

Prevalence and determinants of anxiety, depression and comorbid anxiety–depression symptoms among adolescents in Ebola-affected zones

Jude Mary Cénat, Elisabeth Dromer, Shruti Mistry, Daniela Gonzalez Villarreal, Seyed Mohammad Mahdi Moshirian Farahi, Rose Darly Dalexis, Wina Paul Darius, Jacqueline Bukaka, Oléa Balayulu-Makila, Noble Luyeye, Daniel Derivois and Cécile Rousseau

Background

Ebola virus disease (EVD) has been shown to be associated with poor mental health in affected zones. However, no study has yet explored its impact on adolescents' mental health.

Aims

This study aimed to assess the prevalence and risk factors associated with depression and anxiety symptoms among adolescents in EVD-affected areas in the Equateur Province of the Democratic Republic of the Congo during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Method

A provincial sample of adolescents aged 12–17 years (M = 14.84, s.d. = 1.49) living in the 18 urban and rural areas affected by the 2018 EVD outbreak completed a two-wave longitudinal survey. Surveys assessed symptoms of depression and anxiety, exposure to Ebola, social support and sociodemographic information.

Results

A total of 490 participants completed the baseline and follow-up surveys, 50% of whom were female. Elevated and worsened depressive symptoms were observed among participants from the baseline (56.94%) to the follow-up (91.43%; z = -11.37, P < 0.001), whereas anxiety symptoms decreased from the baseline (36.33%) to follow-up (24.90%; z = 4.06, P < 0.001). The final generalised estimating equation model showed that anxiety symptoms decreased over time (B = -3.92, P < 0.001), while depression symptoms increased (B = 4.79, P < 0.001). Stigmatisation related to Ebola positively predicted anxiety

(*B* = 5.41, *P* < 0.001) and depression symptoms (*B* = 0.4452, *P* = 0.009). Social support negatively predicted anxiety (*B* = -1.13, *P* = 0.004) and depression (*B* = -0.98, *P* < 0.001) symptoms but only moderated the association between stigmatisation and depression symptoms (*B* = -0.67, *P* < 0.001).

Conclusions

Most adolescents living in EVD-affected areas experience mental health issues. Stigmatisation related to EVD and living in urban areas are the most consistent predictors of mental health problems. Nevertheless, social support remains a protective factor for depression and anxiety symptoms and a necessary resource for building resilience.

Keywords

Ebola virus disease; COVID-19; depression; anxiety; stigmatisation.

Copyright and usage

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Royal College of Psychiatrists. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that no alterations are made and the original article is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained prior to any commercial use and/or adaptation of the article.

From 2017 to 2021, the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) faced five Ebola virus disease (EVD) outbreaks in different provinces, including the Equateur Province, North and South Kivu and Ituri in the Eastern regions, and the Bas-Uele Province.¹ The mortality rates of these outbreaks ranged between 42.31 and 65.83%.^{2–6} The 2018–2020 EVD outbreak in the Eastern regions was the second largest after the 2013–2018 epidemic.⁵ As of 21 June 2020, at the end of this outbreak, the DRC reported 3470 EVD cases and 2287 deaths.^{2–4} The Equateur Province was particularly affected by a double outbreak of both EVD and COVID-19 in 2020.

Studies conducted in different DRC provinces affected by EVD have found high prevalence rates of depression, psychological distress and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms among adults.^{7–10} For instance, studies conducted in health zones affected by EVD in the Equateur Province reported that 62.03, 45.58 and 58.81% of adults experienced symptoms of depression, psychological distress and PTSD, respectively.^{9–11} In addition, studies conducted in the DRC Eastern regions found that 23.5 to 24.3% of

adults experienced symptoms of depression, and 24.1 to 53.7% exhibited symptoms of PTSD. $^{7-12,13}$

Studies conducted among populations affected by EVD in DRC and elsewhere also found that being a woman, living in a rural area, being unemployed, being an EVD survivor and being aged 50 years and above were associated with symptoms of depression, anxiety and other mental health issues.^{7–9–14–16} However, the most important risk factors related to depression, anxiety, PTSD, psychological distress and other mental health issues among populations affected by EVD were the degree of exposure to the virus and the related stigmatisation.^{9–11–15}

Although studies have explored the mental health of adults in populations affected by EVD, no studies have been conducted on the prevalence and factors associated with depression, anxiety and other mental health issues among adolescents in communities affected by EVD. However, adolescents are among those most affected when populations are struck by EVD.¹⁷ Indeed, children and adolescents are particularly at risk of financial, psychosocial and health consequences of EVD.^{17,18} In addition to the risk of

being infected, they may become orphans, lose their homes, lose the opportunity to attend school, and experience various forms of EVDrelated stigma and psychological stressors.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ For example, in a qualitative study of youth experiences in populations affected by EVD, participants reported social exclusion, stigma, fear and social isolation, among other stressors.¹⁸ The two published studies (both conducted in Sierra Leone) that attempted to explore mental health issues among adolescents in populations affected by EVD only presented limited cross-sectional results. First, Amuzu and colleagues reported that mood changes were more prevalent in EVD survivors than in non-infected youth.¹⁷ Second, a study demonstrated that youth EVD survivors experienced higher scores of depression and anxiety than youth with close contact with EVD patients.²⁰ The prevalence and factors associated with mental health problems have not been studied in the DRC or elsewhere.

The present study

Given the critical gap in the literature, the present study aimed to document the prevalence and the risk and protective factors associated with depression and anxiety symptoms in adolescents living in the health zones affected by EVD in the DRC Equateur Province during the COVID-19 pandemic, using a two-wave longitudinal design. We hypothesised that: (a) there would be a high prevalence of depression, anxiety and comorbid symptoms among adolescents living in areas affected by EVD and COVID-19; (b) the degree of exposure to EVD and stigmatisation related to EVD would be the most important predictors of depression and anxiety symptoms; and (c) social support would negatively predict symptoms of depression and anxiety, given its vital role in those communities' well-being.²¹

