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1. Introduction. This paper is to study various properties of holomorphic mappings defined on the unit ball $B$ in the complex euclidean space $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ with ranges in the space $\mathbf{C}^{m}$. Furnishing $B$ with the standard invariant Kähler metric and $\mathbf{C}^{m}$ with the ordinary euclidean metric, we define, for each holomorphic mapping $f: B \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{m}$, a pair of non-negative continuous functions $q_{s}$ and $Q_{f}$ on $B$; see $\S 2$ for the definition.

Let $\mathscr{B}(\Omega), \Omega>0$, be the family of holomorphic mappings $f: B \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n}$ such that $Q_{f}(z) \leqq \Omega$ for all $z \in B . \mathscr{B}(\Omega)$ contains the family $\mathscr{H}(M)$ of bounded holomorphic mappings as a proper subfamily for a suitable $M>0$.

There arises the question whether or not $\mathscr{B}(\Omega)$, subject to some normalization at $z=0$, carries a positive Bloch constant.

In [5] we have studied this question for the family of holomorphic mappings of $B$ into the complex projective space $\mathbf{P}_{n}(\mathbf{C})$ furnished with the usual FubiniStudy metric and found a positive lower bound for the Bloch constant of the family. It is, however, not likely to be true for the family $\mathscr{B}(\Omega)$, for $n>1$.

In §3, we consider the subfamily $\mathscr{H}(M)$ and obtain a positive lower bound for the Bloch constant of $\mathscr{H}(M)$, subject to the normalization $q_{f}(0) \geqq \alpha>0$. We then study the univalent mappings on $B$ in § 4, giving a higher dimensional generalization of the Koebe-Faber distortion theorem (Theorem 3) and lower bounds for the Koebe constants of the families $\mathscr{S}_{0}(M)$ and $\mathscr{S}(M)$. The notion of normal functions has been a useful tool in the study of boundary behaviour of holomorphic functions of one variable. We extend this to holomorphic mappings in the higher dimensional spaces in $\S 5$ and obtain some interesting results (Theorem 4) for the family of normal mappings of finite order. Theorem 4 generalizes some of the results in [1].
2. Preliminaries. Let $w=f(z)$ be a holomorphic mapping of the unit ball $B=\left\{z \in \mathbf{C}^{n}:|z|<1\right\},|z|^{2}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} z_{\alpha} \bar{z}_{\alpha}$, in the complex vector space $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ into the space $\mathbf{C}^{m}$ with the ordinary euclidean metric:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \sigma^{2}(w)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} d w_{\alpha} \overline{d w_{\alpha}}, \quad w \in C^{m} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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The mapping $f$ pulls the metric (1) back to $B$ inducing the pseudo-metric in $B$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \sigma_{f}^{2}(z) \equiv d \sigma^{2}(f(z)) \equiv d z^{*}\left(\frac{d f}{d z}\right)^{*}\left(\frac{d f}{d z}\right) d z, \quad z \in B \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(d f / d z)^{*}$ denotes the conjugate transposed of the Jacobian matrix $(d f / d z)$ of $f$.
We furnish $B$ with the standard Kähler metric:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{B}{ }^{2}(z)=\frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|d z|^{2}+\left|d z^{*} z\right|^{2}}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [6, p. 162] for example.
The metric (3) is invariant under any holomorphic automorphisms of $B$, while it is distance-decreasing under holomorphic mappings of $B$ into itself. Namely, if $w=f(z)$ is a holomorphic automorphism of $B$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{B}^{2}(z)=d s_{B}^{2}(f(z)), \quad z \in B \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $w=f(z)$ is a holomorphic mapping of $B$ into $B$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{B}^{2}(f(z)) \leqq d s_{B}^{2}(z), \quad z \in B \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (5) is a higher dimensional generalization of the classical Schwarz-Pick lemma. See [7] and [10] for more details.

We call the unit ball $B \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$ furnished with the metric (2) the hyperbolic space of dimension $n$.

The hyperbolic space concerned in this paper is always of fixed dimension, say $n$, unless stated otherwise.

