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Abstract. We study the semilinear Schrödinger equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u=f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{N} \\
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f$ is a superlinear, subcritical nonlinearity. It focuses on the case where $V(x)=V_{0}(x)+V_{1}(x)$, $V_{0} \in C\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right), V_{0}(x)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}, \sup \left[\sigma\left(-\Delta+V_{0}\right) \cap(-\infty, 0)\right]<0<$ $\inf \left[\sigma\left(-\Delta+V_{0}\right) \cap(0, \infty)\right], V_{1} \in C\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, and $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V_{1}(x)=0$. A new super-quadratic condition is obtained that is weaker than some well-known results.

## 1 Introduction

Consider the following semilinear Schrödinger equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u=f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{1.1}\\
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $V: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are asymptotically periodic in $x$ and $f$ is superlinear as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$.

The existence of a nontrivial solution for (1.1) has been widely investigated when $V(x)$ and $f(x, u)$ are periodic in $x$ and satisfy the following basic assumptions:
(V) $V \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), V(x)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}$ and

$$
\sup [\sigma(-\Delta+V) \cap(-\infty, 0)]<0<\bar{\Lambda}:=\inf [\sigma(-\Delta+V) \cap(0, \infty)] .
$$

(F1) $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$, and there exist constants $p \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$ and $C_{0}>0$ such that

$$
|f(x, t)| \leq C_{0}\left(1+|t|^{p-1}\right), \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}
$$

(F2) $f(x, t)=o(|t|)$ as $|t| \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, and $F(x, t):=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) \mathrm{d} s \geq 0$. (F3) $f(x, t)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}$.
See $[4,5,11,12,19,28,30]$ and the references therein. In those papers, (AR) is a classical existence condition that is due to Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [2].

[^0](AR) there exists a $\mu>2$ such that
$$
0<\mu F(x, t) \leq t f(x, t), \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})
$$
(AR) is a very convenient hypothesis, since it readily achieves mountain pass geometry as well as satisfaction of the Palais-Smale condition. However, it is a severe restriction, since it strictly controls the growth of $f(x, t)$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. In recent years, there have been papers devoted to replacing (AR) with weaker conditions. For example, Liu and Wang [17] first introduced a more natural superquadratic condition.
(SQ) $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F(x, t)|}{|t|^{2}}=\infty$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Subsequently, (SQ) has been commonly used $[6-8,12,13,18,21,31]$. However, it is not sufficient to guarantee that (1.1) has a nontrivial solution. Later, Ding and Lee [6] gave the following milder existence condition.
(DL) $\mathcal{F}(x, t):=\frac{1}{2} t f(x, t)-F(x, t)>0$ if $t \neq 0$, and there exist $c_{0}>0, r_{0}>0$, and $\kappa>$ $\max \{1, N / 2\}$ such that $|f(x, t)|^{\kappa} \leq c_{0} \mathcal{F}(x, t)|t|^{\kappa}$, for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R},|t| \geq r_{0}$.
Under Assumption (F1), Condition (DL) greatly weakens (AR). Soon after, (DL) was generalized to more general equations or systems (see e.g., $[3,21,23,32,34]$ ).

Szulkin and Weth [20] developed an ingenious approach to find the ground state solutions for problem (1.1). They demonstrated that (SQ) together with the following Nehari type assumption ( Ne ) implies that (1.1) has a ground state solution.
$(\mathrm{Ne}) t \mapsto f(x, t) /|t|$ is strictly increasing on $(-\infty, 0) \cup(0, \infty)$.
Based on Szulkin and Weth [20], Liu [16] showed that (1.1) has a nontrivial solution by using the following weak version (WN) instead of (Ne).
(WN) $t \mapsto f(x, t) /|t|$ is non-decreasing on $(-\infty, 0) \cup(0, \infty)$.
Recently, Tang [22] introduced new super-quadratic conditions as follows.
(WS) $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F(x, t)|}{|t|^{2}}=\infty$, almost everywhere $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$;
(Ta) there exists a $\theta_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{2} t f(x, t) \geq \int_{\theta t}^{t} f(x, s) \mathrm{d} s=F(x, t)-F(x, \theta t)
$$

for all $\theta \in\left[0, \theta_{0}\right],(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}$.
Clearly, (WS) is slightly weaker than (SQ). Besides, (Ta) improves (AR), (WN), and the following weak version of (AR) (see [22]).
(WAR) there exists a $\mu>2$ such that $0 \leq \mu F(x, t) \leq t f(x, t)$, for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}$.
Motivated by the aforementioned works, in the periodic case, we first weaken (DL) to the following condition, i.e., $\mathcal{F}(x, t)>0, t \neq 0$, to $\mathcal{F}(x, t) \geq 0$.
(F4) $\mathcal{F}(x, t) \geq 0$, and there exist $c_{0}>0, \delta_{0} \in(0, \bar{\Lambda})$, and $\kappa>\max \{1, N / 2\}$ such that

$$
\frac{f(x, t)}{t} \geq \bar{\Lambda}-\delta_{0} \quad \text { implies } \quad\left[\frac{f(x, t)}{t}\right]^{\kappa} \leq c_{0} \mathcal{F}(x, t) .
$$

