Review



Perspectives on research needs in healthcare epidemiology, infection prevention, and antimicrobial stewardship: what's on the horizon—Part I

Jonas Marschall MD^{1,2} ⁽ⁱ⁾, Rachael E. Snyders RN, CIC, MPH², Hugo Sax MD³, Jason G. Newland MD⁴,

Thais Guimarães MD, PhD⁵ and Jennie H. Kwon DO, MSCI¹

¹Division of Infectious Diseases, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA, ²BJC Healthcare, St. Louis, MO, USA, ³Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland, ⁴Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Pediatrics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA and ⁵Infection Control Department, Hospital das Clínicas, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Abstract

In this overview, we articulate research needs and opportunities in the field of infection prevention that have been identified from insights gained during operative infection prevention work, our own research in healthcare epidemiology, and from reviewing the literature. The 10 areas of research need are: 1) transmissions and interruptions, 2) personal protective equipment and other safety issues in occupational health, 3) climate change and other crises, 4) device, diagnostic, and antimicrobial stewardship, 5) implementation and de-implementation, 6) health care outside the acute care hospital, 7) low- and middle-income countries, 8) networking with the "neighbors", 9) novel research methodologies, and 10) the future state of surveillance. An introduction and chapters 1–5 are presented in part I of the article, and chapters 6–10 and the discussion in part II. There are many barriers to advancing the field, such as finding and motivating the future IP workforce including professionals interested in conducting research, a constant confrontation with challenges and crises, the difficulty of performing studies in a complex environment, the relative lack of adequate incentives and funding streams, and how to disseminate and validate the often very local quality improvement projects. Addressing research gaps now (i.e., in the postpandemic phase) will make healthcare systems more resilient when facing future crises.

(Received 5 June 2023; accepted 7 June 2023)

Introduction

The 1985 Study on the Efficacy of Nosocomial Infection Control study on the impact of healthcare-associated infection (HAI) surveillance and dedicated infection control measures marks the beginning of modern infection prevention and control (IPC).¹ During the COVID-19 pandemic, the field has come of age. Many in leadership positions recognized the tremendous value of teams with expertise in infectious diseases, transmission dynamics, surveillance, preventive measures, disinfection, antimicrobial policy-making, and more. It also became clear that the expert networks that IPC teams cultivate are an invaluable resource for healthcare institutions, which otherwise often fall victim to siloing. On the other hand, the pandemic revealed mercilessly how much is still unknown. One example is the intense discussion about what constitutes an infectious aerosol, which most of us believed was well-defined.² Another unresolved question is how to best prevent surgical site infection (SSI), the world's most common HAI and notable for its multifactorial etiology.

Corresponding author: Jonas Marschall; Email: jmarscha@wustl.edu

Here, we—a group of IPC professionals with both operational roles and research activities—present an overview of research needs and opportunities, which can serve as a roadmap. Challenges continue to surface as we enter the postpandemic phase of COVID-19. Examples are the global mpox outbreak,³ an Ebola virus disease outbreak in Uganda,⁴ the detection of poliovirus in New York City wastewater,⁵ the emergence of *Candida auris* outbreaks around the globe,⁶ and lastly, the reappearance of Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and seasonal influenza. As we are getting out of a major healthcare crisis, we should address the identified knowledge gaps and conduct research to advance the field of infection prevention.⁷

Methods

We conducted a nonsystematic literature review to identify articles that address the needs of research in IPC, offer research agendas, and discuss the future of IPC. We used the search terms "research needs," "needs assessment," "knowledge gap," "road map" in conjunction with reference terms for infection prevention, healthcare epidemiology, antimicrobial stewardship, and others.