For each holomorphic mapping $f: B \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{m}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{f}(z)=\inf \left(d \sigma_{f} / d s_{B}\right)(z, x) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{f}(z)=\sup \left(d \sigma_{f} / d s_{B}\right)(z, x), \quad z \in B, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where inf and sup run over all the unit tangent vectors $x$ at $z$ in $B$.
From the definitions of $q_{f}$ and $Q_{f}$, and the invariant property of $d s_{B}$ (see (4)), we have

Lemma 1. If $\zeta=S(z)$ is a holomorphic automorphism of $B$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{f \cdot S}(z)=q_{f}(S(z)) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{f \cdot L}(z)=Q_{f}(S(z)), \quad z \in B \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

See [5, Lemma 1] for the proof.

Observing that $0 \leqq\left|d z^{*} z\right| \leqq|d z||z|$, the following inequalities follow from (3):
(10) $\frac{|d z|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} \leqq d s_{B}{ }^{2}(z) \leqq \frac{|d z|^{2}}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad z \in B$.

From (6), (7) and inequalities (10), we have
Lemma 2. If $f: B \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{m}$ is a holomorphic mapping, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \lambda_{f}(z) \leqq q_{f}(z) \leqq\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \lambda_{f}(z) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(12) $\quad\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \Lambda_{f}(z) \geqq Q_{f}(z) \geqq\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \Lambda_{f}(z)$.

Here $\lambda_{f}$ and $\Lambda_{f}$ are the positive square roots of the smallest and largest characteristic values, respectively, of $(d f / d z)^{*}(d f / d z)$.

We remark that the inequalities in Lemma 2 are sharp, equality being held at $z=0$. The second inequalities of (11) and (12) may be replaced by the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{f}(z) \leqq\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \Lambda_{f}(z) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(12) $Q_{f}(z) \geqq\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \lambda_{f}(z)$,
respectively.
Finally, we review briefly the generalized notions of the Bloch and Koebe constants. See [4] for details.

Let $w=f(z)$ be a holomorphic mapping defined on the ball $B$ in the space $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ into $\mathbf{C}^{n}$. On $B$, we define a non-negative continuous function by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{f}(z)=\sup \{r>0: \text { there exists a subdomain } G \subset B \text { in which } \\
& \qquad f \text { is univalent and } B(f(z), r) \subset f(G)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $J_{f}(z) \neq 0$, and $d_{f}(z)=0$ if $J_{f}(z)=0$. Here $J_{f}(z)$ denotes the Jacobian of $f$ and $B(z, r)=\{\zeta:|\zeta-z|<r\}$.

The Bloch constant of $B$ relative to the family $\mathscr{H}$ of holomorphic mappings $f: B \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n}$ is defined formally by
(13) $\beta(\mathscr{H})=\inf \{b(f): f \in \mathscr{H}\}$,
where
(14) $b(f)=\sup \left\{d_{f}(z): z \in B\right\}$.

Let $\mathscr{S}_{0}$ (or $\mathscr{S}$ ) be the family of univalent holomorphic mappings $f: B \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n}$ such that
(15) $f(0)=0$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(d f / d z)(0)=I_{n} \quad\left(\text { or } J_{f}(0)=1\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{f}=\operatorname{det}(d f / d z)$ and $I_{n}$ denotes the identity matrix of order $n$.
The Koebe constant of $B$ relative to $\mathscr{S}_{0}$ (or $\mathscr{S}$ ) is formally defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \kappa_{0} \equiv \kappa\left(\mathscr{S}_{0}\right)=\inf \left\{d_{f}(0) \mid f \in \mathscr{S}_{0}\right\}  \tag{17}\\
& \left(\text { or } \kappa \equiv \kappa(\mathscr{S})=\inf \left\{d_{f}(0) \mid f \in \mathscr{S}\right\}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

As we have remarked in [4], the Koebe constant of $B$ relative to $\mathscr{S}$ fails to be positive for $n>1$. We therefore consider the sub-family $\mathscr{S}_{0}(M)$ (or $\mathscr{S}(M)$ ) of bounded holomorphic mappings

$$
f: B \rightarrow B_{M}, \quad B_{M}=\{M z \mid z \in B\}, \quad M>0 .
$$

The Koebe constant $\kappa_{0}(M)$ (or $\kappa(M)$ ) relative to $\mathscr{S}_{0}(M)$ (or $\mathscr{S}(M)$ ) is shown to be positive by a simple normal family argument.

