A CLASS OF GENERALIZED HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS IN SEVERAL VARIABLES

ZHIMIN YAN

ABSTRACT. We study a class of generalized hypergeometric functions in several variables introduced by A. Korányi. It is shown that the generalized Gaussian hypergeometric function is the unique solution of a system partial differential equations. Analogues of some classical results such as Kummer relations and Euler integral representations are established. Asymptotic behavior of generalized hypergeometric functions is obtained which includes some known estimates.

0. Introduction. In the case of positive definite matrices, generalized hypergeometric functions (with a definition based on Laplace transforms) were introduced by C. Herz [5], and their series expansion is due to A. Constantine [1]. Further properties and applications in statistics were given by A. James and R. Muirhead [11]. The case of positive Hermitian or quaternion matrices was studied by K. Gross and D. Richards [4]. Generalized hypergeometric functions associated with arbitrary symmetric cones were considered by J. Faraut and A. Korányi [3]. A more general class of hypergeometric functions was introduced by A. Korányi [7]. In this paper we shall study that class of generalized hypergeometric functions.

In §2 we prove that ${}_{2}F_{1}^{(d)}(a, b; c; x_{1}, ..., x_{r})$ is the unique solution of the system of the partial differential equations

$$x_{i}(1-x_{i})\frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} + \left\{c - \frac{d}{2}(r-1) - \left[a + b + 1 - \frac{d}{2}(r-1)\right]x_{i} + \frac{d}{2}\sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{r}\frac{x_{i}(1-x_{i})}{x_{i} - x_{j}}\right\}\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}$$

$$(1)$$

$$-\frac{d}{2}\sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{r}\frac{x_{j}(1-x_{j})}{x_{i} - x_{j}}\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{j}} = abF \quad i = 1, \dots, r$$

subject to the conditions that

(a) *F* is a symmetric function of x_1, \ldots, x_r and

(b) *F* is analytic at $x_1 = \cdots = x_r = 0$ and F(0) = 1

(1) is a generalization of the classical hypergeometric equation. This result was claimed in [7], but the proof was incomplete.

In §3 we obtain some analogues of classical results about hypergeometric functions and, in particular, establish integral representations of the generalized hypergeometric functions. In §4 we obtain the asymptotic behavior of $_{p+1}F_p^{(d)}$. As an application, we get

This paper is a part of the doctoral thesis of the author at the Graduate School of the City University of New York.

Received by the editors October 30, 1990.

AMS subject classification: Primary:33A30, 33A40; secondary: 32A99.

[©] Canadian Mathematical Society, 1992.

the generalized Rudin-Forelli inequalities in function theory on a bounded symmetric domain, which are due to J. Faraut and A. Korányi for ${}_{2}F_{1}^{(d)}(a, b; c; t_{1}, ..., t_{r})$ with some special a, b and c [2]. Our results also include, in a unified way, the estimates obtained by J. Mitchell and G. Sampson [9], [10].

Some other results are announced in [13].

1. Notation, definitions and basic facts. A *partition* is any finite or infinite sequence

(2)
$$\kappa = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r, \dots)$$

of non-negative integers in decreasing order $k_1 \ge k_2 \ge \cdots \ge k_r \ge \cdots$ containing only finitely many non-zero terms. The non-zero k_i in (2) are called the *parts* of κ . The number of parts is called the *length* of κ , denoted by $l(\kappa)$; and the sum of the parts is the *weight* of κ , denoted by $|\kappa| = k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_{l(\kappa)}$. When $l(\kappa) \le r$, we simply write κ as $\kappa = (k_1, \ldots, k_r)$. We say that κ is a *partition of* k if $|\kappa| = k$. For a partition κ , hereafter, we use k to denote $|\kappa|$. The partitions of k are ordered lexicographically, that is, if $\kappa = (k_1, k_2, \ldots), \lambda = (l_1, l_2, \ldots)$, we write $\kappa > \lambda$ if $k_i > l_i$ for the first index i for which the parts are unequal. Let y_1, \ldots, y_r be r variables; if $\kappa > \lambda$ and $l(k), l(\lambda) \le r$, we say that the monomial $y_1^{k_1} \cdots y_r^{k_r}$ is of higher weight than the monomial $y_1^{l_1} \cdots y_r^{l_r}$.

For a partition κ , we define its *diagram* by

$$G(\kappa) = \{(i,j) : 1 \le i \le l(\kappa), 1 \le j \le k_i\}$$

If λ, κ are partitions, then we write $\lambda \subseteq \kappa$ if $\lambda_i \leq k_i$ for all *i*. If $\lambda \subseteq \kappa$, then κ/λ is defined to be the difference $\kappa - \lambda$ of diagrams.

For each $j, j = 1, 2, ..., k_i$, let

$$k'_i = \max\{i \mid (i,j) \in G(\kappa)\}.$$

For $s = (i, j) \in G(\kappa)$, and a parameter α , let

$$a(s) = k_i - j$$

$$l(s) = k'_j - i$$

$$h^*_{\kappa}(s) = l(s) + (1 + a(s))\alpha$$

$$h^{\kappa}_*(s) = l(s) + 1 + a(s)\alpha$$

We simply write $s \in \kappa$ instead of $s \in G(\kappa)$.

Let \bigwedge_r be the vector space of symmetric polynomials in x_1, \ldots, x_r , $p_k = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i^k$ and $P_{\kappa} = p_{k_1} \cdots p_{k_{l(\kappa)}}$; then $\{P_{\kappa}, \text{ for all } \kappa\}$ forms a basis of \bigwedge_r . For each $\alpha > 0$, one defines an inner product on \bigwedge_r by

$$\langle P_{\kappa}, P_{\lambda} \rangle_{\alpha} = \delta_{\kappa\lambda} z_{\kappa} \alpha^{l(\kappa)}$$

where $z_{\kappa} = (1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \cdots) m_1! m_2! \cdots$ and m_j = the number of k_i which are equal to j. Let $J_{\kappa}(y_1, \ldots, y_r; \alpha)$ be the Jack polynomial indexed by the partition κ and parameter α . The

 J_{κ} are gotten by orthogonalizing the monomial symmetric polynomials with respect to $\langle , \rangle_{\alpha}$. Notations are as in [8], [12].

The following results about Jack polynomials are known. See [12].

- (i) $J_{\kappa}(y_1, \ldots, y_r; \alpha) = 0$ if $l(\kappa) > r$.
- (ii) $J_{\kappa}(y_1,\ldots,y_r;\alpha) = J_{\kappa}(y_1,\ldots,y_r,0;\alpha)$
- (iii) $(y_1 + \dots + y_r)^k = \sum \alpha^k k! J_k(y_1, \dots, y_r; \alpha) j_k^{-1}$
- (iv) $J_{\kappa}(1,...,1;\alpha) = \prod_{(i,j)\in\kappa} (r (i-1) + \alpha(j-1))$
- (v) Let $\nu_{\kappa\kappa}(\alpha) = \prod_{s \in \kappa} h_*^{\kappa}(s)$; then, $\nu_{\kappa\kappa}(\alpha) y_1^{k_1} \cdots y_r^{k_r}$ is the term of the highest weight in $J_{\kappa}(y_1, \ldots, y_r; \alpha)$.
- (vi) $J_{\kappa}(y_1, \ldots, y_r; \alpha)$ is an eigenfunction of the differential operator

(3)
$$\Delta_r = \sum_{i=1}^r y_i^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i^2} + \frac{2}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j\neq i}^r \frac{y_i^2}{y_i - y_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$$

with the eigenvalue $\mu_{\kappa} = \rho_{\kappa} + k(\frac{2}{\alpha}r - 1)$, where $\rho_{\kappa} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i(k_i - \frac{2}{\alpha}i)$, if $l(\kappa) \leq r$. (vii) $j_{\kappa} = \langle J_{\kappa}, J_{\kappa} \rangle = \prod_{s \in \kappa} h_*^{\kappa}(s)h_{\kappa}^{*}(s)$

One defines, for a partition κ and a positive number d,

$$C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(y_1,\ldots,y_r) = (2/d)^k k! J_{\kappa}(y_1,\ldots,y_r;2/d) j_{\kappa}^{-1}.$$

DEFINITION. For $a_1, \ldots, a_p, b_1, \ldots, b_q \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $(b_j)_{\kappa} \neq 0$, for all κ, j , the *hypergeometric functions* associated with the parameter d > 0 are defined by

(4)
$${}_{p}F_{q}^{(d)}(a_{1}\ldots,a_{p};b_{1},\ldots,b_{q};y_{1},\ldots,y_{r}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa}\frac{(a_{1})_{\kappa}\cdots(a_{p})_{\kappa}}{(b_{1})_{\kappa}\cdots(b_{q})_{\kappa}}\frac{C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(y_{1},\ldots,y_{r})}{k!}$$

where \sum_{κ} denotes the summation over all partitions of *k*,

$$(a)_{\kappa} = \prod_{i=1}^{l(\kappa)} (a - (i-1)d/2)_k$$

and

$$(a)_m = a(a+1)\cdots(a+m-1), \quad (a)_0 = 1.$$

REMARK 1. From (i), we have $C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(y_1, \ldots, y_r) = 0$ for κ with $l(\kappa) > r$; therefore the summation in (4) is only over those partitions with length not greater than r.

