A Painting

One fun-filled afternoon early in April 2011, as I sparred once more with the Hawai'i State Library microfilm readers, my eye picked up the word 'Yamashiro' in an unusual context. Limiting my search period to June–July 1885, I had been browsing the *Daily Pacific Commercial Advertiser*, owned by then-Hawaiian minister for foreign affairs, Walter M. Gibson. The pages were grainy on the screen, my control of the scrolling speed shaky. I registered a headline, 'HAWAIIAN ART', and then, at the end of the article, paused on the sentence: 'Mr. Irwin, His Majesty's Charge d'Affairs [sic] in Japan, takes the picture with him to Japan on the Yamashiro Maru.' I rewound, zoomed in, waited for the machine to focus – and then commenced reading.

The article announced a 'Handsome Present from His Majesty the King to the Emperor of Japan': a 'fine picture', approximately 60 inches wide by 30 inches high (152cm by 76cm), by the artist J. D. Strong, depicting a scene 'on Maui, where Mr. Strong took many elaborate sketches':

In the foreground stands a strong, fine looking Japanese man, with his hands resting on his hips and his feet apart, gazing good-naturedly out of the pictures [sic]. By his side sit two women, also unmistakably Japanese, who are giving a little baby a drink of water out of a bowl. [...] In the middle distance is Spreckelsville and a glimpse of the sea, with a final background of lofty mountains, topped by floating clouds.¹

In other words, nothing to concern myself with. More out of duty than genuine interest, I inserted a quarter into the microfilm machine, cropped and printed the article, and scrolled on.

Some months later, I did an internet search for Strong – Joseph Dwight Strong (1853–99) – and Spreckelsville, and, much to my surprise, found the painting on Wikipedia with the title, 'Japanese Laborers

¹ PCA, 8 July 1885.

on the Sugar Plantation in Spreckelsville, Maui'. I realized I had seen the image before, at least a detail of it: the man, two women and child appear on the front cover of a book published in 1985 to commemorate the centenary of Japanese government-sponsored immigrants first arriving in Hawai'i. I had bought a Japanese-language copy of the book at the Bishop Museum during my 2011 fieldwork in Honolulu.² And now I was genuinely interested, for in the meantime, I had discovered that Kodama Keijirō had himself worked on the Spreckelsville plantation after his arrival in 'Hawaii Nei' in June 1885. If I wanted to write about Kodama, I needed to see the picture – ideally, in the flesh. But the Wikipedia copy, uploaded by the contributor Wmpearl in October 2007, merely noted that the painting was owned by a 'private collection (Taito Co, Tokyo)'. What were my hopes of identifying that company, let alone gaining access to the collection?

What happened next is a salutary tale in how not to conduct research. I moved jobs, I procrastinated, I wrote about the painting in passing and I asked one of my new Zurich colleagues, Hans B. Thomsen, an expert in Japanese art, about 'Taito Co'. He got to work with his customary generosity, and within a couple of weeks suggested that the company might possibly be Taito - that is, the post-war incarnation of the Taiwan Sugar Company (Taiwan Seitō Kabushiki Kaisha 台湾製糖 株式会社, itself often abbreviated to Taitō 台糖 in Japanese). In fact, to save him time, I could have simply looked at the back cover of the 1985 centenary publication, where the painting's corporate owner is spelled out loud and clear – but I'd failed to do so (and Hans still doesn't know). Either way, all we now had to do was contact the present-day successor to Taiwan Sugar, the Mitsui Sugar Co. Which is how, on a spring morning almost exactly eight years after I first read about Strong's 'fine picture' in Honolulu, I found myself in Tokyo, in the Mitsui Sugar president's office, standing in front of what one art historian has called a

³ For the revision history of the Wikipedia entry over the years, see https://bit.ly/3Jd2HKh (last accessed 4 August 2021).

² Odo Franklin 王堂フランクリン and Sinoto Kazuko 篠遠和子, Zusetsu Havvai Nihonjinshi, 1885–1924 図説ハワイ日本人史 1885–1924 [A pictorial history of the Japanese in Hawai'i, 1885–1924] (Honolulu: Bishop Museum, 1985).

⁴ The real sleuth in this story appears to have been the late Sinoto Kazuko, co-author of the 1985 centenary book and employee of the Bishop Museum. According to AS, 27 March 1986, it was Sinoto who in the early 1980s tracked down the Strong painting to Taitō, thus enabling the painting to be reproduced on her book's front cover in 1985. As a consequence, the painting was publicly displayed for the first time in Japan in 1986.



Figure 2.1 Joseph Dwight Strong, 'Japanese Laborers on the Sugar Plantation in Spreckelsville, Maui', 1885. Courtesy of Mitsui Sugar Co, Ltd, Japan.

'monumental genre painting [...] virtually unparalleled in Hawaiian art of the period' (see Figure 2.1).⁵

In fact, this wasn't my first unmediated encounter with the painting, but it was the first time I'd seen it *in situ*. Most striking to the eye was a feature which didn't appear on the internet, nor on the cover of the 1985 book, namely the work's massive gold and plush frame. Almost a work of art in itself, it was grand, fussy in its intricately carved geometries, and above all extremely heavy. (At fifty-nine kilograms, it probably weighed about the same as the Japanese man depicted in the painting's foreground – assuming, like Chapter 3's Fuyuki Sakazō, that he was just under five feet tall.) Made to order by the Honolulu-based King Bros, it was a frame fit for a monarchical gift. And yet the 'handsome present' self-evidently had not ended up in the Japanese imperial household. Hanging in private collections, it had seemingly not accomplished its object, according to the original *Advertiser* article, 'of giving the Mikado a correct and pleasant idea of the new home and employment of his countrymen'.

Where Strong's painting had instead been hung, and how it ended up here in the office of the Mitsui Sugar president, were partly explained by a small wooden inscription screwed into the King Bros. frame:

> るけ於に年八拾治明 景状の地耕糖砂哇布 贈寄人夫ンイルア

(In Year 18 of Meiji

A sugar plantation scene in Hawai'i

The gift of Mrs Irwin)

If Mrs Irwin, née Takechi Iki, ⁷ bequeathed Strong's work to Taiwan Sugar sometime in the wake of her husband Robert's death in 1925, then this would suggest that after arriving with the painting in Japan on the *Yamashiro-maru* in August 1885, Irwin kept it in his private residence for forty years – for reasons I shall later speculate.

⁵ David Forbes, Encounters with Paradise: Views of Hawaii and Its People, 1778–1941 (Honolulu: University of Hawaiii Press, 1992), p. 177.

⁶ The frame's maker was revealed during a restoration of the Strong painting undertaken by Mitsui Sugar in 2017. Cf: 'Kings' illuminated gold frames, with internal borders of plush, are works of art themselves': *Daily Bulletin* (Honolulu), 22 December 1884. Accessed online through https://chroniclingamerica.loc.gov.

⁷ The Takechi household, into which Iki had been adopted as a child, consented to her marriage with Irwin in 1870, but official recognition in Japan and the United States took another twelve years: Irwin Yukiko アーウィン・ユキコ, Furankurin no kajitsu フランクリンの果実 [The offspring of Franklin] (Tokyo: Bungei shunjū, 1988), pp. 11–13.

A Painting 43

At any rate, by the early 1930s Strong's painting was hanging in the offices of Taiwan Sugar, a company which Irwin had co-founded with businessmen connected to the Mitsui conglomerate in 1900. The company's president after 1927 was fellow co-founder Takechi Tadamichi (1870–1962) – who was also a relation by marriage to Irwin himself.⁸ And there, fifty years after its composition, the work acquired a set of different associations from its (alleged) original object. On the one hand, it reminded Takechi 'of the period in his youth that he spent in Hawai'i' as a student at Oahu College in the mid 1880s - a period which had begun with his passage there on the Yamashiro-maru in June 1885. On the other hand, its very location spoke to the history of Taiwan Sugar, one of colonial Japan's most important corporations. Indeed, just as the painting had been used to frame a centenary success story of Japanese immigration to Hawai'i in 1985, so, in 1990, it was reprinted at the beginning of Taito's ninety-year company history. Juxtaposed to a preface penned by then-president Takechi Fumio (Tadamichi's son), in which Taiwan Sugar was celebrated as having transformed a 'diseaseridden primitive land' (mikai shōrei no chi 未開瘴癘の地) into a site of modern sugar production, Strong's work took on a set of colonial and neo-colonial associations across the twentieth century. 10 In short, the painting I was gazing at in Tokyo had acquired multiple new meanings in its passage from Hawai'i to Japan.

This chapter explores such histories of meaning changing in passage. Indeed, what was true of Strong's painting was also true of the subjects depicted therein: as I shall first argue, the Japanese men, women and children who crossed to Hawai'i on the *Yamashiro-maru* – or any other migrant-carrying ship during this period – experienced the world differently as a consequence of their transit between Yokohama and Honolulu. To study these quotidian transformations is important because the significance of ships as historical arenas in their own right has often been overlooked, as historians interested in global migrations start their

⁸ Both Takechi Iki and Tadamichi were adopted children from the Hayashi household. One scholar in the 1930s claimed that Iki was Tadamichi's biological aunt, meaning that Robert Irwin was his uncle by marriage: see Kōno Shinji 河野信治, Nihon tōgyō hattatsu-shi: Jinbutsu-hen 日本糖業発達史:人物篇 [A developmental history of the sugar industry in Japan: People] (Kobe: Nihon tōgyō hattatsu-shi hensanjo, 1934), p. 272.

⁹ 'Iminsen de raifu shi, ima kokoku zaikai no kyotō' 移民船で来布し、今故國財界の巨頭 [Arrived in Hawai'i on an immigrant ship, now a leading figure in the old country's financial world], *Nippu jiji* 日布時事, 12 December 1933. Available through https://hojishinbun.hoover.org (last accessed 5 August 2021).

Taitō Kyūjūnen Tsūshi Hensan Iinkai 台糖90年通史編纂委員会, Taitō kyūjūnen tsūshi 台糖90年通史 [A ninety-year history of Taitō] (Tokyo: Taitō kabushiki kaisha, 1990), frontmatter.

analyses in place A and continue them in place B, irrespective of what happened in-between. Some scholars have even gone so far as to argue that transoceanic migrant voyages 'will be short, at least in memory, because nothing of interest is being recorded. What for mariners is a sea-lane, for a rural or urban migrant is an empty expanse.'11

Such claims of the passage as empty of meaning derive partly from the surviving source genres. Alongside Robert W. Irwin and Takechi Tadamichi, Fujita Toshirō (1862–1937) was another first-class passenger on the Yamashiro-maru in June 1885. As his later autobiography makes clear, his crossing to Hawai'i opened a new chapter in his life, marked by his transformation from employee at the KUK (owner of the ship) to his first assignment as budding diplomat – a career which would eventually take him to San Francisco, Mexico, Singapore and beyond. 12 And yet he described this transpacific journey in only one half-sentence: 'I became a clerk in the Foreign Ministry on 29 May, Meiji 18 [1885]; five days later I boarded the Yamashiro-maru and began my assignment in Honolulu'. Fourteen days at sea is compressed into a change of verb, from 'boarding' (tōjō 搭乗) to 'beginning of assignment' (funin 赴任). 13 We can be sure that had pirates attacked, or the *Yamashiro-maru*'s crew mutinied, or perhaps even had the ship's engines conked out mid-journey, Fujita would have written more. For an elite actor writing from a retrospective position of having travelled the world, however, the passage seemed narratively

On Fujita in Mexico, see Lu, Making of Japanese Settler Colonialism, p. 84; Bill Mihalopoulos, Sex in Japan's Globalization, 1870–1930: Prostitutes, Emigration and Nation-Building (London: Pickering & Chatto, 2011), pp. 109–10. See also Nicholas B. Miller, 'Trading Sovereignty and Labour: The Consular Network of Nineteenth-Century Hawai'i', International History Review 42, 2 (2020): 260–77.

Fujita Toshirō 藤田敏郎, Kaigai zaikin shihanseiki no kaiko 海外在勤四半世紀の回顧 [Reminiscences of a quarter-century of overseas postings] (Tokyo: Kyōbunkan, 1931), p. 3.

