_0 = (x_0^i)$ and to the corresponding tangent space $T_{x_0}(M^n)$ of M^n at the point x_0 . Since $F(x_0, X)$ is positively homogeneous of degree one with respect to X hence $g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 F^2 / \partial X^i \partial X^j$ are in general discontinuous at the origin $X = O = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ of $T_{x_0}(M^n)$. Clearly $T_{x_0}(M^n) - \{O\}$ is an n-dimensional Riemannian space with the Riemannian metric tensor $g_{ij}(X)$. We shall denote the space $T_{x_0}(M^n) - \{O\}$ by V^n

Let M^{n-1} be a hypersurface in V^n represented by the equation $X^i = X^i(u^a) = X^i(u)$. If N^i denotes the unit normal vector to M^{n-1} and $B^i_\alpha = \partial X^i/\partial u^\alpha$ then with respect to the frame (B^i_α, N^i) the hypersurface M^{n-1} can be represented by the equation

$$(1.1) Xi(u) = v\beta(u)Biβ + v(u)Ni.$$

It is easy to verify [3] that the vector field v^{α} and the scalar field v in (1.1) satisfy the equations

$$(1.2a) D_{\alpha}v_{\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} + h_{\alpha\beta}v,$$

$$(1.2b) D_{\alpha}v = -h_{\alpha\beta}v^{\beta},$$

where D_{α} is the covariant differentiation operator with respect to the Riemannian connection for the induced Riemannian metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}$, $v_{\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta}v^{\alpha}$, $g_{\alpha\beta}(u) =$

Received by the editors September 18, 1974 and, in revised form, January 29, 1975.

⁽¹⁾ This work was supported in part by the National Research Council of Canada under grant #A8788 and in part be the Science University of Tokyo.

⁽²⁾ Permanent Address: Department of Mathematics, Science University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan.

⁽³⁾ Latin indices run from 1 to n and Greek indices run from 1 to n-1.

 $g_{ij}(x_0, X(u))B^i_{\alpha}B^j_{\beta}$ and $h_{\alpha\beta}$ is the second fundamental tensor of M^{n-1} . Watanabe [3] proved the following result.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let M^{n-1} be a closed hypersurface in V^n , H_1 the first mean curvature of M^{n-1} and v the scalar function on M^{n-1} as defined by (1.1). If M^{n-1} satisfies the inequality $1+H_1v\geq 0$, then M^{n-1} is homothetic to the indicatrix $F(x_0,X)=1$ in $T_{x_0}(M^n)$.

The inequality $1+H_1v\geq 0$ in the above proposition can also be replaced by $1+H_1v\leq 0$. (For more details see the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [3]).

The object of this paper is to discuss the properties of the indicatrix in $T_{x_0}(M^n)$ in some what more details.

2. Integrability conditions. In this section we will obtain the integrability conditions for the equations (1.2). Since $g_{ij}(X)$ is positively homogeneous of degree zero with respect to X in V^n hence C_{ijk} as defined by

(2.1)
$$C_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2} \partial g_{ij} / \partial X^k = \frac{1}{4} \partial^3 F^2 / \partial X^i \partial X^j \partial X^k$$

satisfies the conditions ([2], p. 15)

(2.2)
$$C_{ijk}X^{i} = C_{ijk}X^{j} = C_{ijk}X^{k} = 0.$$

It can be shown [1] that in V^n the Riemannian connection $\{j_k\}$ and the Riemannian curvature tensor

$$R_{ijk}^{l} = \partial \binom{l}{jk} / \partial x^{i} - \partial \binom{l}{ik} / \partial x^{j} + \binom{l}{ir} \binom{r}{jk} - \binom{l}{jr} \binom{r}{ik}$$

based on $\binom{i}{jk}$ have the following form

(2.3a)
$${i \atop jk} = g^{ih}C_{hjk} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C^i_{jk},$$

(2.3b)
$$R_{ijk}^{\ \ l} = C_{hj}^{l} C_{ki}^{h} - C_{hi}^{l} C_{kj}^{h}.$$

Accordingly, we can write

(2.4)
$$R_{ijkl}X^{i} = R_{ijkl}X^{j} = R_{ijkl}X^{k} = R_{ijkl}X^{l} = 0,$$

where

$$(2.5) R_{ijkl} = g_{lh}R_{ijk}^{\quad h}.$$

We have now the following theorem concerning the integrability conditions of (1.2).