In addition, we reviewed statements on research needs by the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA),⁸ the SHEA-Research Network,⁹ the Association for Professionals in

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

Cite this article: Marschall J, Snyders RE, Sax H, Newland JG, Guimarães T, Kwon JH. Perspectives on research needs in healthcare epidemiology, infection prevention, and antimicrobial stewardship: what's on the horizon—Part I. *Antimicrob Steward Healthc Epidemiol* 2023. doi: 10.1017/ash.2023.473

 $\ensuremath{\textbf{Table 1.}}$ Research needs and opportunities in infection prevention and control (IPC)

Chapter	Title	Addressed topics
1	Transmissions and interruptions	Transmission concepts, interventions to interrupt the transmission chain, environmental cleaning, decolonization
2	PPE and other safety issues of occupational health	Protective equipment, vaccination, hand hygiene
3	Climate change and other crises	Effect of climate on SSI, antimicrobial resistance (AMR), zoonoses, emerging infections, human encroachment into remaining biotopes, how to strengthen healthcare for crises, sustainability
4	Device, diagnostic, and antimicrobial stewardship	Stewardship forms, device reprocessing
5	Implementation and de-implementation	Behavioral change, learning and unlearning, discontinuing low evidence measures
6	Healthcare outside the acute care hospital	Outpatient care, nursing homes, long-term care facilities
7	Low- and middle- income countries	Inequality and scarcity, low cost interventions, knowledge transfer, travel screening
8	Networking with the neighbors	Collaborations with related fields, such as patient safety and quality, microbiology and data science; explore the interfaces between areas
9	Novel research methodologies	Emulated trials, cluster-randomized trials (CRT), machine learning, design research networks, artificial intelligence
10	The future state of surveillance	Early detection, automated surveillance, optimizing the feedback loop

Note. The research needs are presented in topical groups with overarching labels; notably, there is overlap between many of these groups.

Infection Control and Epidemiology,¹⁰ the Veterans Health Administration,^{11,12} and the Department for Health and Human Services.¹³ Of note, we found no IPC research agendas published and currently available by the Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention (CDC), the Association for Healthcare Research and Quality, or the European Center for Disease Control.

We identified a set of 10 topics that we believe are relevant for further research (Table 1). Intentionally, we did not single out SARS-CoV-2, first because an excellent SHEA research agenda covers it,⁸ and second because COVID-19 has been a magnifying glass for IPC knowledge gaps in general.

Chapter 1 – Transmissions and interruptions

COVID-19 transmissions challenged the droplet vs aerosol dichotomy, which is likely to be replaced by an understanding of a *continuum* of particle size.² Other transmission factors should be studied in-depth, such as the means of particle emission (speaking, coughing), setting, humidity, ventilation, air suspension, mode of ingestion and modulations thereof such as masks, and, lastly, the pathogen and its infectious dose. Proximity and exposure time are probably the most relevant determinants,¹⁴ but

the survival time of live virus in particles needs to be elucidated further.¹⁵ We anticipate additional insights from collaborative work between IPC experts, physicists, and ventilation engineers. Hospitals in warmer climate zones that rely heavily on air conditioning should have AC systems reviewed as part of their IPC strategy.¹⁶ The concept of aerosol-generating procedures helped direct N95 masks to situations considered high risk¹⁷ but is based on incorrect assumptions: it needs to be replaced with a better model anchored in additional aerosol emission studies.

A good part of reservoirs and transmission routes remain unexplained. Potential environmental sources may be devices, textiles, room and furniture surfaces, sanitary installations, and hospital food (which collectively may harbor the "hospital microbiome"); we need to characterize these reservoirs and risks and then address them.¹⁸ For inanimate surfaces, UV-C emitting devices are among the best-studied measures to decrease surface contamination,^{19,20} yet they are costly, require specific training, cause room downtime, and do not affect all microbes equally. This and other cleaning modalities, the disinfectants, the evaluation of cleaning thoroughness, and the optimal training of environmental service workers are topics of further research.²¹ Emerging pathogens deserve special attention regarding suitable disinfectants.²²

We have an incomplete understanding of what measures can best break transmission chains. We experienced a large vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* outbreak²³ and implemented a multitude of measures²⁴; however, without a sequential approach one cannot tell which measure was most or least helpful. Although the "bundled approach" has been popular at least since Pronovost's 5-item bundle for Central line-associated bloodstream infection (CLABSI) prevention,²⁵ we believe the deconstruction of bundles to determine the most efficient components should be among the next goals in IPC.