Method

Design and procedure

Based on estimates from the National Statistics Institute, participants were recruited according to a four-stage stratified sampling based on: (a) the proportions of girls and boys in affected settings, (b) the demographic weights of the rural and urban areas affected by the outbreak, (c) the ages of participants in affected settings (12-17 years) and (d) the school enrolment rate. Given the lack of data on the population of the Equateur Province, this four-stage stratified sampling was used to ensure adequate gender, urban/ rural and age representation of participants and to consider that not all adolescents are enrolled in school. The baseline survey (T_1) was conducted from 11 March to 23 April 2019, 7 months after the end of the 2018 EVD outbreak. The follow-up survey (T_2) was conducted about 17 months later, from 12 August to 26 September 2020. Two psychologists coordinated the research assistants in the recruitment of participants. During T1, we collected data to facilitate the conduct of the follow-up survey (e.g. schools, addresses). However, many times addresses were non-existent. So, we noted details about areas and location points (e.g. school, church, small stores closest to the house, community leader) to help the coordination of the recruitment. T₂ was conducted while the province of Equateur was encountering cases of both EVD and COVID-19. All the research assistants wore personal protective equipment, washed their hands after each interview and maintained physical distance. Back-translation methods were used to crossculturally adapt survey questionnaires into Lingala. The entire translation process was carried out by a panel of seven professors from DRC universities in linguistics, psychology, psychiatry and education. Before the use of the questionnaire in the survey,

cognitive interviews were conducted to ensure that participants understand the measures well. The psychometric properties of all the measures were explored and found to be reliable, valid and accurate. The same process was followed for other languages, and the questionnaires were also available in French, Tshiluba, Swahili, Kikongo and English. Considering the school enrolment rates in urban and rural areas, research assistants reached 67.33% of the participants in school, on weekdays, and 32.67% through the door-to-door method. Regarding the demographic characteristics of participants, there were no significant differences between school recruitment and the door-to-door method. Twenty-six French- and Lingala-speaking research assistants trained for 2.5 days in different areas related to the survey, including ethical practices, and collected data in Bikoro, Iboko and Wangata, the three health zones in Equateur affected by EVD outbreaks. Written informed parental consent (from parents or guardians) was obtained for all participants. The research protocol received ethical approval from the University of Ottawa Research Ethics Board (ethics file number: H-01-19-1893), the University of Kinshasa and the National Institute of Biomedical Research.

Participants

Participants were included if they: (a) were living in one of the 18 urban and rural areas affected by EVD since 1 March 2018; (b) were able to speak Lingala or French; (c) were aged 12 to 17 years old; (d) were not experiencing a mental health disorder that interfered with their judgement; (5) did not have any medical or legal constraints. The baseline sample (T_1) included 1013 participants (49.85% girls; 50.15% boys). Owing to the nomadic culture and the problems of cadastral registration in the Equateur Province, especially in rural areas, a previous plan was developed to recruit in T₂ adolescents who had participated in T₁. This plan allowed the recording of landmarks and addresses (e.g. schools, churches, health centres, offices and small businesses). The objective was to recruit at least 44% of the adolescents that had participated at baseline. A total of 490 participants completed the survey at follow-up (T₂: 50.41% girls, 49.59% boys; retention rate = 48.4%). Sociodemographic characteristics between baseline (T1) and follow-up (T₂) were compared and found to be similar, except that the proportions of those living in urban and rural areas at follow-up were different from those at baseline (Table 1).

Measures

Sociodemographic characteristics

The sociodemographic characteristics assessed were gender, age, educational level, residence area, number of siblings and physical disabilities. In addition, the education level and employment status of the participants' parents were documented.

The Children's Depression Inventory (CDI)

The CDI is a 27-item scale that measures cognitive, affective and/or behavioural symptoms of depression among children and adolescents aged 7 to 17 years old (e.g. self-hate, sadness, sleep disturbance).^{22,23} Responses are rated on a three-point scale (0, 1, 2) reflecting increasing disturbance severity. Total scores range between 0 and 54, with higher scores indicating increased severity of depressive symptoms. A cut-off score of \geq 19 was used for severe depressive symptoms in community-based samples.²⁴ The Cronbach's α in the sample was 0.71 at baseline and 0.73 at follow-up.

The Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI)

The BAI is a 21-item scale that measures the severity of anxiety symptoms (e.g. fear of dying, nervousness, being terrified).^{25,26} It

	_Baseline sample	(N = 1013) ^a	Participants at and follow-u		Differences between baseline and follow-up
	Ν	%	Ν	%	<i>P</i> -value
Age, M (s.d.)	14.89 (1.55)		14.84 (1.49)		0.553
Gender					
Воу	508	50.15	243	49.59	0.889
Girl	505	49.85	247	50.41	0.889
Residence area					
Urban	371	36.62	55	11.22	<0.001
Rural	642	63.38	435	88.78	<0.001
Disability					
Yes	45	4.61	29	5.92	0.803
No	932	95.39	461	94.08	0.294
Sibling					
Yes	971	96.81	474	96.73	0.936
No	32	3.19	16	3.27	0.992
Parent education					
No education	30	3.14	27	5.51	0.660
Primary	58	6.07	36	7.35	0.810
Secondary	571	59.79	312	63.67	0.258
Professional	58	6.07	32	6.53	0.928
University level	238	24.92	83	16.94	0.136
Parent employment status					
Employed	911	92.58	456	93.06	0.749
Unemployed	73	7.42	34	5.92	0.779

has been widely used in youths and adults across several cultural settings. Each item is rated on a three-point scale (0, 1, 2) reflecting increasing severity of anxiety symptoms. Total scores ranges from 0 to 63, with higher scores indicating increased severity of anxiety. A cut-off score of \geq 19 was used to identify participants with moderate to severe anxiety symptoms.²⁵ The Cronbach's α in the sample was 0.97 at both baseline and follow-up.

Exposure to Ebola Scale (EBS)

The EBS is a 17-item scale that measures the level of exposure to the Ebola virus (e.g. 'Has a member of your family fallen ill because of the Ebola virus?'). This scale was inspired by the Trauma Exposure Scale, which assesses dimensions of exposure to a potentially traumatic event.²⁷ Responses were rated on a dichotomous scale ('yes' or 'no'). The EBS demonstrated excellent internal consistency in the present sample. Cronbach's α in the current sample was 0.86 at baseline and 0.96 at follow-up.

Stigmatisation related to EVD

A stigmatisation related to EVD and COVID-19 scale has been widely used in the DRC Ebola and COVID-19 context.^{9–10–28,29} It includes 20 items with a five-point Likert response type, ranging from 'never' (0) to 'always' (4), e.g., 'Someone refused to talk to you ...'; 'A company refused to hire you ...'. Cronbach's α in the current sample was 0.97 at baseline and 0.98 at follow-up.

The Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS)

The MSPSS is a 12-item questionnaire that evaluates three sources of social support: family (items 3, 4, 8 and 11), friends (items 6, 7, 9 and 12) and significant others (items 1, 2, 5 and 10).³⁰ Each item is rated on a seven-point scale: from 'very strongly disagree' (1) to 'very strongly agree' (7). The Cronbach's α in our sample was 0.91 at baseline and 0.94 at follow-up.