THEOREM 2.1. Let $M^{n-1}: X^i = X^i(u^\alpha)$ denote the hypersurface in V^n , then

$$[R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + h_{\alpha\gamma}h_{\beta\delta} - h_{\beta\gamma}h_{\alpha\delta}]v^{\delta} = [D_{\beta}h_{\alpha\gamma} - D_{\alpha}h_{\beta\gamma}]v,$$

$$[D_{\beta}h_{\alpha\gamma}-D_{\alpha}h_{\beta\gamma}]v^{\gamma}=0,$$

where $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}g^{\delta\epsilon} = R_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\epsilon}$ is the Riemannian curvature tensor of M^{n-1} .

Proof. On substituting (1.2) into the Ricci-identities for v and v_{β} respectively we obtain (2.6) and (2.7) after a little simplification.

Alternative Proof. This proof clarifies the relation between the equations of Gauss and Codazzi for M^{n-1} and the equation (2.6) and (2.7).

The equations of Gauss and Codazzi are given by

$$(2.8) R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = R_{ijkl} B_{\alpha}^{i} B_{\beta}^{j} B_{\gamma}^{k} B_{\delta}^{l} + h_{\alpha\delta} h_{\beta\gamma} - h_{\beta\delta} h_{\alpha\gamma},$$

$$(2.9) R_{ijkl}B_{\alpha}^{i}B_{\beta}^{j}B_{\gamma}^{k}N^{i} = D_{\alpha}h_{\beta\gamma} - D_{\beta}h_{\alpha\gamma}$$

respectively. Now from (1.1) and (2.4), we have for M^{n-1} ,

(2.10)
$$0 = R_{ijkl} B_{\alpha}^{i} B_{\beta}^{j} B_{\gamma}^{k} X^{l}$$
$$= R_{ijkl} B_{\alpha}^{i} B_{\beta}^{j} B_{\gamma}^{k} (B_{\delta}^{l} v^{\delta} + N^{l} v).$$

On substituting (2.8) and (2.9) in (2.10) we obtain (2.6).

Also from (2.4) we can write

$$(2.11) 0 = R_{ijkl} B_{\alpha}^{i} B_{\beta}^{j} X^{k} N^{l}$$

$$= R_{ijkl} B_{\alpha}^{i} B_{\beta}^{j} (B_{\gamma}^{k} v^{\gamma} + N^{k} v) N^{l}$$

$$= R_{ijkl} B_{\alpha}^{i} B_{\beta}^{j} B_{\gamma}^{k} N^{l} v^{\gamma}.$$

On substituting (2.9) in (2.11) we obtain (2.7).

3. Hypersurfaces homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{x_0}(M^n)$. First of all we shall prove the converse of the proposition 1.1.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $M^{n-1}: X^i = X^i(u^{\alpha})$, be a hypersurface homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{x_0}(M^n)$. Then M^{n-1} satisfies the condition $1 + H_1v = 0$.

Proof. Since M^{n-1} is homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{x_0}(M^n)$ it is obviously closed and

(3.1)
$$g_{ij}(X(u))X^{i}(u)X^{j}(u) = c$$
 (= constant > 0).

On differentiating this equation with respect to u^{α} and using (2.2) we obtain $g_{ij}(X(u))B_{\alpha}^{i}X^{j}(u)=0$ and hence from (1.1) we have $v_{\alpha}=0$ and consequently $X^{i}(u)=v(u)N^{i}$. Now from (1.2) we can write $g_{\alpha\beta}+h_{\alpha\beta}v(u)=0$.

The theorem now follows after contracting with $g_{\alpha\beta}$.

It should be noted that under the assumptions of the theorem 3.1, the hypersurface M^{n-1} is totally umbilical [2], v=constant $\neq 0$ and $H_1=-1/v$.