On a patient level, decolonization regimens were conceived and tested for various multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs); however, only methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* decolonization and preoperative *S. aureus* decolonization have become mainstream. In decolonization trials for extended-spectrum betalactamases and carbapenemase producers, no long-standing success was seen.²⁶ There should be explorations into additional strategies to shorten MDRO colonization. While not technically decolonization but rather modulation, microbial interference²⁷ and microbiome substitution to counter dysbiosis²⁸ are likely to become attractive intervention avenues.

To date, screening strategies after exposures or during outbreaks have been based on geographical proximity (i.e., sharing the same room) and not on shared devices or "shared" healthcare personnel (HCP). Smarter screening approaches should be evaluated that take the entire transmission network into consideration.

Lastly, ardent debates about the duration of isolation are not infrequent. The fact that we have greater control over later stages than the beginning of contagiousness obviously invites us to delineate the natural course of an illness. However, many recommendations aim for maximum risk reduction, when in fact we need to study the balance between what is acceptable to patient and provider and what serves for a reasonable risk reduction. Public health authorities should adopt this approach, too.

Chapter 2 – Personal protective equipment and other safety issues in occupational health

The personal protective equipment (PPE) follows the prevailing transmission concept. Choosing the optimal PPE does not just

derive from scientific evidence, though, but it is influenced by the economic environment, supply chains, its usability design, and how swiftly PPE utilization can be taught. The latter opens up an entire field of research, the behavioral science of infection prevention.²⁹ Notably, to our knowledge, most institutions do not provide specific training for proper PPE donning and doffing procedures outside of the use of N95 respirators, which occurs in the form of "fit testing."

Default gowning and gloving for contact precautions are meant to make things easy; however, not every patient interaction requires the use of a gown (it may only be necessary when in immediate physical contact with the patient). Research that explores situationdependent approaches is needed and will appeal to HCP for the nuanced perspective on the clinical interaction, even if demanding a greater cognitive effort. Moreover, it has the potential to reduce expenditure and hospital waste and drive other behavior in a beneficial way, for example, hand hygiene adherence.³⁰

We often intend to eliminate any exposure risk in its entirety; it is intuitive to want to protect the employee's eyes from splashes of a patient's respiratory secretions. However, a proper risk assessment would determine how often these splashes occur, if secretions reach the employee's conjunctivae, whether employees have subsequently developed a (respiratory) virus infection, and how severe this was. Based on this, a well-balanced decision should result as to in which situations eye protection is recommended. In addition, there should be more comparative research into different measures of protection. For example, a major question surrounding COVID-19 prevention, whether to wear a medical mask or an N95 respirator, was only addressed in one randomized trial so far.³¹

Hand hygiene is a key element of hygienic behavior among the workforce; however, this is not a perfect success story. The evidence base is relatively weak.³² The workforce's poor hand hygiene adherence is noteworthy (40% in one systematic review³³) and this is unlikely to be much better in times of staff shortage and rising case complexity. How to address those individuals with consistently poor adherence is unresolved. Moreover, the standard of observing adherence by trained HCP in the patient room is incredibly time intensive and fraught with bias. This needs to be replaced with smarter ways of measuring adherence. Some ideas involve electronic barriers or other alerts if the patient is approached without prior hand hygiene, while others have looked into using surrogate markers such as alcohol-based hand rub consumption.^{34,35} Novel interventions that do not require large up-front investments are much needed.

For occupational health, another question is how to motivate employees to obtain immunization for protection. Some healthcare systems have moved to mandatory flu vaccination,³⁶ which is straightforward but can create legal problems and requires much logistical effort. Most systems probably continue using a carrot and stick approach, with mixed results.³⁷ As for SARS-CoV-2, many healthcare systems around the world have opted for making the primary vaccination series a requirement, and we are still to see the effect of these pandemic-era policies on future influenza vaccination uptake. The debate about what should be expected from the individual for the greater good of a population's health is not over.³⁸ Future research should look into incentivizing HCP for vaccination in novel ways.

