# OH RADICALS VIA ATMOSPHERIC <sup>14</sup>CO

# ANDREAS VOLZ\*, ALFRED TÖNNISSEN, D H EHHALT, and AHMED KHEDIM

# Institut für Atmosphärische Chemie, Kernforschungsanlage Jülich GmbH, D-5170 Jülich 1, West Germany

ABSTRACT. Measurements of <sup>14</sup>CO in the lower troposphere show a well-defined seasonal variation between 11  $\pm$  1 molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> in summer and 25  $\pm$  2 molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> in winter at 51°N. The concentration at 27°N in summer is found to be 4.2  $\pm$  0.7 molecules cm<sup>-3</sup>. From these data and published <sup>12</sup>CO measurements, the average concentration of OH radicals in the troposphere is calculated to be 6.5  $\pm$  2.5  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> using a 2-D time-dependent model. The corresponding <sup>12</sup>CO lifetime is two months; the <sup>14</sup>CO lifetime is five months.

### INTRODUCTION

McKay, Pandow, and Wolfgang (1963) demonstrated that at least 95 percent of the <sup>14</sup>C produced in the earth's atmosphere by cosmic ray neutrons reacts with  $O_2$  immediately after the nuclear reaction to form <sup>14</sup>CO rather than <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>. The same authors reported the first measurements of tropospheric <sup>14</sup>CO.

Weinstock (1969) and later, Weinstock and Niki (1972) used those measurements to calculate the atmospheric turnover time of CO,  $\tau_{CO}$ , from the <sup>14</sup>CO and <sup>12</sup>CO balance to be on the order of one month. They also suggested that OH radicals might be responsible for the removal of CO from the atmosphere.

The relatively short CO lifetime derived from <sup>14</sup>CO was in substantial disagreement with estimates by Seiler (1974), who derived a lifetime of six months from the <sup>12</sup>CO cycle alone and argued that the <sup>14</sup>CO measurements made by McKay, Pandow, and Wolfgang might be too low, thus giving too short a lifetime. In this paper, new measurements of <sup>14</sup>CO in the lower troposphere are presented, which indeed show a higher <sup>14</sup>CO concentration than found previously. In addition, well-defined seasonal and latitudinal variations of <sup>14</sup>CO are observed.

## EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES

Carbon monoxide was separated quantitatively from  $200m^3$  of ambient air with the sampling apparatus shown schematically in figure 1. After compression to 4 bar by a viton diaphragm compressor, the air was pushed through the system at a flow rate of 50 l (STP)/min. Absorber I contained 5 l of a 5M NaOH solution and served to reduce the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of about 330ppmv to a level below 3ppbv. After the removal of CO<sub>2</sub>, carbon monoxide was quantitatively oxidized to carbon dioxide on a hot Pt-surface and collected in a second absorber II, which contained CO<sub>2</sub>-free NaOH solution. The total amount of air processed was measured with an integrating flow meter.

The carbon dioxide collected in absorber II was liberated in the laboratory by acidification and collected through a vacuum line into a trap chilled with liquid  $N_2$ . After purification by low temperature distil-

\* Present address: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Environmental Research Laboratories, Aeronomy Laboratory, Boulder, Colorado 80303 lation and gas chromatography on charcoal, the  $CO_2$  was transferred into a proportional gas counter. The counter had a total volume of 55ml, a background counting rate of 0.30cpm and a sensitive volume of 42ml. The counting system was calibrated by counting  $CO_2$  samples prepared from the <sup>14</sup>C-standard of the Institut für Umweltphysik, University of Heidelberg, West Germany.

The error of the  ${}^{14}C/{}^{12}C$  determination employing a 4-day counting period was usually 3 to 5 percent. To calculate the error of the absolute concentration of  ${}^{14}CO$ , the uncertainty introduced by contamination or losses during sample collection had to be taken into account. The latter were determined by simultaneous collection of an air sample whose CO concentration was measured by gas chromatography and compared to the CO concentration calculated from the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> collected in absorber II and the total amount of air processed. A more detailed discussion of the techniques and their uncertainties is given by Volz and others (1979).