THEOREM 3.2. Let $M^{n-1}: X^i = X^i(u^\alpha)$ be a closed hypersurface in V^n . Then M^{n-1} is homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{\alpha_0}(M^n)$ if and only if $D_{\alpha}v_{\beta}=0$ (or $v_{\beta}=0$).

Proof. If we assume that $D_{\alpha}v_{\beta}=0$, then from (1.2a) we have $g_{\alpha\beta}+h_{\alpha\beta}v=0$, and hence $1+H_1v=0$. Now using proposition 1.1 we find that M^{n-1} is homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{\alpha_0}(M^n)$. The converse follows from the proof of theorem 3.1. The fact that the conditions $D_{\alpha}v_{\beta}=0$ and $v_{\beta}=0$ are equivalent is easy to verify.

THEOREM 3.3. Let $M^{n-1}: X^i = X^i(u^{\alpha})$ be a closed hypersurface in V^n . Then M^{n-1} is homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{\alpha_0}(M^n)$ if and only if

$$(3.2) 1 + 2H_1v + (n-1)H_1^2v - (n-2)H_2v^2 = 0,$$

where H_2 is the second mean curvature of M^{n-1} .

Proof. From (1.2) we can write

$$\begin{split} g^{\alpha\gamma}g^{\beta\delta}(D_{\gamma}v_{\delta})(D_{\alpha}v_{\beta}) &= (D^{\alpha}v^{\beta})(D_{\alpha}v_{\beta}) \\ &= (g^{\alpha\beta} + h^{\alpha\beta}v)(g_{\alpha\beta} + h_{\alpha\beta}v) \\ &= 1 + 2H_{1}v + (n-1)H_{1}^{2}v - (n-2)H_{2}v^{2}. \end{split}$$

The result (3.2) now follows from theorem 3.2.

Next we shall consider totally umbilical hypersurfaces in V^n . In this case we have from (1.2),

$$(3.3) (D_{\alpha}v_{\beta} + D_{\beta}v_{\alpha}) = 2(1 + H_{1}v)g_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Now using theorem 3.1 and proposition 1.1 we can conclude that the vector v^{α} is a killing vector if and only if M^{n-1} is homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{\alpha}(M^n)$.

In the following paragraphs we shall confine ourselves to totally umbilical hypersurfaces with constant first mean curvature. Such a hypersurface may not necessarily be the hypersurface homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{x_0}(M^n)$. For example, in the case where $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ the hypersurfaces are hyperspheres. But the hyperspheres may not necessarily be homothetic to the indicatrix (the unit hypersphere with the origin as the centre) in $T_{x_0}(M^n)$. This is due to the fact that the centre of a hypersphere need not coincide with the origin of $T_{x_0}(M^n)$.

THEOREM 3.4. Let M^{n-1} be a closed totally umbilical hypersurface with the constant first mean curvature H_1 in V^n , then $H_1 \neq 0$.

Proof. Let us assume that $H_1=0$. Then $h_{\alpha\beta}=0$ and from (1.2b) we have $D_{\alpha}v=0$. Hence v=constant and accordingly $1+H_1v=$ constant. In this case, we have $1+_1Hv\geq 0$ or $1+H_1v\leq 0$. Now by proposition 1.1 this hypersurface M^{n-1} must be homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{\alpha_0}(M^n)$ and hence by theorem 3.1 we must have $1+H_1v=0$. But this is a contradiction. Hence, the result follows.

THEOREM 3.5. Let M^{n-1} be a closed and totally umbilical hypersurface with the constant first mean curvature in V^n . If M^{n-1} satisfies the condition $R_{\alpha\beta}v^{\alpha}v^{\beta} \leq 0$, then M^{n-1} is homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{x_0}(M^n)$, where $n \geq 3$ and $R_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Ricci tensor of M^{n-1} .