Clearly, (WAR) and (DL) yield (F4). What we do notice, though, is that we cannot verify that (WN) implies (F4). However it is very difficult to find a function $f$ that satisfies both (F2) and (WN,) but not (F4). Before presenting our first result, we give two nonlinear examples to illustrate Assumption (F4).

Example 1.1 Let $F(x, t)=t^{2} \ln \left[1+t^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(2 \pi x_{1}\right)\right]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x, t)=2 t \ln \left[1+t^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(2 \pi x_{1}\right)\right]+\frac{2 t^{3} \sin ^{2}\left(2 \pi x_{1}\right)}{1+t^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(2 \pi x_{1}\right)} \\
& \mathcal{F}(x, t)=\frac{t^{4} \sin ^{2}\left(2 \pi x_{1}\right)}{1+t^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(2 \pi x_{1}\right)} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $f$ satisfies (WS) and (F4) with $\kappa>\max \{1, N / 2\}$, but none of (AR), (SQ), (WAR), or (DL).

Example 1.2 Let $N \leq 4$ and $F(x, t)=a\left(|t|^{13 / 4}-\frac{5}{2}|t|^{11 / 4}+\frac{45}{16}|t|^{9 / 4}\right), a>0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x, t)=a\left(\frac{13}{4}|t|^{5 / 4}-\frac{55}{8}|t|^{3 / 4}+\frac{405}{64}|t|^{1 / 4}\right) t \\
& \mathcal{F}(x, t)=\frac{5}{8} a|t|^{9 / 4}\left(\sqrt{|t|}-\frac{3}{4}\right)^{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, $f$ satisfies (SQ) and (F4) with $\kappa=12 / 5$ and $a \in(0,64 \bar{\Lambda} / 405)$, but none of (AR), (WN), (WAR), (DL), or (Ta).

We are now in a position to state the first result of this paper.
Theorem 1.3 Assume that $V$ and $f$ satisfy (V), (F1), (F2), (F3), (F4), and (WS). Then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.

When $V(x)$ is positive and asymptotically periodic, there are considerably fewer results $[1,14,33]$. In this case, the spectrum $\sigma(-\Delta+V) \subset(0, \infty)$. Comparing with appropriate solutions of a periodic problem associated with (1.1), a nontrivial solution can be found by using a version of the mountain pass theorem.

When $V(x)$ is periodic and sign-changing, while $f(x, u)$ is asymptotically periodic in $x$, there seems to be only one result [12]. Let $\Phi_{0}$ and $\Phi$ denote the energy functionals associated with (1.1) with periodic and asymptotically periodic nonlinearity $f$, respectively. By using a generalized linking theorem for the strongly indefinite functionals and comparing with (C)c-sequences of $\Phi_{0}$ and $\Phi, \mathrm{Li}$ and Szulkin [12] proved that (1.1) has a nontrivial solution if $V$ and $f$ satisfy Assumptions (V) and (F1), (F2), $(\mathrm{AR})$ and the following asymptotically periodic condition.
(F5) $f(x, t)=f_{0}(x, t)+f_{1}(x, t), \partial_{t} f_{0}, f_{1} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}\right), f_{0}(x, t)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}$ and $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$ satisfy the following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0<t f_{0}(x, t)<t^{2} \partial_{t} f_{0}(x, t), \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}) \\
0<F_{0}(x, t):=\int_{0}^{t} f_{0}(x, s) \mathrm{d} s \leq \frac{1}{\mu} t f_{0}(x, t), \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}),
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{1}(x, t):=\int_{0}^{t} f_{1}(x, s) \mathrm{d} s>0, \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}) \\
\left|f_{1}(x, t)\right| \leq a(x)\left(|t|+|t|^{p-1}\right), \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mu>2$ is the same as in $(\mathrm{AR}), a \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} a(x)=0$.
We point out that the assumption in (F5) that $f_{0}(x, t)$ is differentiable in $t$ and $0<t f_{0}(x, t)<t^{2} \partial_{t} f_{0}(x, t)$ (which implies that $t \mapsto f_{0}(x, t) /|t|$ is strictly increasing on $(-\infty, 0) \cup(0, \infty))$ is crucial in Li and Szulkin [12].