## 3. Bounded holomorphic mappings and Bloch theorem.

Lemma 3. Let $w=f(z)$ be a holomorphic mapping of the ball $B_{R} \subset C^{n}$ into the ball $B_{M} \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$, where $B_{R}=\{R z: z \in B\}$. Then the positive square root $\Lambda_{f}$ of the largest characteristic value of the hermitian matrix $(d f / d z)^{*}(d f / d z)$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{f}(z) \leqq R\left(M^{2}-|f(z)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} /\left(R^{2}-|z|^{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in B_{R}$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{f}(z) \leqq R M /\left(R^{2}-|z|^{2}\right), \quad z \in B_{R} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From the Schwarz-Pick lemma and (10) of § 2 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d z^{*}\left(\frac{d f}{d z}\right)^{*}\left(\frac{d f}{d z}\right) d z \leqq \frac{R^{2}\left(M^{2}-|f(z)|^{2}\right)}{\left(R^{2}-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}|d z|^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which (1) follows. See also [5].
Corollary 1. If $f: B_{R} \rightarrow B_{M}$ is a holomorphic mapping, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{f}(z) \leqq\left[M^{2}-|f(z)|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} / R, \quad z \in B_{R} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{f}(z) \leqq M / R, \quad z \in B_{R} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $z \in B$ and let $z=S(\zeta)$ be the holomorphic automorphism of $B$ which maps $0 \in B$ to $z$. The mapping

$$
\phi(\zeta)=f(S(\zeta))
$$

maps $B_{R}$ into $B_{M}$ such that $\phi(0)=f(z)$. By Lemma 3,

$$
\Lambda_{\phi}(\zeta) \leqq R\left(M^{2}-|\phi(\zeta)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} /\left(R^{2}-|\zeta|^{2}\right)
$$

In particular, at $\zeta=0$,

$$
\Lambda_{\phi}(0) \leqq\left(M^{2}-|f(z)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} / R
$$

Since $\Lambda_{\phi}(0)=Q_{\phi}(0)=Q_{f . S}(0)=Q_{f}(S(0))=Q_{f}(z)$, we have (4) and (5).
Remark. As shown in Corollary 1, if $f: B \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n}$ is bounded, then $Q_{f}(z)$ is uniformly bounded in $B$. However, the converse to this fact is obviously false, as the unbounded mapping

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(z)=\left(f_{1}\left(z_{1}\right), f_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)\right) \quad \text { with } \\
& f_{i}\left(z_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z_{i}}{1-z_{i}}, \quad i=1,2,
\end{aligned}
$$

holomorphic in the open unit ball $B \subset \mathbf{C}^{2}$, satisfies

$$
Q_{f}(z)=\frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{2}\left[E+\left(E^{2}-4 F\right)^{1 / 2}\right]<2,
$$

where

$$
E=\frac{1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}{\left|1-z_{1}\right|^{2}}+\frac{1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left|1-z_{2}\right|^{2}}, \quad F=\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{\left|\left(1-z_{1}{ }^{2}\right)\left(1-z_{2}{ }^{2}\right)\right|^{2}}
$$

and $|z|^{2}=\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}$.
The following higher dimensional analogue of the classical result of Landau [8] plays an essential role in the rest of this paper. See [5, Theorem 2] for the proof.

Lemma 4. Let $w=f(z)$ be a holomorphic mapping of the ball $B_{R} \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$ into $B_{M} \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$. Let $\lambda_{f}(z)$ denote the square root of the smallest characteristic value of the matrix $(d f / d z)^{*}(d f / d z)$ at $z \in B_{R}$. If $\lambda_{f}(0) \neq 0$, then the following hold:
(a) $w=f(z)$ is univalent (one-to-one) in the ball $B_{r_{0}} w i t h$

$$
r_{0}=3^{1 / 2} R^{2} \lambda_{f}(0) / 9 M ;
$$

(b) $w=f(z)$ maps $B_{r_{0}}$ onto a domain which contains $B\left(f(0), \gamma_{0} \lambda_{f}(0) / 2\right)$, the ball of radius $r_{0} \lambda_{f}(0) / 2$ centered at $f(0)$.

