## ANCESTRAL RINGS

## by ROLANDO E. PEINADO (Received 28th June 1965)

A ring R is said to be a *P*-ancestral ring if all proper non-zero sub-rings of R have property P. If P is the property that every proper non-zero sub-ring of R is a (two-sided) ideal then the ring Z of rational integers furnishes an example of a P-ancestral ring.

If S is a sub-ring of R we define the *left-idealizer* of S, written I(S), by  $I(S) = \{x \in R: xs \in S \text{ for } s \in S\}$ . Clearly I(S) is the largest sub-ring of R in which S is a left ideal and I(S) = R if and only if S is a left ideal of R. With obvious changes we may consider *right-idealizer* and (two-sided) idealizer. We assume R has a unit denoted by 1.

Our theorems relate conditions of *P*-ancestral types to conditions of left-idealizers.

Let S and T be sub-rings of a ring R. Then the following results are immediate:

(i) 
$$1 \in I(S)$$
,  
(ii)  $S \subseteq I(S)$ ,  
(iii)  $I(S) \subseteq I(I(S))$ ,  
(iv)  $I(S) \cap I(T) \subseteq I(S \cap T)$ ,  
(v)  $I(T \cup S) \subseteq I(T) \cup I(S)$ ,  
(vi)  $I(S) \subseteq I(S^2)$ .

Let D be the ring of all two by two matrices over Z and let

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \colon x \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \qquad S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \colon x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$
$$T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \colon x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Then  $K \subset S$  and  $I(K) \subset I(S)$  properly. Now  $S^2 \subseteq S$  always and by (vi)  $I(S) \subseteq I(S^2)$ . These observations show that knowing the relation between the sub-rings we may still not conclude the direction in which the inclusion relation will go for the left-idealizers. Also in D,  $I(T) \cap I(K) \subset I(T \cap K)$  properly and

$$I(T \cup S) \subset I(T) \cup I(S)$$

properly. This shows that (iv) and (v) are the best possible results.

**Lemma.** Let S be a non-zero sub-ring of R. Then I(S) = S if and only if  $1 \in S$ .

**Proof.** In general  $1 \in I(S)$  and  $S \subseteq I(S)$ . Thus I(S) = S implies  $1 \in S$ . Conversely if  $1 \in S$  and if  $x \in I(S)$  then  $x = x1 \in S$  and so  $I(S) \subseteq S$ , thus I(S) = S.

107

## R. E. PEINADO

**Theorem 1.** The following assertions about a ring R are equivalent.

- (1) For all non-zero sub-rings S of R, I(S) = S.
- (2) R and all non-zero sub-rings of R are division rings.
- (3) R and all non-zero sub-rings of R are division rings and R has prime characteristic.
- (4) *R* is a field in which every element has finite order and which is an algebraic extension of the prime field.

**Proof.**  $(1)\Rightarrow(2)$ . Let S be a non-zero sub-ring of R. Since I(S) = S it follows from the Lemma that  $1 \in S$ . Let L be a non-zero left ideal of S. Then  $L = I(L) \supseteq S$  and hence L = S. Thus S has a unit and no proper left ideals. Thus S is a division ring.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). If R has characteristic zero then R has a proper sub-field isomorphic to the rational field Q and thus R has a proper sub-ring isomorphic to Z. Since Z is not a division ring we obtain a contradiction and so R has prime characteristic.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). Let S be a non-zero sub-ring of R. Since S is a division sub-ring  $1 \in S$ . In particular if S is the sub-ring generated by a non-zero element  $a \in R$ , S consists of polynomials in a over the prime field of R. Since  $a^{-1} \in S$ ,  $a^{-1}$  is a polynomial in a. Thus a satisfies an algebraic equation over the prime field of R. Hence S is a finite field. Thus  $a^{n(a)} = a$  where n(a) is the number of elements in S and thus by Jacobson [(1), theorem 1, p. 217] R is commutative. Hence R is a field and, as shown above, R is an algebraic extension of the prime field.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let S be a non-zero sub-ring of R. Let  $x \in I(S)$  and let  $s \in S$ ,  $s \neq 0$ . Then  $xs = s' \in S$ . But s has finite order and so for some integer  $\rho > 0$ ,  $s^{\rho} = 1$ . Then  $x = x1 = xs^{\rho} = xss^{\rho-1} = s's^{\rho-1} \in S$ .

Hence  $I(S) \subseteq S$  and thus I(S) = S.

We should remark that if we omit the assumption that R has a unit then R need not be a division ring for (1) to hold. Consider the ring A where

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

matrix addition and multiplication being performed modulo 2. Then A is non-zero and for the only non-zero sub-ring of A, namely A itself, I(A) = A trivially.

We should also observe that even if every proper non-zero sub-ring of a ring R is a division ring R need not be a division ring. A counter-example is provided by the ring

$$B = \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)\}$$

with componentwise addition and multiplication modulo 2.

Having dealt with the case of I(S) = S for all S we now consider the opposite situation.

**Theorem 2.** The following assertions about a ring R are equivalent.

(1) R is a homomorphic image of Z.

(2) Every sub-ring S of R is a left ideal.

(3) For every proper non-zero sub-ring S of R,  $I(S) \neq S$ .

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Every sub-ring of Z is a left ideal and this property is preserved under homomorphism.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). This is obvious.

 $(3)\Rightarrow(1)$ . Let  $S = \{n1: n \in Z\}$ . Then S is a non-zero sub-ring of R. Let  $x \in I(S)$ . Then  $x = x1 \in S$  which implies that  $I(S) \subseteq S$  and hence I(S) = S. This is only possible if S = R and then R is a homomorphic image of Z.

**Theorem 3.** Let R be a ring. Then for every proper non-zero sub-ring S of R there exists an integer n, depending on S, such that  $I(S^n) = R$  if and only if for every proper non-zero sub-ring S of R  $I(S) \neq S$ .

**Proof.** Let S be a proper non-zero sub-ring of R such that  $I(S^n) = R$  for some integer n. If I(S) = S we should have  $1 \in S$  and thus  $S^n = S$ . Hence  $S = I(S) = I(S^n) = R$  which is false. Thus  $I(S) \neq S$ .

Conversely if  $I(S) \neq S$  for every proper non-zero sub-ring S of R, by Theorem 2, every sub-ring is a left ideal and so I(S) = R.

The author is grateful to the referee for many helpful suggestions.

## REFERENCE

(1) N. JACOBSON, Structure of Rings (A.M.S. Colloq. Pub. vol. 37, Providence, R.I., 1956).

UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO MAYAGUEZ, PUERTO RICO