Statistical procedure

Data from the longitudinal observations were analysed. Missing observations of the longitudinal data were imputed using multiple imputation procedures. We first computed descriptive statistics to estimate the prevalence of severe symptoms of depression and anxiety and of comorbid depression–anxiety symptoms, according to the sociodemographic characteristics of the sample, using Pearson's chi-squared and Fisher's exact tests at baseline and follow-up. The prevalence of comorbid depression and anxiety was estimated using contingency tables for participants who had severe symptoms of both depression and anxiety. The comorbidity group was compared with a group of participants who had only anxiety, only depression, or no severe symptoms of depression or anxiety. The prevalence rates and mean differences across time were tested using McNemar's test and paired *t*-tests, respectively.

We used hierarchical linear generalised estimating equation (GEE) models to test for changes in mean anxiety and depression over time. An autoregressive correlation structure was selected as it was suitable for repeated measures designs.³¹ Model 1 examined the longitudinal changes in mean anxiety and depression symptom scores from baseline to follow-up. Model 2 tested associations between sociodemographic variables and outcome variables (mean anxiety and depression symptom scores). Exposure to Ebola was added to model 3. Ebola stigmatisation was added to model 4, and social support was included in model 5. All model fits were compared using the quasi-likelihood under independence model criterion. Age, exposure to Ebola, social support and stigmatisation related to Ebola were time-varying predictors. Standardised scores for continuous variables were used for the GEE analyses. Sociodemographic variables, including gender, disability, residence area, having a disability, parents' education and employment status, were time-invariant predictors; hence, the baseline variables were used for all the analyses. We also used two models to test the moderating effect of social support on the associations of Ebola stigmatisation and exposure to Ebola with anxiety and depression after controlling for sociodemographic factors. We used IBM SPSS Statistics 28 for all statistical analyses.

	Baseline			Follow-up	
Anxiety	Depression	Comorbidity	Anxiety	Depression	Comorbidity
6.33	56.94	26.12	24.90	91.43	23.27
2.10	59.26	22.63	26.34	89.71	24.28
0.49	54.66	29.55	23.48	93.12	22.27
3.73 (1), 0.054					0.28 (1), 0.598
	,	,	,	,	
5.45	87.27	58.18	7.27	90.91	7.27
2.64					25.29
					8.88 (1), 0.003
	20120 (1), (01001		10127 (1), 01001	0.02 (1)/ 0.001	0.000 (1)/ 0.0000
5.52	79.31	51.72	31.03	89.66	27.59
					22.99
					0.32 (1), 0.570
	0.27 (1)/ 0.012	10117 (1)/ 01001	0.02 (1), 0.101	0.12 (1)/ 0.12/	0102 (1)/ 010/ 0
5.65	56 12	25 74	24 47	91 14	22.78
					37.50
					1.88 (1), 0.224
2101 (1)/ 01072				1100 (1)/ 01000	1100 (1)/ 0122 1
9.26	51.85	29.63	37.04	92.59	37.04
00.0	55.56	30.56	38.89	100	38.89
6.28	55.45	20.19	23.08	91.03	21.15
5.88	46.88	21.88		90.63	21.88
					20.48
					8.97 (4), 0.062
3.55	55.92	23.68	25.88	91.23	24.12
3.53	70.59	58.82	11.76	94.12	11.76
	2.78 (1), 0.096	20.25 (1), <0.001	3.37 (1), 0.066	0.38 (1), 0.757	2.71 (1) 0.100
			30.90	95.51	29.78
			21.47	89.10	19.55
			5.38 (1), 0.020	5.93 (1), 0.015	6.64 (1), 0.010
			23.30	90.68	21.86
			27.01	92.42	25.12
			0.89 (1), 0.346	0.46 (1), 0.497	0.71 (1), 0.398
			,		
			25.78	95.31	25.00
			24.59	90.06	22.65
			0.07 (1), 0.788	3.34 (1), 0.068	0.29 (1), 0.589
	2.10 0.49 3.73 (1), 0.054 5.45 2.64 2.73 (1), <0.001 5.52 4.49 1.36 (1), <0.001 5.65 5.25 2.84 (1), 0.092 9.26 0.00 5.28 5.63 3.98 (4), <0.001 3.55	2.10 59.26 0.49 54.66 3.73 (1), 0.054 1.06 (1), 0.304 5.45 87.27 2.64 53.10 2.73 (1), <0.001 23.25 (1), <0.001 5.52 79.31 4.49 55.53 1.36 (1), <0.001 6.29 (1), 0.012 5.65 56.12 6.25 81.25 2.84 (1), 0.092 3.99 (1), 0.046 7.26 51.85 6.28 55.56 6.28 55.45 6.38 46.88 6.63 68.67 3.98 (4), <0.001 6.58 (4), 0.160 8.55 55.92 3.53 70.59	2.10 59.26 22.63 0.49 54.66 29.55 3.73 (1), 0.054 1.06 (1), 0.304 3.04 (1), 0.081 5.45 87.27 58.18 2.64 53.10 22.07 2.73 (1), <0.001 23.25 (1), <0.001 33.00 (1), <0.001 5.52 79.31 51.72 4.49 55.53 24.51 1.36 (1), <0.001 6.29 (1), 0.012 10.47 (1), 0.001 5.55 56.12 25.74 5.25 81.25 37.50 2.84 (1), 0.092 3.99 (1), 0.046 1.11 (1), 0.383 2.26 51.85 29.63 0.00 55.56 30.56 5.28 55.45 20.19 6.38 46.88 21.88 6.63 68.67 46.99 3.98 (4), <0.001 6.58 (4), 0.160 25.25 (4), <0.001 3.55 55.92 23.68 35.3 70.59 58.82	2.1059.2622.6326.341.4954.6629.5523.483.73 (1), 0.0541.06 (1), 0.3043.04 (1), 0.0810.53 (1), 0.46554.587.2758.187.272.6453.1022.0727.132.73 (1), <0.001	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Results

In total, 1013 participants completed the baseline measurement (T₁), and 490 participants aged 12–17 years (M = 14.84, s.d. = 1.49) completed both T₁ and T₂. Of the participants, 50.41% were girls, 88.78% were living in rural areas, 94.08% did not have disabilities and 96.73% had siblings. Participants' sociodemographic characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Anxiety and depression across time

Paired sample *t*-tests were used to test mean differences across time. Mean anxiety scores significantly decreased from baseline (M = 13.92, s.d. = 14.83) to follow-up (M = 9.99, s.d. = 12.73); *t* (489) = 4.67, P < 0.001, d = 0.21 (95% CI: 0.12, 0.30). However, mean depression scores significantly increased from baseline (M = 19.98, s.d. = 6.70) to follow-up (M = 24.77, s.d. = 4.71); *t*(489) = -13.13, P < 0.001, d = -0.59 (95% CI: -0.69, -0.50). McNemar's test was used to test differences in prevalence across time. The prevalence rates of moderate-to-severe anxiety symptoms at baseline and follow-up were 36.33% and 24.90%, respectively (z = 4.06, P < 0.001); those of moderate-to-severe depression symptoms at baseline and follow-up were 56.94% and 91.43%,

respectively (z = -11.37, P < 0.001), and those of moderate-tosevere comorbid anxiety and depression symptoms at baseline and follow-up were 26.12% and 23.27%, respectively (z = 1.05, P = 0.294).