By $\mathscr{H}(M)$ we denote the family of bounded holomorphic mappings $f: \bar{B} \rightarrow B_{M} \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$.

Theorem 1. The Bloch constant $\beta$ of the family $\mathscr{H}(M)$ with the condition:
(6) $\quad q_{f}(0) \geqq \alpha$ for some $\alpha>0$
satisfies the following inequalities:
(7) $\quad \beta \geqq 3^{1 / 2} \alpha^{2} / 18 M$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \geqq 3^{1 / 2} \alpha^{2} \kappa_{0}(N) / 9 M \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with
(9) $\quad N=\frac{M}{2 \alpha \rho_{0}} \log \left(1+\rho_{0}\right) /\left(1-\rho_{0}\right), \quad \rho_{0}=3^{1 / 2} \alpha / 9 M$,
where $\kappa_{0}(N)$ denotes the Koebe constant of the family $\mathscr{S}_{0}(N)$ (see (17), §2).
Proof. We define
(10) $g(\zeta)=\left[\rho_{0} A_{f}(0)\right]^{-1}\left[f\left(\rho_{0} \zeta\right)-f(0)\right]$,
where $A_{f} \equiv(d f / d z)$ and $\rho_{0}=3^{1 / 2} \alpha / 9 M$. Clearly, $g(0)=0$ and $(d g / d \zeta)_{0}=I_{n}$. By Lemma $4, w=g(\zeta)$ is univalent in $B$. Moreover, for $\zeta \in B$,
(11) $|g(\zeta)| \leqq \rho_{0}{ }^{-1}| | A_{f}^{-1}(0)| |\left|f\left(\rho_{0} \zeta\right)-f(0)\right| \leqq\left|f\left(\rho_{0} \zeta\right)-f(0)\right| / \rho_{0} \alpha$.

By (2) of [4, §3],
(12) $f\left(\rho_{0} \zeta\right)-f(0)=\int_{0}^{1} A_{f}\left(s \rho_{0} \zeta\right) \rho_{0} \zeta d s$.

Hence, by Lemma 3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f\left(\rho_{0} \zeta\right)-f(0)\right| \leqq \rho_{0} \int_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{f}\left(s \rho_{0} \zeta\right) d s \leqq \rho_{0} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{M}{1-s^{2} \rho_{0}{ }^{2}} d s & = \\
& \frac{M}{2} \log \frac{1+\rho_{0}}{1-\rho_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

From (11) and (13), we have $|g(\zeta)| \leqq N$ for all $\zeta \in B$ with $N$ given as (9). Thus, $g(B) \subset B_{N}$. By definition, the Koebe constant satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{0}=\kappa_{0}(N) \leqq \min _{|\zeta|=1}|g(\zeta)| \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

or
(15) $\quad \kappa_{0} \rho_{0} \alpha \leqq \min _{|\xi|=1}\left|f\left(\rho_{0} \zeta\right)-f(0)\right|$.

This implies that Bloch constant $\beta$ must satisfy:

$$
\beta \geqq \kappa_{0} \rho_{0} \alpha \geqq 3^{1 / 2} \alpha^{2} \kappa_{0}(N) / 9 M,
$$

which is (8). Inequality (7) follows from Lemma 4.
Corollary 3. The Bloch constant $\beta$ of the family $\mathscr{H}(M)$ of holomorphic mappings $f: \bar{B} \rightarrow B_{M}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|J_{f}(0)\right|=1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the following inequalities:
(17) $\beta \geqq 3^{1 / 2} / 18 M^{2 n-1}$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \geqq 3^{1 / 2} \kappa_{0}(N) / 9 M^{2 n-1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with
(19) $\quad N=\frac{M}{2 \rho_{0}} \log \left(1+\rho_{0}\right) /\left(1-\rho_{0}\right), \quad \rho_{0}=3^{1 / 2} / 9 M^{n}$.

