

# Zeroes of partial sums of the zeta-function

David J. Platt and Timothy S. Trudgian

## ABSTRACT

This article considers the positive integers  $N$  for which  $\zeta_N(s) = \sum_{n=1}^N n^{-s}$  has zeroes in the half-plane  $\Re(s) > 1$ . Building on earlier results, we show that there are no zeroes for  $1 \leq N \leq 18$  and for  $N = 20, 21, 28$ . For all other  $N$  there are infinitely many such zeroes.

## 1. Introduction

The Riemann zeta-function is defined as  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$  for  $\Re(s) > 1$ . Throughout this article we write the complex variable  $s$  as  $s = \sigma + it$  with  $\sigma$  and  $t$  real, and consider  $N$  to be a natural number. Truncation of the zeta-function gives the partial sum  $\zeta_N(s) = 1 + 2^{-s} + \dots + N^{-s}$ . One may study these partial sums in the hope of deducing some information about  $\zeta(s)$ . For a comprehensive treatment of these ideas, we refer the reader to [5] and [6].

Turán [16] showed that the Riemann hypothesis would follow if for all  $N$  sufficiently large  $\zeta_N(s)$  had no zeroes in  $\sigma > 1$ . Let  $\psi_N$  be the supremum over all values of  $\sigma$  for which  $\zeta_N(s) = 0$ . Montgomery [9] showed that for all  $N$  sufficiently large,

$$\psi_N = 1 + \left( \frac{4}{\pi} - 1 - o(1) \right) \frac{\log \log N}{\log N},$$

where the constant  $4/\pi - 1$  is best possible. Therefore, for  $N$  sufficiently large,  $\zeta_N(s)$  has zeroes in  $\sigma > 1$ .

Monach [8] made this explicit: for all  $N > 30$  there are zeroes in  $\sigma > 1$ . His proof was in two parts: an analytic argument for  $N \geq 549, 798$  and a computational proof for  $30 < N < 549, 798$ . The latter proof is contained in [8, Lemma 3.14, pp. 134–135]. Monach's work can be combined with the results of Turán and Spira to give Table 1 below.

Indeed, van de Lune and te Riele [17] actually computed some zeroes of  $\zeta_N(s)$  for  $N = 19, 22\text{--}27, 29\text{--}35, 37\text{--}41, 47$ . Adapting Bohr's theorems on values assumed by Dirichlet series, Spira [14, Theorem 3] (see also [15, p. 163]) showed that if  $\zeta_N(s)$  has one zero in  $\sigma > 1$ , then it has infinitely many such zeroes.

Therefore, all that remains is to investigate whether, for

$$N \in \{10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 28\}, \tag{1.1}$$

$\zeta_N(s)$  has zeroes in  $\sigma > 1$ . We find that there are no zeroes for each of these values of  $N$ . Combining this with Table 1, one proves the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *For  $1 \leq N \leq 18$  and  $N = 20, 21, 28$  there are no zeroes of  $\zeta_N(s)$  in the region  $\sigma > 1$ ; for all other positive  $N$  there are infinitely many such zeroes.*

---

Received 6 July 2015; revised 23 November 2015.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 11M06 (primary), 11Y35 (secondary).

The second author was supported by the Australian Research Council DECRA Grant DE120100173.

TABLE 1. Zeroes of  $\zeta_N(s)$  in  $\sigma > 1$  for various values of  $N$ .

Range of $N$	Are there zeroes of $\zeta_N(s)$ in $\Re(s) > 1$ ?
1–5	No, [16, pp. 7–8]
6–9	No, [13, p. 550] and [15, Table II, § 4]
19	Yes, [15, Table III, § 4]
22–27	Yes, [15, Table III, § 4]
29–50	Yes, [15, Table III, § 4]
$\geq 51$	Yes, [8, Theorem 3.8]

## 2. Numerical computation

### 2.1. Interval arithmetic

Almost all real numbers are not exactly representable by any finite-precision, floating-point system such as the 64-bit IEEE implementation available on most modern processors. Thus, any computation involving such a floating-point system will, unless we are very lucky, only produce an approximation to the true result. One way of managing this is to use interval arithmetic (see, for example, [10] for a good introduction). Instead of storing a floating-point number that is an approximation to the value we want, we store an interval bracketed by two floating-point numbers that contains the true value.