The prevalence rates of anxiety symptoms at baseline ($\chi^2 = 3.73$, P = 0.054) and follow-up ($\chi^2 = 0.53$, P = 0.465) were not significantly different statistically between boys ($T_1 = 32.10\%$, $T_2 = 26.34\%$) and girls (T₁ = 40.49%, T₂ = 23.48%). The prevalence of anxiety symptoms in girls decreased over time ($\chi^2 = 16.42$, P < 0.001), whereas the prevalence in boys did not change over time ($\chi^2 = 1.95$, P =0.163). The prevalence rates of depression symptoms at baseline $(\chi^2 = 1.06, P = 0.304)$ and follow-up $(\chi^2 = 1.81, P = 0.178)$ were not statistically significantly different between boys ($T_1 = 59.26\%$, T_2 = 89.71%) and girls ($T_1 = 54.66\%$, $T_2 = 93.12\%$). However, the prevalence of depression symptoms in both boys and girls increased over time ($\chi^2 = 58.29$, P < 0.001; and $\chi^2 = 94.69$, P < 0.001, respectively). Moreover, the prevalence rates of comorbidity at baseline $(\chi^2 = 3.04, P = 0.081)$ and follow-up $(\chi^2 = 0.28, P = 0.598)$ were not statistically significantly different between boys ($T_1 = 22.63\%$, T_2 = 24.28%) and girls (T₁ = 29.55%, T₂ = 22.27%). No changes over time were observed regarding the prevalence of comorbidity in boys or girls ($\chi^2 = 0.18$, P = 0.669; and $\chi^2 = 3.42$, P = 0.065, respectively).

The prevalence of anxiety symptoms at baseline was higher in individuals living in urban areas compared with those living in rural areas (65.45% v. 32.64%; $\chi^2 = 22.73$, P < 0.001), whereas the prevalence of anxiety symptoms at follow-up was higher in individuals living in rural areas compared with those living in urban areas (27.13% v. 7.27%; $\chi^2 = 10.29$, P = 0.001). The prevalence of anxiety symptoms in individuals living in urban areas decreased over time ($\chi^2 = 40.23$, P < 0.001), whereas there was no such change for those living in rural areas ($\chi^2 = 3.16$, P = 0.075). The prevalence of depression symptoms at baseline was higher in individuals living in urban areas than in those living in rural areas $(87.27\% v. 53.10\%; \chi^2 = 23.25, P < 0.001)$. However, there was no significant differences between individuals living in rural (91.49%) and urban (90.91%) areas in the follow-up ($\chi^2 = 0.02$, P = 0.801). The prevalence of depression symptoms in individuals living in rural areas increased over time ($\chi^2 = 160.06$, P < 0.001), whereas no such changes were found for those in urban areas ($\chi^2 = 0.37$, P = 0.541). The prevalence of comorbid depression and anxiety symptoms at baseline was higher in individuals living in urban areas than in those living in rural areas (58.18% v. 22.07%; $\chi^2 = 33.00$, P < 0.001); however, the prevalence of comorbidity at follow-up was higher in individuals living in rural compared with urban areas (25.29% v. 7.27%; $\chi^2 = 8.88$, P = 0.003). Although the prevalence of comorbidity in individuals living in urban areas decreased over time ($\chi^2 = 32.37$, P < 0.001), no such changes over time were found for those living in rural areas ($\chi^2 = 1.25$, P = 0.264). Prevalence estimates for all sociodemographic characteristics of the sample are presented in Table 2.

GEE results for anxiety

The final GEE model showed that anxiety symptoms decreased over time (B = -3.92, P < 0.001). Exposure to Ebola (B = 0.97, P = 0.028) and stigmatisation related to Ebola (B = 5.41, P < 0.001) were significantly associated with anxiety symptoms, whereas social support was negatively associated with anxiety symptoms (B =-1.13, P = 0.004). Based on the changes in the strength of the relationship between exposure to Ebola and anxiety symptoms from model 4 (B = 1.14, P = 0.007) to model 5 (B = 0.97, P = 0.028) after the inclusion of social support in the final model, it seems that social support can be regarded as a protective factor. Gender was not statistically associated with anxiety symptoms (B = 0.89, P =0.236). Living in rural areas (B = -3.95, P = 0.002) and having a secondary education (B = -5.60, P = 0.007) were negatively associated with anxiety symptoms. Moreover, having a disability was positively associated with anxiety symptoms (B = 5.47, P = 0.003). Table 3 displays the results for anxiety. Moderation analysis showed that social support did not moderate either the association between exposure to Ebola and anxiety symptoms or that between Ebola stigmatisation and anxiety symptoms (Table 5).

GEE results for depression

The final GEE model showed that depression symptoms increased over time (B = 4.79, P < 0.001). Stigmatisation related to Ebola was positively associated with depression symptoms (B = 0.45, P = 0.009). Social support was negatively associated with depression symptoms (B = -0.98, P < 0.001). Gender was not statistically associated with depression symptoms (B = -2.24, P < 0.001), suggesting that living in urban areas is a risk factor for depression symptoms. Table 4 shows the GEE results for depression. Moderation analysis showed that high levels of social support attenuated the impact of Ebola stigmatisation on the development of depression symptoms (B = -0.67,