Lastly, educating the entire healthcare workforce in IPC should be a core topic for patient safety and quality but varies greatly in how it is conveyed and sometimes is missing entirely. There should be novel routes of education, as in the form of IPC webinars for interested HCP, virtual sessions the attendance of which can be made a requirement for clinical work, simulationbased learning, and other forms of qualification in IPC. We specifically recommend striving for the right balance between expecting simple policy adherence and educating HCP on the infection risks in our complex healthcare environment.

Chapter 3 - Climate change and other crises

Climate effects and climate change on a global scale have only recently been addressed by IPC research, mostly by studying the seasonality of SSI where rates were higher in warmer periods of the year.^{39,40} It remains to be determined why seasonality matters when the actual procedure occurs in a temperature-regulated operating room. Also, it is unclear whether this should translate into climate-sensitive scheduling of procedures or other measures. The association with climate zones needs investigation for all types of HAIs, including variations in predominant microbial species and resistance patterns.⁴¹

Antimicrobial resistance is likely to increase with climate change.⁴² Multiple aspects deserve attention in this line of research. For example, what is the prevalence of MDRO in sanitary and wastewater systems in function of the environmental temperature? How do typical CLABSI and CAUTI pathogens change as the average temperature increases? What is the effect of migrant movements from areas that are becoming inhabitable on the populations receiving them, in terms of MDRO shifts? What about global travel as a vector? What increases in AMR can be projected, depending on how much a given region is affected by climate change?

It is likely that future challenges develop at the intersection of human encroachment with natural reservoirs and will hit populations that initially lack immune protection. An example is the recent Ebola outbreaks in West Africa, where the animal host (or an intermediate animal) transmits the disease. Zoonotic infection research and working with veterinarians to better understand risks originating from animal sources are essential this should be part of pandemic preparedness on a global level.⁴³

Given the wide-ranging effects of the pandemic, we also think that a more holistic and nuanced approach to preparedness is warranted. Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, healthcare systems around the world have written or updated pandemic plans for influenza, ignoring the fact that the most challenging viruses of recent times were coronaviruses. Given that pandemics usually occur in intervals of decades, pandemic planning was not always given enough attention (and hence, the level of preparedness of institutions varied greatly). Pandemic preparedness can be improved by anticipating pandemics with different pathogens, playing through different scenarios, conceptualizing incident command centers to be activated when needed, and establishing more resilient equipment and medication supply chains. Moreover, if they have not done this already, we strongly believe healthcare systems should conduct debriefings and learn as much as possible from their pandemic experience. The goal should be lean, efficient, thought-through processes that can be readily deployed.

Healthcare systems need to also have outbreak management plans in place that help them jump into action when the first signals of an unusual pathogen appear or detection of a specific pathogen increases. There are many resources available, including toolkits from the CDC (https://www.cdc.gov/hai/outbreaks/ outbreaktoolkit.html). Research should look into how to provide a standard on a national level and how to empower healthcare systems to have such plans ready for use.

Lastly, we wanted to mention sustainability, environmental aspects, and (hospital) waste. Although not traditional IPC topics, they present major societal challenges and should be considered in future research as they tie into infection prevention. One example is endoscopy where single use or partially disposable devices are beginning to be marketed⁴⁴ and create significant waste, all in the name of avoiding risk to the patient that could come from faulty reprocessing of multi-use devices.

Chapter 4 – Device, diagnostic, and antimicrobial stewardship

Device use correlates with infection risk and has therefore been the target of efforts to prevent the device-associated infections CAUTI, CLABSI, and ventilator-associated pneumonia.⁴⁵ Studies should elucidate what novel surfaces or coatings may prevent colonization and infection (given that there is reasonable evidence for coated vascular catheters but no convincing data to implement coated urinary catheters or endotracheal tubes), how to reduce catheter use and shorten the duration of catheterization, and, specifically, the comparative risk of different forms of vascular access should be investigated. For urine drainage, there are noninvasive options, and the proportion of infections avoided by such alternatives should be determined. A very first, but extremely important step is to find ways to ensure that documentation of device use is accurate.