Proof. Since M^{n-1} is totally umbilical, we have $h_{\alpha\beta} = H_1 g_{\alpha\beta}$. Substituting this relation into (2.6) we obtain

$$[R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + H_1^2(g_{\alpha\gamma}g_{\beta\delta} - g_{\beta\gamma}g_{\alpha\delta})]v^{\delta} = 0.$$

On contracting (3.4) with $g^{\alpha\gamma}v^{\beta}$ we obtain

$$(3.5) R_{\alpha\beta}v^{\alpha}v^{\beta} = (n-2)H_1^2g_{\alpha\beta}v^{\alpha}v^{\beta} \ge 0.$$

Now according to the assumption $R_{\alpha\beta}v^{\alpha}v^{\beta} \leq 0$ and theorem 3.4 it is clear that $v^{\alpha}=0$. Thus by theorem 3.2 the hypersurface M^{n-1} is homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{\alpha_n}(M^n)$. (See also [4], Theorem 6.1, p. 46.)

THEOREM 3.6. Let M^{n-1} be a closed totally umbilical hypersurface with the constant first mean curvature H_1 in V^n . Then M^{n-1} is homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{x_0}(M^n)$ if and only if v=constant.

Proof. Let M^{n-1} be homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{x_0}(M^n)$. Then by theorem 3.1 we have $1+H_1v=0$ and hence v=constant. Conversely if v=constant then we should have $1+H_1v\ge 0$ or $1+H_1v\le 0$. The hypersurface M^{n-1} is clearly homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{x_0}(M^n)$ by proposition 1.1.

4. Formulas and its applications. We now mention an important result which will be used in the proceeding paragraph.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let M^{n-1} be a totally umbilical closed hypersurface with the constant first mean curvature in V^{n-1} . Then

$$(4.1) \quad g^{\alpha\beta}D_{\alpha}D_{\beta}(1+H_{1}v)^{m} = mH_{1}^{2}(1+H_{1}v)^{m-2}[(m-1)H_{1}^{2}w - (n-1)(1+H_{1}v)^{2}],$$

(4.2)
$$g^{\alpha\beta}D_{\alpha}D_{\beta}w^{m} = 2mw^{m-1}[(2m+n-3)(1+H_{1}v)^{2}-H_{1}^{2}w],$$

where $m=1, 2, 3, \ldots$, and $w=g_{\alpha\beta}v^{\alpha}v^{\beta}$.

Proof. Using the conditions of the proposition and (1.2) the results (4.1) and (4.2) can be obtained after some calculations.

For the sake of brevity we will denote a totally umbilical closed hypersurface with the constant first mean curvature H_1 in V^n by M^{n-1} .

THEOREM 4.1. If $*M^{n-1}$ satisfies

$$(4.3) kH_1^2 w \ge (1 + H_1 v)^2,$$

then $*M^{n-1}$ is homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{x_0}(M^n)$, k being a positive number.

Proof. Let us choose an ever number m such that $m-1 \ge (n-1)k$. Then from (4.1) we can write $g^{\alpha\beta}D_{\alpha}D_{\beta}(1+H_1v)^m \ge 0$. Thus by the Bochner's lemma [4, p. 39] we have $1+H_1v$ =constant and by proposition 1.1 * M^{n-1} is homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{\alpha_0}(M^n)$.

Theorem 4.2. For the hypersurface* M^{n-1} we have

$$(4.4) (1+H_1v)^2 + H_1^2w = c,$$

where the constant c has the following properties;

- (i) c=0 implies that M^{n-1} is homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{x_0}(M^n)$.
- (ii) $v \neq 0$ if and only if c < 1.
- (iii) There exists a point P on $*M^{n-1}$ at which v=0 if and only if c>1.

Proof. From (4.1) and (4.2) we can write

(4.5)
$$g^{\alpha\beta}D_{\alpha}D_{\beta}(1+H_{1}v)^{2} = 2H_{1}^{2}[H_{1}^{2}w - (n-1)(1+H_{1}v)^{2}],$$

(4.6)
$$g^{\alpha\beta}D_{\alpha}D_{\beta}H_{1}^{2}w = 2H_{1}^{2}[(n-1)(1+H_{1}v)^{2}-H_{1}^{2}w].$$

On adding (4.5) and (4.6) we obtain

$$g^{\alpha\beta}D_{\alpha}D_{\beta}[(1+H_{1}v)^{2}+H_{1}^{2}w] \equiv 0.$$

Now using the Bochner's lemma the result (4.4) follows.

To prove (i) use proposition 1.1 in (4.4) and note $w \ge 0$.