If $V(x)$ is both asymptotically periodic and sign-changing, the operator $-\Delta+V$ loses the $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$-translation invariance. For this reason, many effective methods for periodic problems cannot be applied to asymptotically periodic ones. To the best of our knowledge, there are no existence results for (1.1) when $V(x)$ is asymptotically periodic and sign-changing. Motivated by $[6,12,14,24-27,33]$, we shall find new tricks to overcome the difficulties caused by dropping the periodicity of $V(x)$.

Before presenting our second theorem, we make the following assumptions instead of (V) and (F5), respectively.
(V1) $V(x)=V_{0}(x)+V_{1}(x), V_{0} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \sup \left[\sigma\left(-\Delta+V_{0}\right) \cap(-\infty, 0)\right]<0<\bar{\Lambda}:=\inf \left[\sigma\left(-\Delta+V_{0}\right) \cap(0, \infty)\right] \\
& V_{1} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { and } \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V_{1}(x)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(V2) $V_{0}(x)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}$, and

$$
0 \leq-V_{1}(x) \leq \sup _{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[-V_{1}(x)\right]<\bar{\Lambda}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} ;
$$

(F5 $\left.{ }^{\prime}\right) f(x, t)=f_{0}(x, t)+f_{1}(x, t), f_{0} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}\right), f_{0}(x, t)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}, f_{0}(x, t)=o(|t|)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} ; t \mapsto f_{0}(x, t) /|t|$ is non-decreasing on $(-\infty, 0) \cup(0, \infty)$; $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty}\left|F_{0}(x, t)\right| /|t|^{2}=\infty$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$; $f_{1} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$ satisfies the following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
-V_{1}(x) t^{2}+F_{1}(x, t)>0, \quad \forall(x, t) \in B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0) \times(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}), \\
F_{1}(x, t) \geq 0, \quad\left|f_{1}(x, t)\right| \leq a(x)\left(|t|+|t|^{p-1}\right), \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $a \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} a(x)=0$.
Remark 1.4 Comparing (F5) with (F5'), the condition that $f_{0}(x, t)$ is differentiable in $t$ is dropped; the condition $0<t f_{0}(x, t)<t^{2} \partial_{t} f_{0}(x, t)$ is weakened to the requirement that $t \mapsto f_{0}(x, t) /|t|$ is non-decreasing on $(-\infty, 0) \cup(0, \infty)$; AR$)$ is also weakened to (WS) for $f_{0}$.

We are now in a position to state the second result in this paper.
Theorem 1.5 Assume that (V1), (V2), (F1), (F2), (F4) , and (F5') are satisfied. Then (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminary results are presented. The proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 are given in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $X$ be a real Hilbert space with $X=X^{-} \oplus X^{+}$and $X^{-} \perp X^{+}$. For a functional $\varphi \in$ $C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R}), \varphi$ is said to be weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous if for any $u_{n} \rightarrow$ $u$ in $X$ one has $\varphi(u) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(u_{n}\right)$, and $\varphi^{\prime}$ is said to be weakly sequentially continuous if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle=\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle$ for each $v \in X$.

Lemma $2.1([11,12])$ Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a real Hilbert space with $X=X^{-} \oplus X^{+}$and $X^{-} \perp X^{+}$, and let $\varphi \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be of the form

$$
\varphi(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u^{+}\right\|-\left\|u^{-}\right\|\right)-\psi(u), \quad u=u^{-}+u^{+} \in X^{-} \oplus X^{+} .
$$

Suppose that the following assumptions are satisfied:
$(\mathrm{KS1}) \psi \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is bounded from below and weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous;
(KS2) $\psi^{\prime}$ is weakly sequentially continuous;
(KS3) there exist $r>\rho>0$ and $e \in X^{+}$with $\|e\|=1$ such that $\bar{\kappa}:=\inf \varphi\left(S_{\rho}^{+}\right)>$ $\sup \varphi(\partial Q)$, where

$$
S_{\rho}^{+}=\left\{u \in X^{+}:\|u\|=\rho\right\}, \quad Q=\left\{v+s e: v \in X^{-}, s \geq 0,\|v+s e\| \leq r\right\} .
$$