¹¹ Dirk Hoerder, 'Migrations and Belongings', in Emily S. Rosenberg, ed., A World Connecting, 1870-1945 (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2012), pp. 435-589, here p. 470. For a counter-proposition on the significance of transit in global history, see Martin Dusinberre and Roland Wenzlhuemer, 'Being in Transit: Ships and Global Incompatibilities', Journal of Global History 11, 2 (2016): 155-62. Meanwhile, scholarship on Japanese overseas migration in particular has almost entirely overlooked the period of passage. For examples of its absence, see Alan Takeo Moriyama, Imingaisha: Japanese Emigration Companies and Hawaii 1894–1908 (Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press, 1985); Yukiko Kimura, The Issei: Japanese Immigrants in Hawaii (Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press, 1992); Doi Yatarō 土井 彌太郎, Yamaguchi-ken Ōshima-gun Hawai iminshi 山口県大島郡ハワイ移民史 [A history of emigration to Hawai'i from Ōshima county, Yamaguchi prefecture] (Tokyo: Matsuno shoten, 1980). The key exception is Yamada Michio 山田迪生, Fune ni miru Nihonjin iminshi: Kasato-maru kara kurūzu kyakusen e 船にみる日本人移民史:笠戸丸 からクルーズ客船へ [Japanese emigration history as seen through ships: From the Kasato-maru to passenger cruise liners] (Tokyo: Chūkō shinsho, 1998).

A Painting 45

unimportant. But if historians equally view transoceanic time as 'nothing of interest', we risk silencing the key actors in histories of migration, namely the migrants themselves. By seeking to reconstruct processes of transit from other genres of sources, this chapter offers new understandings of the migratory lives of labourers such as those in Strong's plantation painting.

My second interest lies in how men and women similar to Strong's subjects were ascribed new meanings during their period(s) of transit by a range of powerful actors. For example, in my lukewarm excitement at having found a brief mention of the *Yamashiro-maru* in the microfilmed pages of the *Advertiser*, I had overlooked a key example of such ascriptions. Only some years later, retracing my steps with the aid of the text-searchable Chronicling America database, did I digest the whole 8 July issue in peace and quiet. I first noted the fact that directly under some self-puffery ('The Weekly P. C. Advertiser is the best and most complete paper published in the Kingdom', etc.), the page in question had printed the wrong date (7 July). And then, below this and to the left of the 'Hawaiian Art' article, I noticed the headline, 'Japanese Friendship'. ¹⁴ This recorded the granting of a Japanese imperial decoration to Walter M. Gibson, observing:

[T]he honor conferred upon the Hawaiian Foreign Minister possesses more than ordinary meaning, and augurs well for the success of that industrial partnership, as it were, between the two countries, which is expressed so potentially by Japanese immigration. We have room and verge enough for tens of thousands of Japanese families on these Islands, and we hope to see them established here, in thrift and comfortable independence, under our equal and humane laws.¹⁵

Here was a pregnant set of expectations: that the newly initiated government-sponsored migration programme would eventually expand to an 'industrial' scale; ¹⁶ that this would be a positive outcome for what the article earlier called 'the well-being and progress of this Kingdom'; and that there was space for tens of thousands of migrants. No less than the King Bros' golden carvings, this was also a frame for Strong's painting: according to this interpretation, the man, women and child stood for those anticipated thousands of Japanese families and their potential contributions to the Hawaiian nation.

¹⁶ On 'industry', see Chapter 3.

Some scholars have suggested that the digitization of newspapers will lead to 'keyword blinkers' – that is, where scholars ignore the wider context of a defined search result. My experience was the opposite: given the time pressures inherent in microfilm browsing, I was more likely to miss the page context during on-site research. See Bob Nicholson, 'The Digital Turn: Exploring the Methodological Possibilities of Digital Newspaper Archives', Media History 19, 1 (2013): 59–73, here p. 61.

¹⁵ PCA, 8 July 1885. Accessed online through https://chroniclingamerica.loc.gov.

But was this how the migrants themselves understood their arrival as they began new lives in Hawai'i? And, if not, how can historians counter such narratives of the decorated and the [s]trong? The answers to these questions lie partly in how the space of the in-between - that is, the passage between Fujita's two verbs, or between the 17 and 18 June dates on Kodama's gravestone - can be archivally reconstructed. My dream archive of the in-between would be the Yamashiro-maru itself. 17 But in the absence of the ship, the challenge is one of framing: of bringing together archives at both ends of the journey in order to make educated guesses about the meanings of the passage for the labourers who slept one deck below Irwin, Takechi or Fujita. 18 And the challenge is also of unframing: of using the archives, and their gaps, to identify the complex agendas which coalesced in visual and textual representations of 'unmistakably Japanese' subjects. Only in these ways, I will argue, is it possible to offer some kind of narrative corrective to what the Advertiser, describing Strong's painting, suggested was a 'fine representation of a sunny, thriving, hard-working plantation scene'.

Ship as Plantation Boot Camp

The *Advertiser* newspaper offered the male protagonist of Joseph Strong's painting a basic humanism: he was 'fine looking' and he gazed 'good naturedly' outwards. In an ideal world, I would like to go one step further and determine his name. In an ideal world, indeed, I would like to reveal him as Kodama Keijirō, just arrived from Japan on the *Yamashiro-maru* and now adjusting to his new life in Spreckelsville.

The place to start such a quest – ultimately futile though it will be – is the Diplomatic Archives of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs in central Tokyo. This is because Kodama and the other Japanese who crossed to Honolulu in 1885 were part of a new, government-sponsored emigration programme (kan'yaku imin 官約移民) between Japan and Hawai'i which was trialled that year after much lobbying of the Meiji government by Hawaiian Consul Robert W. Irwin. As usually explained in the secondary literature, the programme was pitched as beneficial to both sides. On the one hand, the sugar-planting lobby in Hawai'i, which had become increasingly influential in the two decades since the end of the US Civil

Or alternatively diaries: for one such reconstruction of onboard 'in-between-ness', see Paul Ashmore, 'Slowing Down Mobilities: Passengering on an Inter-war Ocean Liner', *Mobilities* 8, 4 (2013): 595–611, here p. 596.

My thinking on framing in this chapter is influenced by Robert M. Entman, 'Framing: Toward Clarification of a Fractured Paradigm', Journal of Communication 43, 4 (1993): 51–8.

War (1861–5), would be guaranteed a supply of new labour for the plantations; on the other, Japanese farmers, impoverished by the land tax reform of the early 1870s and especially by the so-called Matsukata deflation (1881–5), would have a new income stream – and in a valued foreign currency. The Mitsui Bussan trading company, whose founder, Masuda Takashi (1848–1938), was close friends with both Irwin and Japan's foreign minister Inoue Kaoru, helped organize recruitment.

The first shipment of 945 labourers, arriving in Honolulu on 8 February 1885, was testament to this confluence of business, politics, and diplomacy in mid-Meiji Japan. More than a third of the labourers came from Inoue's home prefecture of Yamaguchi, in the west of Japan; and they travelled on the specially chartered *City of Tokio*, a steamship owned by the Pacific Mail Steamship Company – for whom Irwin had worked when he initially came to Japan in 1866. After the *City of Tokio* sank in June 1885, Irwin chartered the Pacific Mail's *City of Peking* for the third dispatch of labourers, in February 1886. In the meantime, the *Yamashiro-maru*, chartered for the second group, was owned by the KUK, one of whose principal shareholders was the Mitsui Bussan company's Masuda Takashi.²⁰ (As we have seen, Mitsui interests, along with those of Irwin, also lay behind the establishment of the Taiwan Sugar Company in 1900.)²¹

In the Foreign Ministry archives, four thick volumes name the post-1885 government-sponsored departees from Japan, with volume one listing every migrant on the *City of Tokio*, the *Yamashiro-maru*, and the *City of Peking*. The lists are vertically compiled and divided into sections according to the male labourer's home prefecture. At the top of each page appears the administrative subdivision one level below prefecture, namely county (*gun* 郡); and then come the migrant's town or village, his detailed address, his status and profession, and, at the very bottom of the page, his – or his wife and child's – name and age. All of the *Yamashiro-maru* migrants in 1885 were 'commoner' apart from three

¹⁹ Moriyama's *Imingaisha*, pp. 1–10, offers such an explanation.

²⁰ For a full list of the KUK's founding shareholders, see Shibusawa Eiichi denki shiryō kankōkai 渋沢栄一伝記資料刊行会, *Shibusawa Eiichi denki shiryō daihakkan* 渋沢栄一伝記資料第8巻 (Shibusawa Eiichi: Biographical sources, Vol. 8) (Tokyo: Ryūmonsha, 1956), p. 57.

²¹ For a list of Taiwan Sugar's original shareholders, see Masuda Takashi 益田孝 and Nagai Minoru 長井実, *Tijo Masuda Takashi-ō den* 自叙益田孝翁伝 [Autobiography of Masuda Takashi, Esq.] (Kanagawa: private publication, 1939), p. 344. Masuda even served as interim Hawaiian consul to Japan while Irwin was in Hawai'i for an extended sojourn from February 1886: HSA 404-15-252a (Hawaiian Officials Abroad Japan 1886), Irwin to Inoue Kaoru, 1 February 1886.

²² DA 3.8.2.5–14, Vol. 1.

'samurai', and all of the commoners were recorded as 'farmers'. If the Strong painting was one medium by which the labourers became (or were intended to become) *visible* to Japanese government elites, then these Foreign Ministry volumes rendered them *legible* – similar to the exhaustive passenger lists of sixteenth-century New World migrants produced by Seville's Casa de la Contratación.²³ Moreover, in this structuring of the archival page, both the compiler and the future reader were conditioned to frame each of the volume's nearly 3,000 individuals by their provenance; and this, in turn, gives us some sense of what motives lay behind a young man wanting to move to Hawai'i for work.²⁴

The concentration of departees from particular villages reveals the vicissitudes of Japan's changing engagement with the outside world across the nineteenth century. For example, Hiroshima prefecture accounted for nearly the greatest number of migrants on board the Yamashiro-maru in June 1885 (390, or nearly 40 per cent). Within Hiroshima, Saeki county accounted for the greatest number of migrants (239); and, within Saeki, the village of Jigozen, which supplied thirtyseven migrants aged between twenty and thirty-eight, constituted the largest sending community. Like many of the migrant-sending villages from neighbouring Yamaguchi prefecture, Jigozen is located on the coast of the Seto Inland Sea. In the eighteenth century and up to the mid nineteenth, shipping lanes through the Inland Sea were crucial elements in the transport infrastructure by which western and northern domains shipped both their tributary taxes and their produce eastwards to the Tokugawa 'kitchen' of Osaka. There, they traded in commodities which they shipped back to distant ports via Shimonoseki, at the Inland Sea's western extreme. As domestic trade increased through the eighteenth century, so too did the number of long-distance ships sailing east and west through small ports such as Jigozen; indeed, the village was one of many coastal communities between Osaka and Shimonoseki whose economies began to grow in this period at the expense of hitherto more

Bernhard Siegert, 'Ficticious [sic] Identities: On the interrogatorios and registros de pasajeros a Indias in the Archivo General de Indias (Seville) (16th century)', in Wolfram Nitsch, Matei Chihaia and Alejandra Torres, eds., Ficciones de los medios en la periferia: Técnicas de comunicación en la ficción hispanoamericana moderna (Cologne: Universitäts- und Stadtbibliothek Köln, 2008), pp. 19–30. I thank Frieder Missfelder for this reference.

Women could not emigrate unless they accompanied their spouses, although Hawai'i-bound labourers sometimes found creative ways to manipulate the category of 'wife': Yukari Takai, 'Recrafting Marriage in Meiji Hawai'i, 1885–1913', Gender & History 31, 3 (2019): 646–64.

established market and castle towns.²⁵ This, we must assume, lay behind the near-doubling of the population between the 1820s and 1881, when the village was recorded as having 2,300 residents.²⁶

In turn, such a demographic transformation throws light on the nominal 'farmer' status of the later *Yamashiro-maru* migrants. In fact, by the 1860s, only 40 per cent of Jigozen's households were landowners – meaning that 60 per cent of the village's population somehow made a living without owning land. Most likely, they survived on byemployments connected with the Inland Sea's increased volume of trade, on coastal fishing, and also on work in the household industries that were renowned in this part of Japan, particularly cotton production. But these survival strategies made non-landed households particularly vulnerable to new infrastructures of interregional trade which were established in the wake of the 1868 Meiji revolution. ²⁷ In addition, falling agricultural yield in Jigozen in the 1880s, and the opening of a new mill by the Hiroshima Cotton Spinning Company in Saeki county in 1883, created a perfect storm of problems for 'farmers' – many of whom had for a generation or two *not* worked exclusively in agriculture. ²⁸

No wonder that a large group of working-age men – all men – sought to escape Jigozen in 1885: these were desperate times. A one-line entry on an emigrant list in Tokyo will never do more than hint at the complex motivations which spurred Jigozen villagers to up roots and cross the Pacific; but for a middle-aged man such as the thirty-seven-year-old Wakamiya Yaichi, there were perhaps already half a lifetime of employment disappointments tied up in such a decision. Perhaps personal disappointments, too: if he was married and already had children, he would not see his family again for the minimum three-year period he would be contracted in Hawai'i. On the other hand, the draw of the new government-sponsored programme was substantial: a guaranteed,

²⁵ Thomas C. Smith, Native Sources of Japanese Industrialization, 1750–1920 (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1988), pp. 15–49.