To prove (ii) let $v \neq 0$. Since $*M^{n-1}$ is a totally umbilical hypersurface with the constant first mean curvature H_1 we may use the relation $h_{\alpha\beta} = H_1 g_{\alpha\beta}$ and write (1.2) in the form

(4.7)
$$D_{\alpha}v_{\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta}(1+H_{1}v), \quad D_{\alpha}v = -H_{1}v_{\alpha}.$$

Now using (4.7) it is easy to verify that

$$D_{\beta}v^{m} = -mv^{m-1}H_{1}v^{\beta}$$

and

(4.8)
$$D_{\alpha}D_{\beta}v^{m} = m(m-1)v^{m-2}H_{1}^{2}v_{\alpha}v_{\beta} - mv^{m-1}H_{1}(1+H_{1}v)g_{\alpha\beta}.$$

On contracting (4.8) with $g^{\alpha\beta}$, using $w = g_{\alpha\beta} v^{\alpha} v^{\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta} v_{\alpha} v_{\beta}$, (4.4) and setting m = -(n-2) we obtain after a little simplification

(4.9)
$$g^{\alpha\beta}D_{\alpha}D_{\beta}v^{-(n-2)} = -(n-2)v^{-n}(n-1)[1+H_1v-c].$$

Also from (4.4) it is obvious that $(1+H_1v)^2 \le c$ or $-\sqrt{c} \le 1+H_1v \le \sqrt{c}$. If we assume $c \ge 1$ then $\sqrt{c} \le c$ and we have $1+H_1v \le c$. Now from (4.9) and the Bochner's lemma we conclude that v=constant. From theorem 3.6 it is clear that $*M^{n-1}$ is homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{x_0}(M^n)$. Now using theorems 3.1 and 3.2 in (4.4) we find c=0 which is a contradiction. Thus c<1. To prove the converse let c<1. If there exists a point P on $*M^{n-1}$ at which v=0 then from (4.4) we must have $1+H_1^2w=c$ at P and consequently $c\ge 1$ which is a contradiction. Hence we conclude that there is no point P on $*M^{n-1}$ at which v=0.

To prove (iii) observe that if there exists a point P on $*M^{n-1}$ at which v=0 then from (4.4) we have $1+H_1^2w=c$ at P. But $H_1\neq 0$ by theorem 3.4 and also $w\neq 0$ (if v=0 and w=0 at the same time then from (1.1) $X^n(u)=0$ at P which is not possible). Hence c>1. To prove the converse observe that the second part of this problem suggests that if $c\geq 1$ then there exists at least one point P on $*M^{n-1}$ at which v=0. We claim that if c=1 then there exists no point on the hypersurface with v=0. Because with c=1 and v=0 and the result (4.4) we have $H_1^2w=0$. But by theorem 3.4, $H_1\neq 0$ hence we conclude w=0. Since v=0 and w=0 implies

 $X^n(u)=0$ which is absurd, hence we arrive at a contradiction. Thus if c>1 then there exists a point P on M^{n-1} at which v=0.

THEOREM 4.3. If $*M^{n-1}$ satisfies

$$(4.10) k(1+H_1v)^2 \ge H_1^2 w,$$

then $*M^{n-1}$ is homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{x_0}(M^n)$, k being a positive number.

Proof. Let us choose a number m such that $(2m+n-3) \ge k$. Then from (4.2) we have w = constant. Thus from (4.4) we have $1+H_1v = \text{constant}$ and hence $*M^{n-1}$ is homothetic to the indicatrix in $T_{x_0}(M^n)$.

REFERENCES

- 1. S. Kikuchi, Theory of Minkowski space and of non-linear connections in Finsler space, Tensor N.S., 12 (1962), 47-60.
 - 2. H. Rund, The differential geometry of Finsler spaces, Springer-Verlag (1959).
 - 3. S. Watanabe, On indicatrices of a Finsler space, Tensor N.S., 27 (1973), 135-137.
 - 4. K. Yano, Integral formulas in Riemannian geometry, Marcel Dekker (1970).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY (LOYOLA CAMPUS), 7141, SHERBROOKE STREET WEST, MONTREAL, QUEBEC, CANADA