Interval arithmetic has been used to manage the accumulation of round-off and truncation errors. In this paper, we exploit the technique to get zero-free regions rigorously. As an example, consider the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(x) = x^2 - 4x + 3.$$

Suppose we wish to demonstrate that  $f$  has no zeroes for  $x \in [4, 5]$ . Then we can compute

$$f([4, 5]) = [16, 25] - [16, 20] + 3 = [-1, 12].$$

Since this is inconclusive, we try again, but this time with the interval split in two. We have

$$f([4, 4.5]) = [16, 20.25] - [16, 18] + 3 = [1, 7.25]$$

and

$$f([4.5, 5]) = [20.25, 25] - [18, 20] + 3 = [3.25, 10]$$

and we have our result<sup>†</sup>.

### 2.2. Description of algorithm

We first note that we need not search in all of  $\sigma > 1$  to find zeroes of  $\zeta_N(s)$ . Spira [13, Theorem 1] proved that all zeroes of  $\zeta_N(s)$  must have real part less than 1.85; this was sharpened in [3, Theorem 3.1] to 1.73. We therefore need only consider  $\sigma \in (1, 1.73]$ . We can improve this for some values of  $N$ , but, as we shall see in § 2.3, this is more than sufficient for our purposes.

---

<sup>†</sup>Note that if we had written  $f(x) = (x-1)(x-3)$ , then  $f[4, 5] = [3, 4] \cdot [1, 2] = [3, 8]$ , which is the ‘correct’ result. This sensitivity is common in expressions involving intervals.

Let us consider the case  $N = 28$ . Let  $p$  denote a prime and let  $\theta_p = t \log p$ . Hence, we have

$$\zeta(\sigma + it) = 1 + \frac{\exp(-i\theta_2)}{2^\sigma} + \frac{\exp(-i\theta_3)}{3^\sigma} + \frac{\exp(-i2\theta_2)}{4^\sigma} + \dots + \frac{\exp(-i(2\theta_2 + \theta_7))}{28^\sigma}$$

and we will now write  $\zeta_{28}(\sigma, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{23})$  for  $\zeta_{28}(\sigma + it)$  under such a change of variables.

It would appear that we need to examine the space  $\sigma \in (1, 1.73]$ ,  $\theta_p \in [0, 2\pi)$  for  $p \leq 23$ , for zeroes. In fact, we can do considerably better. First, we observe that  $\theta_{17}$ ,  $\theta_{19}$  and  $\theta_{23}$  only appear once in the sum. Call the sum without those three terms  $\zeta_{28'}(\sigma, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{13})$ . Then  $\zeta_{28}$  cannot have a zero if there is no  $\sigma, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{13}$  such that

$$|\zeta_{28'}(\sigma, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{13})| \leq 17^{-\sigma} + 19^{-\sigma} + 23^{-\sigma}.$$

We can go further. The  $\theta_{11}$  and  $\theta_{13}$  terms only appear on their own or in conjunction with  $\theta_2$ . We write  $a = 11^{-\sigma}$ ,  $b = 22^{-\sigma}$ ,  $c = 13^{-\sigma}$  and  $d = 26^{-\sigma}$ . Then a little high-school geometry (the cosine rule to be precise) tells us that

$$\left| \frac{\exp(-i\theta_{11})}{11^\sigma} + \frac{\exp(-i(\theta_{11} + \theta_2))}{22^{-\sigma}} \right| \leq \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + 2ab \cos \theta_2}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\exp(-i\theta_{13})}{13^\sigma} + \frac{\exp(-i(\theta_{13} + \theta_2))}{26^{-\sigma}} \right| \leq \sqrt{c^2 + d^2 + 2cd \cos \theta_2}.$$