For these and other population figures, see Hatsukaichi-chō hen 廿日市町編, Hatsukaichi chōshi 廿日市町史 [Hatsukaichi town history] (Hiroshima: Hatsukaichi-chō, 1988), Vol. 7, p. 323; Vol. 6, pp. 870-81, 885-8.
 I detail the impact of these changes on by-employments in Chapter 3.

²⁸ On falling agricultural yield in Jigozen in the 1880s, see Ishikawa Tomonori 石川友紀, 'Hiroshima wangan Jigozenson keiyaku imin no shakai chirigakuteki kōsatsu' 広島湾岸地御前村契約移民の社会地理学的考察 [A social and geographic study of contract

御前村契約移民の社会地理学的考察 [A social and geographic study of contract emigration from Jigozen village, Hiroshima bay], Jinbun chiri 19 (1967): 75–91, here, p. 88. On the cotton mills, whose numbers nationwide increased from three in 1877 to twenty-three in 1886, see Yuji Ichioka, The Issei: The World of the First Generation Japanese Immigrants, 1885–1924 (New York: Free Press, 1988), pp. 43–4; and Edward E. Pratt, Japan's Protoindustrial Elite: The Economic Foundations of the Gōnō (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Asia Center, 1999), p. 65.

unfluctuating wage of nine US dollars a month on a sugar plantation (equivalent to 10.6 yen in 1885), plus room and board, was almost three times what Wakamiya could earn as a day-labourer in Jigozen.²⁹ Fuelling these expectations of economic independence and even prosperity, he would have read – or, more likely, have been read – a message from his prefectural governor on 25 May, the day when the migrants left Hiroshima for transit to Yokohama. Work hard, it said, using an idiom of triumphant homecoming, 'that you may gain the distinction of one day returning to your home town dressed in brocade'.³⁰

There were nearly a thousand similar stories to Wakamiya's on the Yamashiro-maru as it steamed out of Yokohama on 4 June. Such is the imbalance of historical sources in favour of the programme's backers and organizers that a single entry in the Mitsui Bussan company diary reveals more about the departure than anything I will find in records relating to the migrants: to wit, the fourth was a rainy day, but Mitsui Bussan founder Masuda Takashi nevertheless went to wave the ship off from the pier. 31 I know hardly anything about Wakamiya Yaichi on board the Yamashiro-maru at that moment, and even less about Kodama Keijirō – who, unlike Wakamiya, was the only departee from his small village of Orisaki, in the county of Tamana, in the west of Kumamoto prefecture. In Orisaki, there was no group exodus by which a historian might hypothesize a motivation for departure, no chain reaction of transpacific migration such as that which would lead to early twentieth-century Jigozen becoming known as 'America village' for its high rate of overseas workers.³² If I want to understand Kodama's background, I will need a different archival strategy.

That said, the paper trail generated by the *Yamashiro-maru*'s botched arrival in Honolulu offers an initial clue as to the transformation that the ship's migrants underwent while onboard. As soon as the 988 surviving

²⁹ Income figures based on Ishikawa, 'Hiroshima wangan Jigozenson', p. 85. The US\$9 salary (US\$6 for women) was on top of a monthly allowance for food (US\$6 for men and US\$4 for women).

³⁰ Hiroshima kenritsu monjokan 広島県立文書館, Hiroshima-ken ijūshi: Shiryō-hen 広島県移住史資料編 [A migration history of Hiroshima prefecture: Sources] (Hiroshima: Hiroshima-ken, 1991), p. 10. See also Jonathan Dresner, 'Instructions to Emigrant Workers, 1885–1894: "Return in Triumph" or "Wander on the Verge of Starvation", in Nobuko Adachi, ed., Japanese Diasporas: Unsung Pasts, Conflicting Presents and Uncertain Futures (Abingdon: Routledge, 2006), pp. 52–68.

³¹ My thanks to Koba Toshihiko of the Mitsui Archives, who shared with me extracts from an unpublished draft transcript of the Mitsui Bussan diaries for 1885 (Vol. 12, 23 October 1884 – 22 September 1886). Only brief extracts of the diaries from 1876–8 have been published to date, in the Archive's in-house journal, *Mitsui bunko ronsō* 三井文庫論叢, issues 41 (2007) to 43 (2009).

³² Ishikawa, 'Hiroshima wangan Jigozenson', pp. 78–9.

men, women and children were released from quarantine, and just before they were dispersed to plantations throughout the kingdom, the men's names were entered in the 'Laborer Contract Book', today kept in the Hawai'i State Archives. Each name has been transliterated into the Roman alphabet, but instead of providing vertical information on provenance, the book lists them horizontally, next to a number: Kodama is #1146, Wakamiya, #1405. This was the bango, an individual number engraved on a metal disk and hung around the migrant's neck like the dog tags later worn by soldiers. (Women, absent in the 'Laborer Contract Book', went by their husbands' bango.) 33 Such numerical labelling made it easier for employers and government officials to discuss individual cases without dealing with what they clearly regarded as the encumbrance of Japanese names. For example, correspondence from Kaua'i's Kekaha plantation regarding Takiguchi Jinta, who arrived on the Yamashiro-maru in 1885 but died eighteen months later at the age of thirty-six, simply referred to 'the death of Japanese #863', as if discussing an account-book entry.34

By juxtaposing the Tokyo and the Honolulu archives, historians can therefore frame a small but significant transformation in how the labourers were officially identified. On their departure from Yokohama, Wakamiya Yaichi, Kodama Keijirō or Takiguchi Jinta were individuals with names, but on their arrival in Honolulu they were objects with numbers. If later testimony is anything to go by, this shift from name to number was a source of considerable grievance. 'The [overseers] never call a man by his name,' one Japanese migrant recalled. 'Always by the bango, 7209 or 6508 in that manner. And that was the thing I objected to. I wanted my name, not the number.' In this sense, my desire to give the male protagonist in Strong's painting an individual name is anachronistic. To the overseer on horseback, and possibly even to Strong himself, this 'fine looking' man and his presumed wife were no more than a shared number in a ledger book.

And if we dig further into the moment of the *Yamashiro-maru*'s arrival, it becomes clear that a second, more existential transformation occurred in the migrants' lives between embarkation in Japan and disembarkation in Hawai'i. For Wakamiya, Kodama and Takiguchi did not simply step off the ship and dally into port. Rather, following the outbreak of

³³ For examples of how female *bango* were used, see HSPA, KAU PV Vol. 7, *passim*.

³⁴ HSPA, KSC 19-13, letter from the Bureau of Immigration to the manager of Kekaha Sugar Co. (Kaua'i), 10 January 1887.

³⁵ Ronald Takaki, Pau Hanta Plantation Life and Labor in Hawaii (Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 1983), p. 89.

smallpox among a dozen labourers during the Yamashiro-maru's passage, the migrants were bundled off to the isolation of Honolulu's Sand Island quarantine station. There, in what later became known among the Japanese as the sennin-goya, or 'thousand-person huts', 36 they and their compatriots spent more than a month, surrounded by high paling and a watchtower, and guarded by as many as ten men during the daytime.³⁷ (The Japanese reportedly 'kept their quarters and the grounds beautifully clean', with 'their own police [guarding] against any nuisance being committed anywhere near the quarters'.)³⁸ These cramped conditions offered migrants a foretaste of the minimal accommodation on some of the sugar plantations, where the wooden bunks of similarly named 'thousand-person huts' could be stacked three or four high.³⁹ For Wakamiva Yaichi as for the others, then, this was an existential transformation in the sense that his physical freedom of movement was considerably curtailed in Hawai'i compared to his old life in an Inland Sea port. In the quarantine station, it was curtailed by high paling and guards; on the plantation, by a strict labour regime which was laid out in the contract each migrant had signed and then enforced through overseers and managers, kingdom officials and Japanese consular staff, and, in the worst-case scenarios, through the Hawaiian courts.

That such adjustments to time regimes and curtailments of freedom could lead to outbreaks of conflict between the labourers and their overseers was unsurprising. A different folder in the Japanese Foreign Ministry Archives contains dozens of pages of written testimony detailing the problems that some ex-City of Tokio migrants faced within a few weeks of having arrived – problems corroborated by records in Hawai'i. From Pā'ia in central Maui, for example, came complaints of 'rough handling, insufficient medical attendance, compelling men to work who are sick, and bringing men to court for refusal to work', as the Hawaiian Board of Immigration's special agent reported in April. Moreover, 'On Paia plantation, about the middle of March, a native Hawaiian, a bullock driver, had a dispute or rather fight, with a Japanese, the Japanese received a scalp wound in the forehead.' After the subsequent court case, 'all the Japanese, except those on the sick list, [...] refused to work and

³⁶ Moriyama, *Imingaisha*, p. 112.

³⁷ For the quarantine station, see *PCA*, 25 June 1885. I have calculated the number of guards from HSA, Vol. 519 (*Yamashiro-maru*, 1892).

guards from FISA, Vol. 319 (Tamashav mats, 2022).

38 PCA, 7 July 1885 (accessed through Chronicling America).

39 Odo. Voices from the Canefields, p. 51.

40 On plantation time regimes, see Chapter 3.

arming themselves with knives and sticks made threatening demonstrations along the highway'. 41

The Board of Immigration's president, in a soothing letter to the Japanese Consul in Honolulu at the end of May, acknowledged these accounts but explained that on Pā'ia and the neighbouring plantation of Haiku, 'immigrants of the farming class, of simple habits, came into a strange country, [and] the people who received them were unaccustomed to their habits, and ideas, and did not make sufficient allowance'. 42 Although he promised that Pā'ia and Haiku would henceforth be given a 'trial' status in the government-sponsored programme, bureaucrats in Tokyo were sufficiently alarmed to arrange for a special commissioner to accompany the programme's second shipment of labourers in June. Inoue Katsunosuke, the adopted son of Foreign Minister Inoue Kaoru, was to report back to the ministry on plantation working conditions, with the threat - not quite spelled out - that if he were unhappy with what he observed, the government-sponsored programme would be suspended or abandoned. Even in late June, however, as Inoue saw out his own quarantine in the considerably more salubrious conditions of the offshore Yamashiro-maru, ex-City of Tokio labourers on Pā'ia were still complaining of horseback-mounted lunas (overseers) beating them with cane stalks, spitting on them, kicking them in the head, lassoing their necks with the horse's whip, and depriving them of drinking water during working hours. 43

To a newly arrived Japanese labourer, therefore, the basic composition of Strong's painting would have been all wrong. In light of the Pā'ia complaints, the bullock cart and the mounted *luna* would have brought very different associations. The overseer would always have been at the *foreground* of their daily consciousness. And it seems very unlikely that the male protagonist, or indeed any other labourer, would have found (or been granted) time during the working day, especially during the canecutting season, to have a cup of tea with his wife and child, let alone gaze good naturedly over his place of work.

Admittedly, such maltreatment of men and women with 'simple habits' lay at the far end of a spectrum of migrant experiences in 1885 Hawai'i. No labourer could have expected kicking and spitting and

W. Austin to Charles Gulick, 25 April 1885, in DA 3.8.2.7. Austin had been appointed special agent at a Board of Immigration meeting on 6 April 1885, and his report was discussed at a Board meeting on 27 April: HSA Government Records Inventory Sheets, FO&EX, Interior Department, 522 Vol. 1 (Board of Immigration Minutes, 1879–1899).
 Gulick to Nakamura, 30 May 1885, in DA 3.8.2.7.

