

# UNIFORM $O$ -ESTIMATES OF CERTAIN ERROR FUNCTIONS CONNECTED WITH $k$ -FREE INTEGERS

In memoriam V. Ramaswami

D. SURYANARAYANA

(Received 14 January 1969)

Communicated by E. S. Barnes

## 1. Introduction and notation

Let  $k$  be a fixed integer  $\geq 2$ . A positive integer  $m$  is called  $k$ -free if  $m$  is not divisible by the  $k$ 'th power of any integer  $> 1$ . Let  $q_k(m)$  be the characteristic function of the set of  $k$ -free integers; that is,  $q_k(m) = 1$  or  $0$  according as  $m$  is  $k$ -free or not. It can be easily shown that  $q_k(m) = \sum_{d^k \delta = m} \mu(d)$ , where  $\mu(n)$  is the Möbius function. Let  $x \geq 1$  denote a real variable and  $n$  be a positive integer. Let  $Q_k(x, n)$  and  $Q'_k(x, n)$  be the number and the sum of the reciprocals of the  $k$ -free integers  $\leq x$  which are prime to  $n$  respectively.

Let  $\sigma_t^*(n)$  be the sum of the  $t$ 'th powers of the squarefree divisors of  $n$  and  $\psi_k(n)$  be the arithmetical function defined by

$$\psi_k(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} \right), \tag{1.1}$$

the product being extended over all prime divisors  $p$  of  $n$ . It is clear that

$$\psi_k(n) = \frac{J_k(n)}{n^{k-2} \varphi(n)}, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\varphi(n)$  is the Euler totient function and  $J_k(n)$  is the Jordan totient function (cf. [4], p. 147) which have the following arithmetical forms:

$$\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right), \quad J_k(n) = n^k \prod_{p|n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^k} \right). \tag{1.3}$$

It has been stated by R. L. Robinson ([6], lemma 2) that

$$Q_k(x, n) \equiv \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ (m, n) = 1}} q_k(m) = \frac{nx}{\zeta(k) \psi_k(n)} + O(\theta(n)x^{1/k}), \tag{1.4}$$

the  $O$ -estimate being uniform in  $n$  and  $x$ ; where  $\theta(n) = \sigma_0^*(n)$ , the number of square-

free divisors of  $n$  and  $\zeta(k)$  is the Riemann Zeta function. In case  $k = 2$ , the result (1.4) has already been established by E. Cohen (cf. [2], lemma 5.2).

The object of this paper is to improve the error term in (1.4) to  $O(\sigma_{-s}^*(n)x^{1/k})$ , where  $s$  is any number with  $0 \leq s < 1/k$  and to establish an asymptotic formula for  $Q_k(x, n)$  with a corresponding uniform  $O$ -estimate (See Theorems 1 and 2 below).

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section we mention some of the known results which are needed in our discussion and prove some lemmas. Throughout the following  $s$  denotes a non-negative real number. The following elementary estimates are well-known:

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n^s} = O(x^{1-s}) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq s < 1. \tag{2.1}$$

$$\sum_{n > x} \frac{1}{n^s} = O\left(\frac{1}{x^{s-1}}\right) \quad \text{if } s > 1. \tag{2.2}$$

Let  $\varphi(x, n)$  denote the number of integers  $\leq x$  which are prime to  $n$ . Then we have

LEMMA 1. (cf. [3], (4)). For each  $s$ , with  $0 \leq s < 1$ ,

$$\varphi(x, n) = \frac{x\varphi(n)}{n} + O(x^s \sigma_{-s}^*(n)), \tag{2.3}$$

uniformly.

LEMMA 2. (cf. [8], lemma 2.1).

$$\sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ (m, n) = 1}} \frac{1}{m} = \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} (\log x + \gamma + \alpha(n)) + O\left(\frac{\theta(n)}{x}\right), \tag{2.4}$$

uniformly, where  $\alpha(n)$  is given (cf. [1]) by the following:

$$\alpha(n) \equiv -\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d) \log d}{d} = \sum_{p|n} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \tag{2.5}$$

and  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant.