Proof. From Lemma 3, if $f \in \mathscr{H}(M)$, then $\Lambda_{f}(0) \leqq M$. From (16),

$$
1=\left|J_{f}(0)\right| \leqq \lambda_{f}(0) \Lambda_{f}^{n-1}(0) \leqq \lambda_{f}(0) M^{n-1}
$$

Thus, $M^{1-n} \leqq \lambda_{f}(0)$. From Theorem 1 with $\alpha=M^{1-n}$, the corollary follows.
Corollary 4. The Bloch constant $\beta$ of $\mathscr{H}(M)$ satisfying
(20) $\quad(d f / d z)_{0}=I_{n}$
for $f \in \mathscr{H}(M)$, has the following lower bounds:
(21) $\beta \geqq 3^{1 / 2} / 18 M$
(22) $\quad \beta \geqq 3^{1 / 2} \kappa_{0}(N) / 9 M$
(23) $\quad N=\frac{M}{2 \rho_{0}} \log \left(1+\rho_{0}\right) /\left(1-\rho_{0}\right), \quad \rho_{0}=3^{1 / 2} / 9 M$.

## 4. Distortion theorems of univalent mappings.

Theorem 2. Let $w=f(z)$ be a holomorphic mapping of the unit ball $B \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$ into $\mathbf{C}^{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{f}(z) \leqq q_{f}(z) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,
(2) $\quad d_{f}(z) \leqq\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \Lambda_{f}(z)$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{f}(z) \leqq\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \lambda_{f}(z) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equalities in (1), (2) and (3) hold for the holomorphic automorphism which maps z to 0 .

Proof. If $J_{f}\left(z^{0}\right)=0$ at $z^{0} \in B$, then $d_{f}\left(z^{0}\right)=0$. So inequality (1) trivially holds. If $J_{f}\left(z^{0}\right) \neq 0$, then there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $z^{0}$ in which $w=f(z)$ is univalent. Let $z=f^{-1}(w)$ be the inverse mapping defined on the ball $B\left[f\left(z^{0}\right), d_{f}\left(z^{0}\right)\right]$. Then
(4) $\quad \eta=h(\xi)=f^{-1}\left(w^{0}+d_{f}\left(z^{0}\right) \xi\right)$
is a univalent mapping of $B$ into itself with $h(0)=f^{-1}\left(w^{0}\right)=z^{0}$. Moreover, $(d h / d \xi)=\left(d f^{-1} / d \zeta\right)(d \zeta / d \xi)$,
where $\zeta=w^{0}+d_{f}\left(z^{0}\right) \xi$. From $d \zeta / d \xi=d_{f}\left(z^{0}\right) I_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(d f / d z)_{z^{0}}=d_{f}\left(z^{0}\right)(d h / d \xi)_{0^{-1}}=d_{f}\left(z^{0}\right)(d \xi / d \eta)_{z^{0}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\eta=h(\xi)$ maps $B$ into itself, we have

$$
d s_{B}^{2}(\eta) \leqq d s_{B}^{2}(\xi), \quad \eta=h(\xi),
$$

by (3), $\S 2$. For $\xi=0, \eta=h(0)=z^{0}$, and $h$ is one-to-one in $B$. Hence,
(6) $\frac{1-\left|z^{0}\right|^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi}{\left(1-\left|z^{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}} I_{n} \leqq\left(\frac{d \xi}{d \eta}\right)_{z^{0}}^{*}\left(\frac{d \xi}{d \eta}\right)_{z^{0}}=\left(\frac{d f}{d z}\right)_{z^{0}}^{*}\left(\frac{d f}{d z}\right)_{2^{0}} / d_{f}^{2}\left(z_{0}\right)$,
where