⁴³ Letter from Nakagawa Nisuke and four others to the Japanese consulate in Honolulu, 26 June 1885: DA 3.8.2.7.

beating, especially in light of pre-departure visions of brocade. On the other hand, the labourers cannot have been entirely surprised by the change of habits they were forced to experience upon arrival. This is because, in material ways, the shipboard passage had already habituated them to a new way of experiencing the world. First-class passengers might well enjoy the 'fine smoking room' to the aft of the Yamashiromaru's central funnel, or a sheltered promenade along the ship's stern railings; they could commune, immediately below the smoking room, in a 'handsome' dining saloon which was 'well lighted with electric lights of various descriptions', or in the music room; and they could relax in 'large, lofty, and well ventilated' cabins, each containing two berths and a bell to summon the steward. By contrast, the 940 male labourers, thirty-five women and fourteen children on the government-sponsored emigration programme spent the majority of their days packed into two rooms, one deck below first-class. 44 The floors on which the migrants slept were supplemented by large wooden bunks built inwards from the Yamashiro-maru's port and starboard sides (compared by some migrants to silkworm shelves). 45 Here, each migrant had an individual sleeping area of a little over 0.9 square metres, or approximately 163 by 55 centimetres, most probably with about 80 centimetres to sit up and/or change clothes. Coffin-like accommodation this was not, in comparison to so-called 'coolie' labourers transported across the Pacific and Indian Oceans in the mid nineteenth century. 46 Moreover, the Yamashiromaru's newness marked it out from vessels typically used in the contemporary Melanesian forced-labour trade, many of which became human transporters only *after* they were no longer fit for inanimate cargo. 47 But, sleeping head-to-foot and probably three people deep on either the

See the interview with Kame Okano (born in 1889 in Yamaguchi prefecture) in Ethnic Studies Oral History Project, A Social History of Kona, Vol. 1 (Honolulu: Ethnic Studies Program, University of Hawai'i, Manoa, 1981), pp. 591–626, here p. 601 (Okano is the family name).

⁴⁷ Laurence Brown, "A Most Irregular Traffic": The Oceanic Passages of the Melanesian Labor Trade', in Emma Christopher, Cassandra Pybus and Marcus Rediker, eds., *Many*

⁴⁴ For the fullest description of the *Yamashiro-maru*, see *PCA*, 21 July 1885. For other elements of my reconstruction, see *PCA*, 19 June 1885; *Morning Bulletin* (Rockhampton, Queensland), 14 February 1898. My calculations of sleeping space are explained more fully in Martin Dusinberre, 'Writing the On-board: Meiji Japan in Transit and Transition', *Journal of Global History* 11, 2 (2016): 271–94, here pp. 279–81. On the spatial divisions of British-built steamships during this period, see Douglas Hart, 'Sociability and "Separate Spheres" on the North Atlantic: The Interior Architecture of British Atlantic Liners, 1840–1930', *Journal of Social History* 44, 1 (2010): 189–212.

Evelyn Hu-DeHart, 'La Trata Amarilla: The "Yellow Trade" and the Middle Passage, 1847–1884', in Emma Christopher, Cassandra Pybus and Marcus Rediker, eds., Many Middle Passages: Forced Migration and the Making of the Modern World (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2007), pp. 166–83, here p. 173.

deck's floor or the elevated wooden bunks, using futons or ship canvasses as mattresses and any blankets they themselves had brought, 48 it seems unlikely that Wakamiya and his fellow villagers would have enthused – as had Osaka residents viewing the *Yamashiro-maru* for the first time in 1884 – about the ship's 'lofty 'tween-decks'. 49

Thus, just as the 'thousand-person huts' at the Sand Island quarantine station prepared the migrants for later accommodation on the sugar plantations, so the ship played a crucial role in socializing Wakamiya and his compatriots for the physical confinements of their new lives. Not for nothing did one Hawaiian planter offer a casual analogy about plantation living conditions in the mid 1880s: 'Dwellings for plantation laborers are furnished free of rental by the plantations. The rooms are generally about twelve square feet, and for unmarried men contain bunks, as in ships.'50 Moreover, when Irwin decreed, five days out of Yokohama, that the migrants must take three hours of daily exercise on the upper deck of the Yamashiro-maru (safely screened off from the fourteen first-class passengers), Wakamiya experienced for the first time the intervention of a white man in his daily routines and bodily regimes.⁵¹ This would be a precedent both for the mass disinfecting showers which the migrants would be forced to take in the guarantine station, and for the racial hierarchies of their lives on the plantations. Even the auditory sensations of the ship - the bridge bell, sounding every half-hour and then eight times on the fourth hour to mark a change of watch, or the constant rumble and grind of the engine – prepared the migrants for the new time regimes they would experience on the plantations, and for the non-stop clatter of the sugar mill. Archivally framed in this way, the ship can be seen for its partial function: not just a mode of transportation, but a boot camp for the plantation.

That said, ignorance was also an aspect of the in-between. One of my favourite photographs from Hawai'i has nothing to do with the *Yamashiro-maru*: rather, it depicts government-sponsored

Middle Passages: Forced Migration and the Making of the Modern World (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2007), pp. 184–203, here pp. 191–4.

I take these details from Consul Andō Tarō's description of the City of Peking,
 13 February 1886, in Hiroshima kenritsu monjokan, Hiroshima-ken ijūshi, p. 35.
 HN, 31 Iulv 1884.

⁵⁰ PM, VI, 9 (December 1886), p. 242 (emphasis added). While some migrants called the shipboard bunkbeds silkworm shelves, others did the same for the plantation accommodation: see the interview with Usaku Morihara (born in 1884 in Yamaguchi prefecture), Ethnic Studies Oral History Project, Social History of Kona, Vol. 1, pp. 841–84, here p. 855 (Morihara is the surname).

HSA, FO&EX 31, Immigration Matters (April–June 1885), Robert W. Irwin to Walter M. Gibson, 25 June 1885.



Figure 2.2 Japanese immigrants landing. Honolulu, c. 1893. PP-46-4-005. Courtesy of Hawai'i State Archives.

migrants from the *Milke-maru*, circa 1893, crossing a long wooden walkway across the beach from ship to land (see Figure 2.2). The men are laden down with luggage – with rolled-up bedding, bamboo-woven trunks, shoulder-bags stuffed with clothes, pots and pans and other packages, all slung over their backs and balanced on the backs of their necks.⁵² They glance towards the strange sight of photographic paraphernalia as they approach shore, and the foremost two men offer a smile. Even in the stillness of the celluloid, there seems to be a spring in the migrants' step. Arriving in Hawai'i, the men cannot know how their new lives will unfold. The photograph thus captures them in an extended moment of landfall, a moment which arguably began as soon as they left their home towns and villages in rural Japan.⁵³

⁵² I take these details from the exhibits on display at the Japanese Cultural Center of Hawai'i Honolulu, which I visited in 2011.

Here I depart from Joseph Conrad, who argues that a landfall is a particular temporal moment (a cry of 'Land ho!'), while a departure is a technical act, namely, the pencilled

The Nation Cheek by Jowl

The photograph of labourers arriving in mid-1890s Hawai'i also takes us into a different aspect of the migrants' daily lives, namely their visual framing as 'Japanese'. To comprehend one making of that ascribed identity, a short detour is necessary: to explain why, in 1885, a German doctor was present in the kingdom.

Of all the new phenomena visited upon nineteenth-century Hawaiian society, arguably the single most important was disease – acute infectious disease on an unprecedented scale. When one of the *ali'i* (chiefs) of Kaua'i island, Kā'eokūlani, had a group of men paddle out to engage with the newly arrived foreign ships in what those foreigners called January 1778, there were perhaps 500,000 or more Kānaka Maoli (Native Hawaiians) living on the islands as a whole. But among the gifts bestowed by Captain Cook's men in that first visit were syphilis, gonorrhoea and almost certainly tuberculosis as well. Thus began a century of Native decimation by illnesses which came later to include also mumps, smallpox, measles, influenza and dysentery. By the late 1870s, the islands had lost at least 70 per cent of their Indigenous population, if not 90 per cent.⁵⁴

In Strong's 1885 painting, particular details spoke to wider ecological and epidemiological transformations in the history of Hawai'i. For example, the oxen and the overseer's horse were both animals imported at the turn of the nineteenth century, with massive unanticipated consequences for questions of enclosure and therefore land ownership; and the newly cut cane reminded the viewer of sugar's post-1830s emergence as the archipelago's key commodity.⁵⁵ But the most important detail,

cross on a track-chart after the final sight of land (even though the ship, while still in sight of the coast, may actually 'have been at sea, in the fullest sense of the phrase, for days'). My reconstruction of the shipboard passage reverses this argument, instead to posit landfall as a process which started days before the migrants physically approached the pier: Joseph Conrad, *The Mirror of the Sea*, 10th edn (Edinburgh: Methuen, 1906), pp. 1–2.

I take these figures from R. D. K. Herman, 'Out of Sight, Out of Mind, Out of Power: Leprosy, Race and Colonization in Hawai'i', Journal of Historical Geography 27, 3 (2001): 319-37, here p. 320; and Appendix B in Seth Archer, Sharks upon the Land: Colonialism, Indigenous Health, and Culture in Hawai'i, 1778-1855 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2018). On the first engagement by Native Hawaiians with Captain Cook's ships, see Chang, World and All the Things upon It, pp. 25-30.

55 On the significance of horses and especially cows in Hawai'i, see John Ryan Fischer, Cattle Colonialism: An Environmental History of the Conquest of California and Hawai'i (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2015), pp. 30–3, 165–94. On the environmental transformation of the islands due to sugar production, see Carol A. MacLennan, Sovereign Sugar: Industry and Environment in Hawai'i (Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press, 2014).

whether intentional or not, was the almost complete absence of Kānaka Maoli in Strong's work. In a painting set in Hawai'i, there were no Native Hawaiians – at least at first sight. Absence-by-disease was a history weighing upon the scene like the clouds hanging over the painting's background mountains; and absence explained why imported labour from Asia, the Pacific Islands and even Europe was necessary in the first place.

By the mid 1880s, leprosy had joined the list of diseases afflicting Native Hawaiians – although, as historians have subsequently shown, its discursive impact was in some ways more significant on Hawaiian society than its death count. In a throwback to the practices of twelfthcentury Europe, foreign doctors in Hawai'i peddled the association of leprosy with indolence and filth, and thus insisted on segregating Native patients from the general population. One Strasbourg- and Breslautrained dermatologist, Eduard Arning (1855-1936), who was appointed government resident physician by the Hawaiian Board of Health in 1883, claimed that sufferers were 'dangerous' and 'a hot bed of contagion'. 56 Reinforcing the association of leprosy with immorality, Arning arranged in 1884 for the death sentence of a convicted Native Hawaiian murderer, forty-eight-year-old Keanu, to be commuted so that the doctor might suture leprous tissue into the prisoner's arm. The aim, as Arning explained in a letter to King Kalākaua, was to conduct experiments 'in relation to the possibility of inoculating leprosy on healthy subjects'. Though he declined to 'dwell here on the propriety of these experiments', 57 they attracted much comment in the British Medical Journal in a period when, in the words of one historian, 'Hawai'i was coming to be seen as the imperial world's leprosy laboratory'. 58 (Keanu died on the so-called leper colony in Molokai in 1892.)

When not treating patients, Arning took photographs as a hobby. His lens roved widely, exploiting the access to ordinary Hawaiian homes that he enjoyed as a doctor. As to be expected from a European male working

⁵⁷ Letter from Eduard Arning to King Kalākaua and the Privy Council, 13 August 1884, HSA FO&EX Chronological Files 1850–1900, Box 31, 'Miscellaneous: Local, Jul-Dec 1884'.

⁵⁸ Rod Edmond, Leprosy and Empire: A Medical and Cultural History (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006), p. 91.