#### RESULTS

The air samples were collected at a rural site near Jülich, West Germany (51°N) over a two-year period starting in May 1977. Additional samples were obtained at Miami, Florida (27°N) in September 1977 and during a cruise of *RV Knorr* (Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute) in the Mediterranean and Atlantic during April 1976. The measured <sup>14</sup>CO concentrations are summarized in table 1 together with the earlier results by McKay, Pandow, and Wolfgang (1963).

An examination of the <sup>14</sup>CO data in table 1 reveals a well-defined seasonal variation at 51°N with a maximum concentration of  $25 \pm 2$  molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> in winter and a minimum concentration of  $11 \pm 1$  molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> during summer. Details of the seasonal variation are shown



Fig 1. Schematic diagram of the apparatus for separation of carbon monoxide from ambient air.

Dete	T		$\begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ CO \end{bmatrix} \pm 0 $
	Location	Latitude	molecules cm
05/25/77	Jülich	51°N	13.8 ± 1.6
07/14/77	Jülich	51°N	9.4 ± 2.7
08/17/77	Jülich	51°N	10.8 ± 1.7
11/01/77	Jülich	51°N	16.8 ± 3.5
12/07/77	Jülich	51°N	$23.3 \pm 4.0$
12/13/77	Jülich	51°N	$20.9 \pm 1.4$
01/13/78	Jülich	51°N	29.0 ± 2.1
01/23/78	Jülich	51°N	22.9 ± 2.8
02/12/78	Jülich	51°N	24.2 ± 1.6
02/19/78	Jülich	51°N	23.8 ± 1.7
07/20/78	Jülich	51°N	12.1 ± 3.2
09/06/78	Jülich	51°N	10.5 ± 1.7
09/17/78	Jülich	51°N	12.4 ± 1.8
09/20/78	Jülich	51°N	12.8 ± 1.4
04/08/76	Mediterranean	36°N	14.7 ± 1.5
04/15/76	Atlantic	36°N	$15.3 \pm 2.0$
04/22/76	Atlantic	43°N	19.1 ± 1.8
09/10/77	Miami	27°N	4.2 ± 0.7
09/12/77	Niami	27°N	
02/60 (McKay,Pandow, and Wolfgang,	Buffalo	43°N	8 (average of 3 samples)

TABLE 1Measurements of 14CO at ground level

1963)

more clearly by figure 2. The observations at different latitudes also indicate a marked latitudinal variation with higher <sup>14</sup>CO concentrations at higher latitudes and lower values in the tropics. Thus, the data from the RV Knorr cruise indicate a decrease from 19 molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> at 43°N latitude to 15 molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> at 36°N latitude during April 1976. An even larger effect is observed between Miami, with a <sup>14</sup>CO concentration of 4 molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> at 27°N latitude, and Jülich, with 12 molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> at 51°N latitude (cf fig 3). Both measurements were made in September.

The <sup>14</sup>CO concentrations reported here for winter at 51°N are about three times higher than the earlier measurements of McKay, Pandow, and Wolfgang (1963) at 43°N latitude. The difference in latitude can account only for a part of this discrepancy (*cf* fig 3). The major part seems to be due to the fact that McKay, Pandow, and Wolfgang (1963) could give only a crude value of the <sup>12</sup>CO mixing ratio. Although the <sup>14</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratio in carbon monoxide was measured precisely, only a rather uncertain value for the <sup>14</sup>CO mixing ratio or <sup>14</sup>CO concentration can be derived from their measurements. As Seiler (1974) already pointed out, the <sup>12</sup>CO mixing ratio of 0.3ppmv quoted by McKay, Pandow, and Wolfgang seems low in view of the mixing ratios around 1ppmv various authors have found in industrialized areas (*cf* Seiler and Zankl, 1976). Such mixing ratios would increase the <sup>14</sup>CO concentration derived from



Fig 2. The measured seasonal variation of <sup>14</sup>CO at 51°N latitude. The early measurements by McKay, Pandow, and Wolfgang (1963) are also indicated. The dashed curve represents the computed seasonal variation for  $\overline{[OH]} = 6.5 \times 10^5$  molecules cm<sup>-4</sup> and P<sub>b10</sub> = 1250 × 10<sup>12</sup>g CO/yr.

the data of McKay, Pandow, and Wolfgang to a value more in line with the present study.