REMARK 2. Let Y be an $r \times r$ symmetric matrix with latent roots y_1, \ldots, y_r ; then it is known that the zonal polynomial $C_{\kappa}(Y)$ of Y corresponding to a partition κ , defined in [11], is equal to $C_{\kappa}^{(1)}(Y)$.

Throughout this paper, we denote (y_1, \ldots, y_r) by Y_r or simply by Y whenever no confusion is caused.

2. Partial differential equations for hypergeometric functions. It is well known that the classical Gaussian hypergeometric function $_{2}f_{1}(a, b; c; z)$ is the unique solution of the second order differential equation

$$z(1-z)\frac{d^{2}f}{dz^{2}} + [c - (a+b+1)z]\frac{df}{dz} = abf$$

subject to the conditions that

(a) f is analytic at 0

(b) f(0) = 1

For the hypergeometric functions of a real matrix argument, a generalization of this classical result was given by Muirhead [11]. A more general result is the following (*cf.* [7]):

THEOREM 2.1. $_2F_1^{(d)}(a, b, c; y_1, ..., y_r)$ is the unique solution of the system of r partial differential equations

$$y_{i}(1-y_{i})\frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial y_{i}^{2}} + \left\{c - \frac{d}{2}(r-1) - \left[a+b+1 - \frac{d}{2}(r-1)\right]y_{i} + \frac{d}{2}\sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{r}\frac{y_{i}(1-y_{i})}{y_{i} - y_{j}}\right\}\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_{i}} - \frac{d}{2}\sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{r}\frac{y_{j}(1-y_{j})}{y_{i} - y_{j}}\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_{j}} = abF \qquad i = 1, \dots, r$$

(5)

subject to the conditions that

- (a) F is a symmetric function of y_1, \ldots, y_r and
- (b) F is analytic at $y_1 = \cdots = y_r = 0$ and F(0) = 1.

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.1. Our proof follows closely that of Muirhead with some modification and clarification.

Let

(6)
$$\Delta_r = \sum_{i=1}^r y_i^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i^2} + d \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^r \frac{y_i^2}{y_i - y_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$$

(7)
$$\delta_r = \sum_{i=1}^r y_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i^2} + d \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^r \frac{y_i}{y_i - y_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$$

(8)
$$E_r = \sum_{i}^{r} y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$$

(9)
$$\varepsilon_r = \sum_i^r \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$$

For simplicity, we denote (y_1, \ldots, y_r) and $(1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbf{R}^r$ by Y_r and I_r respectively.

We define the generalized binomial coefficients by

(10)
$$\frac{C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(I_r + Y_r)}{C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(I_r)} = \sum_{s=0}^k \sum_{\sigma, |\sigma|=s} {\binom{\kappa}{\sigma}_r \frac{C_{\sigma}^{(d)}(Y_r)}{C_{\sigma}^{(d)}(I_r)}}$$

where $k = |\kappa|, r \ge l(\kappa)$.

REMARK. We note that the generalized binomial coefficients depend on r by the definition. But in the case of symmetric cones, one can readily show that they are independent of r. In the following, we prove that it is still true for some special generalized binomial coefficients. We expect such a result in the general case.

For a partition $\kappa = (k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ of $k, r \ge l(\kappa)$, let

$$\kappa_i^{(r)} = (k_1, \dots, k_{i-1}, k_i + 1, k_{i+1}, \dots, k_r)$$

$$\kappa_{(r)}^i = (k_1, \dots, k_{i-1}, k_i - 1, k_{i+1}, \dots, k_r)$$

whenever these are partitions of k+1 and k-1 respectively. Since we can also write κ as $(k_1, \ldots, k_r, 0)$, $\kappa_i^{(r)}$ depends on r. But when $r \ge l(\kappa) + 1$, $\kappa_i^{(r)} = \kappa_i^{(l(\kappa)+1)}$, then, we simply write κ_i instead of $\kappa_i^{(r)}$. It is easy to see that $\kappa_{(r)}^i$ does not depend on r, thus, we omit the subscript r.

As a consequence of (vi) in $\S1$, we have

Lemma 2.2.

$$\Delta_r C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(Y_r) = [\rho_{\kappa} + k(dr-1)]C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(Y_r)$$

The following two lemmas can be proved in the same way as in [11].

LEMMA 2.3. For κ with $l(\kappa) \leq r$,

(11)
$$\delta_r \frac{C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(Y_r)}{C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(I_r)} = \sum_i {\kappa \choose \kappa^i}_r \left[k_i - 1 + \frac{d}{2}(r-i)\right] \frac{C_{\kappa^i}^{(d)}(Y_r)}{C_{\kappa^i}^{(d)}(I_r)},$$

(12)
$$\varepsilon_r \frac{C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(Y_r)}{C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(I_r)} = \sum_i {\binom{\kappa}{\kappa^i}}_r \frac{C_{\kappa^i}^{(d)}(Y_r)}{C_{\kappa^i}^{(d)}(I_r)}.$$

LEMMA 2.4. For κ with $l(\kappa) \leq r$,

(13)
$$\sum_{i} {\binom{\kappa_{i}^{(r)}}{\kappa}}_{r} C_{\kappa_{i}^{(r)}}^{(d)}(I_{r}) = r(k+1)C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(I_{r}),$$

(14)
$$\sum_{i} {\binom{\kappa_{i}^{(r)}}{\kappa}}_{r} \left[k_{i} - \frac{d}{2}(i-1) \right] C_{\kappa_{i}^{(r)}}^{(d)}(I_{r}) = k(k+1) C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(I_{r}),$$

(15)
$$\sum_{i} {\binom{\kappa_{i}^{(r)}}{\kappa}}_{r} \Big[k_{i} - \frac{d}{2}(i-1) \Big]^{2} C_{\kappa_{i}^{(r)}}^{(d)}(I_{r}) \\ = (k+1) \Big[\rho_{\kappa} + \frac{d}{2}k(r+1) \Big] C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(I_{r}).$$

PROPOSITION 2.5. The function ${}_{2}F_{1}^{(d)}(a, b; c; y_{1}, ..., y_{r})$ satisfies the differential equation

(16)
$$\delta_r F - \Delta_r F + \left[c - \frac{d}{2}(r-1)\right]\varepsilon_r F - \left[a+b+1-\frac{d}{2}(r-1)\right]E_r F = rabF.$$

PROOF. Let

$$F(Y_r) = \sum_\kappa \alpha_\kappa C^{(d)}_\kappa(Y_r)$$

Substituting the series into (16), applying Lemma 2.3 and equating the coefficients of $C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(Y_r)$ on both sides, we can see that if for all κ , α_{κ} satisfies

(17)
$$\sum_{i} {\binom{\kappa_{i}^{(r)}}{\kappa}}_{r} \left[c + k_{i} - \frac{d}{2}(i-1) \right] C_{\kappa_{i}^{(r)}}^{(d)}(I_{r}) \alpha_{\kappa_{i}^{(r)}} = \left[rab + k(a+b) + \rho_{\kappa} + \frac{d}{2}k(r+1) \right] C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(I_{r}) \alpha_{\kappa}$$

then $F(Y_r)$ satisfies (16).

Now, it suffices to show that

$$\alpha_{\kappa} = \frac{(a)_{\kappa}(b)_{\kappa}}{(c)_{\kappa}k!}$$

is a solution of (17). We note that $(a)_{\kappa_{i}^{(r)}} = (a)_{\kappa}[a+k_i-\frac{d}{2}(i-1)].$

The problem is reduced to showing that

(18)
$$\sum_{i} {\binom{\kappa_{i}^{(r)}}{\kappa}}_{r} \left[a + k_{i} - \frac{d}{2}(i-1) \right] \left[b + k_{i} - \frac{d}{2}(i-1) \right] C_{\kappa_{i}^{(r)}}^{(d)}(I_{r})$$
$$= (k+1) \left[rab + \rho_{\kappa} + ka + kb + \frac{d}{2}k(r+1) \right] C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(I_{r}).$$

This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.4.

In the following, for simplicity, 1 stands for the partition (1, 0, ..., 0) in the subscripts when partitions are involved.

LEMMA 2.7. If κ is a partition of k, then, for all $r \ge l(\kappa)$, and $i = 1, \ldots, r$,

(19)
$$\frac{J_{\kappa}(I_{r+1})}{J_{\kappa^{i}}(I_{r+1})} {\kappa \choose \kappa^{i}}_{r+1} = \frac{J_{\kappa}(I_{r})}{J_{\kappa^{i}}(I_{r})} {\kappa \choose \kappa^{i}}_{r} + G_{\kappa^{i}}^{\kappa^{i}}$$

where $G_{\sigma\tau}^{\kappa} = g_{\sigma\tau}^{\kappa} j_{\sigma}^{-1} j_{\tau}^{-1}$ and $g_{\sigma\tau}^{\kappa} = \langle J_{\sigma} J_{\tau}, J_{\kappa} \rangle$.