Another device-related area of research need is the high-level disinfection (HLD) of reusable devices such as endoscopes, the process of which is quite complex (with multiple opportunities for contamination). We think there is a huge need for standardized education on HLD, in particular outside of the relatively well-regulated central sterilization departments, for example, in otorhinolaryngology and gastroenterology clinics, and research into the best delivery of such education. We consider augmented reality a particularly exciting way of enhancing training that merits further study. Also, quality indicators of the disinfection process need to be evaluated,⁴⁶ as process metrics in HLD are still in their infancy.

There are further types of stewardship, which often lie in the hands of IPC experts, such as *diagnostic* stewardship. A thorough diagnostic work-up is desirable in view of downstream antibiotic management. Not all diagnostic testing is appropriate or even necessary, though. In fact, the proportion of unnecessary tests appears to be at a staggering 40%–60%,⁴⁷ and these test results may lead to unnecessary antibiotic administration, unnecessary additional testing, or even unnecessary procedures. Therefore, research into the optimal utilization of tests (including blood cultures and respiratory panels, among others) is needed. This may include restricting certain testing options, avoiding duplicate testing, devising order sets with decision-support elements, exploring rapid test modalities, optimizing the feedback loop to educate, and present test results so as to nudge the provider to "do the right thing."⁴⁸

From diagnostic stewardship, there is a direct line to antimicrobial stewardship,^{49,50} the art and science of optimizing antimicrobial use in clinical practice, which has matured into its own field over the past 20 years. We believe there are numerous aspects that should be studied further.⁵¹ These include smart feedback and education to providers regarding their antimicrobial use, implementing barriers to (over-)using antibiotics of last resort, finding ways to reduce overlong administration such as for

peri-procedural prophylaxis, and displaying the data for tracking purposes.⁵² Much about this centers around educating providers that "more of an antimicrobial" in their eyes will not always translate into a benefit for the patient or the public health. Determining the adequate staffing level of pharmacists, clinicians, and other healthcare professions for antimicrobial stewardship, a younger and less established field than IPC, is a common challenge and requires crafting a robust business case and more data regarding best practice.

Chapter 5 - Implementation and de-implementation

Not infrequently, IPC experts will have a good idea of what measures should be taken to decrease infection rates. However, how to educate and incentivize and eventually get the workforce to do those things is a science in itself, and it is called dissemination and implementation (short, D&I). D&I has begun to appear in IPC research in recent years.^{53,54} It may provide an explanation for why a specific intervention does not seem to work (when in fact, it just was not implemented well).

A large part of turning IPC policies into reality depends on soft skills of experts. How to convince, how to steer behavior, and how to negotiate change are all common questions but they are not usually taught well. Future research should engage behavioral science to understand how the IPC expert can be an "influencer" and modify healthcare worker behavior.^{29,55} Simulation and hands-on learning should be studied more extensively as should novel ways of delivering education content (e.g., the "room of horrors" approach to identifying medical errors in a mock patient room⁵⁶). Moreover, the concept of "change management" has been used in the pharmaceutical industry for decades but has become more commonly heard in healthcare only recently; it deserves being applied to IPC endeavors, too.⁵⁷

De-implementation is the process of identifying and systematically ending health interventions that are low value (e.g., ineffective) and overused (e.g., wasteful) to maximize available resources and improve patient care. A current example of much-needed de-implementation is contact precautions in COVID-19; although a negligible proportion of COVID-19 infections are acquired via direct contact, the CDC still recommends contact precautions. This is a suitable target for de-implementation and would free up time and resources that can then be used otherwise. In a similar vein, unnecessary "habits" in medical care may need to be "un-learned". One recent example is a high-quality trial being conducted to reduce postoperative antibiotic duration in children.⁵⁸ Targets for de-implementation include PPE components, the use of insertable devices, antibiotic administration, diagnostic work-up, and other measures.