## DISCUSSION

The observed seasonal variation of <sup>14</sup>CO can be described by the time dependent balance equation

$$\frac{d [^{14}CO]}{dt} = P - D + \operatorname{div} \Phi, \qquad (1)$$

where [<sup>14</sup>CO] is the concentration of <sup>14</sup>CO, P, and D are the combined sources and sinks, and div  $\Phi$  is the flux of <sup>14</sup>CO into the volume considered. In order to establish the source and sink terms, we discuss first the known sources and sinks of <sup>12</sup>CO:

 $P_{foss}$ : Emissions from fossil-fuel burning contribute about 640  $\times$   $10^{12} g$   $^{12}CO/yr$  (Seiler, 1974).

 $P_{CH_4}$ : For each  $CH_4$  molecule oxidized by OH radicals eventually one CO molecule is formed. Thus, the strength of this source is virtually equal to the  $CH_4$  destruction rate:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{CH}_4} = \mathbf{k}_3 \,[\mathrm{CH}_4][\mathrm{OH}] \tag{2}$$

where  $k_3$  is the temperature dependent rate coefficient of the reaction

$$CH_4 + OH \rightarrow CH_3 + H_2O \tag{3}$$

 $P_{bio}$ : CO is also released from organic material either directly by incomplete combustion or indirectly by the emission of precursor gases (nonmethane hydrocarbons), which are rapidly oxidized to CO in the atmo-



Fig 3. The calculated latitudinal distribution of <sup>14</sup>CO in the lower troposphere during September. The dashed curve was computed for  $P_{b1o} = 0$  and  $\overline{[OH]} = 4.8 \times 10^5$  molecules cm<sup>-3</sup>, the full curve for  $P_{b1o} = 1250 \times 10^{12}$ g CO/yr and  $\overline{[OH]} = 6.5 \times 10^5$  molecules cm<sup>-3</sup>. Open circle represents the measurements from Miami (27°N; September 1977); full circle represents the average of three measurements at Jülich (51°N; September 1978).

376

spheric boundary layer and can, therefore, be treated like a direct emission of CO (Zimmerman and others, 1978).

 $D_{\rm OH}$ : Destruction by OH radicals is by far the most important sink of atmospheric CO

$$\rm CO + OH \rightarrow \rm CO_2 + H$$
 (4)

and we can write:

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{OH}} = \mathbf{k}_4 \left[ \mathbf{CO} \right] \left[ \mathbf{OH} \right] \,, \tag{5}$$

where  $k_4$  is the (pressure-dependent) rate coefficient for reaction (4). D<sub>soil</sub>: CO is also removed by soil uptake. The uptake rate is proportional to the CO concentration above the soil and a deposition velocity  $v_s$ , which has been determined by Liebl and Seiler (1976) to average 0.04 cm  $\cdot$  s<sup>-1</sup>. Thus, we can write:

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{soil}} = [\mathbf{CO}] \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{s}} \tag{6}$$

Equation (1) then becomes for <sup>12</sup>CO:

$$\frac{d [^{12}CO]}{dt} = P_{foss} + k_3 [CH_4][OH] + P_{bio} - k_4 [^{12}CO][OH] - [^{12}CO] v_s + div \Phi$$
(7)

All natural sources of carbon monoxide contribute to the <sup>14</sup>CO budget and their relative source strength can be assessed by their specific <sup>14</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratio R. R<sub>foss</sub> equals zero since all the <sup>14</sup>C originally present in fossil fuels has already decayed. R<sub>CH4</sub> has been redetermined recently to  $1.2 \times 10^{-12}$  by Levin (1978). For P<sub>bio</sub> we adopt the isotopic ratio of recent organic material, which is  $1.5 \times 10^{-12}$  for the year 1977, when most of the <sup>14</sup>CO measurements were performed. However, the major source of <sup>14</sup>CO in the atmosphere is the production by cosmic ray neutrons. It is directly proportional to the <sup>14</sup>C production rate, which has been calculated by Lingenfelter (1963) as a function of height and geomagnetic latitude. The source strength is  $2.0 \times 10^{26}$  molecules/yr in the troposphere, which is about 70 percent of the total annual <sup>14</sup>CO production.