$$
\cos \varphi=\left|d \eta^{*} \cdot \eta\right| /|\eta||d \eta|,
$$

evaluated at $\xi=0$. From (6), we have
(7) $\quad d_{f}\left(z^{0}\right) \leqq\left[\frac{d \sigma_{f}}{d s_{B}}\right]\left(z^{0}, x\right)$
for all tangent vectors $x$ at $z^{0}$ in $B$, from which (1) follows. Inequalities (2) and (3) are immediate from Lemma 2 and the subsequent remark. In order to complete the proof, we let $w=S(z)$ be the holomorphic automorphism of $B$ which maps $z^{0} \in B$ into the origin $0 \in B$. Then, by definition $d_{S}\left(z^{0}\right)=1$. Moreover, by (4), § 2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(d S / d z)_{z^{0}}^{*}(d S / d z)_{z^{0}}=\left(1-\left|z^{0}\right|^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi\right) I_{n} /\left(1-\left|z^{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,
(9) $\quad q_{f}\left(z^{0}\right)=Q_{f}\left(z^{0}\right)=1$,
(10) $\quad \Lambda^{2} S\left(z^{0}\right)=1 /\left(1-\left|z^{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}$
and
(11) $\lambda^{2} S_{S}\left(z^{0}\right)=1 /\left(1-\left|z^{0}\right|^{2}\right)$.

This shows that equality holds in (1), (2) and (3) for $S$.
We remark that if $\mathscr{H}$ is a family of holomorphic mappings $f: B \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n}$ for which $\beta(\mathscr{H})$ is positive, $\sup _{z \in B} q_{f}(z), f \in \mathscr{H}$, gives an upper bound for $\beta(\mathscr{H})$ and $\inf _{f \in \mathscr{H}} \sup _{z \in B} q_{f}(z)$ gives the least of such upper bounds.

We now prove the following higher dimensional analogue of the Koebe-Faber distortion theorem:

Theorem 3. Let $w=f(z)$ be a holomorphic mapping of the unit ball B into $\mathbf{C}^{n}$. If $f$ is univalent in $B$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{0}\left(N_{f}\right) q_{f}(z) \leqq d_{f}(z), \quad z \in B \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
(13) $\quad N_{f}=\operatorname{diam} f(B) / \inf _{z \in B} q_{f}(z)$.

In particular, if $w=f(z)$ is bounded, i.e., $f(B) \subset B_{M}$ for some $M>0$, then
(14) $\quad q_{f}(z) \leqq 6\left(\frac{M d_{f}(z)}{\sqrt{ } 3}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad z \in B$.

Proof. Let $\eta=S(\xi)$ be the holomorphic automorphism of $B$ which maps 0 to $z \in B$. We define
(15) $\varphi(\xi)=A_{f \cdot S^{-1}(0)}[f(S(\xi))-f(z)]$,
where $A_{f . S}(0)$ denotes the Jacobian matrix of $f \cdot S$ at $z=0$. Then $w=\varphi(\xi)$ is a univalent holomorphic mapping on $B$ and satisfies $\varphi(0)=0,(d \varphi / d \xi)(0)=$ $I_{n}$ and

Clearly,
(17) $\left\|A_{f . S}{ }^{-1}(0)\right\|=1 / \lambda_{f . S}(0)$, and by Lemma 1 ,
(18) $\quad \lambda_{f . S}(0)=q_{f . S}(0)=q_{f}(S(0))=q_{f}(z)$.

Hence, from (16),
(19) $\inf _{|\xi|=1}|\varphi(\xi)| \leqq \inf _{|\xi|=1}|f(S(\xi))-f(z)| / q_{f}(z)$
(20) $\sup _{|\xi|=1}|\varphi(\xi)| \leqq N_{f}$,
with $N_{f}$ given as in (13). By Lemma 4, (b), w= $\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\xi)$ maps a sub-domain of $B$ univalently onto an open ball of radius $\sqrt{ } 3 / 18 N_{f}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{|\xi|=1}|\varphi(\xi)| \geqq \sqrt{ } 3 / 18 N_{f} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f$ is univalent in $B$,
(22) $\quad d_{f}(z)=\inf _{|\xi|=1}|f(S(\xi))-f(z)|$
and
(23) $\quad \kappa_{0}\left(N_{f}\right) \leqq \inf _{|\xi|=1}|\varphi(\xi)|=d_{\varphi}(0)$.

Inequality (19), together with (22) and (23), now implies (12). Inequality (14) follows from (19), (21), and (22) when we observe that diam $f(B) \leqq 2 M$.