Acknowledgments. We appreciate Lauren Yaeger at Becker Medical Library, Washington University School of Medicine, for her help with the literature search.

Financial support. The manuscript was written without dedicated financial support.

Competing interests. The authors declare no conflicts of interests.

References

1. Haley RW, Culver DH, White JW, *et al.* The efficacy of infection surveillance and control programs in preventing nosocomial infections in US hospitals. *Am J Epidemiol* 1985;121:182–205.

- Randall K, Ewing ET, Marr LC, Jimenez JL, Bourouiba L. How did we get here: what are droplets and aerosols and how far do they go? A historical perspective on the transmission of respiratory infectious diseases. *Interface Focus* 2021;11:20210049.
- Hasan S, Saeed S. Monkeypox disease: an emerging public health concern in the shadow of COVID-19 pandemic: an update. *Trop Med Infect Dis* 2022;7:283.
- Sprecher A. Understanding the key to outbreak control Sudan virus disease in Uganda. N Engl J Med 2022;387:2393–2395.
- Link-Gelles R, Lutterloh E, Schnabel Ruppert P, *et al.* Public health response to a case of paralytic poliomyelitis in an unvaccinated person and detection of poliovirus in wastewater – New York, June-August 2022. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2022;71:1065–1068.
- Kohlenberg A, Monnet D, Plachouras D, *et al.* Increasing number of cases and outbreaks caused by Candida auris in the EU/EEA, 2020 to 2021. *Euro Surveill* 2022;27:2200846.
- Destoumieux-Garzon D, Matthies-Wiesler F, Bierne N, et al. Getting out of crises: environmental, social-ecological and evolutionary research is needed to avoid future risks of pandemics. Environ Int 2022;158:106915.
- Mody L, Akinboyo IC, Babcock HM, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) research agenda for healthcare epidemiology. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2022;43:156–166.
- 9. SHEA-research network. Available from: https://shea-online.org/shea-research-network/.
- Wright MO, Carter E, Pogorzelska M, Murphy C, Hanchett M, Stone PW. The APIC research agenda: results from a national survey. *Am J Infect Control* 2012;40:309–313.
- Perencevich EN, Harris AD, Pfeiffer CD, et al. Establishing a research agenda for preventing transmission of multidrug-resistant organisms in acute-care settings in the Veterans health administration. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2018;39:189–195.
- 12. Livorsi DJ, Evans CT, Morgan DJ, *et al.* Setting the research agenda for preventing infections from multidrug-resistant organisms in the veterans health administration. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2018;39:186–188.
- Department for Health and Human Services. Available from: https://www. hhs.gov/oidp/topics/health-care-associated-infections/hai-action-plan/ index.html.
- Hu L, Chen S, Fu Y, *et al.* Risk factors associated with clinical outcomes in 323 Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) hospitalized patients in Wuhan, China. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020;71:2089–2098.
- Oswin HP, Haddrell AE, Otero-Fernandez M, et al. The dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 infectivity with changes in aerosol microenvironment. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2022;119:e2200109119.
- Morawska L, Tang JW, Bahnfleth W, et al. How can airborne transmission of COVID-19 indoors be minimised? *Environ Int* 2020;142:105832.
- Tran K, Cimon K, Severn M, Pessoa-Silva CL, Conly J. Aerosol generating procedures and risk of transmission of acute respiratory infections to healthcare workers: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e35797.
- Chng KR, Li C, Bertrand D, et al. Cartography of opportunistic pathogens and antibiotic resistance genes in a tertiary hospital environment. Nat Med 2020;26:941–951.
- Anderson DJ, Chen LF, Weber DJ, et al. Enhanced terminal room disinfection and acquisition and infection caused by multidrug-resistant organisms and Clostridium difficile (the Benefits of Enhanced Terminal Room Disinfection study): a cluster-randomised, multicentre, crossover study. *Lancet* 2017;389:805–814.
- Goto M, Hasegawa S, Balkenende EC, Clore GS, Safdar N, Perencevich EN. Effectiveness of ultraviolet-C disinfection on hospital-onset gram-negative rod bloodstream infection: a nationwide stepped-wedge time-series analysis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2023;76:291–298.
- Thomas RE, Thomas BC, Lorenzetti D, Conly J. Hospital and long-term care facility environmental service workers' training, skills, activities and effectiveness in cleaning and disinfection: a systematic review. J Hosp Infect 2022;124:56–66.
- 22. Ku TSN, Walraven CJ, Lee SA. Candida auris: Disinfectants and Implications for Infection Control. *Front Microbiol* 2018;9:726.