LEMMA 3.

$$\alpha_k(n) \equiv -\frac{n^k}{J_k(n)} \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d) \log d}{d^k} = \sum_{p|n} \frac{\log p}{p^k - 1}. \tag{2.6}$$

PROOF. This can be proved by the same method adopted in [1] for proving (2.5).

LEMMA 4. (cf. [8], lemma 2.3). For  $s > 1$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ (m,n)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(m)}{m^s} = \frac{n^s}{\zeta(s)J(s,n)}, \tag{2.7}$$

where  $J(s, n)$  is defined for all  $s > 1$  by

$$J(s, n) = n^s \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right). \tag{2.8}$$

In particular, for  $s = k$  by (1.3),

$$J(k, n) = J_k(n). \tag{2.9}$$

LEMMA 5. (cf. [8], lemma 2.5). For  $s > 1$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ (m,n)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(m) \log m}{m^s} = \frac{n^s}{\zeta(s)J(s,n)} \left\{ \alpha(s, n) + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \right\}, \tag{2.10}$$

where  $\zeta'(s)$  is the derivative of  $\zeta(s)$ , and

$$\alpha(s, n) = \sum_{p|n} \frac{\log p}{p^s - 1}. \tag{2.11}$$

In particular, for  $s = k$  by (2.6),

$$\alpha(k, n) = \alpha_k(n). \tag{2.12}$$

LEMMA 6. For any arbitrary  $q$  and  $x \geq 2$ ,

$$M_n(x) \equiv \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ (m,n)=1}} \mu(m) = O\left(\frac{\theta(n)x}{\log^q x}\right), \tag{2.13}$$

uniformly.

PROOF. It is known (cf. [5], p. 594) that

$$M_1(x) = \sum_{m \leq x} \mu(m) = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^q x}\right) \quad \text{for any arbitrary } q.$$

Since  $x/\log^q x$  is monotonically increasing, we have for any given  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$M_1\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^q x}\right). \tag{2.14}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(x) &= \sum_{d|n} \sum_{jd \leq x} \mu(d)\mu(jd) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{jd \leq x \\ (j,d)=1}} \mu(jd) \\ &= \sum_{d|n} \mu^2(d) \sum_{\substack{j \leq x/d \\ (j,d)=1}} \mu(j), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$M_n(x) = \sum_{d|n} \mu^2(d) M_d \left( \frac{x}{d} \right) \quad (2.15)$$

Now, if  $p$  is a prime and  $(p, n) = 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} M_{pn}(x) &= M_n(x) + M_{pn} \left( \frac{x}{p} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^c M_n \left( \frac{x}{p^i} \right), \quad \text{where } c = \left[ \frac{\log x}{\log p} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

In particular, taking  $n = 1$  in (2.16),

$$\begin{aligned} M_p(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^c M_1 \left( \frac{x}{p^i} \right) = O \left( \frac{cx}{\log^q x} \right), \quad \text{by (2.14)} \\ &= O \left( \frac{x}{\log^q x} \right), \quad \text{since } q \text{ is arbitrary.} \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Again, if  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are primes, then by (2.16), taking  $p = p_1$  and  $n = p_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{p_1 p_2}(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{c_1} M_{p_2} \left( \frac{x}{p_1^i} \right), \quad \text{where } c_1 = \left[ \frac{\log x}{\log p_1} \right] \\ &= O \left( \frac{c_1 x}{\log^q x} \right), \quad \text{by (2.17)} \\ &= O \left( \frac{x}{\log^q x} \right), \quad \text{since } q \text{ is arbitrary.} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r$  are distinct primes, then for any given  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$M_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_r} \left( \frac{x}{t} \right) = O \left( \frac{x}{\log^q x} \right).$$

Hence for any square-free divisor  $d$  of  $n$ ,

$$M_d \left( \frac{x}{d} \right) = O \left( \frac{x}{\log^q x} \right),$$

so that the lemma follows by (2.15).