It is well-known that for $n=1$ the Koebe constant for the family of holomorphic functions $f$ defined on the unit disc $\Delta$ such that $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$
is precisely $1 / 4$. Therefore, inequality (19) immediately implies the classical distortion theorem of Koebe-Faber [12, p. 147]:

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq 4 d_{f}(z) /\left(1-|z|^{2}\right), \quad z \in \Delta
$$

when we observe that $q_{f}(z)=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|=Q_{f}(z)$.
Corollary 1. The Koebe constant $\kappa_{0}(M)$ of $B$ relative to the family $\mathscr{S}_{0}(M)$ (see § 2), satisfies the inequalities
(24) $\quad 3^{1 / 2} / 36 M \leqq \kappa_{0}(M) \leqq 1 / 4$.

Proof. The first inequality of (24) follows from inequality (14) when we set $z=0$ and $q_{f}(0)=1$. The second inequality was shown in (12) of $[4, \S 3]$.

We remark that if $f \in \mathscr{S}_{0}(M)$, then the image of $B$ under $w=f(z)$ can not be contained completely in the ball $B_{M}$ with $M<1$. It follows from Lemma 3 as applied to $f \in \mathscr{S}_{0}(M)$ with $R=1$ and $z=0$.

Corollary 2. The Koebe constant $\kappa(M)$ of $B$ relative to the family $\mathscr{S}(M)$ (see §2), satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
3^{1 / 2} / 36 M^{2 n-1} \leqq \kappa(M) \leqq 1 / 4 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (14), if $z=0$, then
(26) $\quad \lambda_{f}{ }^{2}(0) \leqq 36 M d_{f}(0) / 3^{1 / 2}$.

By Lemma 3 , if $f \in \mathscr{S}(M)$, then $\Lambda_{f}(0) \leqq M$. Hence, from (18) and (16) of § 3, we have

$$
3^{1 / 2} / 36 M^{2 n-1} \leqq d_{f}(0)
$$

for all $f \in \mathscr{S}(M)$, which gives the first inequality of (25). The second inequality follows from (24) when we observe $\kappa(M) \leqq \kappa_{0}(M)$.

From Theorems 2 and 3 we also have
Corollary 3. Let $w=f(z)$ be a bounded univalent mapping of $B \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$ into $\mathbf{C}^{n}$. For any sequence $\left\{z^{(n)}\right\}$ of points in $B$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{f}\left(z^{(n)}\right)=0 \quad \text { if and only if } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{f}\left(z^{(n)}\right)=0
$$

In particular, if $\left\{z^{(n)}\right\}$ is a sequence of points in $B$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|z^{(n)}\right|=1$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{f}\left(z^{(n)}\right)=0$.

We note that Corollary 3 is not valid without $f$ being bounded. For example, the univalent mapping $w=f(z)$ defined on $B \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$ by
(27) $w_{i}=f_{i}\left(z_{i}\right)=\frac{1+z_{i}}{1-z_{i}}, \quad i=1,2$,
is unbounded on $B$. A formal computation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{f}(z)=\frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{2}\left[E-\left(E^{2}-4 F\right)^{1 / 2}\right]  \tag{28}\\
& Q_{f}(z)=\frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{2}\left[E+\left(E^{2}-4 F\right)^{1 / 2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& E=\frac{4\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left|1-z_{1}\right|^{4}}+\frac{4\left(1-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left|1-z_{2}\right|^{4}}  \tag{30}\\
& F=\frac{16\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{\left|\left(1-z_{1}\right)\left(1-z_{2}\right)\right|^{4}}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $z^{(n)}=(1-1 / n, 0), n$ positive integer, we get

$$
q_{f}\left(z^{(n)}\right) \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text { while } d_{f}\left(z^{(n)}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
The univalency of $f$, too, seems to be essential for Corollary 3 to be true as the second assertion of Corollary 3 fails to hold for the bounded holomorphic mapping
(31) $f(z)=e^{\frac{z+1}{z-1}}$
on the unit disc $\Delta \subset \mathbf{C}^{1}$. A simple calculation shows that
(32) $\quad q_{f}(z)=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|=2 / e$
along the curve: $r=\cos \theta$, where $z=r e^{i \theta}$. Therefore, for any sequence $\left\{z^{(n)}\right\}$ of points along the curve which tends to $z=1 q_{f}\left(z^{(n)}\right)=2 / \mathrm{e}>0$. (See [11, p. 151].)
5. Concluding remarks. Let $\mathscr{B}(\Omega)$ be the family of all holomorphic mappings $f: B \subset \mathbf{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{m}$ such that
(1) $N_{f}=\sup _{z \in B} Q_{f}(z) \leqq \Omega$
for a positive constant $\Omega$. Clearly, if $\Omega_{1} \leqq \Omega_{2}$, then $\mathscr{B}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \subset \mathscr{B}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$. Set
(2) $\mathscr{B}=\bigcap_{\Omega>0} \mathscr{B}(\Omega)$.