	Model 1		Model 2	2	Model 3	el 3	Model 4	14	Mod	Model 5
	B (s.e.)	Wald χ^2								
Time	-3.92 (0.84)	21.86***	-3.92 (0.84)	21.84***	-3.92 (0.83)	22.26***	-3.92 (0.80)	23.90***	-3.92 (0.80)	23.78***
Age			0.38 (0.47)	0.64	0.25 (0.46)	0.30	-0.02 (0.41)	0.00	-0.04 (0.41)	0.01
Gender			0.65 (0.88)	0.54	0.71 (0.86)	0.69	0.91 (0.76)	1.43	0.89 (0.75)	1.40
Residence area			-2.17 (1.43)	2.31	-3.56 (1.40)	6.50*	-4.23 (1.26)	11.23***	-3.95 (1.28)	9.48**
Disability			6.63 (2.17)	9.36**	6.38 (2.05)	9.66**	5.57 (1.86)	8.92**	5.47 (1.84)	8.88**
Sibling			-1.10 (2.34)	0.22	-0.85 (2.41)	0.12	-0.25 (2.29)	0.01	-0.21 (2.21)	0.01
Parents' education										
Primary			-3.65 (2.66)	1.88	-2.82 (2.71)	1.09	-2.15 (2.46)	0.77	-2.21 (2.42)	0.84
Secondary			-8.27 (2.22)	13.89* **	-7.05 (2.34)	9.07**	-5.63 (2.11)	7.15**	-5.60 (2.08)	7.26**
Professional			-6.28 (2.96)	4.51*	-5.64 (2.92)	3.72	-3.88 (2.53)	2.35	-3.73 (2.47)	2.27
University level			-3.79 (2.61)	2.12	-2.28 (2.70)	0.71	-1.00 (2.43)	0.17	-1.08 (2.40)	0.20
Parents' employment status										
Unemployed			3.14 (1.70)	3.43	3.28 (1.75)	3.52	1.54 (1.66)	0.86	1.21 (1.67)	0.53
Exposure to Ebola					2.73 (0.45)	36.86***	1.14 (0.43)	7.16**	0.97 (0.44)	4.84*
Ebola stigmatisation							5.34 (0.53)	103.20***	5.41 (0.53)	103.43***
Social support									-1.13 (0.39)	8.49**
QIC	186889.54		176376.82		169396.21		145879.85		144811.54	

Table 4 Hierarchical linear GEE for depression symptoms ^a	E for depression sy	rmptoms ^a								
	Model 1	el 1	Model 2	12	Model 3	el 3	Model 4	14	Mo	Model 5
	B (s.e.)	Wald χ^2	B (s.e.)	Wald χ^2	B (s.e.)	Wald χ^2	B (s.e.)	Wald χ^2	B (s.e.)	Wald χ^2
Time	4.79 (0.36)	172.85***	4.79 (0.36)	172.84***	4.79 (0.36)	173.30***	4.79 (0.36)	173.78* **	4.79 (0.36)	180.96***
Age			-0.01 (0.21)	00.0	-0.02 (0.20)	0.01	-0.04 (0.19)	0.05	-0.06 (0.19)	0.09
Gender			0.36 (0.36)	0.33	0.21 (0.36)	0.35	0.23 (0.36)	0.40	0.21 (0.36)	0.35
Residence area			-2.31 (0.55)	17.82***	-2.44 (0.56)	19.06***	-2.49 (0.55)	20.29* **	-2.24 (0.57)	15.16***
Disability			0.72 (0.72)	1.02	0.70 (0.71)	0.87	0.64 (0.71)	0.81	0.56 (0.69)	0.64
Sibling			-1.63 (0.92)	3.15	-1.60 (0.92)	3.01	-1.56 (0.91)	2.94	-1.52 (0.89)	2.95
Parents' education										
Primary			0.46 (0.93)	0.24	0.53 (0.94)	0.32	0.58 (0.93)	0.39	0.53 (0.94)	0.32
Secondary			0.00 (0.75)	0.00	0.11 (0.77)	0.02	0.21 (0.75)	0.08	0.23 (0.73)	0.10
Professional			-1.02 (0.96)	1.15	-0.97 (0.97)	1.00	-0.83 (0.94)	0.78	-0.71 (0.92)	0.59
University level			0.62 (0.89)	0.49	0.76 (0.90)	0.71	0.85 (0.88)	0.94	0.77 (0.86)	0.80
Parents' employment status			1.23 (0.80)	2.33	1.24 (0.81)	2.33	1.11 (0.79)	1.97	0.83 (0.78)	1.14
Exposure to Ebola					0.25 (0.17)	2.11	0.12 (0.18)	0.49	-0.03 (0.18)	0.02
Ebola stigmatisation							0.40 (0.18)	5.06*	0.45 (0.17)	6.87**
Social support									-0.98 (0.19)	27.93***
QIC	32829.78		31830.85		31774.30		31638.32		30736.09	
QIC, quasi-likelihood under independence model criterion. a. References: time, follow-up, gender, boy, residence area, urban, disability, no; sibling, no; parents' education, no formal education; employment status, employed. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001, ***P < 0.001.	nce model criterion. , boy, residence area, ul	rban; disability, no; sibl	ling, no; parents' educati	on, no formal educatic	on; employment status, (employed.				

P < 0.001). However, the interaction between social support and exposure to Ebola was not statistically significant (Table 5).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first longitudinal study to examine changes over time in the prevalence of anxiety and depression symptoms and their comorbidity, as well as possible risk and protective factors, in adolescents living in zones affected by EVD since the discovery of the virus in 1976. First, our results showed an increase in depression symptoms over time: at baseline, six in ten participants reported depressive symptoms, compared with nine in ten at follow-up. By contrast, anxiety symptoms decreased from baseline to follow-up. Two in five children and adolescents initially reported moderate-to-severe anxiety symptoms, compared with one in four at follow-up. In addition, the changes in the prevalence of the comorbidity did not change significantly. Regardless of change over time, all three were highly prevalent at both time points. Although the results cannot be compared with those of other longitudinal studies done in youth, as there are no such studies, we could compare them to those of previous cross-sectional studies conducted in adults affected by EVD and in adult survivors of the disease.11

The results on depression were surprising in that they strayed from patterns found in individuals over 18 in the DRC, showing no increase in mental distress in this population during the time in question.⁸ The prevalence estimates of depression symptoms observed at both T₁ and T₂ were also higher than those reported by multiple previous studies. Indeed, Keita and colleagues found that 10% of their sample had mild-to-severe depression.³² Another study reported that 47.2% of Ebola survivors in the northern region of Sierra Leone were diagnosed with significant depression symptoms.¹⁴ A meta-analysis of Ebola survivors in African countries found a 15% prevalence for depression.³³ Another meta-analysis found that 19.92% of communities affected by EVD presented significant symptoms of depression.¹² Various hypotheses could explain these differences. First, the high levels of EVDrelated stigmatisation experienced by participants in this study could reflect an adverse social context that may have affected the youth more because of the difficulties in achieving the developmental tasks associated with this period of life (studies, work and dating).³⁴ Second, the reverse trends for anxiety symptoms may be associated with the fact that when survival issues are less preeminent and some safety is achieved, mourning processes that had been delayed may finally begin, reactivating sadness over the multiple losses endured.

