ISSN: 1754-9426

Industrial and Organizational Psychology

Perspectives on Science and Practice

Including focal articles with commentaries:

Situational Judgment Tests: From Measures of Situational Judgment to Measures of General Domain Knowledge
Filip Lievens and Stephan J. Motowidlo

Where Have All the "Workers" Gone? A Critical Analysis of the Unrepresentativeness of Our Samples Relative to the Labor Market in the Industrial-Organizational Psychology Literature

Mindy E. Bergman and Vanessa A. Jean





Industrial and Organizational Psychology Perspectives on Science and Practice

The novel format of the journal focuses on an interactive exchanges on topics of importance to science and practice in the field of industrial and organizational psychology. The journal takes a focal article-peer commentary format.

A focal article is a position paper on an important issue for the field (or potentially a pair of papers taking opposite sides in a debate). Such a focal article might summarize evidence on an issue and take a position as to implications for science, practice, or public policy. The paper might focus on a basic science issue, an applied science issue, a practice issue, or a public policy issue; many would be a blend. The focal article is then followed by a series of peer commentaries. These could challenge or critique the original article, expand on issues not addressed in the focal article, or draw out implications not developed in the focal article. The goal is to include commentaries from various perspectives, including science, practice, and international perspectives.

EDITOR:

John Scott, APTMetrics, Inc.

PUBLICATIONS MANAGER:

Jenny Baker, Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology

EDITORIAL BOARD:

Neil R. Anderson, Brunel University Winfred Arthur, Texas A & M University Tayla N. Bauer, Portland State University Michael J. Burke, Tulane University Stuart C. Carr, Massey University Allan H. Church, PepsiCo, Inc. José M. Cortina, George Mason University Satoris S. Culbertson, Kansas State University Fritz Drasgow, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Mark Griffin, University of Western Australia Theodore Hayes, US Office of Personnel Management Beryl L. Hesketh, University of Western Sydney George P. Hollenbeck, Hollenbeck Associates Leaetta M. Hough, Dunnette Group, Ltd. Jeff W. Johnson, Personnel Decisions Research Institute Tracy Kantrowitz, SHL Jerard F. Kehoe, Selection and Assessment Counselling Richard J. Klimoski, George Mason University

Hennie J. Kriek, Top Talent Solutions and University of South Africa Morgan W. McCall, University of Southern California Jeffrey J. McHenry, Rainier Leadership Solutions S. Morton McPhail, Retired Consultant, USA Kenneth Pearlman, Creative Personnel Management Consulting Hannah R. Rothstein, Baruch College, CUNY Ann Marie Ryan, Michigan State University Lise M. Saari, New York University Eduardo Salas, University of Central Florida John C. Scott, APT Inc. Robert F. Silzer, Baruch College, CUNY Stephen D. Steinhaus, HRAlignment William J. Strickland, Human Resources Organization Nancy T. Tippins, CEB Valtera Sara P. Weiner, IBM Alan Witt, University of Houston

Allen I. Kraut, Baruch College, CUNY

A journal of the Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology

SIOP is the premier membership organization for those practicing and teaching I-O psychology. While an independent organization with its own governance, SIOP is also a division within the American Psychological Association and an organizational affiliate of the Association for Psychological Science.

SIOP membership includes online access to all issues of the journal as well as an optional print copy. Individuals can become members at http://www.siop.org/Dues/payment.aspx. Membership rates are \$100 for professional members (Fellows, Members, Associates, International Affiliates) and \$50 for Student Affiliates & Retired statuses.

© Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology

Industrial and Organizational Psychology

Perspectives on Science and Practice

Volume 9, Issue 1, March 2016

CONTENTS

| Introduction | |
|---|----|
| From the Editor JOHN C. SCOTT | 1 |
| FOCAL ARTICLE | |
| Situational Judgment Tests: From Measures of Situational Judgment to Measures of General Domain Knowledge FILIP LIEVENS AND STEPHAN J. MOTOWIDLO | 3 |
| Commentaries | |
| In Defense of the Situation: An Interactionist Explanation for Performance on Situational Judgment Tests | |
| Alexandra M. Harris, Lane E. Siedor, Yi Fan, Benjamin Listyg, and Nathan T. Carter | 23 |
| Why Situational Judgment Is a Missing Component in the Theory of SJTs Klaus G. Melchers and Martin Kleinmann | 29 |
| Clearly Defined Constructs and Specific Situations Are the Currency of SJTs LIJUN CHEN, JINYAN FAN, LU ZHENG, AND ELISSA HACK | 34 |
| Reinvigorating the Concept of a Situation in Situational Judgment Tests NICOLAS A. BROWN, ASHLEY BELL JONES, DAVID G. SERFASS, AND RYNE A. SHERMAN | 38 |
| Both General Domain Knowledge and Situation Assessment Are Needed To Better Understand How SJTs Work | 42 |
| Jinyan Fan, Melissa Stuhlman, Lijun Chen, and Qingxiong Weng | 43 |
| The "Hot Mess" of Situational Judgment Test Construct Validity and Other Issues Michael A. McDaniel, Sheila K. List, and Sven Kepes | 47 |
| It's Time To Examine the Nomological Net of Job Knowledge W. Jackeline Torres and Margaret E. Beier | 51 |
| Further Considerations in SJT Development MATTHEW J. BORNEMAN | 55 |