- Wassilew N, Seth-Smith HM, Rolli E, et al. Outbreak of vancomycinresistant Enterococcus faecium clone ST796, Switzerland, December 2017 to April 2018. Euro Surveill 2018;23:1800351.
- 24. Frakking FNJ, Bril WS, Sinnige JC, *et al.* Recommendations for the successful control of a large outbreak of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium in a non-endemic hospital setting. *J Hosp Infect* 2018;100: e216–e225.
- Pronovost P, Needham D, Berenholtz S, *et al.* An intervention to decrease catheter-related bloodstream infections in the ICU. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355: 2725–2732.
- Catho G, Huttner BD. Strategies for the eradication of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase or carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae intestinal carriage. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 2019;17:557–569.
- Planet PJ, Parker D, Ruff NL, Shinefield HR. Revisiting bacterial interference in the age of methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus: insights into staphylococcus aureus carriage, pathogenicity and potential control. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2019;38:958–966.
- Lamarche D, Johnstone J, Zytaruk N, *et al.* Microbial dysbiosis and mortality during mechanical ventilation: a prospective observational study. *Respir Res* 2018;19:245.
- Greene C, Wilson J. The use of behaviour change theory for infection prevention and control practices in healthcare settings: A scoping review. *J Infect Prev* 2022;23:108–117.
- Cusini A, Nydegger D, Kaspar T, Schweiger A, Kuhn R, Marschall J. Improved hand hygiene compliance after eliminating mandatory glove use from contact precautions-Is less more? *Am J Infect Control* 2015;43: 922–927.
- Loeb M, Bartholomew A, Hashmi M, et al. Medical masks versus N95 respirators for preventing COVID-19 among health care workers: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2022;175:1629–1638.
- Stewardson A, Allegranzi B, Sax H, Kilpatrick C, Pittet D. Back to the future: rising to the Semmelweis challenge in hand hygiene. *Future Microbiol* 2011;6:855–876.
- Erasmus V, Daha TJ, Brug H, et al. Systematic review of studies on compliance with hand hygiene guidelines in hospital care. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2010;31:283–294.
- 34. Kramer TS, Walter J, Schroder C, *et al.* Increase in consumption of alcoholbased hand rub in German acute care hospitals over a 12 year period. *BMC Infect Dis* 2021;21:766.
- Borg MA, Brincat A. Addressing the controversy of 100% hand hygiene compliance: can alcohol rub consumption data serve as a useful proxy validator? J Hosp Infect 2018;100:218–219.
- Babcock HM, Gemeinhart N, Jones M, Dunagan WC, Woeltje KF. Mandatory influenza vaccination of health care workers: translating policy to practice. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010;50:459–464.
- Rashid H, Yin JK, Ward K, King C, Seale H, Booy R. Assessing interventions to improve influenza vaccine uptake among health care workers. *Health Aff* (*Millwood*) 2016;35:284–292.
- Savulescu J. Good reasons to vaccinate: mandatory or payment for risk? J Med Ethics, 2021;47:78–85.
- Durkin MJ, Dicks KV, Baker AW, et al. Seasonal variation of common surgical site infections: does season matter? *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2015;36:1011–1016.
- 40. Anthony CA, Peterson RA, Polgreen LA, Sewell DK, Polgreen PM. The seasonal variability in surgical site infections and the association with warmer weather: a population-based investigation. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2017;38:809–816.
- 41. Casadevall A, Kontoyiannis DP, Robert V. Environmental Candida auris and the global warming emergence hypothesis. *mBio* 2021;12: e00360-21.
- 42. Burnham JP. Climate change and antibiotic resistance: a deadly combination. *Ther Adv Infect Dis* 2021;8:2049936121991374.
- 43. Keusch GT, Amuasi JH, Anderson DE, et al. Pandemic origins and a One Health approach to preparedness and prevention: Solutions based on SARS-CoV-2 and other RNA viruses. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2022;119: e2202871119.