PROOF. Let $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$, by Proposition 4.2 in [12], we have

$$J_{\kappa}(x_{1},\ldots,x_{r},x_{r+1}) = \sum_{\nu} J_{\nu}(X;2/d) \Big(\sum_{\alpha} j_{\alpha}^{-1} g_{\nu\alpha}^{\kappa} J_{\alpha}(x_{r+1};2/d) \Big) j_{\nu}^{-1}$$

= $J_{\kappa}(X;2/d) + \Big[\sum_{i} j_{\kappa^{i}}^{-1} j_{1}^{-1} g_{\kappa^{i}1}^{\kappa} J_{\kappa^{i}}(X;2/d) \Big] x_{r+1} + P(X,x_{r+1}) x_{r+1}^{2}$

where $P(X, x_{r+1})$ is a polynomial of $x_1, \ldots, x_r, x_{r+1}$.

Then, using (12) and §1 (ii), we have

$$J_{\kappa}(I_{r+1})\sum_{i} {\binom{\kappa}{\kappa^{i}}}_{r+1} \frac{J_{\kappa^{i}}(X_{r})}{J_{\kappa^{i}}(I_{r+1})} = \varepsilon_{r+1}J_{\kappa}(X, x_{r+1}) \mid_{x_{r+1}=0}$$
$$= J_{\kappa}(I_{r})\sum_{i} {\binom{\kappa}{\kappa^{i}}}_{T} \frac{J_{\kappa^{i}}(X)}{J_{\kappa^{i}}(I_{r})} + \sum_{i} G_{\kappa^{i}1}^{\kappa} J_{\kappa^{i}}(X)$$

https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1992-079-x Published online by Cambridge University Press

Hence

$$\frac{J_{\kappa}(I_{r+1})}{J_{\kappa^{i}}(I_{r+1})} {\kappa \choose \kappa^{i}}_{r+1} = \frac{J_{\kappa}(I_{r})}{J_{\kappa^{i}}(I_{r})} {\kappa \choose \kappa^{i}}_{r} + G_{\kappa^{i}1}^{\kappa}$$

LEMMA 2.8. Suppose $l(\kappa) = n$, then

(20)
$$\binom{\kappa}{\kappa^n}_r = G_{\kappa^{n_1}}^{\kappa},$$

for all $r \ge n$.

PROOF. Since $l(\kappa) = n, \kappa = (k_1, \ldots, k_n), k_n \ge 1$, by (19) and §1(iv), we only have to prove that

$$\left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa^n}\right)_n = G_{\kappa^n 1}^{\kappa}.$$

For a partition λ of length $\leq n$, let m_{λ} be the symmetric polynomial

$$m_{\lambda}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\sum x^{\alpha}.$$

The summation is over all distinct permutations α of $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$.

On the one hand, $\S1$ (v) gives

$$J_{\kappa}(X_n + I_n) = \nu_{\kappa\kappa} ((x_1 + 1)^{k_1} \cdots (x_n + 1)^{k_n} + \cdots) + \cdots$$

= terms of degree k + terms of degree $k - 1$
+ terms of lower degree
= I + II + III.

In II, the term of highest weight is $k_n \nu_{\kappa\kappa} x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n-1}$. On the other hand, by definition and (iv) in §1

$$J_{\kappa}(X_n + I_n) = \sum_{s=0}^{k} \sum_{\sigma, |\sigma|=s} {\kappa \choose \sigma}_n \frac{J_{\kappa}(I_n)}{J_{\sigma}(I_n)} J_{\sigma}(X_n)$$

and

$$\frac{J_{\kappa}(I_n)}{J_{\kappa^n}(I_n)} = 1 + (k_n - 1)\frac{2}{d}.$$

Equating the coefficients of $J_{\kappa^n}(X_n)$ and applying §1 (v), we get

$$k_n \frac{\nu_{\kappa\kappa}(2/d)}{\nu_{\kappa^n\kappa^n}(2/d)} = {\kappa \choose \kappa^n}_n \left[1 + (k_n - 1)\frac{2}{d}\right].$$

Now it is enough to show that

$$k_n \frac{\nu_{\kappa\kappa}(2/d)}{\nu_{\kappa^n\kappa^n}(2/d)} = G_{\kappa^{n_1}}^{\kappa} \Big[1 + (k_n - 1)\frac{2}{d} \Big].$$

Theorem 6.1 in [12] gives

$$g_{\kappa^{n}1}^{\kappa} = \frac{2}{d} \prod_{s \in \kappa^{n}} A_{\kappa \kappa^{n}}(s) \prod_{s \in \kappa} B_{\kappa \kappa^{n}}(s)$$

where $A_{\kappa\kappa^n}(s)$ is defined to be $h_*^{\kappa^n}(s)$, if κ / κ^n does not contain an element in the same column as s, $h_{\kappa^n}^*(s)$, otherwise; and $B_{\kappa\kappa^n}(s)$ is defined to be $h_{\kappa}^*(s)$, if κ / κ^n does not contain an element in the same column as s, $h_{\kappa}^*(s)$, otherwise.

A direct computation yields

$$\frac{g_{\kappa^{n}1}^{\epsilon}[1+(k_{n}-1)\frac{2}{d}]}{(2/d)\prod_{s\in\kappa^{n}}h_{\kappa^{n}}^{*}(s)} = k_{n}\prod_{s\in\kappa}h_{*}^{\kappa}(s)$$

Hence, by $\S1$ (v), we have

$$\frac{g_{\kappa^{n}1}^{\kappa}[1+(k_{n}-1)\frac{2}{d}]}{j_{\kappa^{n}}j_{1}} = k_{n}\frac{\prod_{s\in\kappa}h_{*}^{\kappa}(s)}{\prod_{s\in\kappa_{n}}h_{*}^{\kappa^{n}}(s)} = k_{n}\frac{\nu_{\kappa\kappa}(2/d)}{\nu_{\kappa^{n}\kappa^{n}}(2/d)}$$

finishing the proof.

Let N(k) denote the number of partitions of k. When κ runs over all partitions of k, κ_i , $i = 1, ..., l(\kappa) + 1$, run over all partitions of (k + 1). We note that $2N(k) \ge N(k + 1)$. For $n \ge k + 1$, let

$$H(n,\kappa,\kappa_i) = \frac{J_{\kappa_i}(I_n)}{J_{\kappa}(I_n)} {\kappa_i \choose \kappa}_n$$

We consider the system of linear equations

(21)
$$\sum_{i} G_{\kappa_{1}}^{\kappa_{i}} x_{\kappa_{i}} = a_{\kappa}$$
$$H(n, \kappa, \kappa_{i}) x_{\kappa_{i}} = b_{\kappa}$$

where the x_{λ} are independent variables indexed by partitions of k + 1, κ runs over all partitions of k, and a_{κ} , b_{κ} are given constants.

LEMMA 2.9. The $2N(k) \times N(k+1)$ matrix formed from the coefficients of the left hand side of (21) has rank N(k+1).

PROOF. Let $\lambda(1) < \lambda(2) < \cdots < \lambda(N(k+1))$ and $x_j = x_{\lambda(j)}$, where $\lambda(j)$ is a partition of k + 1. In the following, we want to produce a system of linear equations which is equivalent to (21) and whose coefficient matrix has the form

c_1	*	*	•••	* \
0	c_2	*	•••	*
0	0	<i>c</i> ₃	• • •	*
:	÷	÷	·	:
0	0	0	• • •	$c_{N(k+1)}$
/ *	*	*	• • •	* /

with $c_j \neq 0, j = 1, ..., N(k+1)$.

For each j, j = 1, ..., N(k + 1), there are two possible cases for $\lambda(j)$.

$$\lambda(j) = (l_1, \ldots, l_{s-1}, 1, 0, \ldots, 0).$$

Set

$$\kappa = (l_1, \ldots, l_{s-1}, 0, \ldots, 0.)$$

then

$$\kappa_s = (l_1, \ldots, l_{s-1}, 1, 0, \ldots, 0) = \lambda(j).$$

Since κ_i is not a partition for i > s, $\sum_i G_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_i} x_{\kappa_i} = a_{\kappa}$ becomes

(22)
$$G_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_s} x_{\kappa_s} + \sum_{i < s} G_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_i} x_{\kappa_i} = a_{\kappa_s}$$

Set $c_j = G_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_s}$, then c_j is positive.

Now we can write (22) as

$$c_j x_j + \sum_{m > j} c_{mj} x_m = a_{\kappa}$$

by observing that $\lambda(j) = \kappa_s < \kappa_{s-1} < \cdots < \kappa_1$. So there is nothing to change; the *j*-th equation is already "triangular".