Call  $\zeta_{28''}(\sigma, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_5, \theta_7)$  the result obtained by removing the  $n = 11, 13, 22$  and  $26$  terms from  $\zeta_{28'}$ . With  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  as above, define

$$f(\sigma, \theta_2) = 17^{-\sigma} + 19^{-\sigma} + 23^{-\sigma} + \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + 2ab \cos \theta_2} + \sqrt{c^2 + d^2 + 2cd \cos \theta_2}.$$

Then  $\zeta_{28}$  cannot have a zero if there is no  $\sigma, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_7$  such that

$$|\zeta_{28''}(\sigma, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_5, \theta_7)| \leq f(\sigma, \theta_2).$$

Our algorithm is as follows. Divide  $\sigma$ ,  $\theta_2$ ,  $\theta_3$ ,  $\theta_5$  and  $\theta_7$  into small intervals that cover  $[1, 1.73]$  and  $[0, 2\pi]^4$ , respectively. We refer to any choice of five such intervals as a ‘box’. Push all possible boxes onto the stack. While the stack is not empty, pop off a box and compute an interval  $z$  containing  $|\zeta_{28''}|$  for that box. Compute an interval containing  $f(\sigma, \theta_2)$ . If the interval  $z - f(\sigma, \theta_2)$  is wholly positive, then that box did not contain any zeroes, so discard it. If the interval is wholly negative, then terminate with failure<sup>†</sup>. If the interval straddles zero, then divide the box into 16 smaller boxes by halving the intervals for the  $\theta_p$ , and push these new boxes onto the stack.

### 2.3. Details of the implementation

We implemented this algorithm in ‘C++’ using our own double-precision interval package written in assembler. This exploits an idea of Lambov [7] to make efficient use of the SSE instruction set of modern processors and uses CRMLIB [11] to implement the transcendental functions.

We divided the interval for  $\sigma$  into 16 sub-intervals  $[1 + (2^n - 1) \cdot 2^{-16}, 1 + (2^{n+1} - 1) \cdot 2^{-16}]$  for  $0 \leq n \leq 15$ . Therefore, the first interval checked was  $\sigma = [1, 1 + 2^{-16}]$  and the last<sup>‡</sup> was

<sup>†</sup>We believe that this condition indicates the presence of infinitely many zeroes. We are grateful to a referee for suggesting a means by which one might seek to establish this, based on [2, 4, 12]. However, the weaker statement is sufficient for our purposes and we do not pursue this line of thought further.

<sup>‡</sup>Note that this covered a wider interval than was strictly necessary.

$\sigma = [3/2 - 2^{-16}, 2 - 2^{-16}]$ . Each of these intervals for  $\sigma$  was handled by a single core of a compute node of the University of Bristol's Bluecrystal Phase III cluster [1]<sup>§</sup>. Within a single core, the intervals for  $\theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_5$  and  $\theta_7$  were initially divided into 16, 8, 4 and 2 sub-intervals, respectively, for a total of 1024 boxes. Since  $\theta_2$  contributed to more terms than the other variables, it made sense to start with a narrower search here: this seemed to work well in practice.

Table 2 shows the data for  $N = 28$  and  $\sigma \in [1, 1 + 2^{-16}]$ . At each iteration, a box could result in 16 new boxes; at first this is what we see. We see that after the second iteration, the remaining search space decreases dramatically.

TABLE 2. Number of boxes at each iteration for  $N = 28$  and  $\sigma \in [1, 1 + 2^{-16}]$ .

