## A PROBLEM OF COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS

## LORENZO ROBBIANO

Let X be a non-singular projective surface in  $P_k^3$  (k an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0) and C an irreducible curve, which is a set-theoretically complete intersection in X; is it true that C is actually a complete intersection in X?

In this paper we give a positive answer even in a more general hypothesis.

We note that a similar question does not arise for a variety X with  $\dim X \neq 2$ . In fact Lefschetz theorem says that, if X is a non-singular projective variety which is a complete intersection in  $P_k^N$  and such that  $\dim X \geqslant 3$ , any positive divisor on X is a complete intersection in X.

On the other hand, if X is a non-singular conic in  $P_k^2$  and P a point on X, then P is a set-theoretically complete intersection but not a complete intersection in X.

As to the surfaces, it is a well known fact that on a "general" surface of degree  $\geq 4$  in  $P_k^3$  any curve is a complete intersection, but there are surfaces whose Picard group is different from Z (e.g. non-singular quadric and cubic surfaces) (see [4]).

Nevertheless no example is known of an irreducible curve on a non-singular surface in  $P_k^3$ , which is a set-theoretically complete intersection in X, but not a complete intersection in X (see [1]), and in fact we are going to prove that such an example cannot exist.

For this we make use of the techniques developed by Grothendieck to prove Lefschetz theorem (see [2] and [3]).

We now state the following

THEOREM. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}_k^N$  be a non-singular projective surface, which is a complete intersection. If C is an irreducible curve on X, which is a set-theoreti-

Received July 19, 1973.

This work was supported by CNR (Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche).

cally complete intersection in X, then C is actually a complete intersection in X.

Proof. We shall give the proof in several steps.

Step 1. Pic  $(\mathbf{P}^N) \simeq \operatorname{Pic}(\widehat{\mathbf{P}}^N)$ , where  $\mathbf{P}^N$  stands for  $\mathbf{P}_k^N$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{P}}^N$  denotes the formal completion of  $\mathbf{P}^N$  along X.

The proof is in [3] Ch. IV (essentially Th. 1.5 and Th. 3.1).

Step 2. X is projectively normal.

The proof is in [6] n. 77, 78, p. 272-273.

Step 3. Pic(X) is a finitely generated group.

Indeed  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  (see [6] n. 78 p. 273-274), hence  $\operatorname{Pic}^0(X)$  is just a point and, calling NS(X) the Neron-Severi group of X, we get  $\operatorname{Pic}(X) = NS(X)$  which is finitely generated by classical results.

Step 4. Pic (X) is torsion-free, hence by step 3 Pic (X) is a finitely generated free group.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the sheaf of ideals defining X and call  $X_n$  the scheme  $(X, \mathcal{O}_{P^N}/\mathcal{T}^n)$ . We can use the exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathcal{T}^{n-1}/\mathcal{T}^n \to (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}/\mathcal{T}^n)^* \to (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}/\mathcal{T}^{n-1})^* \to 0$$

where \* denotes the multiplicative group of units and the first map sends x to 1+x (for more details see [3] Ch. 4 p. 179 and [2] Exp II p. 124). We get long exact sequences

(1) 
$$\cdots \longrightarrow H^{1}(\mathbf{P}^{N}, \mathcal{F}^{n-1}/\mathcal{F}^{n}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(X_{n}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n}} \operatorname{Pic}(X_{n-1}) \longrightarrow H^{2}(\mathbf{P}^{N}, \mathcal{F}^{n-1}/\mathcal{F}^{n}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

But  $\mathcal{F}^{n-1}/\mathcal{F}^n \simeq \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_X(m_i)$  for suitable integers  $m_i$  (see [3] proof of coroll. 3.1. p. 180). Hence  $H^1(\mathbf{P}^N, \mathcal{F}^{n-1}/\mathcal{F}^n) = H^1(X, \mathcal{F}^{n-1}/\mathcal{F}^n) = 0$  (see [6] n. 78 p. 273–274).

On the other hand  $H^2(\mathbf{P}^N, \mathcal{F}^{n-1}/\mathcal{F}^n)$  is a vector space over a field of characteristic 0, hence torsion-free. If  $T_n$  denotes the torsion subgroup of  $\mathrm{Pic}(X_n)$  and  $T=T_1$ , we get  $T_n=T_{n-1}=\cdots=T$ . Hence  $T=\mathrm{Tors}(\mathrm{lim}\,\mathrm{Pic}\,(X_n))=\mathrm{Tors}\,\mathrm{Pic}\,(\widehat{\mathbf{P}^N})=\mathrm{Tors}\,\mathrm{Pic}\,(\mathbf{P}^N)=0$ .

Step 5. 
$$\lim \operatorname{Pic}(X_n) \simeq \operatorname{Pic}(X_{n_0})$$
 for  $n_0 \gg 0$ .