CASE 2.

$$\lambda(j) = (l_1, \ldots, l_{s-1}, l_s, 0, \ldots, 0)$$

with $l_s \geq 2$. Let

$$\kappa = (l_1, \ldots, l_{s-1}, l_s - 1, 0, \ldots, 0).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{s+1} &= (l_1, \dots, l_{s-1}, l_s - 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0) = \lambda(j-1) \\ \kappa_s &= (l_1, \dots, l_{s-1}, l_s, 0, \dots, 0) = \lambda(j). \end{aligned}$$

From (21), we have two equations

(a) $G_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_{s+1}} x_{\kappa_{s+1}} + G_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_s} x_{\kappa_s} + \sum_{i < s} G_{\kappa}^{\kappa_i} x_{\kappa_i} = a_{\kappa}$ (b) $H(n, \kappa, \kappa_{s+1}) x_{\kappa_{s+1}} + H(n, \kappa, \kappa_s) x_{\kappa_s} + \sum_{i < s} H(n, \kappa, \kappa_i) x_{\kappa_i} = b_{\kappa}$. By Lemma 2.8 and §1 (iv)

$$H(n,\kappa,\kappa_{s+1}) = \frac{J_{\kappa_{s+1}}(I_n)}{J_{\kappa}(I_n)} G_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_{s+1}} = (n-s)G_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_{s+1}}$$
$$H(n,\kappa,\kappa_s) = \frac{J_{\kappa_s}(I_n)}{J_{\kappa}(I_n)} G_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_s} = \left[(n-s+1) + \frac{2}{d} (I_s-1) \right] G_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_s}.$$

In the system of equations formed by (a) and (b) we can equivalently replace (b) by the following equation

$$\left[1+\frac{2}{d}(l_s-1)\right]G_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_s}x_{\kappa_s}+\sum_{i< s}[H(n,\kappa,\kappa_i)-(n-s)G_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_i}]x_{\kappa_i}=b_{\kappa}-(n-s)a_{\kappa}$$

Let $c_j = [1 + \frac{2}{d}(l_s - 1)]G_{\kappa_1}^{\kappa_s}$, then $c_j > 0$, we can write the above equation as

$$c_j x_j + \sum_{m > j} c_{mj} x_m = d_{\kappa}$$

Thus we have proved the lemma.

LEMMA 2.10. If a sequence $\{A_{\kappa}\}$ indexed by all partitions satisfies

(23)
$$\sum_{i} {\binom{\kappa_{i}}{\kappa}}_{k+1+r} \frac{J_{\kappa_{i}}(I_{k+1+r})}{J_{\kappa}(I_{k+1+r})} A_{\kappa_{i}} = \frac{d}{2(k+1)} \Big[(k+1+r)ab + \rho_{\kappa} + k(a+b) + \frac{d}{2}k(k+r+2) \Big] A_{\kappa_{i}}$$

for all positive integer $r \ge 2$, then $\{A_{\kappa}\}$ is uniquely determined by A_0 .

PROOF. Applying Lemma 2.7, we have

(24)
$$\left[\sum_{i} {\kappa_{i} \choose \kappa}_{k+1} \frac{J_{\kappa_{i}}(I_{k+1})}{J_{\kappa}(I_{k+1})} + rG_{\kappa_{1}}^{\kappa_{i}}\right] A_{\kappa_{i}}$$
$$= \frac{d}{2(k+1)} \left[(k+1+r)ab + \rho_{\kappa} + k(a+b) + \frac{d}{2}k(k+r+2) \right] A_{\kappa}$$

for all $r \ge 1$. Equating coefficients of r on both sides of (24) gives

(25)
$$\sum_{i} G_{\kappa_{1}}^{\kappa_{i}} A_{\kappa_{i}} = \frac{d}{2(k+1)} \left(ab + \frac{d}{2}k\right) A_{\kappa_{i}}$$

and equating constant terms gives

(26)
$$\sum_{i} {\binom{\kappa_{i}}{\kappa}}_{k+1} \frac{J_{\kappa_{i}}(I_{k+1})}{J_{\kappa}(I_{k+1})} A_{\kappa_{i}} = \frac{d}{2(k+1)} \Big[(k+1)ab + \rho_{\kappa} + k(a+b) + \frac{d}{2}k(k+2) \Big] A_{\kappa}.$$

By Lemma 2.9, we see that A_{κ} is uniquely determined by A_0 .

THEOREM 2.11. There exists a unique sequence $\{\alpha_{\kappa}\}$ indexed by all partitions with $\alpha_0 = 1$ such that for r = 2, 3, ...

(27)
$$F_r(y_1,\ldots,y_r) = \sum_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa} C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(y_1,\ldots,y_r)$$

satisfies

(28)
$$\delta_r F - \Delta_r F + \left[c - \frac{d}{2}(r-1)\right]\varepsilon_r F - \left[a+b+1 - \frac{d}{2}(r-1)\right]E_r F = rabF.$$

Moreover, $\alpha_{\kappa} = \frac{(a)_{\kappa}(b)_{\kappa}}{(c)_{\kappa}k!}$.

REMARK. By §1 (i), we know that the summation in (27) is only over the partitions with $l(\kappa) \leq r$.

PROOF. Let $\alpha_{\kappa} = \frac{(a)_{\kappa}(b)_{\kappa}}{(c)_{\kappa}k!}$; then Proposition 2.5 shows that for $r = 2, 3, ..., \sum_{\kappa} \alpha_{\kappa} C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(y_1, \ldots, y_r)$ satisfies (28).

Next, suppose that $\{\alpha_{\kappa}\}$ is such a sequence. From the proof of Proposition 2.5, we see that for all κ , all $r \ge l(\kappa) + 1$

$$\sum_{i} {\binom{\kappa_i}{\kappa}}_r \Big[c + k_i - \frac{d}{2}(i-1) \Big] C_{\kappa_i}^{(d)}(I_r) \alpha_{\kappa_i} = \Big[rab + k(a+b) + \rho_{\kappa} + \frac{d}{2}k(r+1) \Big] C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(I_r) \alpha_{\kappa}$$

Let $\alpha_{\kappa} = \frac{\beta_{\kappa}}{(c)_{\kappa}}$; then the above becomes

(29)
$$\sum_{i} {\binom{\kappa_{i}}{\kappa}}_{r} C_{\kappa_{i}}^{(d)}(I_{r})\beta_{\kappa_{i}} = \left[rab + k(a+b) + \rho_{\kappa} + \frac{d}{2}k(r+1)\right] C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(I_{r})\beta_{\kappa}.$$

Since $C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(y_1, \ldots, y_r) = \left(\frac{2}{d}\right)^k k! J_{\kappa}(y_1, \ldots, y_r; 2/d) j_{\kappa}^{-1}$, we have

(30)
$$\sum_{i} {\binom{\kappa_{i}}{\kappa}}_{r} \frac{J_{\kappa_{i}}(I_{r})}{J_{\kappa}(I_{r})} \beta_{\kappa_{i}} j_{\kappa_{i}}^{-1} = \frac{d}{2(k+1)} \left[rab + \rho_{\kappa} + k(a+b) + \frac{d}{2}k(r+1) \right] \beta_{\kappa} j_{\kappa}^{-1}.$$

By Lemma 2.10, $\beta_{\kappa} j_{\kappa}^{-1}$ is uniquely determined by $\beta_{(0)} j_{(0)}^{-1}$, therefore α_{κ} is uniquely determined by $\alpha_{(0)}$.

The following theorem can be proved in the same way as the case d = 1 in [11].

THEOREM 2.12. There exists a unique function F which satisfies the system of r partial differential equations

(31)
$$y_{i}(1-y_{i})\frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial y_{i}^{2}} + \left\{c - \frac{d}{2}(r-1) - \left[a + b + 1 - \frac{d}{2}(r-1)\right]y_{i} + \frac{d}{2}\sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{r}\frac{y_{i}(1-y_{i})}{y_{i} - y_{j}}\right\}\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_{i}} - \frac{d}{2}\sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{r}\frac{y_{j}(1-y_{j})}{y_{i} - y_{j}}\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_{j}} = abF$$

 $i = 1, \ldots, r$, subject to the conditions that

(a) F is a symmetric function of y_1, \ldots, y_r and

(b) F is analytic at $y_1 = \cdots = y_r = 0$ and F(0) = 1.

THEOREM 2.13. There exists a unique sequence $\{A_{\kappa}\}$ with $A_{(0)} = 1$ such that $F_r(y_1, \ldots, y_r) = \sum_{\kappa} A_{\kappa} C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(y_1, \ldots, y_r)$ satisfies (31) for $r = 2, 3, \ldots$. Moreover, $A_{\kappa} = \frac{(a)_{\kappa}(b)_{\kappa}}{(c)_{\kappa}k!}$.

PROOF. If such a sequence $\{A_{\kappa}\}$ exists, then $A_{\kappa} = \frac{(a)_{\kappa}(b)_{\kappa}}{(c)_{\kappa}k!}$ since the sum of the *r* partial differential equations of (31) is (28).

Therefore, we only need to establish the existence of $\{A_{\kappa}\}$. By Theorem 2.12, there exist F_n and F_{n+1} which are solutions of (27) subject to (a) and (b) for r = n and r = n+1 respectively. Then, we have

$$F_n(y_1,\ldots,y_n) = \sum_{\kappa} B_{\kappa} C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(y_1,\ldots,y_n), \quad l(\kappa) \le n,$$

$$F_{n+1}(y_1,\ldots,y_{n+1}) = \sum_{\kappa} D_{\kappa} C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(y_1,\ldots,y_{n+1}), \quad l(\kappa) \le n+1.$$

Now it is enough to show that $B_{\kappa} = D_{\kappa}$, if $l(\kappa) \leq n$.