**LEMMA 7.** For any arbitrary  $q, x \geq 2$  and  $s > 1$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{m > x \\ (m, n) = 1}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m^s} = O \left( \frac{\theta(n)}{x^{s-1} \log^q x} \right) \quad (2.18)$$

$$\sum_{\substack{m > x \\ (m, n) = 1}} \frac{\mu(m) \log m}{m^s} = O\left(\frac{\theta(n)}{x^{s-1} \log^q x}\right), \tag{2.19}$$

uniformly.

PROOF. Let  $\varepsilon(n) = 1$  or  $0$  according as  $n = 1$  or  $n > 1$ , so that  $M_n(x)$  in (2.13) turns out to be  $\sum_{m \leq x} \mu(m) \varepsilon((m, n))$ . Putting  $f(m) = 1/m^s$  and  $g(m) = \log m/m^s$ , it has been shown by the author (cf. [7], lemmas 3.1 and 3.2) that

$$f(m+1) - f(m) = O\left(\frac{1}{m^{s+1}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad g(m+1) - g(m) = O\left(\frac{\log m}{m^{s+1}}\right).$$

We give the proof of (2.19) only, since (2.18) can be proved more easily following the same line of argument.

By partial summation and (2.13),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m > x} \mu(m) \varepsilon((m, n)) g(m) &= -M_n(x) g([x] + 1) \\ &\quad - \sum_{m > x} M_n(m) [g(m+1) - g(m)] \\ &= O\left(\frac{\theta(n)}{x^{s-1} \log^q x}\right) + O\left(\sum_{m > x} \frac{\theta(n)}{m^s \log^q m}\right), \end{aligned}$$

since  $q$  is arbitrary.

The second  $O$ -term is  $O(\theta(n)/\log^q x) \sum_{m > x} 1/m^s$  which is  $O(\theta(n)/x^{s-1} \log^q x)$ , by (2.2).

Hence the lemma follows.

LEMMA 8. For any arbitrary  $q$ ,  $x \geq 2$  and  $s > 1$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ (m, n) = 1}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m^s} = \frac{n^s}{\zeta(s) J(s, n)} + O\left(\frac{\theta(n)}{x^{s-1} \log^q x}\right) \tag{2.20}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ (m, n) = 1}} \frac{\mu(m) \log m}{m^s} = \frac{n^s}{\zeta(s) J(s, n)} \left\{ \alpha(s, n) + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \right\} + O\left(\frac{\theta(n)}{x^{s-1} \log^q x}\right), \tag{2.21}$$

uniformly.

PROOF. (2.20) follows by (2.7) and (2.18). (2.21) follows by (2.10) and (2.19).

### 3. Main results

We are now in a position to prove the following:

THEOREM 1. For  $0 \leq s < 1/k$ ,

$$Q_k(x, n) \equiv \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ (m, n) = 1}} q_k(m) = \frac{nx}{\zeta(k)\psi_k(n)} + O(\sigma_{-s}^*(n)x^{1/k}), \tag{3.1}$$

uniformly.