Then there exist a constant $c \in[\bar{\kappa}, \sup \varphi(Q)]$ and a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ satisfying

$$
\varphi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, \quad\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_{0}=-\Delta+V_{0}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ is self-adjoint in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with domain $\mathfrak{D}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}\right)=$ $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ (see [10, Theorem 4.26]). Let $\{\mathcal{E}(\lambda):-\infty \leq \lambda \leq+\infty\}$ and $\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|$ be the spectral family and the absolute value of $\mathcal{A}_{0}$, respectively, and let $\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|^{1 / 2}$ be the square root of $\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|$. Set $\mathcal{U}=\operatorname{id}-\mathcal{E}(0)-\mathcal{E}(0-)$. Then $\mathcal{U}$ commutes with $\mathcal{A}_{0},\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|$, and $\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|^{1 / 2}$, and $\mathcal{A}_{0}=\mathcal{U}\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|$ is the polar decomposition of $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ (see [9, Theorem IV 3.3]). Let

$$
E=\mathfrak{D}\left(\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|^{1 / 2}\right), \quad E^{-}=\mathcal{E}(0) E, \quad E^{+}=[\operatorname{id}-\mathcal{E}(0)] E .
$$

For any $u \in E$, it is easy to see that $u=u^{-}+u^{+}$, where

$$
u^{-}:=\mathcal{E}(0) u \in E^{-}, \quad u^{+}:=[\operatorname{id}-\mathcal{E}(0)] u \in E^{+}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{0} u^{-}=-\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right| u^{-}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{0} u^{+}=\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right| u^{+}, \forall u \in E \cap \mathfrak{D}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define an inner product $(u, v)=\left(\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|^{1 / 2} u,\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|^{1 / 2} v\right)_{L^{2}}$, for all $u, v \in E$ and the corresponding norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|=\left\|\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|^{1 / 2} u\right\|_{2}, \quad u \in E, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^{2}}$ denotes the inner product of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{s}$ denotes the norm of $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. By (V1), $E=H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with equivalent norms. Therefore, $E$ embeds continuously in $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for all $2 \leq s \leq 2^{*}$. In addition, one has the decomposition $E=E^{-} \oplus E^{+}$, orthogonal with respect to both $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^{2}}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Under assumptions (V1), (F1), and (F2), the solutions of problem (1.1) are critical points of the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall u \in E \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Phi$ is of class $C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(\nabla u \nabla v+V(x) u v) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x, u) v \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \forall u, v \in E . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V_{0}(x) u^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F_{0}(x, u) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall u \in E, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\Phi_{0}$ is also of class $C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$, and

$$
\left\langle\Phi_{0}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\nabla u \nabla v+V_{0}(x) u v\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{0}(x, u) v \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \forall u, v \in E .
$$

In view of (2.1) and (2.2), we have $\Phi_{0}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F_{0}(x, u) \mathrm{d} x$ and

$$
\left\langle\Phi_{0}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{0}(x, u) u \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \forall u=u^{-}+u^{+} \in E .
$$

We set $\Psi(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[-V_{1}(x) u^{2}+F(x, u)\right] \mathrm{d} x$, for all $u \in E$.
Employing a standard argument, one can easily verify the following fact.
Lemma 2.2 Suppose that (V1), (V2), (F1), and (F2) are satisfied. Then $\Psi$ is nonnegative, weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous, and $\Psi^{\prime}$ is weakly sequentially continuous.

## 3 The Periodic Case

In this section, we assume that $V$ and $f$ are 1-periodic in each of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}$, i.e., (V) and (F3) are satisfied. In this case, $V_{0}=V, V_{1}=0, f_{0}=f$, and $f_{1}=0$. Thus, $\Phi_{0}(u)=\Phi(u)$.

Lemma 3.1 ([25, Lemma 2.4]) Suppose that (V), (F1), (F2), and (WN) are satisfied. Then $\Phi(u) \geq \Phi(t u+w)+\frac{1}{2}\|w\|^{2}+\frac{1-t^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle-t\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), w\right\rangle$, for all $u \in E, t \geq$ $0, w \in E^{-}$.

Define $\mathcal{N}^{-}=\left\{u \in E \backslash E^{-}:\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=0, \forall v \in E^{-}\right\}$. First introduced by Pankov [18], the set $\mathcal{N}^{-}$is a subset of the Nehari manifold

$$
\mathcal{N}=\left\{u \in E \backslash\{0\}:\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\} .
$$

Corollary 3.2 Suppose that (V), (F1), (F2), and (WN) are satisfied. Then for $u \in \mathcal{N}^{-}$, $\Phi(u) \geq \Phi(t u+w)+\frac{1}{2}\|w\|^{2}$, for all $t \geq 0, w \in E^{-}$.