Let

$$G_n(y_1,\ldots,y_n)=F_{n+1}(y_1,\ldots,y_n,0).$$

We note that

$$\frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial y_i}(y_1,\ldots,y_n,0) = \frac{\partial G_n}{\partial y_i}(y_1,\ldots,y_n), \quad 1 \le i \le n$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 F_{n+1}}{\partial y_i^2} = \frac{\partial^2 G_n}{\partial y_i^2}(y_1,\ldots,y_n), \quad 1 \le i \le n.$$

For $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we have

$$y_{i}(1-y_{i})\frac{\partial^{2}F_{n+1}}{\partial y_{i}^{2}} + \left\{c - \frac{d}{2}n - \left[a + b + 1 - \frac{d}{2}n\right]y_{i} + \frac{d}{2}\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{y_{i}(1-y_{i})}{y_{i} - y_{j}} + \frac{d}{2}\frac{y_{i}(1-y_{i})}{y_{i} - y_{n+1}}\right\}\frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial y_{i}} - \frac{d}{2}\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \frac{y_{j}(1-y_{j})}{y_{i} - y_{j}}\frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial y_{j}} - \frac{d}{2}\frac{y_{n+1}(1-y_{n+1})}{y_{i} - y_{n+1}}\frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial y_{n+1}} = abF_{n+1}.$$

Suppose $y_j \neq 0, j = 1, ..., n$, let $y_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$. We have

$$y_{i}(1-y_{i})\frac{\partial^{2}F_{n+1}}{\partial y_{i}^{2}}(y_{1},\ldots,y_{n},0) + \left\{c - \frac{d}{2}n - \left[a + b + 1 - \frac{d}{2}n\right]y_{i} + \frac{d}{2}\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{n}\frac{y_{i}(1-y_{i})}{y_{i}-y_{j}} + \frac{d}{2}(1-y_{i})\right\}\frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial y_{i}}(y_{1},\ldots,y_{n},0) - \frac{d}{2}\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{n}\frac{y_{j}(1-y_{j})}{y_{i}-y_{j}}\frac{\partial F_{n+1}}{\partial y_{j}}(y_{1},\ldots,y_{n},0) = abF_{n+1}(y_{1},\ldots,y_{n},0).$$

This is true for all $y_i \neq y_j$, i, j = 1, ..., n. (32) says that $G_n(y_1, ..., y_n)$ is a solution of (31) for r = n with $G_n(0, ..., 0) = 1$. By the uniqueness statement of Theorem 2.12, we have

 $G_n(y_1,\ldots,y_n)=F_n(y_1,\ldots,y_n).$

So $B_{\kappa} = D_{\kappa}$ for all $\kappa, l(\kappa) \leq n$.

As a corollary of Theorem 2.13, we have Theorem 2.1.

3. Generalized hypergeometric functions and their integral representations. In this section, we shall establish some properties of generalized hypergeometric functions and their integral representations.

Two special cases of the hypergeometric functions are given in the next proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.1. We have

(33)
$${}_{0}F_{0}^{(d)}(y_{1},\ldots,y_{r}) = e^{y_{1}+\cdots+y_{r}}$$

(34)
$${}_{1}F_{0}^{(d)}(a; y_{1}, \dots, y_{r}) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 - y_{i})^{-a}$$

PROOF. (33) follows from the definition and (iii) in $\S1$.

Let $b = c = 1 + \frac{d}{2}(r-1)$ in (5). Since both ${}_{1}F_{0}^{(d)}(a; y_{1}, ..., y_{r})$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{r}(1-y_{i})^{-a}$ satisfy (5), (34) follows from the uniqueness of the solution of (5).

Similarly we can establish analogues of the classical Kummer relations.

PROPOSITION 3.2. We have

(35)
$${}_{2}F_{1}^{(d)}(a,b;c;y_{1},\ldots,y_{r}) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1-y_{i})^{-a} {}_{2}F_{1}^{(d)}\left(a,c-b;c;-\frac{y_{1}}{1-y_{1}},\ldots,-\frac{y_{r}}{1-y_{r}}\right)$$

(36)
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1-y_i)^{c-a-b} {}_2F_1^{(d)}(c-a,c-b;c;y_1,\ldots,y_r)$$

The remainder of this section is to establish integral representations for the generalized hypergeometric functions.

For $a_1, \ldots, a_p, b_1, \ldots, b_q \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $(b_j)_{\kappa} \neq 0$ for all κ, j , we define

(37)
$$p \mathcal{F}_{q}^{(d)}(a_{1},\ldots,a_{p};b_{1},\ldots,b_{q};x_{1},\ldots,x_{r} \mid y_{1},\ldots,y_{r}) = \sum_{\kappa} \frac{(a_{1})_{\kappa}\cdots(a_{p})_{\kappa}}{(b_{1})_{\kappa}\cdots(b_{q})_{\kappa}} \frac{C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(x_{1},\ldots,x_{r})}{k!} \frac{C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(y_{1},\ldots,y_{r})}{C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(1,\ldots,1)}$$

REMARK. When r = 1, ${}_{p}\mathcal{F}_{q}^{(d)}(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{q}; x \mid y)$ becomes the classical hypergeometric function ${}_{p}f_{q}(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{q}; xy)$, in particular, ${}_{0}\mathcal{F}_{0}^{(d)}(x \mid y) = e^{xy}$ and ${}_{1}\mathcal{F}_{0}^{(d)}(a; x \mid y) = (1 - xy)^{-a}$.

In the following, we simply denote $\prod_{1 \le i < j \le r} |x_i - x_j|^d dx_1 \cdots dx_r$ by dV(X, d, r). The following conjecture of Macdonald has been proved in [6].

$$\int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} J_{\kappa}(X; 2/d) \prod_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}^{a-1} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1-x_{i})^{b-1} dV(X, d, r)$$

$$= J_{\kappa}(I_{r}; 2/d) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\Gamma(k_{i}+a+\frac{d}{2}(r-i))\Gamma(b+\frac{d}{2}(r-i))\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}i+1)}{\Gamma(k_{i}+a+b+\frac{d}{2}(2r-i-1))\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)}.$$

We define, for every $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \ldots, s_r)$,

(39)
$$\Gamma_d(\mathbf{s}) = (2\pi)^{\frac{r(r-1)}{4}d} \prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma\left(s_i - (i-1)\frac{d}{2}\right).$$

For $\mathbf{s} = (s, \ldots, s)$, we write $\Gamma_d(s)$ instead of $\Gamma((s, \ldots, s))$. We also define

(40)
$$c_0 = (2\pi)^{\frac{r(r-1)}{4}d} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(i\frac{d}{2}+1)},$$

(41)
$$q_0 = 1 + \frac{d}{2}(r-1).$$

PROPOSITION 3.3. If $p \le q+1$, we have, for $a_{p+1} > \frac{d}{2}(r-1)$, $b_{q+1} - a_{p+1} > \frac{d}{2}(r-1)$, $_{p+1}F_{q+1}^{(d)}(a_1, \dots, a_{p+1}; b_1, \dots, b_{q+1}; Y) = c_0 \frac{\Gamma_d(b_{q+1})}{\Gamma_d(a_{p+1})\Gamma_d(b_{q+1} - a_{p+1})}$ $\cdot \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 {}_p \mathcal{F}_q^{(d)}(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; X \mid Y)$ (42) $\cdot \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{a_{p+1}-q_0} \prod_{i=1}^r (1-x_i)^{b_{q+1}-a_{p+1}-q_0} \prod_{1\le i < j \le r} |x_i - x_j|^d dx_1 \dots dx_r.$

PROOF. (38) implies that the integral on the right side in (42) is equal to

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{\kappa} \frac{(a_{1})_{\kappa} \cdots (a_{p})_{\kappa}}{(b_{1})_{\kappa} \cdots (b_{q})_{\kappa}} \frac{C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(Y)}{k!} \cdot \int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \frac{C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(X)}{C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(I)} \prod_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}^{a_{p+1}-q_{0}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1-x_{i})^{b_{q+1}-a_{p+1}-q_{0}} dV(X,d,r) \\ &= \sum_{\kappa} \frac{(a_{1})_{\kappa} \cdots (a_{p})_{\kappa}}{(b_{1})_{\kappa} \cdots (b_{q})_{\kappa}} \frac{C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(Y)}{k!} \\ &\cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\Gamma(k_{i}+a_{p+1}-\frac{d}{2}(r-1)+\frac{d}{2}(r-i))\Gamma(b_{q+1}-a_{p+1}-\frac{d}{2}(r-1)+\frac{d}{2}(r-i))\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}i+1)}{\Gamma(k_{i}+b_{q+1}-(r-1)d+\frac{d}{2}(2r-i-1))\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)} \\ &= \sum_{\kappa} \frac{(a_{1})_{\kappa} \cdots (a_{p})_{\kappa}}{(b_{1})_{\kappa} \cdots (b_{q})_{\kappa}} \frac{C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(Y)}{k!} \\ &\cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\Gamma(k_{i}+a_{p+1}-\frac{d}{2}(i-1))\Gamma(b_{q+1}-a_{p+1}-\frac{d}{2}(i-1))\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}i+1)}{\Gamma(k_{i}+b_{q+1}-\frac{d}{2}(i-1))\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)}. \end{split}$$