⁵⁶ Cited in Herman, 'Out of Sight', p. 328. The comment itself dates from 1886, the year that Arning left Hawai'i. For a brief biographical overview, see Adrienne Kaeppler, 'Eduard Arning: Hawai'is ethnografischer Fotograf/Ethnographic Photographer of Hawai'i', in Wulf Köpke and Bernd Schmelz, eds., Blick ins Paradies / A Glimpse into Paradise (Hamburg: Museum für Völkerkunde Hamburg, 2014), pp. 86–103.

in the late nineteenth-century Pacific region, Arning's depictions of human subjects have at times a voyeur quality: here he lines up four lightly clad New Hebridean labourers next to their (three-piece-besuited) Caucasian helmsman; there he positions a small group of half-naked Melanesian workers, the women's waists covered with dried-grass skirts.⁵⁹ Doubtless the doctor would have defended his compositions as 'authentic' and also of medical-ethnographic interest - a corporeal and cultural study, in which the accompanying props (spears, coconuts, clothing) were allegedly revealing of differences between Pacific Islander communities. As such, it was unsurprising that Arning was among the visitors to the Honolulu immigration depot on 11 February 1885, when the city's great and good gathered to welcome, and to gawk at, a new group of islanders, namely the very first group of Japanese labourers recently arrived on the City of Tokio. According to the Advertiser newspaper, the 'representatives of the various [Japanese] provinces' offered King Kalākaua and members of his government 'an exhibition of wrestling that was very interesting and amusing'. As if anticipating Arning's own physiological interests, the Advertiser's correspondent continued:

Those who were to take part in the wrestling wore nothing but a band of cloth passed between their legs and then wound around the waist. Their naked bodies showed every degree of muscular development, some being without any superfluous flesh, but wilh [sic] plenty of sinew, while others were clothed with an abundance of solid brawn, and a few were inclined to fatness. ⁶⁰

Arning was on hand to record these wrestlers for posterity, and nine of the images he took that day survive. They capture a variety of poses: groups of families gathered around rice pots; a woman playing the shamisen next to her husband and child; migrants seated or lying on thick blankets; a reader, a smoker and their companions observed by two Caucasian men; a bathing scene in which the women expose their breasts and a toddler stands naked; and, of course, the much-observed bout of sumo. They also include a group shot, of eleven adults and a backward-facing child, which seems designed to capture all the variety in *yukata* (summer kimono) design that one might expect from a large, diverse group: the cottons worn are variously plain dyed, striped, checked, dark-coloured and light (see Figure 2.3). One man, on the second-right of the

See Wulf Köpke and Bernd Schmelz, eds., Blick ins Paradies / A Glimpse into Paradise (Hamburg: Museum für Völkerkunde Hamburg, 2014), pp. 319 and 363 respectively.
 PCA, 12 February 1885 (accessed by microfilm).



Figure 2.3 Ex-City of Tokio migrants in Honolulu, February 1885. Photograph by Eduard Arning, Inv. No. 2014.8:20. © Museum am Rothenbaum (MARKK), Hamburg.

back row, wears his *yukata* somewhat unnaturally over a collarless, buttoned shirt; another, squatting on the front row, turns his back to the camera as if deliberately to display the Chinese character on his back. That this and all the scenes were staged, for technical as well as compositional reasons, does not diminish their historical value. In the bodies on display, as well as in the material culture of pots, pans, bedding, clothing and luggage, Arning's photographs offer scholars a more vibrant snapshot of Japanese rural life in the mid 1880s than any descriptions of the quotidian I have been able to find in the prefectures whence the migrants originally came.

Arning's photographs were also a visual manifestation of a written trope pervasive in all contemporary descriptions of the *City of Tokio* and later *Yamashiro-maru* arrivals: the migrants as *Japanese*. Englishlanguage newspaper readers in Honolulu were not only informed of 'Japanese sports' being performed at the immigration depot; they could also educate themselves – by reading the reprint of a long public lecture delivered by the recently departed US consul-general to Japan – about the working conditions of the 'Laborer in Japan'. One of the consul's express hopes was that the Japanese would 'prove themselves industrious, capable, temperate, amiable, obedient to law, and ready to

identify themselves with your progress and your prosperity'. ⁶¹ Yet Japaneseness in 1885 was a claim as much as an empirical reality. To the migrants themselves, the fact that they came from 'various provinces' would have loomed as large in their consciousness as any labelling about their life in Japan. Indeed, until they left their home towns to come to Hawai'i, many of the migrants may never have met men and women from different provinces. For this reason, our ability to imagine the shipboard passage between Yokohama and Honolulu is important in terms of discourses of nationhood. For not only was the ship a preparation for the plantation; it was also a space of nascent nation-making. ⁶²

The transformation of scale in a migrant's sense of imaginative belonging, from village to nation, began with the bureaucracy of the government-sponsored programme itself. When Wakamiya Yaichi applied for his passage to Hawai'i in late April 1885, for example, he addressed his papers – including a pledge of good behaviour, a request for passage assistance, and a statement from his guarantor (usually an older relative) – to the head of the Jigozen village office. 63 In a community of 2,000 people, this bureaucrat, who was also a neighbour, would have been a familiar face in mediating Wakamiya's interactions with the Meiji state. 64 In such a role, village elites would have dealt on Wakamiya's behalf with officials in the Saeki county office; they, in turn, would have dealt with officials in the Hiroshima prefectural office; and prefectural officials would finally have dealt with bureaucrats in Tokyo. By contrast, once the City of Tokio and Yamashiro-maru migrants arrived in Honolulu and were dispersed to their individual plantations, this familiar local face of the bureaucratic state no longer existed. Instead, the migrants communicated with a direct representative of Tokyo in the form of the Japanese consul and his deputies in Hawai'i, or with Japanese inspectors employed by the consulate. Compared to the familiar mediation on offer in Jigozen, the Meiji state became more impersonal in

⁶¹ Lecture by Thomas B. Van Buren (1824–89), reprinted in the *PCA* on 10, 12, 19, 20, 21 and 23 February 1885; citation from 12 February. Van Buren had also travelled on the *City of Tokio* to Honolulu.

For the argument that migrants did not necessarily arrive possessing a national identity, see Hoerder, 'Migrations and Belongings', p. 482. Indeed, here I follow Hoerder's argument that the nation-state should not be the historian's default framework in analyzing long-distance migrations in the late nineteenth century. Yet in calling the transoceanic voyage an 'empty expanse' (ibid., p. 470), Hoerder also overlooks the ship as a key site in the formation of national self-identifications.

⁶³ I have extrapolated such a relationship from documents in Hiroshima kenritsu monjokan, *Hiroshima-ken ijūshi*, pp. 7–10.

⁶⁴ On the multiple bureaucratic and community roles that local elites played in Meiji Japan, see Martin Dusinberre, Hard Times in the Hometown: A History of Community Survival in Modern Japan (Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press, 2012), pp. 53–80.

Hawai'i – and yet, in terms of bureaucratic layers, conversely less distant. From Wakamiya's new plantation, and indeed from his moment of embarkation on the ship, Tokyo was figuratively closer than it had ever been in Jigozen.⁶⁵

Again, this process started from before the moment of embarkation, when, in the aforementioned written instructions from the governor of Hiroshima prefecture, Wakamiya was explicitly warned 'not to disgrace the nation' (kokujoku o ukezaru 国辱ヲ受ケサル) during the period of his contract. Such an admonition echoed the wider state campaigns of moral suasion in Meiji Japan – but the material space of the ship also played a key role in helping prepare migrants for their new figurative proximity to the nation-state.⁶⁶ For example, if we think back to the lists in the Japanese Foreign Ministry archives, the provenance of individual migrants was read vertically down, from prefecture to county to village: in the case of Wakamiya, from Hiroshima to Saeki to Jigozen. From the perspective of a bureaucrat in Tokyo, 'Japan' was so obvious a label as to be left unwritten. But my guess is that as Wakamiya left Jigozen on 25 May to begin his long journey to Honolulu, he would have ranked the relative importance of those names in reverse order. That is, Jigozen would have offered him a primary sense of belonging which was reinforced by the presence of thirty-six fellow villagers on the road to Hiroshima. But in the port of Ujina, he might have begun to feel lost among the crowd of 389 other migrants from Hiroshima prefecture; and onboard the Yamashiro-maru for the first time, en route to Yokohama, he was thrust into the same space as 276 migrants from Kumamoto and 149 from Fukuoka - two other key prefectures from which the government-sponsored emigrants hailed. True, as a native of an Inland Sea port town, Wakamiya would at the very least have previously seen people from other parts of Japan, and perhaps even conversed with them. But in the steamship, then in the Nagaura quarantine centre near Yokohama, and then during the passage to Hawai'i, nominal compatriots were suddenly cheek by jowl.

⁶⁵ For a similar argument on the relation of Wakayama pearl divers to the Arafura Sea, see Manimporok Dotulong, '*Hyakushō* in the Arafura Zone: Ecologizing the Nineteenth-Century "Opening of Japan", *Past & Present* 257, 1 (November 2022): 280–317.

Admonition from the governor of Hiroshima prefecture, 25 May 1885, in Hiroshima kenritsu monjokan, *Hiroshima-ken ijūshi*, p. 10. Such appeals can be traced back at least as far as the Meiji government's initial instructions to passport holders in 1869: see Takahiro Yamamoto, 'Japan's Passport System and the Opening of Borders, 1866–1878', *Historical Journal* 60, 4 (2017): 997–1021, here p. 1009. On domestic moral suasion in the Meiji period, see Sheldon Garon, *Molding Japanese Minds: The State in Everyday Life* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1997).

In the absence of an onboard archive, the historian can only speculate as to what Wakamiya made of this physical proximity. For purposes of comparison, we know from the scholarship of Naoko Shimazu that long railway journeys could serve as a catalyst to new self-identifications.⁶⁷ For example, conscript soldiers called up during the Russo-Japanese War (1904–5) first embarked on what Shimazu terms a 'journey of life' from their respective home towns to the aforementioned Ujina port in Hiroshima, whence they would subsequently ship to the Asian frontline. As they travelled by train, the soldiers were regularly greeted by cheering crowds, whose support helped young men from otherwise diverse backgrounds begin to feel a sense of connection to 'the nation'; they also passed key landmarks of 'national' culture such as the Akashi Straits, Himeji Castle, and especially – for those coming from the south-west of the archipelago – the floating shrine at Itsukushima (itself close to Jigozen). Shimazu writes that 'the internal journey from their home town to Hiroshima played a key role in expanding [the conscripts'] geographical space of what constituted "Japan" and, in the process, effortlessly integrated these soldiers from disparate parts of the country into the common national landscape of the homeland'.⁶⁸

Transpacific crossings played a similar role for labour migrants in expanding the geographical space of what constituted 'Japan'. ⁶⁹ For the women on board the *Yamashiro-maru* in 1885, and for those men who had avoided compulsory military service (of whom there were many in the early 1880s), this may have been the first opportunity in their lives to inhabit the same space as other 'Japanese'. ⁷⁰ We may thus assume that the *Yamashiro-maru*'s cramped onboard conditions facilitated cross-prefectural communication. As Michael Ondaatje's evocation of a 1950s shipboard passage in *The Cat's Table* (2011) suggests, children were perhaps central to one aspect of this communication. ⁷¹ The antics of Nakano Tatsuzō, Nakamura Keitarō, Katō Yohei and Shiina Tatsuzō,

⁶⁷ I speak of 'identification' rather than 'identity' in light of Frederick Cooper, *Colonialism in Question: Theory, Knowledge, History* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2005), pp. 71–3.

pp. 71–3.

Naoko Shimazu, Japanese Society at War: Death, Memory, and the Russo-Japanese War (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009), p. 76. See also Tamson Pietsch, 'A British Sea: Making Sense of Global Space in the Late Nineteenth Century', Journal of Global History 5, 3 (2010): 423–46.

⁶⁹ See Noah McCormack, 'Buraku Migration in the Meiji Era: Other Ways to Become "Japanese"; East Asian History 23 (2002): 87–108.

⁷⁰ In addition to the more than 800 migrants from Hiroshima, Kumamoto and Fukuoka prefectures, the *Yamashiro-maru* carried labourers from Kanagawa (12), Niigata (37), Chiba (8), Shiga (74), Gunma (10) and Wakayama (33).

Michael Ondaatje, *The Cat's Table* (London: Jonathan Cape, 2011).

all boys aged three, may have provided a common point of conversation for their parents, who respectively came from Fukuoka, Kanagawa and Chiba prefectures. The mothers Matsuda Tsui (Hiroshima) and Kobatake Kita (Wakayama), both aged twenty-three, perhaps bonded over their baby daughters, aged seven months and twelve months respectively. More generally, the three hours of daily deck-exercise that Irwin ordered midway through the passage would have provided other opportunities for cross-prefectural communication, as would cards and shogi (Japanese chess) games in the lower deck.⁷²

Just as the Atlantic slave ships were a site of ethnogenesis for an 'African' slave community, the mid-Meiji migrant ships furthered the genesis of national self-identification among the 'Japanese' passengers. For bonded people on the slave ships, Marcus Rediker argues, 'broader similarities suddenly began to outweigh local differences', such that cultural and linguistic commonalities became 'crucial to cooperation and community'. 73 In a similar process, although very different physical conditions, the experience of transit may have served as a precedent for the label of 'Japanese' that the labourers were exposed to upon their arrival in Honolulu and then on the plantations – and that they subsequently themselves appropriated. One migrant would later recall her passage to Hawai'i on 'a Japanese ship'. She was in fact referring to the Yamashiro-maru's sister ship from Newcastle upon Tyne, the Omi-maru, and the memory may simply refer to the kind of labelling that became a daily accompaniment to her life in Hawai'i. 74 Or it may obliquely reference a process of becoming Japanese which intensified on the transpacific passage. Either way, the shipboard experience prepared the migrants for the textual tropes of 'Japan' articulated in the Advertiser after the Yamashiro-maru's arrival in June 1885, or for visual tropes such as Arning's photographs of the City of Tokio's cohort in February.