Corollary 3.3 Suppose that (V), (F1), (F2), and (WN) are satisfied. Then

$$
\Phi(u) \geq \frac{t^{2}}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(x, t u^{+}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1-t^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle+t^{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u^{-}\right\rangle
$$

for all $u \in E, t \geq 0$.
Analogous to the proof of [22, Lemma 3.3], it is easy to show the following lemma.
Lemma 3.4 Suppose that (V), (F1), (F2), and (WS) are satisfied. Then there exist a constant $c>0$ and a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, \quad\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.5 Suppose that (V), (F1), (F2), (F3), (F4), and (WS) are satisfied. Then any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \geq 0, \quad\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded in $E$.
Proof In view of (3.2), there exists a constant $C_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} \geq \Phi\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{F}\left(x, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, arguing by contradiction, suppose that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $v_{n}=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$. Then $1=\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}$. If $\delta:=\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{B_{1}(y)}\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=0$, then by Lions' concentration compactness principle [15] or [29, Lemma 1.21], $v_{n}^{+} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for $2<s<2^{*}$. Set $\kappa^{\prime}=\kappa /(\kappa-1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{n}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: \frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}} \leq \bar{\Lambda}-\delta_{0}\right\} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then using $\bar{\Lambda}\left\|v_{n}^{+}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|v_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{n}} \frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq\left(\bar{\Lambda}-\delta_{0}\right)\left\|v_{n}^{+}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 1-\frac{\delta_{0}}{\bar{\Lambda}} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by virtue of (F4), (3.3), and the Hölder inequality, one can get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega_{n}} \frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & \leq\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega_{n}}\left|\frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}\right|^{\kappa} \mathrm{d} x\right]^{1 / \kappa}\left\|v_{n}^{+}\right\|_{2 \kappa^{\prime}}^{2}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \leq C_{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega_{n}} \mathcal{F}\left(x, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / \kappa}\left\|v_{n}^{+}\right\|_{2 \kappa^{\prime}}^{2} \\
& \leq C_{3}\left\|v_{n}^{+}\right\|_{2 \kappa^{\prime}}^{2}=o(1)
\end{align*}
$$

So $\mathcal{F}(x, u) \geq 0$ implies that $u f(x, u) \geq 0$. Hence, combining (3.5) with (3.6) and making use of (2.4) and (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+o(1) & =\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\langle\Phi\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}^{+}-u_{n}^{-}\right\rangle}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}} \\
& =\int_{u_{n} \neq 0} \frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}\left[\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{2}-\left(v_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega_{n}} \frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega_{n}} \frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq 1-\frac{\delta_{0}}{\bar{\Lambda}}+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradiction shows that $\delta>0$.
Going, if necessary, to a subsequence, we may assume the existence of $k_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ such that $\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}\left(k_{n}\right)}\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x>\frac{\delta}{2}$. Let $w_{n}(x)=v_{n}\left(x+k_{n}\right)$. Since $V(x)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}$, then $\left\|w_{n}\right\|=\left\|v_{n}\right\|=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)}\left|w_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x>\delta / 2 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to a subsequence, we have $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $E, w_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), 2 \leq s<2^{*}$, $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Obviously, (3.7) implies that $w \neq 0$.

Now we define $u_{n}^{k_{n}}(x)=u_{n}\left(x+k_{n}\right)$. Then $u_{n}^{k_{n}} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|=w_{n} \rightarrow w$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}, w \neq 0$. For $x \in\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: w(y) \neq 0\right\}$, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|u_{n}^{k_{n}}(x)\right|=\infty$. Hence, it follows from (2.3), (3.2), (F2), (F3), (WS), and Fatou's lemma that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c+o(1)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|v_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|v_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(x, u_{n}^{k_{n}}\right)}{\left(u_{n}^{k_{n}}\right)^{2}} w_{n}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(x, u_{n}^{k_{n}}\right)}{\left(u_{n}^{k_{n}}\right)^{2}} w_{n}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{1}{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F\left(x, u_{n}^{k_{n}}\right)}{\left(u_{n}^{k_{n}}\right)^{2}} w_{n}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=-\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradiction shows that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded.
Lemma 3.6 ([26, Theorem 1.2]) Assume that (V), (F1), (F2), (F3), (WN), and (WS) are satisfied. Then problem (1.1) has a solution $u_{0} \in E$ such that $\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)=\inf _{\mathcal{N}^{-}} \Phi>0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Combining Lemma 3.4 with Lemma 3.5, we can get that there exists a bounded sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying (3.1). Now the usual concentrationcompactness argument suggests that $\Phi^{\prime}(\bar{u})=0$ for some $\bar{u} \in E \backslash\{0\}$.