From (39) and (40) we have

$$\begin{split} \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 {}_p \mathcal{F}_q^{(d)}(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; X \mid Y) \\ & \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{a_{p+1}-q_0} \prod_{i=1}^r (1-x_i)^{b_{q+1}-a_{p+1}-q_0} dV(X, d, r) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma(a_{p+1} - \frac{d}{2}(i-1))\Gamma(b_{q+1} - a_{p+1} - \frac{d}{2}(i-1))\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}i+1)}{\Gamma(b_{q+1} - \frac{d}{2}(i-1))\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)} \\ & \cdot {}_{p+1}F_{q+1}^{(d)}(a_1, \dots, a_{p+1}; b_1, \dots, b_{q+1}; y_1, \dots, y_r) \\ &= \frac{1}{c_0} \frac{\Gamma_d(a_{p+1})\Gamma_d(b_{q+1} - a_{p+1})}{\Gamma_d(b_{q+1})} {}_{p+1}F_{q+1}^{(d)}(a_1, \dots, a_{p+1}; b_1, \dots, b_{q+1}; y_1, \dots, y_r). \end{split}$$

In the classical case, there are the following well-known Euler integrals for $_{1}f_{1}$ and $_{2}f_{1}$

$${}_{1}f_{1}(a;b;y) = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b-a)} \int_{0}^{1} e^{xy} x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b-a-1} dx$$

for a > 0, b - a > 0.

$$_{2}f_{1}(a,b;c;y) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a) \mid \Gamma(c-a)} \int_{0}^{1} (1-xy)^{-b} x^{a-1} (1-x)^{c-a-1} dx$$

for a > 0, c - a > 0.

As remarked after (37), two special cases of Proposition 3.3 give the following generalizations of Euler integrals.

PROPOSITION 3.4. We have

$${}_{1}F_{1}^{(d)}(a;b;y_{1},\ldots,y_{r})$$

$$(43) = c_{0}\frac{\Gamma_{d}(b)}{\Gamma_{d}(a)\Gamma_{d}(b-a)} \cdot \int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} {}_{0}\mathcal{F}_{0}^{(d)}(X \mid Y)\prod_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}^{a-q_{0}}\prod_{i=1}^{r} (1-x_{i})^{b-a-q_{0}} dV(X,d,r)$$

if $a > \frac{d}{2}(r-1), b-a > \frac{d}{2}(r-1)$, and

$${}_{2}F_{1}^{(d)}(a,b;c;y_{1},\ldots,y_{r})$$

$$(44) = c_{0}\frac{\Gamma_{d}(c)}{\Gamma_{d}(a)\Gamma_{d}(c-a)} \cdot \int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{F}_{0}^{(d)}(b;X \mid Y) \prod_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}^{a-q_{0}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1-x_{i})^{c-a-q_{0}} dV(X,d,r)$$

 $if a > \frac{d}{2}(r-1), c-a > \frac{d}{2}(r-1).$

As a consequence of (34), (37), (38) and Proposition 3.4, we have the following generalized Gaussian summation formula

COROLLARY 3.5. If
$$a > \frac{r-1}{2}d$$
, $c-a-b > \frac{r-1}{2}d$, then
 $_2F_1^{(d)}(a,b;c;I_r) = \frac{\Gamma_d(c)\Gamma_d(c-a-b)}{\Gamma_d(c-a)\Gamma_d(c-b)}$.

Once we have Proposition 3.4, it is interesting to express $_0\mathcal{F}_0$ and $_1\mathcal{F}_0$ explicitly. In the case of r = 2, we can express $_0\mathcal{F}_0$ and $_1\mathcal{F}_0$ in terms of classical hypergeometric functions. See [14]. For general r, we have

PROPOSITION 3.6. We have

$$_{1}\mathcal{F}_{0}^{(d)}\left(\frac{rd}{2};x_{1},\ldots,x_{r}\mid y_{1},\ldots,y_{r}\right)=\prod_{i,j=1}^{r}(1-x_{i}y_{j})^{-d/2}.$$

PROOF. On the one hand, by Proposition 2.1 in [12], we have

$$\prod_{i,j=1}^{r} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-d/2} = \sum_{\kappa} J_{\kappa}(X; 2/d) J_{\kappa}(Y; 2/d) j_{\kappa}^{-1}$$

On the other hand, by $\S1$ (iv), we have

$$J_{\kappa}(I_r; 2/d) = (2/d)^k \left(\frac{rd}{2}\right)_{\kappa}.$$

Hence, by the definitions, we have

$${}_{1}\mathcal{F}_{0}^{(d)}\left(\frac{rd}{2};x_{1},\ldots,x_{r} \mid y_{1},\ldots,y_{r}\right) = \sum_{\kappa} \left(\frac{rd}{2}\right) \frac{(2/d)^{k}}{J_{\kappa}(I_{r};2/d)} J_{\kappa}(X;2/d) J_{\kappa}(Y;2/d) j_{\kappa}^{-1}$$
$$= \prod_{i,j=1}^{r} (1-x_{i}y_{j})^{-d/2}.$$

As a corollary, we have

COROLLARY 3.7.

$${}_{2}F_{1}^{(d)}\left(a,\frac{rd}{2};c;y_{1},\ldots,y_{r}\right)$$

= $c_{0}\frac{\Gamma_{d}(c)}{\Gamma_{d}(a)\Gamma_{d}(c-a)}\cdot\int_{0}^{1}\cdots\int_{0}^{1}\prod_{i,j=1}^{r}(1-x_{i}y_{j})^{-d/2}\prod_{i=1}^{r}x_{i}^{a-q_{0}}\prod_{i=1}^{r}(1-x_{i})^{c-a-q_{0}}dV(X,d,r)$

 $if a > \frac{d}{2}(r-1), c-a > \frac{d}{2}(r-1).$

4. Asymptotic behavior of $_{p+1}F_p^{(d)}$. It is known that $_{p+1}F_p(Y)$ is convergent for Y with $|y_i| < 1, i = 1, ..., r$. In this section, we study the asymptotic behavior of $_{p+1}F_p$ as $Y \rightarrow I$. It turns out that some new phenomena appear when r > 1.

Let

$$d_{\kappa} = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le r} \frac{k_i - k_j + \frac{d}{2}(j-i)}{\frac{d}{2}(j-i)} \frac{B(k_i - k_j, \frac{d}{2}(j-i-1)+1)}{B(k_i - k_j, \frac{d}{2}(j-i+1))}$$

for all κ with $l(\kappa) \leq r$.

(45)
$$\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)^k J_{\kappa}(1,\ldots,1;2/d) j_{\kappa}^{-1} = \frac{d_{\kappa}}{(q_0)_{\kappa}}$$

PROOF. For a partition κ , let $s(\kappa)$ be the positive integer such that

 $k_1 \geq \cdots \geq k_{s(\kappa)} > k_{s(\kappa)+1} = \cdots = k_r = 0.$

We will prove (45) by induction on $s(\kappa)$.

Let $s = s(\kappa)$. When s = 1, a direct calculation gives (45). Now we assume that (45) is true for all partition λ with $s(\lambda) \le s - 1$. Suppose s > 1. Let (a) $l_i = k_i - k_s$, if $i \le s$,

(b) $l_i = 0$, if i > s,

and $\lambda = (l_1, ..., l_r)$.

Then λ is a partition of $k - sk_s$ with $s(\lambda) \leq s - 1$, hence

(46)
$$\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)^l J_{\lambda}(1,\ldots,1;2/d) j_{\lambda}^{-1} = \frac{d_{\lambda}}{(q_0)_{\lambda}}$$

with $l = k - sk_s$.

Let

$$A = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{k_s} [1 + (r-i)d/2 + k_i - j],$$

$$B = \prod_{1 \le i < s+1 \le j \le r} \left[\frac{k_i + \frac{j-i}{2}d}{k_i - k_s + \frac{j-i}{2}d} \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{k_s} \frac{k_i - n + \frac{j-i+1}{2}d}{k_i - n + \frac{j-i-1}{2}d + 1} \right].$$

CLAIM 1.

(47)
$$(q_0)_{\kappa} = (q_0)_{\lambda} A.$$

PROOF. A direct calculation.