- 44. Barakat MT, Ghosh S, Banerjee S. Cost utility analysis of strategies for minimizing risk of duodenoscope-related infections. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2022;95:929–938 e2.
- 45. SHEA HAI compendium. Available from: https://shea-online.org/ compendium-of-strategies-to-prevent-healthcare-associated-infections-inacute-care-hospitals/.
- 46. Visrodia KH, Ofstead CL, Yellin HL, Wetzler HP, Tosh PK, Baron TH. The use of rapid indicators for the detection of organic residues on clinically used gastrointestinal endoscopes with and without visually apparent debris. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*, 2014;35:987–994.
- Koch C, Roberts K, Petruccelli C, Morgan DJ. The frequency of unnecessary testing in hospitalized patients. *Am J Med* 2018;131:500–503.
- Hueth KD, Prinzi AM, Timbrook TT. Diagnostic stewardship as a team sport: interdisciplinary perspectives on improved implementation of interventions and effect measurement. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 2022;11:250.
- 49. Darie AM, Khanna N, Jahn K, *et al.* Fast multiplex bacterial PCR of bronchoalveolar lavage for antibiotic stewardship in hospitalised patients with pneumonia at risk of Gram-negative bacterial infection (Flagship II): a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2022;10: 877–887.
- 50. Campos AF, Arantes T, Cambiais A, et al. Impact of an antimicrobial stewardship program intervention associated with the rapid identification of microorganisms by MALDI-TOF and detection of resistance genes in ICU patients with gram-negative bacteremia. Antibiotics (Basel) 2022;11:1226.
- 51. Sadeq AA, Hasan SS, AbouKhater N, et al. Exploring antimicrobial stewardship influential interventions on improving antibiotic utilization in

outpatient and inpatient settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 2022;11:1306.

- Salinas, JL, Kritzman J, Kobayashi T, Edmond MB, Ince D, Diekema DJ. A primer on data visualization in infection prevention and antimicrobial stewardship. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2020;41:948–957.
- Birgand G, Johansson A, Szilagyi E, Lucet JC. Overcoming the obstacles of implementing infection prevention and control guidelines. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2015;21:1067–1071.
- Burnham JP, Geng E, Venkatram C, Colditz GA, McKay VR. Putting the dissemination and implementation in infectious diseases. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020;71:218–225.
- 55. Shah N, Castro-Sanchez E, Charani E, Drumright LN, Holmes AH. Towards changing healthcare workers' behaviour: a qualitative study exploring noncompliance through appraisals of infection prevention and control practices. J Hosp Infect 2015;90:126–134.
- 56. Clay AS, Chudgar SM, Turner KM, et al. How prepared are medical and nursing students to identify common hazards in the intensive care unit? Ann Am Thorac Soc 2017;14:543–549.
- 57. Harrison R, Fischer S, Walpola RL, et al. Where do models for change management, improvement and implementation meet? A systematic review of the applications of change management models in healthcare. J Healthc Leadersh 2021;13:85–108.
- 58. Malone S, McKay VR, Krucylak C, et al. A cluster randomized steppedwedge trial to de-implement unnecessary post-operative antibiotics in children: the optimizing perioperative antibiotic in children (OPerAtiC) trial. *Implement Sci* 2021;16:29.