## 4 The Asymptotically Periodic Case

In this section, we always assume that $V$ satisfies (V1) and (V2).
Lemma 4.1 Suppose that (V1), (V2), (F1), and (F2) are satisfied. Then there exists $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\kappa}:=\inf \left\{\Phi(u): u \in E^{+},\|u\|=\rho\right\}>0 . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Set $\Theta_{0}=\sup _{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[-V_{1}(x)\right]$. Let $\varepsilon_{0}=\left(\bar{\Lambda}-\Theta_{0}\right) / 3$. Then (F1) and (F2) imply that there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon_{0}}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t) \leq \varepsilon_{0}|t|^{2}+C_{\varepsilon_{0}}|t|^{p}, \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.3), (4.2), and the Sobolev imbedding inequalities $\|u\|_{p} \leq \gamma_{p}\|u\|$ and $\bar{\Lambda}\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq$ $\|u\|^{2}$ for $u \in E^{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(u) & =\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{1}(x) u^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\|u\|^{2}-\left(\Theta_{0}+2 \varepsilon_{0}\right)\|u\|_{2}^{2}\right]-C_{\varepsilon_{0}}\|u\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\Theta_{0}+2 \varepsilon_{0}}{\bar{\Lambda}}\right)\|u\|^{2}-\gamma_{p}^{p} C_{\varepsilon_{0}}\|u\|^{p}, \quad \forall u \in E^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that there exists $\rho>0$ such that (4.1) holds.
Lemma 4.2 Suppose that (V1), (V2), (F1), (F2), and (WS) are satisfied. Then for any $e \in E^{+}$, $\sup \Phi\left(E^{-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{+} e\right)<\infty$, and there is $R_{e}>0$ such that $\Phi(u) \leq 0$, for all $u \in E^{-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{+} e,\|u\| \geq R_{e}$.

Proof Arguing indirectly, provided that for some sequence $\left\{w_{n}+s_{n} e\right\} \subset E^{-} \oplus \mathbb{R} e$ with $\left\|w_{n}+s_{n} e\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\Phi\left(w_{n}+s_{n} e\right) \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$
v_{n}=\left(w_{n}+s_{n} e\right) /\left\|w_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|=v_{n}^{-}+t_{n} e
$$

Then $\left\|v_{n}^{-}+t_{n} e\right\|=1$. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $t_{n} \rightarrow \bar{t}, v_{n}^{-} \rightharpoonup v^{-}$, and $v_{n}^{-} \rightarrow v^{-}$a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Hence, it follows from (V2) and (2.3) that
(4.3) $0 \leq \frac{\Phi\left(w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)}{\left\|w_{n}+s_{n} u\right\|^{2}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{t_{n}^{2}}{2}\|e\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{1}(x)\left(v_{n}^{-}+t_{n} e\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(x, w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)}{\left\|w_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \frac{t_{n}^{2}}{2}\|e\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(x, w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)}{\left\|w_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\bar{t}=0$, then it follows from (4.3) that

$$
0 \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(x, w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)}{\left\|w_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{t_{n}^{2}}{2}\|e\|^{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

which yields $\left\|v_{n}^{-}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, and so $1=\left\|v_{n}^{-}+t_{n} e\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0$, a contradiction.
If $\bar{t} \neq 0$, then it follows from (4.3) and (WS) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{t_{n}^{2}}{2}\|e\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(x, w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)}{\left\|w_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \\
& \leq \frac{\bar{t}^{2}}{2}\|e\|^{2}-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(x, w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)}{\left(w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)^{2}}\left(v_{n}^{-}+t_{n} e\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \frac{\bar{t}^{2}}{2}\|e\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F\left(x, w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)}{\left(w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)^{2}}\left(v_{n}^{-}+t_{n} e\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=-\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction.
Corollary 4.3 Suppose that (V1), (V2), (F1), (F2), and (WS) are satisfied. Let $e \in E^{+}$ with $\|e\|=1$. Then there is an $r>\rho$ such that $\sup \Phi(\partial Q) \leq 0$, where

$$
Q=\left\{w+s e: w \in E^{-}, s \geq 0,\|w+s e\| \leq r\right\} .
$$

Let $m_{0}:=\inf _{\mathcal{N}^{0}} \Phi_{0}$, where

$$
\mathcal{N}^{0}=\left\{u \in E \backslash E^{-}:\left\langle\Phi_{0}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\left\langle\Phi_{0}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=0, \forall v \in E^{-}\right\} .
$$