The prevalence of anxiety symptoms in adolescents at T₁ and T₂ in our study was higher than those reported for adult populations affected by EVD in previous studies.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ A meta-analysis showed that 14% of EVD survivors experienced significant symptoms of anxiety,³³ whereas a study in Sierra Leone of adult EVD survivors and healthcare workers found that 83.3% of survivors met criteria for a diagnosis of anxiety.³⁵ However, other studies have been more consistent with our findings. A study of EVD survivors in eastern DRC found that the prevalence of anxiety was 33.3% in their sample.⁷

We also found that anxiety symptoms decreased over time, whereas depression symptoms increased from T_1 to T_2 . The changes in anxiety observed in this study were consistent with the findings of a study that showed a decrease in mental distress over time among adults in areas affected by EVD.³⁶ This decrease in anxiety could have been associated with a decrease in the actual threat level, or it could be explained by the habituation of adolescents to anxiety-provoking situations, as has been described in

	Anxiety		Depre	ession
	<i>B</i> (s.e.)	Wald χ^2	B (s.e.)	Wald χ
Time	-3.90 (0.80)	24.03***	4.59 (0.37)	152.89**
Age	-0.04 (0.41)	0.01	-0.04 (0.19)	0.06
Gender	0.93 (0.75)	1.53	0.15 (0.36)	0.19
Residence area	-3.92 (1.28)	9.44**	-2.35 (0.57)	17.21*
Disability	5.45 (1.84)	8.73**	0.53 (0.68)	0.48
Sibling	-0.05 (2.23)	0.00	-1.73 (0.91)	3.67
Parents' education				
Primary	-2.27 (2.43)	0.87	0.54 (0.91)	0.35
Secondary	-5.69 (2.10)	7.36**	0.35 (0.69)	0.26
Professional	-3.79 (2.48)	2.33	-0.68 (0.89)	0.58
University level	-1.10 (2.42)	0.21	0.78 (0.83)	0.89
Parents' employment status				
Unemployed	1.39 (1.68)	0.68	0.53 (0.76)	0.48
Exposure to Ebola	0.89 (0.45)	3.87*	0.04 (0.19)	0.05
Ebola stigmatisation	5.42 (0.53)	103.96***	0.47 (0.17)	7.73*
Social support	-1.13 (0.38)	8.96**	-0.95 (0.19)	26.04
Exposure to Ebola × social support	-0.25 (0.41)	0.37	0.18 (0.18)	0.97
Ebola stigmatisation × social support	0.31 (0.55)	0.31	-0.67 (0.18)	14.68*

a. References: time, follow-up; gender, boy; residence area, urban; disability, no; sibling, no; parents' education, no formal education; employment status, employee *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

armed conflict situations.³⁶ Participants in this study lived in areas that had been affected by EVD and COVID-19. Hence, adolescents could have become used to high anxiety levels, resulting in a decrease in their symptoms over time, as their internal alarm system would be less activated by the fear of being infected.

Exposure to EVD was not a significant predictor of symptoms of either anxiety or depression, in their respective full models. This contradicts findings from adult samples, where exposure to EVD is a significant predictor of mental distress.^{7-10,11-15,16-37} These results may reflect youth being less worried than adults by actual threats, which they may minimise, and more affected by the impact of an epidemic on their relational and social environment and on their development into young adults. The wide variability in exposure to EVD among participants may also explain this result; some participants had infected relatives, whereas others had barely heard of the virus. Furthermore, social support was negatively associated with both anxiety and depression symptoms, but it only moderated the association between stigmatisation and depression symptoms. In the present sample, EVD stigmatisation, a known predictor of mental health problems in the context of EVD in adults,⁸⁻¹¹ was a much stronger predictor, and it was also significant across all models for both anxiety and depression symptoms. Hence, it is not so much being exposed to EVD but instead experiencing EVD-related stigmatisation that predicts anxiety and depression in adolescents. This explained the important role of stigmatisation related to EVD in inducing mental health problems among adolescents living in zones affected by EVD. In a study in Sierra Leone, children orphaned by Ebola were described as being 'in a perfect storm' because of stigmatisation related to EVD.¹⁸ Studies also showed that words and acts of stigmatisation associated with EVD are widespread in communities affected by EVD.8 EVDrelated stigmatisation is a key factor for prevention, because those experiencing symptoms are afraid to talk about them for fear of being a victim of stigma. It is important to note that social support negatively predicted both anxiety and depressive symptoms and was among one of the strongest predictors for both. Social support is one of the most important factors for protection against mental health problems, especially depression, in young people.^{28-38,39} This result also showed that despite high levels of stigma, communities affected by EVD in Equateur Province have strong social resources that can help youth to build resilience. However, the results also showed that stigma and exposure to

EVD are such strong factors that social support does not moderate their relationships with mental health problems, except for the relationship between stigmatisation and depression.

We also found that living in a rural area negatively predicted both anxiety and depressive symptoms in children and adolescents in this sample. This was contrary to what has been found in other research. Indeed, previous studies among adults in the same region found that living in rural areas was associated with different mental health problems.^{9–28} The findings of the present study could be explained by reduced access to information on EVD in rural settings, which can lead to increased EVD-related stigma.⁴⁰ Indeed, a recent scoping review on EVD prevention measures showed that access to information is a major key in preventing EVD-related stigma.⁴⁰ In addition, adolescents may experience EVD-related stigmatisation more frequently than adults, leading to mental health issues in this population. In particular, a recent study found that youth affected by EVD were more likely than adults to be bullied or mocked.⁴¹ Preventing stigma is thus vital in preventing mental health problems, as studies consistently show that it is the most important predictor of different mental health issues in the context of EVD, including anxiety, depression, PTSD and mental distress.⁸⁻¹⁰

Strengths and limitations

This study had significant strengths and limitations. It was the first and only longitudinal study to be conducted among adolescents in the context of EVD. There have been no studies on youth in the Equateur Province, and particularly none on Ebola, mental health and adolescents. However, there remain limitations to consider. The high attrition rate was an important limitation of this study, although this was not surprising considering that a nomadic lifestyle is common in the Equateur Province. In addition, many individuals who participated in the first part of the study had returned to their village owing to the COVID-19 pandemic, and many schools were closed because of the health crisis. Hence, we expected only to retain 25% of the participants. Recruitment efforts were made to raise participation, and we reached 490 participants at T2. As the first longitudinal study on adolescents in EVD-affected regions, this was an important starting point for research on youth in this complex context. However, the lack of similar work limited our ability to compare our results, and it was difficult to position our study in a

context where work is so limited. We compared our results with studies conducted among adults in the context of the Ebola outbreak and among youth in other contexts. Still, discussing our findings in the scientific context was a challenging task. This highlights the need for further research on this topic.