Yet the ship also remained an ambivalent space of nation-making. The migrants continued to be divided by prefecture for administrative purposes - for example, while being transported from the Japanese quarantine station at Nagaura back to the Yamashiro-maru before departure from Yokohama. According to the very few oral histories which discuss shipboard life, the migrants tended to sleep and socialize in their prefectural groups during the passage to Honolulu - and, given the

⁷² DA 3.8.2.5-14, Vol. 1; HSA, FO&EX 31, Immigration Matters (April-June 1885), Robert W. Irwin to Walter M. Gibson, 25 June 1885.

⁷³ Marcus Rediker, The Slave Ship: A Human History (London: John Murray, 2007), p. 118. Doi, Hawai iminshi, p. 114, referring to an 1889 emigrant's later recollections.

absence of a large dining room on board the ship, most probably ate in shifts with their prefectural compatriots.⁷⁵ Moreover, as many historians have pointed out, local differences remained central to the Japanese migrants' daily lives on the Hawaiian plantations, as expressed particularly in dialect and diet.⁷⁶

How, then, should we read Arning's photographs from February 1885 and the 'national' pastimes that they depict? Was what the Advertiser called an exhibition an amusing spectacle for the Honolulu hosts, and for Arning, who saw a chance to add to his nominally ethnographic visual repertoire? In an age when Meiji Japan used world exhibitions to project a certain vision of 'Japaneseness' internationally, was it a performance of nationhood encouraged by the Tokyo officials on board the City of Tokio, themselves conscious that the performance's date, 11 February, was a recently inaugurated national holiday to celebrate the mythical founding of the Japanese nation? Did these Japanese government officials also hope that a celebration of wrestling would foster a sense of national belonging which the migrants were otherwise lacking in their daily lives? We cannot know for sure. But we should also not rule out that the migrants themselves may have been engaged in a moment of play. In both of Arning's sumo photographs, the bout's referee, whom the Advertiser refers to as announcing the result 'with a waive [sic] of his fan', is actually raising, with dramatic effect, a cast-iron frying pan. Does the pan for the fan thereby undermine the supposed seriousness of the exhibition or the patriotic celebrations of the participants?

Such ambiguities abound in the photographic archive – which, in Arning's case, is to be found in Hamburg, Germany. To acknowledge them is better to understand the artifice of the migrants' reception and representation upon arrival in Honolulu. And they are also important because of the closing sentences of the *Advertiser*'s report of the 11 February festivities. Having noted that there had been 'a fine display of muscle, pluck and good nature', the newspaper recorded: 'Mr. J. D. Strong took some instantaneous negatives, besides securing some other fine studies.' In other words, Strong was as active as Arning in the immigration depot on 11 February. And, though it required a sharp-

For an example of one government-sponsored emigrant (in 1888) remembering spending most of his passage with fellow Hiroshima labourers, see Moriyama, *Imingaisha*, p. 161. On Nagaura, see Consul Andō's report from the *City of Peking*, 13 February 1886, in Hiroshima kenritsu monjokan, *Hiroshima-ken ijūshi*, p. 34.

⁷⁶ Y. Kimura, *Issei*, pp. 22–32.

The Museum am Rothenbaum – Kulturen und Künste der Welt (MARKK), previously the Museum für Völkerkunde Hamburg, holds 237 of Arning's glass plate photographs.



Figure 2.4 Photographic models for Strong (see also Figures 2.1 and 2.3).

eyed student to point this out to me, three of the figures who also appear in Arning's aforementioned group photograph are undoubtedly the models for the foreground family in Strong's Spreckelsville painting. They are the standing man, hands on hips; the seated woman with partially exposed breasts; and the small boy whose cropped hair is rather a blur (Figure 2.4).⁷⁸

Nobody should be surprised that painters use models, nor that they apply some artistic licence in departing from those models. The point here, to use an anachronism, is rather that Strong's effective 'photoshopping' of his models into a Maui context was a deliberate attempt to gloss over the emerging archival record about the aforementioned maltreatment of ex-*City of Tokio* labourers in the Hawaiian Islands. Consider the public relations timeline. The self-proclaimed architects of the government-sponsored programme, Walter Gibson and Robert Irwin, imagined immigration on an 'industrial' scale and the transformation of

My immense thanks to Christina Wild for this insight during a University of Zurich BA seminar in the autumn of 2015. The crouched woman on the foreground right of Strong's painting may be based on a reverse pose of the aforementioned squatting man (front row, left) in Arning's photograph.

the kingdom by 'tens of thousands of Japanese families'. ('Certainly no public man in this Kingdom has labored more assiduously to make Japanese immigration a success than His Excellency Mr. Gibson', the Advertiser claimed.)⁷⁹ True, not everyone in Hawai'i felt so sanguine about this prospect, as the spat between the Advertiser and the Hawaiian Gazette over the 'Asiaticizing' of the islands demonstrated.⁸⁰ But if anything were likely to kill the programme in its infancy, it would be the lack of supply from Japan rather than the opposition of certain anti-government constituencies in Hawai'i. And so, only a few weeks after he had accompanied the City of Tokio labourers to Hawai'i in February 1885, Irwin seems to have commissioned Strong to paint the newly arrived Japanese as a gift to the Meiji emperor. We can only guess at his motivations, but presumably if he could offer the emperor a visual manifestation of the extent to which Japanese subjects were contented in the kingdom, then he might hope for an increased flow of labourers. Thus, the consul and the painter, together with Strong's studio partner, the equally renowned Jules Tavernier (1844-89), toured Maui in early March. 81 They visited the Wailuku, Waikapu, Pā'ia and Spreckelsville plantations, and upon their return to Honolulu, Strong publicly displayed – at a dinner given by Gibson in honour of Irwin on 11 March – several pre-studies, including 'a sketch of a picture, of Japanese at work in the cane fields at Spreckelsville'. 82

But the problem with this report was that no ex-City of Tokio Japanese had been posted to Spreckelsville. The first government-sponsored labourers to work there would be the ex-Yamashiro-maru migrants – in fact, the whole 276-strong cohort from Kumamoto prefecture (275 men, one woman, and no children, exposing the fallacy of Strong's composition). They would be picked up from Honolulu on 21 July 1885, nearly two weeks after the Advertiser's article on Strong's completed painting. So Strong did not and could not have sketched any Japanese on

⁷⁹ *PCA*, 8 July 1885. ⁸⁰ See Chapter 1.

⁸¹ On Strong's pre-Hawaiian career, see 'California Artists', in Overland Monthly 27, 161 (May 1896): 501–10.

⁸² Daily Bulletin (Honolulu), 12 March 1885, which includes details of the painting's then commission. See also PCA, 12 March 1885, for the suggestion that the sketch 'shows a group of Japanese at work in the cane-fields of Spreckelsville, with the mountains of West Maui in the distance'.

⁸³ The PCA reported, on 20 July 1885, that Spreckelsville's manager had arrived in Honolulu on 19 July to oversee final arrangements for the transportation of 275 men to Maui (the one woman in the Kumamoto contingent was overlooked). The report implies that the Yamashiro-maru group constituted the plantation's first experience of Japanese labour: 'Should the experience with this large body of Japanese laborers prove satisfactory at Spreckelsville, arrangements will be made to employ at least 800 men.'

Spreckelsville. Most likely, given his recognizable if somewhat romanticized depiction of the Maui landscape (the sketches do not survive), Strong may have studied the Japanese at work in the cane fields of Pā'ia, which neighboured Spreckelsville.⁸⁴

If Irwin or Gibson or anyone else in the Hawaiian government had been hoping to appeal to Japan to send more labourers, however, then Pā'ia was not the place to paint. As we have seen, disputes there about 'rough handling' were already beginning in March 1885 – disputes which would lead to a Hawaiian government investigation of the labourers' complaints in April. Indeed, reports of maltreatment in Pā'ia, in Haiku and in numerous other plantations beyond Maui were arriving at the Japanese consulate in Honolulu and from there being forwarded to top a growing pile of paperwork in Tokyo. All the more urgent, then, that the Meiji government be presented with a 'fine representation of a sunny, thriving, hard-working plantation scene'. Without question, the plantation represented could not be Pā'ia, given the troubles there – and also given that Pā'ia was owned and managed by Samuel Thomas Alexander (1836–1904), son of a first-generation New England missionary and active in opposition politics to King Kalākaua. But Spreckelsville, owned by the king's close ally, Claus Spreckels (1828–1908), would do nicely. 85 Spreckels would get – to use another anachronism – 'product placement' for what would, by the early 1890s, be the biggest sugarcane plantation in the world. 86 And the king - who, if the Advertiser's July report is to be believed, seems to have taken over the painting's commission from Irwin - would have a visually striking means of appealing to the Japanese emperor and his government for more labourers.

We've come a long way from the actual experiences of those labourers – of men such as Kodama Keijirō, who started working on Spreckelsville in July 1885. But that, it would seem to me, was exactly the point of the painting: it should distract the viewer from the realities of plantation life

⁸⁶ Paradise of the Pacific (September 1893), pp. 133-4.

Knowing that Strong published occasional sketches in *Harper's Weekly*, my assistant David Walter Möller searched all issues from 1885 but could find no works by Strong.
 On the financial entanglements between King Kalākaua and Claus Spreckels, see Jacob Adler, *Claus Spreckels: The Sugar King in Hawaii* (Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press, 1966), p. 183. For more recent scholarship on Spreckels, see Uwe Spiekermann, 'Das gekaufte Königreich: Claus Spreckels, die Hawaiian Commercial Company [sic] und die Grenzen wirtschaftlicher Einflussnahme im Königtum Hawaii, 1875 bis 1898', in Harmut Berghoff, Cornelia Rauh and Thomas Welskopp, eds., *Tatort Unternehmen: Zur Geschichte der Wirtschaftskriminalität im 20. und 21. Jahrhundert* (Berlin: de Gruyter, 2016), pp. 47–67. Strong had originally come to Hawai'i in 1882 on a commission from Claus's son John D. Spreckels (1853–1926), whom he knew from San Francisco.

for the first groups of government-sponsored Japanese in Hawai'i. It should divert our interests away from the evidence accumulating in the archives that all was not as equal or as humane as it might seem.

As one archival counterpoint to these complex political agendas, we may note that Spreckelsville itself became the focus of worker ire within a month of Irwin's returning with the painting to Japan. Unfortunately, there survive only the reports generated by the authorities: for example, a court case in September 1885 against seven Kumamoto workers, named and numbered in the court record. Here, in response to the labourers' claims that they were sick, a Japanese doctor testified that they were not, and that they could go back to work; the Japanese were fined US\$3.75 each. Another court summary from October 1885 details the case brought against twenty Spreckelsville Japanese who were accused of gambling.⁸⁷ And, a month later, reports received by the Board of Immigration described 'trouble arising between Japanese Immigrant laborers on the Spreckels Plantation and their employers'. The latter were investigated by the Board's secretary, Mr Cleghorn, who instead reported from Spreckelsville of labourers 'up at night gambling, long after the regulation hour of nine o'clock, at which time lights are ordered put out'. Cleghorn's interlocutor and translator at this time, as also in the Hawaiian courts in the previous months, was a Japanese immigration inspector called Ito. Channelling Ito, Cleghorn reported that 'the Japanese laborers on Spreckelsville come from a district in Japan where there was a good deal of trouble in 1877' – that is, the Satsuma Rebellion against the Meiji government, fought partly in Kumamoto prefecture.

And so we are back at the problem of framing: Itō's disdain for the class and regional background of the Spreckelsville workers framed Cleghorn's reports, which then constitute the main surviving written record of Japanese life on the plantation. I'm not sure which is more problematic for the historian: Strong's commissioned fiction or Cleghorn's reported facts. But I am sure that, in trying to position the Spreckelsville labourers between the poles of alleged contentment and demonstrable recalcitrance, we are no closer to understanding how Kodama gazed out at the world.⁸⁸

Meanwhile, a second archival counterpoint to the framing of 'Japanese Laborers on the Plantation in Spreckelsville' became possible in

⁸⁷ HSA 255-52: District Court of the Second Circuit Court, Minute Books: Wailuku Police Court Minute Book, March 1885 – January 1886. I am very grateful to Noelani Arista for summarizing these cases from the original Hawaiian.

⁸⁸ On illness and slow work as demonstrations of recalcitrance on the Hawaiian plantations, see Takaki, *Pau Hana*, pp. 129–32.