From the proof of step 4 we get that  $\operatorname{Pic}(X_n) \simeq Z^{\rho_n}(\rho_n = \operatorname{rank}(\operatorname{Pic}(X_n)))$ , the canonical map  $\operatorname{Pic}(X_n) \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} \operatorname{Pic}(X_{n-1})$  is injective, and  $\operatorname{coker} \varphi_n$  is torsion-free. Hence via  $\varphi_n$   $\operatorname{Pic}(X_n)$  is a direct factor subgroup of  $\operatorname{Pic}(X_{n-1})$  and therefore  $\varphi_n$  must be an isomorphism for n large.

Step 6.  $[\mathcal{O}_X(1)]$  belongs to a basis of the free group  $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ . If  $\mathscr{L}$  is an invertible sheaf on a scheme, we call  $[\mathscr{L}]$  its class in the Picard group. It is well-known that  $\operatorname{Pic}(P^N) \simeq Z$  is generated by  $[\mathcal{O}_{P^N}(1)]$ ; since by the previous steps we can write the following exact sequence

$$Z \simeq \operatorname{Pic}(P^N) \simeq \operatorname{Pic}(\widehat{P^N}) \simeq \operatorname{Pic}(X_{n_0}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n_0}} \cdots \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(X) \simeq Z^{\rho}$$

where the maps are canonical, the composite map from  $\operatorname{Pic}(P^N)$  to  $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$  sends  $[\mathcal{O}_{P^N}(1)]$  to  $[\mathcal{O}_X(1)]$  and, since  $\operatorname{Pic}(X_n)$  is a direct factor subgroup of  $\operatorname{Pic}(X_{n-1})$ , we are through.

Step 7. If  $\mathscr{L}$  is an invertible sheaf on X, q, n integers and  $[q\mathscr{L}] = [\mathscr{O}_X(n)]$ , then there exists an integer r such that n = qr and  $[\mathscr{L}] = [\mathscr{O}_X(r)]$ .

Indeed, by step 6,  $[\mathscr{O}_X(1)]$  belongs to a basis of  $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ ; let  $[\mathscr{O}_X(1)]$ ,  $[\mathscr{L}_2]$ ,  $[\mathscr{L}_3]$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $[\mathscr{L}_{\rho}]$  be such a basis, then  $[\mathscr{L}] = r[\mathscr{O}_X(1)] + \sum\limits_i r_i [\mathscr{L}_i]$  hence  $[q\mathscr{L}] = [\mathscr{O}_X(qr)] + \sum\limits_i [r_i q \mathscr{L}_i]$ . But  $[q\mathscr{L}] = [\mathscr{O}_X(n)]$  and therefore  $qr = n, r_i = 0$ .

Step 8 (conclusion). Let C be an irreducible curve on X, which is a set-theoretically complete intersection in X, and let  $\mathcal{O}_X(C)$  be the associated invertible sheaf. Then  $\mathcal{O}_X(qC) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(n)$  or, which is the same,  $[q\mathcal{O}_X(C)] = [\mathcal{O}_X(n)]$ . By step 7 we get  $[\mathcal{O}_X(C)] = [\mathcal{O}_X(r)]$ ; combining with step 2 we are done.

COROLLARY. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and let A be the homogeneous coordinate ring of a non-singular projective surface which is a complete intersection in  $P_k^N$ . Then if A is almost factorial, A is factorial.

*Proof.* We recall that a ring A is called almost factorial ("fastfaktoriell" in German) if A is a Krull domain and the divisor class group C(A) is torsion (see [7]) and that for investigating C(A) it is sufficient to consider homogeneous ideals (see [5]  $n^{\circ}$  2). Let now  $\mathfrak P$  be a homogeneous prime ideal of height 1. Since A is almost factorial,  $\mathfrak P = \sqrt{(F)}$ , F being a suitable homogeneous element. The irreducible curve associated to  $\mathfrak P$  is therefore a set-theoretically complete intersection, hence a complete intersection by the theorem, and so  $\mathfrak P$  is principal.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Gallarati (Dionisio): Recerche sul contatto di superficie algebriche lungo curve. Académie royale de Belgique Memoires in 8° (XXXII Fascicule 3) (1960).
- [2] Grothendieck (Alexander): SGA 2 Cohomologie locale des faisceaux Cohérents et Théorèmes des Lefschetz locaux et globaux. North-Holland Publ. Comp. (Amsterdam) (1962).
- [3] Hartshorne (Robin): Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 156 Springer (1970).
- [4] Lefschetz (Solomon): On certain numerical invariants of algebraic varieties. Trans. Amer. Math Soc., 22 (1921), p. 326-363.
- [5] Samuel (Pierre): Sur les anneaux factoriels. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 89 (1961), p. 155-173.
- [6] Serre (Jean Pierre): Faisceaux algébriques cohérents. Annals of Math., 61, n. 2 (1955), p. 197-278.
- [7] Storch (Uwe): Fastfaktorielle Ringe. Schriftenreihe des Math. Inst. (Münster) Heft 36 (1967).

Instituto Matematico dell'Università di Genova-Via