| Unintended Consequences: Narrowing SJT Usage and Losing Credibility With Applicants | |
|---|-----|
| Amy E. Crook | 59 |
| Scoring SJTs for Traits and Situational Effectiveness ROBERT J. HARVEY | 63 |
| Why Some Situational Judgment Tests Fail To Predict Job Performance (and Others Succeed) | |
| Deborah L. Whetzel and Matthew C. Reeder | 71 |
| SJTs as Measures of General Domain Knowledge for Multimedia Formats: Do Actions Speak Louder Than Words? Bobby Naemi, Michelle Martin-Raugh, and Harrison Kell | 77 |
| DOBBY INAEMI, MICHELLE MARTIN-RAUGH, AND HARRISON RELL | // |
| FOCAL ARTICLE | |
| Where Have All the "Workers" Gone? A Critical Analysis of the Unrepresentativeness of Our Samples Relative to the Labor Market in the Industrial–Organizational Psychology Literature | |
| Mindy E. Bergman and Vanessa A. Jean | 84 |
| Commentaries | |
| Who Are These Workers, Anyway? Tracy L. Griggs, Lillian T. Eby, Cynthia K. Maupin, Kate M. Conley, Rachel L. Williamson, Olivia H. Vande Griek, and Muriel G. Clauson | 114 |
| How Journals Can Facilitate the Study of Underlying Situational Characteristics Distinguishing Worker and Professional Samples Jennifer P. Green and Reeshad S. Dalal | 121 |
| What Else Are We Missing? Additional Issues Associated With Sample | |
| Misrepresentation Justin A. DeSimone | 129 |
| News Flash! Work Psychology Discovers Workers! JOEL LEFKOWITZ | 137 |
| Where in the World Are the Workers? Cultural Underrepresentation in I-O Research Christopher G. Myers | 144 |
| Identifying New Organizational Practices by Considering Different Perspectives: An Ethics Management Example JOHNATHAN K. Nelson and Sydney M. Gebka | 152 |
| | 102 |
| The Rise of the "Gig Economy" and Implications for Understanding Work and Workers | |
| Kristine M. Kuhn | 157 |
| Is Mechanical Turk the Answer to Our Sampling Woes? Melissa G. Keith and Peter D. Harms | 162 |

| Examining Worker Underrepresentation in Selection Research: The Domain Matters Allen H. Huffcutt and Satoris S. Culbertson | 167 |
|--|-----|
| View From the Trenches: Practitioners' Perspectives on Key Issues and Opportunities in Low-Wage and Frontline Jobs | |
| Michael Sliter, Brent Holland, Katherine Sliter, and Morgan Jones | 174 |
| We Found Them! The Practitioner Role in Expanding the Generalizability of Findings in I-O Psychology | |
| Adam S. Beatty and Philip T. Walmsley | 181 |
| Answer: They're Everywhere and We Know Quite a Bit About Them Adam J. Vanhove | 188 |
| Sample Adequacy and Implications for Occupational Health Psychology Research Jesse S. Michel, Paige Hartman, Sadie K. O'Neill, Anna Lorys, and Peter Y. Chen | 193 |
| I-O at a Crossroad: The Value of an Intersectional Research Approach Kayla Weaver, Matthew P. Crayne, and Kisha S. Jones | 197 |
| The Importance of Sample Composition Depends on the Research Question Michael A. Gillespie, Jennifer Z. Gillespie, Michelle H. Brodke, | |
| and William K. Balzer | 207 |
| Addendum: Commentary on Ree, Carretta, and Teachout (2015) | 211 |
| I've Found It, but What Does It Mean? On the Importance of Theory in Identifying Dominant General Factors | |
| 7 henvii Viia ni | 212 |