Implications and future research

As this was the first study of its kind, more work is needed on mental health in adolescents living in the context of EVD. We must urgently come to understand why youth in the Equateur Province in the DRC have come to experience depression in such high numbers. Future work should focus on understanding the underlying reasons for our findings. Priority should be given to the restoration of a supportive environment that may help youth overcome the developmental challenges associated with EVD outbreaks. The mental health needs of adolescents in this environment must also be addressed, and psychological services must be provided for this population. However, there are currently insufficient psychologists, psychiatrists, social workers and other mental health professionals in the DRC. Although increasing staffing must be a priority, measures need to be taken in the meantime. For example, teachers should be trained to recognise students struggling with EVDrelated mental health challenges and to provide psychological first aid. Mental health commissions should be created in each health zone or district school board to refer young individuals who need more supportive care. Furthermore, interventions to reduce EVDrelated stigmatisation, which is crucial in predicting depression and anxiety, should be prioritised. Such measures could include training for youth and adults, which could be offered to various institutions such as schools and religious settings. Schools could provide mandatory training to students, discuss the prevention of EVD, and help minimise and prevent stigmatisation. It is crucial for the DRC's ministry of health and international institutions that work in DRC, such as UNICEF and Doctors Without Borders, to recognise that the situation is dramatic and develop a strategic action plan. Urgent actions must be taken now to help young people who are suffering and prevent more from experiencing depression and anxiety symptoms.

In summary, this first study on the prevalence, risk and protective factors among adolescents living in areas affected by EVD shows that many experience mental health issues. It also shows that stigma is important in predicting their mental health. Finally, the study demonstrates that despite stigma, children and adolescents who benefit from the social support of their loved ones can find the necessary resources to build their resilience. Our findings highlight two immediate needs: (a) to conduct ongoing, longitudinal studies of youth in areas affected by EVD; and (2) to develop prevention and intervention programmes to strengthen the resilience of young people. Responses to the two emergencies of EVD and COVID-19 will provide the resources and nutrients needed to build resilience in these children. Such resources will also help to ensure that the future and development of these geographic areas - which are already facing major social and economic challenges - are not compromised.

Jude Mary Cénat D. PhD, School of Psychology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Interdisciplinary Centre for Black Health, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; University of Ottawa Research Chair on Black Health, Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Elisabeth Dromer, PhD candidate, School of Psychology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Shruti Mistry, School of Psychology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Shruti Mistry, School of Psychology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Shruti Mistry, School of Psychology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Daniela Gonzalez Villarreal, Department of Clinical Psychology and Health, Monterrey Institute of Technology and Higher Education, Monterrey, Mexico; Seyed Mohammad Mahdi Moshirian Farahi, PhD, Department of Psychology, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Rose Darly Dalexis, PhD candidate, Interdisciplinary School of Population Health, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Wina Paul Darius, School of Psychology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Jacqueline Bukaka, PhD, Department of Psychology, University of Kinshasa, Kinshasa, DR, Congo; Oléa Balayulu-Makila, PhD, Department of Psychology, University of Kinshasa, Kinshasa, DR, Congo; Noble Luyeye, Department of Psychology, University of Kinshasa, Kinshasa, DR, Congo; Daniel Derivois, PhD, Department of Psychology, Université Bourgogne Franche Comté, Bourgogne, France; Cécile Rousseau , MD, Division of Social and Transcultural Psychiatry, McGill University, Montreal, Canada

Correspondence: Jude Mary Cénat. Email: jcenat@uottawa.ca

First received 23 Apr 2023, final revision 27 Jul 2023, accepted 31 Jul 2023

Data availability

Data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

We thank all the research assistants (investigators) and field supervisors and the coordinator, who made sacrifices to reach the most remote rural areas. We are extremely grateful to all the participants.

Author contribution

J.M.C. and S.M.M.M.F. had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Concept and design: J.M.C., S.M.M.M.F., R.D.D. and C.R. Acquisition of data: J.M.C., J.B., D.D., C.R., O.B.-M. and N.L. Statistical analysis: J.M.C. and S.M.M.M.F. Interpretation of data: J.M.C., E.D., C.R., J.B. and R.D.D. Drafting of the manuscript: J.M.C., S.M.M.M.F., E.D., S.M., D.G.V., R.D.D. and W.P.D. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: C.R., R.D.D., J.B., O.B.-M., N.L., D.D. and W.P.D. Administrative, technical, or material support: W.P.D. and J.M.C. Supervision: J.M.C.

Funding

This work was supported by grant no. 108968 from the International Development Research Center in cooperation with the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research.

Declaration of interest

None

References

- Adepoju P. Ebola and COVID-19 in DR Congo and Guinea. Lancet Infect Dis 2021; 21: 461.
- 2 World Health Organization Regional Office for Africa. Ebola Virus Disease, Democratic Republic of the Congo, External Situation Report: 98. WHO, 2020.
- 3 UNICEF. Covid-19: Rapport de Situation en République Démocratique du Congo. Kinshasa, 2020.
- 4 UNICEF. Democratic Republic of the Congo Summary Analysis of Programmatic Response: 1–13. 2020.
- 5 Wadoum REG, Sevalie S, Minutolo A, Clarke A, Russo G, Colizzi V, et al. The 2018–2020 Ebola outbreak in the Democratic Republic of Congo: a better response had been achieved through inter-state coordination in Africa. *Risk Manag Healthcare Policy* 2021; 14: 4923.
- 6 DRC Ministry of Health WHO Africa. Ebola DRC KIVU 2018 dashboard redone. In Ebola DR Congo North-Kivu 2019 Surveill, 2019.
- 7 Kaputu-Kalala-Malu C, Musalu EM, Walker T, Ntumba-Tshitenge O, Ahuka-Mundeke S. PTSD, depression and anxiety in Ebola virus disease survivors in Beni town, Democratic Republic of the Congo. *BMC Psychiatry* 2021; 21: 342.
- 8 Cénat JM, Kokou-Kpolou CK, Mukunzi JN, Dalexis RD, Noorishad PG, Rousseau C, et al. Ebola virus disease, stigmatization, peritraumatic distress, and post-traumatic stress disorder in the Democratic Republic of the Congo: a moder-ated mediation model. J Affect Disord 2021; 293: 214–21.
- 9 Cénat JM, McIntee SE, Guerrier M, Derivois D, Rousseau C, Dalexis RD, et al. Psychological distress among adults from the urban and rural areas affected by the Ebola virus disease in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2021; 56: 57–62.
- 10 Cénat JM, Farahi SMMM, Dalexis RD, Darius WP, Bukaka J, Balayulu-Makila O, et al. Mental distress before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: a longitudinal study among communities affected by Ebola virus disease in the DR Congo. *Psychiatry Res* 2022; **314**: 114654.
- 11 Cénat JM, Noorishad PG, Dalexis RD, Rousseau C, Derivois D, Kokou-Kpolou CK, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of depression symptoms among rural and urban populations affected by Ebola virus disease in the Democratic Republic

of the Congo: a representative cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2022; **12**: e053375.