PROOF. We have  $q_k(m) = \sum_{d^k \delta = m} \mu(d)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k(x, n) &= \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ (m, n) = 1}} \sum_{d^k \delta = m} \mu(d) = \sum_{\substack{d^k \delta \leq x \\ (d, n) = (\delta, n) = 1}} \mu(d) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d \leq k\sqrt{x} \\ (d, n) = 1}} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{\delta \leq x/d^k \\ (\delta, d) = 1}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{d \leq k\sqrt{x} \\ (d, n) = 1}} \mu(d) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{d^k}, n\right). \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k(x, n) &= \sum_{\substack{d \leq k\sqrt{x} \\ (d, n) = 1}} \mu(d) \left\{ \frac{x}{d^k} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} + O\left(\frac{x^s}{d^{sk}} \sigma_{-s}^*(n)\right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{x\varphi(n)}{n} \sum_{\substack{d=1 \\ (d, n) = 1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^k} + O\left(x \sum_{d > k\sqrt{x}} d^{-k}\right) \\ &\quad + O\left(x^s \sigma_{-s}^*(n) \sum_{d \leq k\sqrt{x}} d^{-sk}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The first  $O$ -term is  $O(x^{1/k})$  by (2.2) and the second  $O$ -term is  $O(\sigma_{-s}^*(n)x^{1/k})$  by (2.1), restricting  $s$  to the range  $0 \leq s < 1/k$ .

Hence Theorem 1 follows by (2.7), (2.9) and (1.2).

COROLLARY 1. ( $k = 2$ ). For  $0 \leq s < \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$Q(x, n) \equiv \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ (m, n) = 1}} \mu^2(m) = \frac{nx}{\zeta(2)\psi(n)} + O(\sigma_{-s}^*(n)\sqrt{x}), \tag{3.2}$$

where  $\psi(n)$  is Dedekind's  $\psi$ -function defined by  $\psi(n) = \sum_{d\delta=n} \mu^2(d)\delta$ .

THEOREM 2. For  $0 \leq s < 1/k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Q'_k(x, n) \equiv \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ (m, n) = 1}} \frac{q_k(m)}{m} &= \frac{n}{\zeta(k)\psi_k(n)} \left( \log x + \gamma - \frac{k\zeta'(k)}{\zeta(k)} + \alpha(n) - k\alpha_k(n) \right) \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{\sigma_{-s}^*(n)}{x^{1-1/k}}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

uniformly, where  $\alpha(n)$  is given by (2.5) and  $\alpha_k(n)$  is given by (2.6).

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} Q'_k(x, n) &= \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ (m, n) = 1}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{d^k \delta = m} \mu(d) = \sum_{\substack{d^k \delta \leq x \\ (d, n) = (\delta, n) = 1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^k \delta} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d \leq k\sqrt{x} \\ (d, n) = 1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^k} \sum_{\substack{\delta \leq x/d^k \\ (\delta, n) = 1}} \frac{1}{\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

so that by lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q'_k(x, n) &= \sum_{\substack{d \leq \sqrt[k]{x} \\ (d, n)=1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^k} \left\{ \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \left( \log \frac{x}{d^k} + \gamma + \alpha(n) \right) + O \left( \frac{\theta(n)d^k}{x} \right) \right\} \\
 &= \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} (\log x + \gamma + \alpha(n)) \sum_{\substack{d \leq \sqrt[k]{x} \\ (d, n)=1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^k} \\
 &\quad - \frac{k\varphi(n)}{n} \sum_{\substack{d \leq \sqrt[k]{x} \\ (d, n)=1}} \frac{\mu(d) \log d}{d^k} + O \left( \frac{\theta(n)}{x^{1-1/k}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 8, (2.9), (2.12) and (1.2), since  $q$  is arbitrary,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q'_k(x, n) &= \frac{n}{\zeta(k)\psi_k(n)} (\log x + \gamma + \alpha(n)) + O \left( \frac{\theta(n)}{x^{1-1/k} \log^q x} \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{kn}{\zeta(k)\psi_k(n)} \left( \alpha_k(n) + \frac{\zeta'(k)}{\zeta(k)} \right) + O \left( \frac{\theta(n)}{x^{1-1/k} \log^q x} \right) \\
 &\quad + O \left( \frac{\theta(n)}{x^{1-1/k}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q'_k(x, n) &= \frac{n}{\zeta(k)\psi_k(n)} \left( \log x + \gamma - \frac{k\zeta'(k)}{\zeta(k)} + \alpha(n) - k\alpha_k(n) \right) \\
 &\quad + O \left( \frac{\theta(n)}{x^{1-1/k}} \right). \tag{3.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Again,

$$Q'_k(x, n) = \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{q_k(m)\varepsilon((m, n))}{m}, \quad \text{where } \varepsilon(1) = 1 \quad \text{and } \varepsilon(n) = 0 \text{ if } n > 1.$$