For $n=m=1, \mathscr{B}$ coincides with the class of Bloch functions considered in [1]. Since the family $\mathscr{B}(\Omega)$ is equicontinious and every closed bounded subset of $\mathrm{C}^{m}$ is compact, by [14, Lemma 1.1], $\mathscr{B}(\Omega)$ is a normal family (in the sense of Wu ).

Following Lehto and Virtanen [9], we call a holomorphic mapping $f: B \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{m}$ normal if the family $\{f \cdot S\}, S \in G$, the group of holomorphic automorphisms of $B$, forms a normal family. This notion may be extended to a holomorphic mapping of a hermitian manifold into another if the first is homogeneous.

If $f \in \mathscr{B}(\Omega)$, by Lemma $1, \S 2$,

$$
\sup _{z \in B} Q_{f \cdot S}(z)=\sup _{z \in B} Q_{f}(S(z))=\sup _{\zeta \in B} Q_{f}(\zeta) \leqq \Omega
$$

for all $S \in G$. Thus, each $f \in \mathscr{B}$ is a normal mapping.
If $N_{f}<\infty$, we call $f$ a normal mapping of finite order $N_{f}$. Therefore, all normal mappings of finite order constitute $\mathscr{B}$, while there are normal mappings which are not in $\mathscr{B}$, as the following example shows:

For each $b=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$ with $\left|b_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|b_{2}\right|^{2}=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{b}(z)=\frac{1+\left(\bar{b}_{1} z_{1}+\bar{b}_{2} z_{2}\right)}{1-\left(\bar{b}_{1} z_{1}-\bar{b}_{2} z_{2}\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a holomorphic function defined on the unit ball $B \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$ with $\operatorname{Re} f_{b}>0$ and $f_{b}(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow b, z \in B$. It is easy to see that $f_{b}$ is normal. In fact, any holomorphic function $f$ defined on the unit disc $\Delta \subset \mathbf{C}^{1}$ with $\operatorname{Re} f>0$ is normal by the classical theorem of Montel. Since $f_{b}$ is a holomorphic function defined on $B$ with the same range, i.e., $\operatorname{Re} f_{b}>0$, by a result due to T. Barth [2], $f_{b}$ is also normal on $B$. For $z=b t,|t|<1, t \in \mathbf{C}^{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{f_{b}}(z) \geqq\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \Lambda_{f_{b}}(z)=\frac{2\left(1-|t|^{2}\right)}{|1-t|^{2}} \max \left(\left|b_{1}\right|,\left|b_{2}\right|\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence, $\sup _{z \in B} Q_{f_{b}}(z)=\infty$.
It follows from definition that the sum of two normal mappings is normal if either one of these mappings is bounded. It is, however, not true in general that the sum of any two normal mappings is normal. See [1] and the literature given there. On the other hand, the class $\mathscr{B}$ of normal mappings of finite order provides an interesting subclass. In fact, we have the following result.

Theorem 4. The class $\mathscr{B}$ forms a Banach space with respect to the norm:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathscr{A}}=|f(0)|+N_{f} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, let $\mathscr{B}_{0}$ be the subclass of $\mathscr{B}$ such that $Q_{f}(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $|z| \rightarrow 1, z \in B$. Then $\mathscr{B}_{0}$ is a separable closed subspace of $\mathscr{B}$ which is the closure of the polynomials with respect to the norm $\left\|\|⿻\|_{\text {. }}\right.$.

The proof of Theorem 4 can be carried out by following the procedure used in [1].

A further study of the class $\mathscr{B}$ and the details of the proof of Theorem 4 will be given in the forthcoming paper.
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