- 12 Cénat JM, Felix N, Blais-Rochette C, Rousseau C, Bukaka J, Derivois D, et al. Prevalence of mental health problems in populations affected by Ebola virus disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Res* 2020; 289: 113033.
- 13 Lawry LL, Stroupe Kannappan N, Canteli C, Clemmer W. Cross-sectional study of mental health and sexual behaviours for Ebola survivors in Beni, Butembo and Katwa health zones of the Democratic Republic of Congo. BMJ Open 2022; 12: e052306.
- 14 Bah AJ, James PB, Bah N, Sesay AB, Sevalie S, Kanu JS. Prevalence of anxiety, depression and post-traumatic stress disorder among Ebola survivors in northern Sierra Leone: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 2020; 20: 1391.
- 15 James PB, Wardle J, Steel A, Adams J. An assessment of Ebola-related stigma and its association with informal healthcare utilisation among Ebola survivors in Sierra Leone: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 2020; 20: 182.
- 16 Secor A, MacAuley R, Stan L, Kagone M, Sidikiba S, Sow S, et al. Mental health among Ebola survivors in Liberia, Sierra Leone and Guinea: results from a crosssectional study. *BMJ Open* 2020; 10: e035217.
- 17 Amuzu C, James PB, Bah AJ, Bayoh AVS, Singer SR. Post-Ebola sequelae among Ebola child survivors in Sierra Leone. *BMC Pediatr* 2021; 21: 482.
- 18 Denis-Ramirez E, Sørensen KH, Skovdal M. In the midst of a 'perfect storm': unpacking the causes and consequences of Ebola-related stigma for children orphaned by Ebola in Sierra Leone. *Child Youth Serv Rev* 2017; 73: 445–53.
- 19 Evans DK, Popova A. West African Ebola crisis and orphans. Lancet 2015; 385: 945–6.
- 20 Shantha JG, Canady D, Hartley C, Cassedy A, Miller C, Angeles-Han ST, et al. Ophthalmic sequelae and psychosocial impact in pediatric Ebola survivors. eClinicalMedicine 2022; 49; 101483.
- 21 Mohammed A, Sheikh TL, Gidado S, Poggensee G, Nguku P, Olayinka A, et al. An evaluation of psychological distress and social support of survivors and contacts of Ebola virus disease infection and their relatives in Lagos, Nigeria: a cross sectional study 2014. *BMC Public Health* 2015; 15: 824.
- 22 Kovacs M, Beck AT. An empirical-clinical approach toward a definition of childhood depression. Depress Childhood 1977: 1–25.
- 23 Kovacs M. The Children's Depression Inventory (CDI). Psychopharmacol Bull 1985; 21: 995–8.
- 24 Doerfler LA, Felner RD, Rowlison RT, Raley PA, Evans E. Depression in children and adolescents: a comparative analysis of the utility and construct validity of two assessment measures. J Consult Clin Psychol 1988; 56: 769–72.
- 25 Beck AT, Epstein N, Brown G, Steer RA. An inventory for measuring clinical anxiety: psychometric properties. J Consult Clin Psychol 1988; 56: 893–7.
- 26 Beck AT, Steer RA. Manual for the Beck Anxiety Inventory. Behav Res Ther 1990; 37: 25–74.
- 27 Cénat JM, Derivois D. Assessment of prevalence and determinants of posttraumatic stress disorder and depression symptoms in adults survivors of earthquake in Haiti after 30 months. J Affect Disord 2014; 159: 111–7.
- 28 Cénat JM, Rousseau C, Bukaka J, Dalexis RD, Guerrier M. Severe anxiety and PTSD symptoms among Ebola virus disease survivors and healthcare workers

in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic in eastern DR Congo. *Front Psychiatry* 2022; **13**: 792.

- 29 Cénat JM, Noorishad PG, Kokou-Kpolou CK, Dalexis RD, Hajizadeh S, Guerrier M, et al. Prevalence and correlates of depression during the COVID-19 pandemic and the major role of stigmatization in low- and middle-income countries: a multinational cross-sectional study. *Psychiatry Res* 2021; 297: 113714.
- 30 Zimet G, Dahlem WN, Zimet G, Farley K. The multidimensional scale of perceived social support. J Pers Assess 1988; 52: 30–41.
- **31** Gary AB. Using generalized estimating equations for longitudinal data analysis. *Organ Res Methods* 2004; **7**: 127.
- 32 Keita MM, Taverne B, Sy Savané S, March L, Doukoure M, Sow MS, et al. Depressive symptoms among survivors of Ebola virus disease in Conakry (Guinea): preliminary results of the PostEboGui cohort. *BMC Psychiatry* 2017; 17: 127.
- 33 Acharibasam JW, Chireh B, Menegesha HG. Assessing anxiety, depression and insomnia symptoms among Ebola survivors in Africa: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2021; 16: e0246515.
- 34 Racine N, McArthur BA, Cooke JE, Eirich R, Zhu J, Madigan S. Global prevalence of depressive and anxiety symptoms in children and adolescents during COVID-19: a meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatr 2021; 175: 1142–50.
- 35 Ji D, Ji YJ, Duan XZ, Li WG, Sun ZQ, Song XA, et al. Prevalence of psychological symptoms among Ebola survivors and healthcare workers during the 2014– 2015 Ebola outbreak in Sierra Leone: a cross-sectional study. *Oncotarget* 2017; 8: 12784–91.
- 36 Cénat JM, Farahi SMMM, Dalexis RD, Darius WP, Bekarkhanechi FM, Poisson H, et al. The global evolution of mental health problems during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. J Affect Disord 2022; 315: 70–95.
- 37 Cénat JM, McIntee SE, Guerrier M, Derivois D, Rousseau C, Dalexis RD, et al. Psychological distress among adults from the urban and rural areas affected by the Ebola virus disease in the democratic republic of the Congo. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2020; 56(1): 57–62.
- 38 Gariépy G, Honkaniemi H, Quesnel-Vallée A. Social support and protection from depression: systematic review of current findings in Western countries. Br J Psychiatry 2016; 209: 284–93.
- 39 Quinn CR, Boyd DT, Kim BKE, Menon SE, Logan-Greene P, Asemota E, et al. The influence of familial and peer social support on post-traumatic stress disorder among Black girls in juvenile correctional facilities. *Crim Justice Hist* 2021; 48: 867–83.
- 40 Cénat JM, Broussard C, Darius WP, Onesi O, Auguste E, El Aouame AM, et al. Social mobilization, education, and prevention of the Ebola virus disease: a scoping review. *Prev Med* 2022; 166: 107328.
- 41 Crea TM, Collier KM, Klein EK, Sevalie S, Molleh B, Kabba Y, et al. Social distancing, community stigma, and implications for psychological distress in the aftermath of Ebola virus disease. *PLoS One* 2022; 17; e0276790.