Lemma 4.4 Suppose that (V1), (V2), (F1), (F2), and (F5') are satisfied. Then there exist a constant $c_{*} \in\left[\hat{\kappa}, m_{0}\right)$ and a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{*}, \quad\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Employing Lemma 3.6, there exists a $\bar{u} \in E$ such that $\bar{u} \neq 0$ on $B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)$, $\Phi_{0}^{\prime}(\bar{u})=0$, and $\Phi_{0}(\bar{u})=m_{0}$. Set $\hat{E}(\bar{u})=E^{-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{+} \bar{u}$ and $\zeta_{0}:=\sup \{\Phi(u): u \in \hat{E}(\bar{u})\}$. Lemma 4.1 implies that $\zeta_{0} \geq \hat{\kappa}>0$. By (V2), (F5'), (2.3), (2.5), and Corollary 3.2, we have $\Phi(u) \leq \Phi_{0}(u) \leq m_{0}$, for all $u \in \hat{E}(\bar{u})$. Hence, $\zeta_{0} \leq m_{0}$. If $\zeta_{0}=m_{0}$, then there is a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ with $u_{n}=w_{n}+s_{n} \bar{u} \in \hat{E}(\bar{u})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}-\frac{1}{n}<\Phi\left(u_{n}\right)=\Phi\left(w_{n}+s_{n} \bar{u}\right) \leq m_{0} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 4.2 and (4.5) that $\left\{s_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\left\{w_{n}\right\} \subset E^{-}$are bounded. Passing to a subsequence, we have $s_{n} \rightarrow \bar{s}$ and $w_{n} \rightarrow \bar{w}$ in $E$. It is easy to see that $\bar{s}>0$. It follows from (2.3), (2.5), and Corollary 3.2 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{0}-\frac{1}{n} & <\Phi\left(u_{n}\right)=\Phi_{0}\left(u_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{1}(x) u_{n}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F_{1}\left(x, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq m_{0}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[-V_{1}(x) u_{n}^{2}+2 F_{1}\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields that $\frac{1}{2}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[-V_{1}(x)\left(w_{n}+s_{n} \bar{u}\right)^{2}+2 F_{1}\left(x, w_{n}+s_{n} \bar{u}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x \leq \frac{1}{n}$. According to Fatou's Lemma and the weakly lower semi-continuity of the norm, one gets that $\frac{1}{2}\|\bar{w}\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[-V_{1}(x)(\bar{w}+\overline{s u})^{2}+2 F_{1}(x, \bar{w}+\overline{s u})\right] \mathrm{d} x=0$. This, together with (F5'), implies that $\bar{w}=0$ and $\bar{u}=0$ on $B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\zeta_{0} \in\left[\hat{\kappa}, m_{0}\right)$. In view of Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, 4.1, and Corollary 4.3, there exist a constant $c_{*} \in\left[\hat{\kappa}, m_{0}\right)$ and a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying (4.4).

Lemma 4.5 Suppose that (V1), (V2), (F1), (F2), (F4), and (F5') are satisfied. Then any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying (3.2) is bounded in $E$.

Proof Given the condition (3.2), there exists a constant $C_{1}>0$ such that (3.3) holds. To prove the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, and arguing by contradiction, suppose that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $v_{n}=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$. Then $1=\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}$. Passing to a subsequence, we have $v_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$ in $E$. There are two possible cases: (i) $\bar{v}=0$ and (ii) $\bar{v} \neq 0$.