CLAIM 2. (48)

$$d_{\kappa}=d_{\lambda}B.$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{split} d_{\lambda} &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{l_{i} - l_{j} + \frac{j - i}{2} d}{\frac{j - i}{2} d} \cdot \frac{B(l_{i} - l_{j}, \frac{j - i - 1}{2} d + 1)}{B(l_{i} - l_{j}, \frac{j - i + 1}{2} d)} \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} \frac{k_{i} - k_{j} + \frac{j - i}{2} d}{\frac{j - i}{2} d} \cdot \frac{B(k_{i} - k_{j}, \frac{j - i - 1}{2} d + 1)}{B(k_{i} - k_{j}, \frac{j - i - 1}{2} d + 1)} \\ &\cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < s + 1 \leq j \leq r} \frac{k_{i} - k_{s} + \frac{j - i}{2} d}{\frac{j - i}{2} d} \cdot \frac{B(k_{i} - k_{s}, \frac{j - i - 1}{2} d + 1)}{B(k_{i} - k_{s}, \frac{j - i - 1}{2} d + 1)} \\ d_{\kappa} &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} \frac{k_{i} - k_{j} + \frac{j - i}{2} d}{\frac{j - i}{2} d} \cdot \frac{B(k_{i} - k_{j}, \frac{j - i - 1}{2} d + 1)}{B(k_{i} - k_{j}, \frac{j - i - 1}{2} d + 1)} \\ &\cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < s + 1 \leq j \leq r} \frac{k_{i} + \frac{j - i}{2} d}{\frac{j - i}{2} d} \cdot \frac{B(k_{i} - k_{j}, \frac{j - i - 1}{2} d + 1)}{B(k_{i}, \frac{j - i + 1}{2} d)} \\ &= d_{\lambda} \prod_{1 \leq i < s + 1 \leq j \leq r} \frac{k_{i} + \frac{j - i}{2} d}{k_{i} - k_{s} + \frac{j - i}{2} d} \\ &\cdot \frac{B(k_{i}, \frac{j - i - 1}{2} d + 1)}{B(k_{i}, \frac{j - i + 1}{2} d)} \frac{B(k_{i} - k_{s}, \frac{j - i + 1}{2} d)}{B(k_{i} - k_{s}, \frac{j - i + 1}{2} d + 1)} \\ &= d_{\lambda} \prod_{1 \leq i < s + 1 \leq j \leq r} \left[\frac{k_{i} + \frac{j - i}{2} d}{k_{i} - k_{s} + \frac{j - i}{2} d} \cdot \frac{k_{i} - k_{s} + \frac{j - i}{2} d}{B(k_{i} - k_{s}, \frac{j - i + 1}{2} d + 1)} \right] \\ &= d_{\lambda} \prod_{1 \leq i < s + 1 \leq j \leq r} \left[\frac{k_{i} + \frac{j - i}{2} d}{k_{i} - k_{s} + \frac{j - i}{2} d} \cdot \frac{k_{i} - n + \frac{j - i + 1}{2} d}{B(k_{i} - k_{s}, \frac{j - i + 1}{2} d + 1)} \right] \\ &= d_{\lambda} B. \end{split}$$

Let

$$C_{1} = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{k_{s}} \left[r - (i-1) + \frac{2}{d} (k_{i} - k_{s} + j - 1) \right],$$

$$C_{2} = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{k_{s}} \left[s - i + \frac{2}{d} (1 + k_{i} - j) \right],$$

$$C_{3} = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{k_{s}} \left[s - i + 1 + \frac{2}{d} (k_{i} - j) \right].$$

From (iv) in §1 and [8], we have

(49)
$$J_{\kappa}(I_r; 2/d) = J_{\lambda}(I_r; 2/d)C_1.$$

For a partition κ , let

$$h^*(\kappa) = \prod_{s \in \kappa} h^*_{\kappa}(s),$$
$$h_*(\kappa) = \prod_{s \in \kappa} h^{\kappa}_*(s).$$

Then, a computation yields

$$h^*(\kappa) = h^*(\lambda)C_2,$$

$$h_*(\kappa) = h_*(\lambda)C_3.$$

By §1 (vii), we have

$$j_{\kappa} = h^*(\kappa)h_*(\kappa).$$

Hence

(50)
$$j_{\kappa} = j_{\lambda}C_2C_3,$$
$$\frac{J_{\lambda}(I_r; 2/d)}{j_{\lambda}} = \frac{J_{\kappa}(I_r; 2/d)}{j_{\kappa}}\frac{C_2C_3}{C_1}.$$

By Claim 1, Claim 2, (46) and (50), we have

$$\frac{d_{\kappa}}{(q_0)_{\kappa}} = \frac{d_{\lambda}}{(q_0)_{\lambda}} \cdot \frac{B}{A}$$
$$= (2/d)^l \frac{J_{\lambda}(I_r; 2/d)}{j_{\lambda}} \frac{B}{A}$$
$$= (2/d)^{k-sk_s} \frac{J_{\kappa}(I_r; 2/d)}{j_{\kappa}} \frac{C_2 C_3}{C_1} \frac{B}{A}.$$

Therefore, it is enough to show that

$$\frac{B}{A} = (2/d)^{sk_s} \frac{C_1}{C_2 C_3}.$$

In fact, a computation shows that

$$B = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{k_s} [k_i - j + 1 + \frac{r-i}{2}d] \prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{k_s} [k_i - j + \frac{r+1-i}{2}d]}{\prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{k_s} [k_i - j + 1 + \frac{s-i}{2}d] \prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{k_s} [k_i - j + \frac{s+1-i}{2}d]}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{B}{A} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{k_s} [k_i - j + \frac{r+1-i}{2}d]}{\prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{k_s} [k_i - j + 1 + \frac{s-i}{2}d] \prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{k_s} [k_i - j + \frac{s+1-i}{2}d]} = (2/d)^{sk_s} C_1 C_2^{-1} C_3^{-1}.$$

This finishes the proof.

COROLLARY 4.2.

$${}_{p}F_{q}^{(d)}(a_{1},\ldots,a_{p};b_{1},\ldots,b_{p};y_{1},\ldots,y_{r})$$

$$=\sum_{\kappa}\frac{(a_{1})_{\kappa}\cdots(a_{p})_{\kappa}}{(a_{1})_{\kappa}\cdots(a_{p})_{\kappa}}\frac{d_{\kappa}}{(q_{0})_{\kappa}}\cdot\frac{C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(y_{1},\ldots,y_{r})}{C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(1,\ldots,1)}$$

https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1992-079-x Published online by Cambridge University Press

Once having Corollary 4.2, we can use the Γ -function to give the asymptotic behavior of $_{p+1}F_p^{(d)}$.

Set

$$I_{\alpha}(t) = \sum_{\kappa} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{l} (k_j + 1)^{\alpha} \right] \left[\prod_{1 \le p < q \le l} (k_p - k_q + 1)^d \right] t^k$$

with $l(\kappa) \leq l, 0 < t < 1$.

First we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.3. For 0 < t < 1, (*i*) If $\alpha + (l - 1)d + 1 < 0$, then, $I_{\alpha}(t)$ is bounded; (*ii*) If $\alpha + 1 > 0$,

$$I_{\alpha}(t) \approx (1-t)^{-[l\alpha+l+(l-1)\frac{l}{2}d]};$$

(*iii*) If $\alpha + (l - j)d + 1 = 0$,

$$I_{\alpha}(t) \approx (1-t)^{-(j-1)\frac{j}{2}d} \log \frac{1}{1-t};$$

(*iv*) If $\alpha + (l-j)d + 1 > 0 > \alpha + (l-j-1)d + 1,$
$$I_{\alpha}(t) \approx (1-t)^{-j[\alpha+1+ld-\frac{j+1}{2}d]}.$$

(By $A(x) \approx B(x)$, we mean that there exist two positive numbers C_1 and C_2 such that $C_1 \leq \frac{A(x)}{B(x)} \leq C_2$ as x varies.)

PROOF. On the one hand, we have

$$\begin{split} I_{\alpha}(t) &\geq \sum_{k_{l}=0}^{\infty} \bigg\{ \sum_{\substack{k_{1}\geq \cdots \geq k_{l-1} \\ k_{l-1}\geq k_{l}}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} (k_{j}-k_{l}+1)^{\alpha} \right) \\ &\cdot \left(\prod_{1\leq p < q \leq l-1} [(k_{p}-k_{l})-(k_{q}-k_{l})+1]^{d} \right) \\ &\left(\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} (k_{j}-k_{l}+1)^{d} \right) t^{(k_{1}-k_{l})+\dots+(k_{l-1}-k_{l})+(l-1)k_{l}} \bigg\} k_{l}^{\alpha} t^{k_{l}} \\ &= \sum_{k_{l}=0}^{\infty} \bigg[\sum_{k_{1}\geq \cdots \geq k_{l-1}\geq 0} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} (k_{j}+1)^{\alpha+d} \prod_{1\leq p < q \leq l-1} (k_{p}-k_{q}+1)^{d} t^{k_{1}+\dots+k_{l-1}} \bigg] k_{l}^{\alpha} t^{lk_{l}} \\ &= \bigg[\sum_{k_{1}\geq \cdots \geq k_{l-1}\geq 0} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} (k_{j}+1)^{\alpha+d} \\ &\cdot \prod_{1\leq p < q \leq l-1} (k_{p}-k_{q}+1)^{d} t^{k_{1}+\dots+k_{l-1}} \bigg] \bigg[\sum_{k_{l}=0}^{\infty} k_{l}^{\alpha} t^{lk_{l}} \bigg] \\ &\vdots \\ &\geq C \bigg(\sum_{k_{1}=1}^{\infty} k_{1}^{\alpha+(l-1)d} t^{k_{1}} \bigg) \\ &\cdot \bigg(\sum_{k_{2}=1}^{\infty} k_{2}^{\alpha+(l-2)d} t^{k_{2}} \bigg) \cdots \bigg(\sum_{k_{l-1}=1}^{\infty} k_{l-1}^{\alpha+d} t^{k_{l-1}} \bigg) \bigg(\sum_{k_{l}=1}^{\infty} k_{l}^{\alpha} t^{k_{l}} \bigg). \end{split}$$