2017 thanks to the launch of Stanford University's Hoji Shinbun Digital Collection. Among the many overseas Japanese newspapers digitized in the collection is the Honolulu-based Nippu jiji, which in February 1935 published a bilingual supplement to celebrate the 'golden jubilee' of Japanese immigration to Hawai'i. This, too, engages in its own framing of Japanese and Hawaiian history: the first page's subheading, 'They Till the Soil, and Out of Their Sweat a New Territory Is Born', rings of the pioneer imagination of settlement in the Pacific which was so prevalent in expansionist Japanese discourse by the 1930s (and which lived on in the Taitō ninety-year history). 89 But for me the most interesting part of the supplement is the brief life histories of surviving City of Tokio and Yamashiro-maru migrants. We learn, for example, that five of the original Kumamoto contingent to Spreckelsville were still alive and working on the islands in 1935: as builders, coffee growers, pineapple labourers or simply raising their own vegetables. There are photos of these five men, all in their seventies, all in formal jackets and ties. Their hair is grey, their faces lined and tanned after years working in the sun. Back in Hawai'i in 2011, I had assumed I would never find photos of any of the Yamashiro-maru migrants. But now they gaze out of the pictures and out of my computer screen. These men were the real protagonists and survivors - of the history embedded in Strong's painting.

(Re)framing

When Robert W. Irwin accompanied Strong's large oil painting and the King Bros' even larger frame back to Yokohama on the *Yamashiro-maru* in July–August 1885, he may already have known that his intended framing had failed. Not in the sense of the government-sponsored programme: to the contrary, its future was in a better place than it had been on the outward voyage. For after visiting several plantations, Inoue Katsunosuke had placed several formal demands on Gibson, including that 'no overseer (*luna*) will be allowed under *any* circumstances to put his hands in *any* way on *any* Japanese for *any* purposes whatsoever'. When Gibson agreed, and also established a Bureau of Inspection under the Board of Immigration (the very bureau which would later send Itō to Spreckelsville), the course was set for formalizing the programme through the Hawaiian–Japanese Labor Convention of January 1886. 90

^{89 &#}x27;Panorama of Japanese in Hawaii', Nippu jiji, 16 February 1935, available through https://hojishinbun.hoover.org; see also Azuma, In Search of Our Frontier.

Letter from Inouye [Inoue] Katsunosuke to Walter M. Gibson, 18 July 1885 (emphasis added); and Precis of a Conversation between Inoue and Gibson on 18 July, including

(Re)framing 71

Rather, the failure of the Strong painting concerned how it positioned Japan in the world. The whole tenor of the younger Inoue's diplomatic mission to Hawai'i had been set by a letter he carried in June from his adopted father, the foreign minister Inoue Kaoru, for delivery to Gibson. Welcoming the likely future expansion of Japanese emigration into the kingdom, Inoue senior sought to raise various matters of concern, including the need for more Japanese interpreters on the islands. But the main thrust of the letter concerned Chinese immigration to Hawai'i. In short, he stated, Japanese emigration would be dependent on the Hawaiian government restricting Chinese immigration. This was because 'His Imperial Majesty's Government [Japan] are not inclined to regard with favor the association of Japanese and Chinese. In other places such association has been a fruitful source of embarrassment'. 91 Here, in the polite cursive script of diplomatic missives, was the sharp end of a mid-Meiji discourse of redefining 'civilization' away from the hitherto centrifugal power of China and framing it instead within tropes of Euro-American 'progress'. The doyen of such 'civilization' debates was the journalist Fukuzawa Yukichi (1835–1901), whose popular newspaper had indeed published an essay with the title 'Casting Off Asia' (Datsu-A ron 脱亜論) in March 1885, arguing that 'the spirit of [Japan's] people has already moved away from the old conventions of Asia to Western civilization'. 92 Irrespective of whether Irwin himself had read the essay, he channelled its spirit in his onboard letter to Gibson of 25 June 1885 (see Chapter 1), in which he claimed that 'Japanese people have nothing in common with India and China'.

But Strong's painting undermined this claim – firstly through the figure of the seated child. 'Excellent' though the *Advertiser* suggested the 'little baby' [sic] was, its 'shaved head and side-tufts of black hair' identified it as having a 'Chinese-boy' (*karako* 唐子) haircut. As David Ambaras has shown, popular sensitivities about children were acute in the Meiji period in light of reports about the abduction of Japanese children for sale in China. ⁹³ Thus, whatever the reason for Strong's artistic licence in adapting his model's original immigration depot pose, there could be no denying the fact that the painting's foreground child

Appendix to the Precis: both HSA 403-16-250 (Foreign Officials in Hawai'i; Japan; 1885).

⁹³ Ambaras, Japan's Imperial Underworlds, pp. 29–72.

⁹¹ Letter from Inoue Kaoru to Walter Gibson, 2 June 1885: HSA, FO&EX 31 (Immigration Matters, April–June 1885).

There is some debate as to whether Fukuzawa himself wrote the essay, as was long assumed. See Pekka Korhonen, 'Leaving Asia? The Meaning of Datsu-A and Japan's Modern History', Asia-Pacific Journal 12, 9 (3 March 2014).

embodied exactly the kind of associational 'embarrassment' which the Japanese foreign minister sought to avoid. This, I would suggest, is one reason why Strong's painting never made it to the Meiji emperor, either as a 'handsome present' from King Kalākaua or as a gift from Irwin – and one reason why Irwin never displayed it publicly after 1885. 94

Another reason for Irwin's likely sense of failure was the foreground woman's exposed breasts. Assuming Strong took negatives of the same group which Arning photographed (or that Strong based his protagonists directly on Arning's image), then we are confronted once again with the question of visual performance. Bearing in mind Arning's other image of half-naked Japanese women waiting to bathe, the doctor may have staged the exposed mother in order to frame eroticism within the genre of ethnographic observation.⁹⁵ Equally, it is possible that the women in both photographs presented themselves 'naturally', behaving according to the social mores of rural Japan in which the exposure of breasts during public bathing or indeed the performance of manual labour was no source of shame (see also Figure 6.3). That public nudity persisted in the contemporaneous Japanese countryside was confirmed by the Victorian traveller Isabella Bird (1831–1904), who noted in the late 1870s that 'the Government is doing its best to prevent promiscuous bathing' in rural areas. 96 And yet Bird's language reveals exactly the problem that Irwin confronted as he carried the painting on the Yamashiro-maru: nudity was 'promiscuous', and it was associated in Europe and North America – as also by the New England missionaries in 1820s Hawai'i – with 'uncivilized' Indigenous peoples. 97

⁹⁵ This was a defence which scholars have characterized as 'respectability by association': see Philippa Levine, 'The Mobile Camera: Bodies, Anthropologists, and the Victorian Optic', *Nineteenth-Century Contexts* 37, 5 (2015): 473–90, here p. 474 and particularly pp. 481–2 for a comparable defence in 1879 London.

⁹⁶ Isabella Lucy Bird, Unbeaten Tracks in Japan: An Account of Travels in the Interior including Visits to the Aborigines of Yezo and the Shrine of Nikkô and Isé, Vol. 1 (London: John Murray, 1880), p. 205.

⁹⁷ For Hawai'i, see Jennifer Thigpen, Island Queens and Mission Wives: How Gender and Empire Remade Hawai'i's Pacific World (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2014), p. 50. For Bird in Japan, Joohyun Jade Park, 'Missing Link Found, 1880: The Rhetoric of Colonial Progress in Isabella Bird's Unbeaten Tracks in Japan', Victorian Literature and Culture 43 (2015): 371–88.

2013): 311 00.

⁹⁴ One painting was delivered from King Kalākaua to the Emperor Meiji in 1885: it is mentioned in a letter from the king to the emperor as 'a token of the friendship and esteem with which We shall ever regard you' – but this was a portrait of Kalākaua, and indeed registered as such (shōzōga 肖像画) in the imperial household register of gifts in August 1885: see Letter from Kalākaua to Mitsuhito, 24 July 1885 (HSA Executive Correspondence (outgoing) TS Kalakaua 1884–85) and Letter from J. S. Webb to R. W. Irwin, 24 July 1885 (HSA 410 v. 100); also AS, 27 March 1986.

(Re)framing 73

Thus, Strong's painting undermined Irwin's own claim, written from on board the ship in June 1885, that 'Japan is progressive and rapidly becoming a Western Civilized State'. Indeed, in an interview which the president of Taiwan Sugar, Takechi Tadamichi, gave in the early 1930s for a new book on the history of the Japanese sugar industry, he recalled that 'during his lifetime, Irwin would look at the painting, see the Japanese woman with her breast exposed, and feel it was shameful [haji to the Japanese people'. For this reason, Takechi requested that the painting not be reprinted in the book.⁹⁸

There may also have been a third reason for Irwin's discomfort, one which additionally accounts for the painting disappearing from view for more than forty years after arriving in Japan. When Mitsui Sugar commissioned the work's restoration in 2017, it became clear that the man and nursing woman's faces were not 'unmistakably Japanese'. Compared to the original Arning photograph, they both featured slightly wider eyes, higher and more rounded cheeks, fuller lips and more pronounced noses. Perhaps such judgements lie as much in the beholder's eye as in the painter's brush. But the facial ambiguity of Strong's protagonists, who might as equally have been Native Hawaiians as Japanese, does speak to a final problem for Irwin and a final framing for us. For Irwin, any hint that the painting's future viewers - any viewer in any circumstances, not merely the Mikado or his ministers - could possibly have construed 'the Japanese' as Pacific Islanders would have profoundly undermined Meiji Japanese claims to a higher (European) civilizational status. According to Fukuzawa Yukichi, for example, the history of the 'Sandwich Islands' only began with their 'discovery' by Captain Cook in 1778.99 By this logic, Native Hawaiians were allegedly a people without history prior to their interactions with Euro-American 'civilization'. If the nominal Japanese depicted by Strong might in any way be construed as synonymous with such people, then the image would fundamentally undermine Meiji intellectuals' claims to 'progress' - claims embodied by the Yamashiro-maru itself.

Given these ambiguities, perhaps we may conclude that Native Hawaiians were not completely absent from Strong's work after all. This is important because the overlooking of Native Hawaiian history, and the marginalization of Native Hawaiian voices, were not just

98 Kōno, Nihon tōgyō hattatsu-shi, pp. 237-8.

⁹⁹ Fukuzawa Yukichi 福沢諭吉, Sekai kuni zukushi: Taiyōshū kan no 5, 6 世界国盡: 大洋 洲巻之五六 [All the countries of the world: The Pacific] (n.p., 1871 [1869]), digitized by the National Diet Library, Japan: http://dl.ndl.go.jp/info:ndljp/pid/993094 (last accessed 8 August 2021).

74 Between the Archives

discursive projects pushed with ever greater intensity by would-be colonialists in the 1880s and 1890s. They are also trends which continue in secondary scholarship: less so in histories of Hawai'i's relationship with the United States, which have begun to redress the long historical bias towards English-language sources, but rather in historical accounts of Asian immigration to the archipelago. The ambiguity inherent in Strong's key protagonists – that is, the difficulty in ascribing them 'Japanese' or 'Hawaiian' characteristics, let alone 'unmistakable' ones – thus points to the historian's need to find yet more archival starting points, thereby to reframe the complex histories depicted in this painting. ¹⁰¹

One alternative archive is the huge collection of nineteenth-century Hawaiian-language newspapers which have now been digitized. My own language deficiencies have precluded my taking the lead in conducting such research, but an assisted trawl of newspapers from 1885 suggested that there is much work to be done in understanding how different constituencies within Native Hawaiian society debated the inauguration of the new government-sponsored programme. In a February 1885 leader for the Honolulu-based Ka Nupepa Kuokoa, for example, the unknown writer begins by framing the arrival of the City of Tokio Japanese with reference to an earlier, much smaller migration from 1868. This was the group commonly known by its ex post facto Japanese name, the Gannenmono, referring to the 'first year' (gan'nen 元年) of the new Meiji regime. The Gannenmono, comprising fewer than 150 labourers, are generally considered to be a failed first experiment in mass migration, one which explains why Meiji leaders waited almost twenty more years before sanctioning a new Japanese–Hawaiian programme in 1885. 102 Yet for the Ka Nupepa Kuokoa author, the City of Tokio labourers were a

My thinking here is influenced by Linda Tuhiwai Smith's discussion of 'reframing' as 'about taking much greater control over the ways in which Indigenous issues and social problems are discussed and handled': L. Tuhiwai Smith, *Decolonizing Methodologies*, p. 175.

p. 175.