Case (i): $\bar{v}=0$, i.e., $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $E$. Then $v_{n}^{+} \rightarrow 0$ and $v_{n}^{-} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), 2 \leq s<2^{*}$ and $v_{n}^{+} \rightarrow 0$ and $v_{n}^{-} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. From (V1), it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{1}(x)\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{1}(x)\left(v_{n}^{-}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\delta:=\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{B_{1}(y)}\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=0$, then by Lions' concentration compactness principle [15] or [29, Lemma 1.21], $v_{n}^{+} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for $2<s<2^{*}$. Set $\Omega_{n}$ as (3.4). Then (3.5) and (3.6) hold also. Combining (3.5) with (3.6) and using (2.4), (3.2), and (4.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+o(1) & =\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\langle\Phi\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}^{+}-u_{n}^{-}\right\rangle}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}} \\
& =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{1}(x)\left[\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{2}-\left(v_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x+\int_{u_{n} \neq 0} \frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}\left[\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{2}-\left(v_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{1}(x)\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Omega_{n}} \frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega_{n}} \frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq 1-\frac{\delta_{0}}{\bar{\Lambda}}+o(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradiction shows that $\delta>0$.
Going if necessary to a subsequence, we may assume the existence of $k_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ such that $\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}\left(k_{n}\right)}\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x>\frac{\delta}{2}$. Let $w_{n}(x)=v_{n}\left(x+k_{n}\right)$. Since $V_{0}(x)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)}\left|w_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x>\frac{\delta}{2} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define $\widetilde{u}_{n}(x)=u_{n}\left(x+k_{n}\right)$. Then $\tilde{u}_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|=w_{n}$ and $\left\|w_{n}\right\|=\left\|v_{n}\right\|=1$. Passing to a subsequence, we have $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $E, w_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), 2 \leq s<2^{*}$ and $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Obviously, (4.7) implies that $w \neq 0$. Hence, it follows from (3.2), (F5') and Fatou's Lemma that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c+o(1)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|v_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|v_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{1}(x) v_{n}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(x+k_{n}, \widetilde{u}_{n}\right)}{\widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}} w_{n}^{2} d x\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F_{0}\left(x, \widetilde{u}_{n}\right)}{\widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}} w_{n}^{2} d x \leq \frac{1}{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{0}\left(x, \widetilde{u}_{n}\right)}{\widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}} w_{n}^{2} d x=-\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Case (ii) $\bar{v} \neq 0$. In this case, we can also deduce a contradiction by a standard argument.

Cases (i) and (ii) both show that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$.
Proof of Theorem 1.5 It is easy to see that (F5') implies (WS). Applying Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 , we obtain that there exists a bounded sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying (4.4). Passing to a subsequence, we have $u_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$ in $E$. Next we prove $\bar{u} \neq 0$.

Arguing by contradiction, suppose that $\bar{u}=0$, i.e., $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $E$, and so $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), 2 \leq s<2^{*}$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. By (V1) and (F5'), it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{1}(x) u_{n}^{2} d x=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{1}(x) u_{n} v d x=0, \quad \forall v \in E \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F_{1}\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{1}\left(x, u_{n}\right) v d x=0, \quad \forall v \in E \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}(u)=\Phi(u)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{1}(x) u^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F_{1}(x, u) d x, \quad \forall u \in E \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi_{0}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{1}(x) u v d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{1}(x, u) v d x, \quad \forall u, v \in E . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.4), (4.8)-(4.11), one can get that $\Phi_{0}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{*},\left\|\Phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0$.
A standard argument shows that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a non-vanishing sequence. Going, if necessary, to a subsequence, we may assume the existence of $k_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ such that

$$
\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}\left(k_{n}\right)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x>\frac{\delta}{2}
$$

for some $\delta>0$. Let $v_{n}(x)=u_{n}\left(x+k_{n}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x>\frac{\delta}{2} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $V_{0}(x)$ and $f_{0}(x, u)$ are periodic on $x$, we have $\left\|v_{n}\right\|=\left\|u_{n}\right\|$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{*}, \quad\left\|\Phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|v_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to a subsequence, we have $v_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$ in $E, v_{n} \rightarrow \bar{v}$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), 2 \leq s<2^{*}$ and $v_{n} \rightarrow \bar{v}$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Obviously, (4.12) and (4.13) imply that $\bar{v} \neq 0$ and $\Phi_{0}^{\prime}(\bar{v})=0$. This shows that $\bar{v} \in \mathcal{N}^{0}$ and so $\Phi_{0}(\bar{v}) \geq m_{0}$. On the other hand, by using (4.13), (WN), and Fatou's Lemma, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{0} & >c_{*}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\Phi_{0}\left(v_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\Phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), v_{n}\right\rangle\right] \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\frac{1}{2} f_{0}\left(x, v_{n}\right) v_{n}-F_{0}\left(x, v_{n}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{2} f_{0}\left(x, v_{n}\right) v_{n}-F_{0}\left(x, v_{n}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\frac{1}{2} f_{0}(x, \bar{v}) \bar{v}-F_{0}(x, \bar{v})\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\Phi_{0}(\bar{v})-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\Phi_{0}^{\prime}(\bar{v}), \bar{v}\right\rangle=\Phi_{0}(\bar{v}) \geq m_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradiction implies that $\bar{u} \neq 0$. It is obvious that $\bar{u} \in E$ is a nontrivial solution for problem (1.1).
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