On the other hand, we can similarly show that

$$I_{\alpha}(t) \leq \Big(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} k_1^{\alpha+(l-1)d} t^{k_1}\Big) \Big(\sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} k_2^{\alpha+(l-2)d} t^{k_2}\Big) \cdots \Big(\sum_{k_{l-1}=0}^{\infty} k_{l-1}^{\alpha+d} t^{k_l-1}\Big) \Big(\sum_{k_l=0}^{\infty} k_l^{\alpha} t^{k_l}\Big).$$

Hence

(51)
$$I_{\alpha(t)} \approx \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\alpha+(l-1)d} t^m\right) \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\alpha+(l-2)d} t^m\right) \cdots \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\alpha} t^m\right).$$

Let

$$I_{\alpha,j}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\alpha + (l-j)d} t^m.$$

For-1 < t < 1, we have

(a) if α + (l - j)d + 1 < 0, then, I_{α,j}(t) is bounded;
(b) if α + (l - j)d + 1 > 0, then,

$$I_{\alpha,j}(t) \approx (1-t)^{-[\alpha+(l-j)d+1]};$$

(c) if
$$\alpha + (l - j)d + 1 = 0$$
, then,

$$I_{\alpha,j}(t) \approx \log \frac{1}{1-t}$$

Now the lemma follows immediately from (51), (a), (b) and (c).

PROPOSITION 4.4. Let $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} a_i - \sum_{i=1}^p b_i$. Suppose for all κ

$$\frac{(a_1)_{\kappa}\cdots(a_{p+1})_{\kappa}}{(b_1)_{\kappa}\cdots(b_p)_{\kappa}}>0.$$

We have, for $-1 < y_i < 1$, i = 1, ..., r, (i) if $\gamma > (r-1)d/2$, then

$$_{p+1}F_p(a_1,\ldots,a_{p+1};b_1,\ldots,b_p;y_1,\ldots,y_r)\approx\prod_{i=1}^r(1-y_i)^{-\gamma};$$

(ii) if $\gamma < -(r-1)d/2$, then there exists a constant C such that

$$_{p+1}F_p(a_1,\ldots,a_{p+1};b_1,\ldots,b_p;y_1,\ldots,y_r) \leq C;$$

(*iii*) if $\gamma = d(-\frac{r-1}{2} + j - 1)$, j = 1, ..., r, then, for $y_1 = \cdots = y_r = t, -1 < t < 1$,

$$_{p+1}F_p(a_1,\ldots,a_{p+1};b_1,\ldots,b_p;t,\ldots,t)\approx (1-t)^{-(j-1)\frac{j}{2}d}\log\frac{1}{1-t};$$

(iv) if $d(-\frac{r-1}{2}+j-1) < \gamma < (-\frac{r-1}{2}+j)d$, j = 1, ..., r-1, then, for $y_1 = \cdots = y = t$, -1 < t < 1,

$$_{p+1}F_p(a_1,\ldots,a_{p+1};b_1,\ldots,b_p;t,\ldots,t)\approx (1-t)^{-j[\gamma+(r-j)d/2]}$$

PROOF. By Corollary 4.2,

$${}_{p+1}F_p(a_1,\ldots,a_{p+1};b_1,\ldots,b_p;Y) = \sum_{\kappa} \frac{(a_1)_{\kappa}\cdots(a_{p+1})_{\kappa}}{(b_1)_{\kappa}\cdots(b_p)_{\kappa}} \frac{d_{\kappa}}{(q_0)_{\kappa}} \frac{C_{\kappa}(Y)}{C_{\kappa}(I_r)}.$$

First,

$$d_{\kappa} = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le r} \frac{\Gamma((j-i-1)d/2+1)}{\Gamma(d/2(j-i+1))} \\ \cdot \prod_{1 \le i < j \le r} \frac{k_i - k_j + (j-i)d/2}{(j-i)d/2} \frac{\Gamma(k_i - k_j + (j-i+1)d/2)}{\Gamma(k_i - k_j + (j-i-1)d/2+1)}.$$

By Stirling's formula, as κ varies

(52)
$$d_{\kappa} \approx \prod_{1 \le i < j \le r} (k_i - k_j + 1)^d.$$

Secondly, if $|\frac{(A)_{\kappa}}{(B)_{\kappa}}| > 0$, again by Stirling's formula, as κ varies

$$\frac{(A)_{\kappa}}{(B)_{\kappa}} \approx \prod_{j=1}^{r} (k_j + 1)^{A-B}.$$

Hence, as κ varies,

(53)
$$\frac{(a_1)_{\kappa}\cdots(a_{p+1})_{\kappa}}{(b_1)_{\kappa}\cdots(b_p)_{\kappa}(q_0)_{\kappa}}\approx\prod_{j=1}^r(k_j+1)^{\gamma-(r-1)d/2d-1}$$

(a) If $\gamma > (r-1)d/2$, then

$$\frac{(\gamma)_{\kappa}}{(q)_{\kappa}} \approx \prod_{j=1}^{r} (k_j+1)^{\gamma-(r-1)d/2-1}.$$

Thus

$${}_{p+1}F_p(a_1,\ldots,a_{p+1};b_1,\ldots,b_p;Y)\approx\sum_{\kappa}\frac{(\gamma)_{\kappa}}{(q_0)_{\kappa}}d_{\kappa}\frac{C_{\kappa}(Y)}{C_{\kappa}(I_r)}=\prod_{i=1}^r(1-y_i)^{-\gamma}.$$

(b) If $\gamma < -(r-1)d/2$, let $t = \max\{|y_1|, \dots, |y_r|\}$, then

$$C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(y_1,\ldots,y_r) \leq C_{\kappa}^{(d)}(t,\ldots,t).$$

So, by (i) in Lemma 4.3,

$$|_{p+1}F_p(a_1,\ldots,a_{p+1};b_1,\ldots,b_p;Y)| = I_{\gamma-\frac{d}{2}(r-1)-1}$$
 $(t) \leq C.$

That is (ii).

(c) If $-\frac{d}{2}(r-1) \le \gamma \le \frac{d}{2}(r-1)$, then, Lemma 4.3 gives (iii) and (iv).

ZHIMIN YAN

REMARK. In the case of $r \ge 2$, we note that as γ varies in the interval $\left[-\frac{r-1}{2}d, \frac{r-1}{2}d\right]$, the asymptotics of $_{p+1}F_p$ varies in such a way as described in the proposition, these features are not shared by the r = 1 case in which the interval $\left[-\frac{r-1}{2}d, \frac{r-1}{2}d\right]$ is degenerated to the point 0.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. I would like to express my gratitude and respect to Professor Adam Korányi for his suggesting the problems, his valuable advice and constant encouragement. I also thank the referee for his some very insightful comments, which lead to Corollary 3.5, and pointing out a few misprints in the original manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. G. Constantine, *Some noncentral distribution problems in multivariate analysis*, Ann. Math. Statist. **34**(1963),1270–1285.
- J. Faraut and A. Korányi, Function spaces and reproducing kernels on bounded symmetric domains, J. Functional Analysis 88(1990), 64–89.
- 3. ____, Fonctions hypergéométriques associées aux cônes symétriques, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 307(1988), 555–558.
- **4.** K. Gross and D. Richards, *Special functions of matrix argument I*, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. **301**(1987), 781–811.
- 5. C. S. Herz, Bessel functions of matrix argument, Ann. of Math. (3) 61(1955), 474–523.
- 6. K. W. Kadell, Selberg-Jack polynomials, preprint.
- 7. A. Korányi, Hua-type integrals, hypergeometric functions and symmetric polynomials, preprint.
- I. G. Macdonald, *Commuting differential operators and zonal spherical functions*, Algebraic Group, Utrecht 1986, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1271(1987), 189–200.
- 9. J. Mitchell, Singular Integrals on Bounded Symmetric Domains II, Contemporay Mathematics 9(1982), 327–331.
- **10.** J. Mitchell and G. Sampson, *Singular Integrals on Bounded Symmetric Domains in* C^{*n*}, J. Mathematical Analysis and Applications **90**(1982), 371–380.
- 11. R. J. Muirhead, Aspects of multivariate statistical theory, J. Wiley, New York, 1982.
- **12.** R. P. Stanley, *Some combinatorial propertities of Jack symmetric functions*, Adv. in Math. **77**(1989), 76–115.
- 13. Z. Yan, Generalized hypergeometric functions, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris (I) 310(1990), 349-354.
- Generalized Hypergeometric Functions and Laguerre Polynomials in Two Variables, Contemporary Mathematics, to appear.

Department of Mathematics Graduate School of City University of New York 33 W. 42 Street New York, New York 10036 U.S.A.

Current address: Department of Mathematics University of California Berkeley, California 94720 U.S.A.