On the Gannenmono, see Masaji Marumoto, "First Year" Immigrants to Hawaii & Eugene van Reed', in Hilary Conroy, ed., East Across the Pacific: Historical and Sociological Studies of Japanese Immigration and Assimilation (Santa Barbara, CA: American Bibliographical Center-Clio Press, 1972), pp. 5–39; John van Sant, Pacific Pioneers: Japanese Journeys to America and Havaii, 1850–1880 (Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 2000), pp. 97–116.

Noelani Arista offers an overview of the changing field of Hawaiian–US research in the opening pages of Kingdom and the Republic, pp. 1–18. One article which does (partly) discuss Chinese immigration to Hawai'i with the help of Hawaiian-language sources is David A. Chang, 'Borderlands in a World at Sea: Concow Indians, Native Hawaiians, and South Chinese in Indigenous, Global, and National Spaces', Journal of American History 98, 2 (2011): 384–403.

(Re)framing 75

reminder 'of the Japanese workers who first arrived here in Hawai'i. The uniting of these peoples with our own people was pleasant, and the generation that emerged from the mixing with Hawaiians possesses fine strength.' In other words, the arrival of more than 900 new Japanese in February 1885 was an opportunity to 'help perpetuate the population of the Hawaiian Islands, at its previous level, through intermixing with the true people of this land'. ¹⁰³

Here, then, was a vision of Japanese–Hawaiian 'intermixing' (awiliwili) which arguably found its visual counterpart in the faces of Strong's nominally 'Japanese' labourers. Moreover, Ka Nupepa Kuokoa's hopes for the new programme grew out of its insistence that Japanese immigration was part of a well-formulated Native political agenda for the kingdom, one that would help 'the true people of this land' (me ka lahui ponoi o ka aina nei). Immigration was related to King Kalākaua's policy of 'increasing the nation' (hooulu lahui). Referring to the 'carefree season of death' that continued to blight the Native population, the newspaper argued that the king, queen and all the chiefs had sought new ways to strengthen the nation:

Due to the shadow that persistently covers the Hawaiian nation and the lack of resurgence of the people proper [*lahui ponoi*], it is as if the thought to increase has grown, through mixing with those belonging to the outside. That is how we think now, upon the arrival of this new people from the islands of Japan.

Though it be a single article, the *Ka Nupepa Kuokoa* leader offers rich departure points for a reframed analysis of the government-sponsored programme. First, it suggests that the traditional historiographical view – that the main Hawaiian motivation for the programme was to address labour shortages in the sugar plantations – needs revision. Instead, the article claims that the main reason for inviting the Japanese was to repopulate the decimated Native population. ¹⁰⁵ True, repopulation was

See also Leah Caldeira, 'Visualizing Ho'oulu Lāhui', in Healoha Johnston, ed., Ho'oulu Hawai'i: The King Kalākaua Era (Honolulu: Honolulu Museum of Art, 2018), pp. 11–35.

Christine Skwiot has argued, in passing, that 'a nationalist Hawaiian government sought to thwart U.S. imperial ambitions in Hawai'i by seeking assistance from Japan in revitalizing and strengthening the native people and independent Kingdom', but she provides no source evidence for this claim: Skwiot, 'Migration and the Politics of Sovereignty, Settlement, and Belonging in Hawai'i', in Donna Gabaccia and Dirk Hoerder, eds., Connecting Seas and Ocean Rims: Indian, Atlantic, and Pacific Oceans

^{103 &#}x27;Na Iapana [The Japanese]', Ka Nupepa Kuokoa, 14 February 1885, accessed through www.papakilodatabase.com (last accessed 6 March 2019). I am grateful to Ami Mulligan and Cameron Grimm, who translated this article for me under the supervision of Noelani Arista at the University of Hawai'i-Mānoa. Following the original text, I leave all diacritical markings out from the Hawaiian transliteration.

not mutually exclusive with the sugar planters' needs. But historians of Japanese–Hawaiian migration should not assume that the planters' interests were synonymous with an undifferentiated Hawai'i: there were multiple different agendas at stake, including those of Native Hawaiian actors. Thus, secondly, the article calls for different protagonists to be foregrounded in the history of Japanese–Hawaiian relations from those on whom I have focused thus far. As we have seen, the *Advertiser* would state in July that 'no public man in this Kingdom has labored more assiduously to make Japanese immigration a success than His Excellency Mr. Gibson'. But such claims, according to the *Ka Nupepa Kuokoa*, were nothing less than the 'grand misidentification of the people responsible for this work'. The real protagonist of the government-sponsored programme, 'the father who sought, who strove until success', was King Kalākaua himself.

* * *

My other attempt to reframe the archival history of the *Yamashiro-maru* and its migrant passengers in 1885 was, like the Hawaiian newspaper translation project, a group effort. In 2013, a British man called Graham Corkhill contacted me out of the blue to find out more about my interest in the *Yamashiro-maru*, and eventually to offer the long-term loan of a constructor's model of the ship. Also built by Armstrong-Mitchell in 1884, the nearly two-metre-long gleaming hull, encased in glass, suddenly made it possible to understand why port newspapers in Kobe or Honolulu or later Australia so often labelled the *Yamashiro-maru* 'handsome'. Spurred by the ship's presence in Zurich, the then-director of the Johann Jacobs Museum, Roger M. Buergel, suggested we try to loan the Strong painting as well (first we needed to find it!), and some reproductions of the Arning photos, and perhaps a plantation labourer's *yukata* or two – and gradually the idea for an exhibition took shape.

Opening in February 2018, 'Ein Bild für den Kaiser' (A Painting for the Emperor) displayed Strong's painting, frame and all, in public for the first time since 1986 and for the first time out of Japan since July 1885. ¹⁰⁶ The painting stood in one of the small museum's ground-floor rooms, accompanied by a pair of late nineteenth-century rice-straw sandals that a Japanese labourer once wore in the Hawaiian canefields. Downstairs, in

and China Seas Migrations from the 1830s to the 1930s (Leiden: Brill, 2011), pp. 440-63, here p. 450.

^{106 &#}x27;A Painting for the Emperor: Japanese Labourers on Sugar Plantations in Hawai'i', Johann Jacobs Museum, Zurich, 8 February – 31 May 2018: http://johannjacobs.com/en/formate/a-painting-for-the-emperor/ (last accessed 8 August 2021).

(Re)framing 77

the basement exhibition rooms, we juxtaposed the ship model with the Arning photographs, with plantation working clothes, and with subterranean coal paintings by Yamamoto Sakubei (e.g. Figure 6.3). Roger Buergel also commissioned three contemporary artists to reflect on the themes of migration and belonging: one, Jürgen Stollhans, composed a charcoal rendering of the pier-crossing Japanese (Figure 2.2) as a wall mural. This would be, or so I hoped, a different kind of archival space in which to reflect on historical passage and landfall. We invited visitors to walk between image and text, painting and photography, song and silence; and especially between black coal and white sugar.

The exhibition was the closest I ever got to a physical manifestation of the in-between archive I have been exploring in this chapter – but there was someone still missing. And so, on a pre-planned family trip to Japan in the middle of the exhibition period, I took a day to go to Kumamoto, hired a car, and from there drove up the Ariake Sea coast to the tiny hamlet of Orisaki.

As soon as I arrived, I was struck by the folly of what had, to that point, seemed like a really good plan. Said plan was to cold-call one, or possibly all three, of the present-day Kodama households in Orisaki, households I knew existed from a land registry map I had consulted in Tokyo a few years previously. To try and delay the inevitable failure I suspected was coming my way, I first strolled around the local shrine, noting in passing the name of a Kodama Chōmei on a *torii* (ceremonial gate) dating from 1915. Following a narrow path up towards a cluster of houses, I noticed that one of the Kodama addresses had a small office annexe in its spacious garden – and before I knew it, a twinkly-eyed man in his early sixties was standing before me, turning my photos of a Hawaiian grave-yard in his hands.

My name is Kodama, he says almost apologetically, and with that the cogwheels of rural Japan grind into glorious gear. Within a couple of minutes, Mr Kodama has called someone I think is his brother, an older man who, though looking somewhat less enamoured, nevertheless advises that I do really need to speak to 'Fusa-chan'. And so we are off, back down the footpath past the Tenmangū shrine, to another Kodama household. There, said Fusa-chan's wife, Mrs Kodama, quickly produces a biographical dictionary from 1932 that has an entry on her father-in-law. I skim the half-page of text – this man was the first son of Kodama Chōmei, whose name I'd seen as a donor on the shrine torii from 1915 – while a conversation continues in the background

¹⁰⁷ I have changed some names in these paragraphs.

(a neighbour has dropped by). Yes, a long time ago there was a Kodama from this household in America and in Brazil. But was that the same person as this Kodama Keijirō who died in Hawai'i, asks Mr Kodama, reading my thoughts exactly. That's the question, Mrs Kodama says. And then they decide that there's really only one way to find out: we must drive down to the Nagasu-town municipal office and ask to inspect her husband's household register.

I feel exultant. If they were ever opened to historians, household registers would be a gold mine of information about family life and thus the decision to emigrate. But they are closed by law in order to protect private citizens from unscrupulous researchers who might – as was often the case until the 1960s - dredge up unsavoury facts about a history of family illnesses or 'outcaste' origins, and then use this information for discriminatory purposes during marriage negotiations or job applications. 108 The only way you can access the register is if a family member accompanies you in person to the relevant municipal office – and that is what Team Kodama is now doing, enthusiastically brandishing my photo of the Kapa'a gravestone and a copy of the relevant emigrant list from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs archives in Tokyo. An official listens calmly. Tall and middle-aged, she is the kindly face of Japanese bureaucracy, a woman caught between the personal desire to help us and the legal responsibilities she holds as a town employee. She has indeed found a record for someone called Kodama Keijirō, she says after returning from another room. She asks me his date of birth, and when I say sometime during the Ansei period (1854–60), she nods and says it's a match. But there's a problem: neither Mr Kodama nor Mrs Kodama are Keijirō's direct descendants, by which she means children or grandchildren. How could that be possible, I ask: surely the Kodama in Kapa'a did not have children? Indeed not, she says, but she could only release further information to direct descendants of his siblings. And so we are in a Catch-22: the Kodamas cannot access Keijirō's register because neither of them are direct descendants, but we cannot know who the direct descendants are without accessing the register. This is also the archive of the in-between: between a rock and a hard place.

A long discussion ensues, with cousins' names thrown back and forth, all suffixed with the diminutive and affectionate -chan. I zone out and try to read between the lines of what we have learned. It's clear that Keijirō was a second son: that much I should have known already, given that the

For an overview of these issues, see David Chapman, 'Geographies of Self and Other: Mapping Japan through the Koseki', Asia-Pacific Journal 9, 29 (18 July 2011), https://apjjf.org/2011/9/29/David-Chapman/3565/article.html (last accessed 8 August 2021).

(Re)framing 79

second character of his given name means 'two'. Thus, he would not have expected to inherit land from his father – and he did not have children. I think back to Orisaki, to a site behind the Tenmangū shrine about equidistant between the three Kodama addresses marked on the map. Even if nobody knows quite from which branch household Keijirō came, this was certainly his milieu as a boy. It's a quiet scene. At midday in early May, the month Keijirō left Japan, all I can hear are the pigeons cooing, a couple of ducks holding forth, the clatter of plates being stacked in a nearby kitchen, a child laughing. There would have been many more children back in the early 1880s, of course, and no sound of motorcars or tractors occasionally passing by. Orisaki is flat, rice-farming country: from this small rise behind the shrine, there's no mountains to see, no rice fields stretching into the distance. It's just houses and trees. To a certain type of man, the horizon might feel stultifying.

In the meantime, it has been decided that the person who should help me is a cousin in Fukuoka. Yes, he must be here in person to access the register. So Mr Kodama and Mrs Kodama debate whether it wouldn't somehow be possible to get this cousin, some 100 kilometres distant, to come and help me.

All in aid of what?, I suddenly ask myself. The possibility to read a one-line note in a household register explaining that a son called Keijirō one day left the Kodama household and never came back? Despite our wild goose chase, I have spent several unexpected hours in the company of a Mr Kodama and a Mrs Kodama, both of whom are somehow distantly related to the *Yamashiro-maru* labourer whose gravestone I encountered in Kaua'i. If that chance encounter was the beginning of an extended archival detour, then here, in rural western Kumamoto, is where the journey finally ends. While Mrs Kodama goes upstairs for a quick chat with the Nagasu-town mayor, I thank Mr Kodama and marvel aloud at the generosity one encounters in rural Japan.

'Really?' he asks. 'I don't know about Japan's good points because I've never been abroad.'