Iteration	Number of boxes	Coverage (%)
1	1 024	100
2	16 256	99.2
3	45 920	17.5
4	118 560	2.83
5	170 048	0.25
6	195 920	0.018
7	212 960	0.0012
8	82 016	0.000030

We ran this algorithm for those  $N$  in (1.1) and in every case confirmed that  $\zeta_N(s)$  has no zeroes for  $\sigma \geq 1$ . Checking each  $N$  took much less than a minute of elapsed time using 16 cores, with  $N = 21$  taking the longest at 30 s.

*Acknowledgements.* We are grateful to Andrew Booker with whom we discussed several aspects of this problem and to the referees for their careful reading of the manuscript.

#### References

1. ACRC, *BlueCrystal User Guide*, 2015, <https://www.acrc.bris.ac.uk/pdf/bc-user-guide.pdf>.
2. C. E. AVELLAR and J. K. HALE, 'On the zeros of exponential polynomials', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 73 (1980) 434–452.
3. P. BORWEIN, G. FEE, R. FERGUSON and A. VAN DER WAALL, 'Zeros of partial sums of the Riemann zeta function', *Exp. Math.* 16 (2007) no. 1, 21–39.
4. E. DUBON, G. MORA, J. M. SEPULCRE, J. I. UBEDA and T. VIDAL, 'A note on the real projection of partial sums of Riemann zeta function', *RACSAM* 108 (2014) 317–333.
5. S. M. GONEK and A. H. LEDOAN, 'Zeros of partial sums of the Riemann zeta-function', *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2010 (2010) no. 10, 1775–1791.
6. S. M. GONEK and H. L. MONTGOMERY, 'Zeros of a family of approximations of the Riemann zeta-function', *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2013 (2013) no. 20, 4712–4733.
7. B. LAMBOV, 'Interval arithmetic using SSE-2', *Reliable implementation of real number algorithms: theory and practice*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science (ed. P. Hertling *et al.*; Springer, Berlin, 2008).
8. W. R. MONACH, 'Numerical investigation of several problems in number theory', PhD Thesis, University of Michigan, 1980.
9. H. L. MONTGOMERY, 'Zeros of approximations to the zeta function', *Studies in pure mathematics: to the memory of Paul Turán* (ed. P. Erdős; Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983) 497–506.
10. R. E. MOORE, *Interval analysis* (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1966).
11. J. M. MULLER, 'Correctly rounded mathematical library', <http://lipforge.ens-lyon.fr/www/crlibm/>.
12. J. M. SEPULCRE and T. VIDAL, 'A new approach to obtain points of the closure of the real parts of the zeros of the partial sums  $1 + 2^z + \dots + n^z, n \geq 2$ ', *Kybernetes* 41 (2012) no. 1, 96–107.
13. R. SPIRA, 'Zeros of sections of the zeta function I', *Math. Comp.* 20 (1966) 542–550.

<sup>§</sup>A single node of Phase III contains two eight-core Intel E5-2670 Sandy Bridge processors running at 2.6 GHz.

14. R. SPIRA, 'Sets of values of general Dirichlet series', *Duke Math. J.* 35 (1968) 79–82.
15. R. SPIRA, 'Zeros of sections of the zeta function II', *Math. Comp.* 22 (1968) 168–173.
16. P. TURÁN, 'On some approximative Dirichlet-polynomials in the theory of the zeta-function of Riemann', *Danske Vid. Selsk. Math.-Fys. Medd.* 24 (1948) no. 17, 1–36.
17. J. VAN DE LUNE and H. J. J. TE RIELE, 'Numerical computation of special zeros of partial sums of Riemann's zeta function', *Computational methods in number theory, part II*, Mathematical Centre Tracts 155 (Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 2010) 371–387.

David J. Platt  
Heilbronn Institute for  
Mathematical Research  
University of Bristol  
Bristol  
United Kingdom  
[dave.platt@bris.ac.uk](mailto:dave.platt@bris.ac.uk)

Timothy S. Trudgian  
Mathematical Sciences Institute  
The Australian National University  
ACT 0200  
Australia  
[timothy.trudgian@anu.edu.au](mailto:timothy.trudgian@anu.edu.au)