By partial summation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q'_k(x, n) &= \frac{Q_k(x, n)}{x} - \sum_{m \leq x-1} Q_k(m, n) \left\{ \frac{1}{m+1} - \frac{1}{m} \right\} \\
 &= \frac{Q_k(x, n)}{x} + \int_1^x \frac{Q_k(t, n)}{t^2} dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\Delta_k(x, n) = Q_k(x, n) - \frac{nx}{\zeta(k)\psi_k(n)},$$

then by Theorem 1,

$$\Delta_k(x, n) = O(\sigma_{-s}^*(n)x^{1/k}).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q'_k(x, n) &= \frac{n}{\zeta(k)\psi_k(n)} + \frac{\Delta_k(x, n)}{x} + \int_1^x \left\{ \frac{n}{\zeta(k)\psi_k(n)t} + \frac{\Delta_k(t, n)}{t^2} \right\} dt \\
 &= \frac{n}{\zeta(k)\psi_k(n)} + \frac{\Delta_k(x, n)}{x} + \frac{n \log x}{\zeta(k)\psi_k(n)} + \int_1^\infty \frac{\Delta_k(t, n)}{t^2} dt \\
 &\quad - \int_x^\infty \frac{\Delta_k(t, n)}{t^2} dt \\
 &= \frac{n}{\zeta(k)\psi_k(n)} (\log x + c_k(n)) + O\left(\frac{\sigma_{-s}^*(n)}{x^{1-1/k}}\right), \tag{3.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_k(n)$  is independent of  $x$ .

Now, keeping  $n$  fixed and taking the limit as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  of the difference between (3.4) and (3.5) we get that

$$c_k(n) = \gamma - \frac{k\zeta'(k)}{\zeta(k)} + \alpha(n) - k\alpha_k(n).$$

Substituting this value of  $c_k(n)$  in (3.5), we get Theorem 2.

**COROLLARY 2.** ( $k = 2$ ). For  $0 \leq s < \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q'(x, n) &\equiv \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(m)}{m} = \frac{n}{\zeta(2)\psi(n)} \left( \log x + \gamma - \frac{2\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} + \alpha(n) - 2\beta(n) \right) \\
 &\quad + O\left(\frac{\sigma_{-s}^*(n)}{\sqrt{x}}\right), \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha(n)$  is given by (2.5) and

$$\beta(n) = -\frac{n^2}{J(n)} \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d) \log d}{d^2},$$

$J(n)$  being the Jordan totient function of order 2.

## References

- [1] G. M. Bergmann, 'Solution of the problem 5091', *Amer. Math. Monthly* 71 (1964), 334–335.
- [2] E. Cohen, 'Arithmetical functions associated with the unitary divisors of an integer', *Math. Zeit.* 74 (1960), 66–80.
- [3] E. Cohen, 'Remark on a set of integers', *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 25 (1964), 179–180.
- [4] L. E. Dickson, *History of the Theory of numbers, Vol. I* (Chelsea reprint, New York, 1952).
- [5] E. Landau, *Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen* (Leipzig, 1909; Chelsea reprint, 1953), p. 594.



- [6] R. L. Robinson, 'An estimate for the enumerative functions of certain sets of integers', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 17 (1966), 232–237.
- [7] D. Suryanarayana, 'The number of  $k$ -ary divisors of an integer', *Monatsh. Math.* 72 (1968), 445–450.
- [8] D. Suryanarayana, 'The greatest divisor of  $n$  which is prime to  $k$ ', *Maths Student* 36 (1968), 171–181.

Department of Mathematics  
Andhra University  
Waltair, India