The corresponding balance equation for <sup>14</sup>CO is:

$$\frac{d_{cH_4} [{}^{14}CO]}{dt} = P_{cosm} + R_{bio}P_{bio} + R_{cH_4} \cdot k_3 [CH_4][OH] - k_4 [{}^{14}CO][OH] - [{}^{14}CO] v_s + div \Phi$$
(8)

Two parameters in the CO balance are poorly known: the tropospheric concentration of OH radicals and the magnitude of the biological sources. Using the <sup>14</sup>CO data presented here and the <sup>12</sup>CO data given by Seiler and Schmidt (1974), two relations can be established between  $P_{bio}$  and the average tropospheric OH concentration,  $\overline{[OH]}$ , from equations (7) and (8). For that purpose, both equations were to be solved using a 2-D time-dependent model. During the calculations, a 2-D OH field was adopted from the model itself (Derwent and Curtis, 1977) as a function of time of year. This field was then scaled at any given time, latitude, and altitude using a common scale factor  $f_{OH}$ . This scale factor was then optimized for various assumptions of  $P_{bio}$  until the deviations between

## Man-made <sup>14</sup>C Variations

calculated and measured 14CO and 12CO data were minimized. The procedure ensured that during the calculation of the destruction terms the observed spatial and time variations of 14CO, 12CO, OH, etc, were taken into account. A 2-D model is sufficient because the longitudinal variations are minor. Integrating the scaled OH field over space and time, we were then able to extract the average tropospheric OH concentration required to balance either the 14CO or the 12CO budget as a function of  $P_{\text{bio}}.$  Thus, two independent relations between  $P_{\text{bio}}$  and  $\overline{[OH]}$  were obtained. The intersection of the two relations eventually gave the unique solution for P<sub>bio</sub> and [OH] which balances both the <sup>14</sup>CO and the <sup>12</sup>CO cycle. It was obtained for:

and

$$P_{\rm bio} = (1250 \pm 800) \times 10^{12} {\rm g}~^{12} {\rm CO}/{\rm yr}$$

D

$$[OH] = (6.5 \pm 2.3) \times 10^5 \text{ molecules cm}^{-3}$$

The corresponding optimized calculations of the 14CO seasonal and latitudinal variation are compared to the experimental data in figures 2 and 3 (for the <sup>12</sup>CO calculations, see Volz and others, 1979).

From the average destruction terms in equations (7) and (8), the tropospheric lifetimes of 14CO and 12CO can be calculated. They are:

$$\tau_{14CO} = 5 \pm 1$$
 months

and

$$\tau_{12CO} = 2 \pm \frac{0.7}{0.4}$$
 months

The errors given are caused by the source and sink terms in equations (7) and (8); they are discussed in detail by Volz and others (1979).

#### References

- Derwent, R G and Curtis, A R, 1977, Two-dimensional model studies of some trace gases and free radicals in the troposphere: AERE Harwell, rept AERE-R 8853.
- Levin, Ingeborg, 1978, Regionale Modellierung des atmosphärischen CO2 aufgrund von C-13- und C-14-Messungen: Diplomarbeit, Univ Heidelberg.
- Liebl, K H and Seiler, W, 1976, CO and H2 destruction at the soil surface, in Microbial production and utilization of gases: Akad Wissenschaften Göttingen, Goltz Druck, Göttingen.
- Lingenfelter, R E, 1963, Production of carbon-14 by cosmic-ray neutrons: Rev Geophys, v 1, p 35-55.
- McKay, C, Pandow, U and Wolfgang, R, 1963, On the chemistry of natural radiocarbon: Jour Geophys Research, v 68, p 3929-3931.

Seiler, W, 1974, The cycle of atmospheric CO: Tellus, v 26, p 116-135.

- Seiler, W and Schmidt, U, 1974, New aspects on CO and H<sub>2</sub> cycles in the atmosphere, in Internatl conf structure, composition and general circulation of the upper and lower atmosphere and possible anthropogenic perturbations: Melbourne, v 1, p 192-222
- Seiler, W and Zankl, H, 1976, Man's impact on the atmospheric CO-cycle, in Environmental biogeochemistry: Ann Arbor, Mich, Ann Arbor Science, v 1, p 25-37.
- Volz, Andreas, Ehhalt, D H, Derwent, R G, and Khedim, Ahmed, 1979, Messung von atmosphärischen <sup>14</sup>CO: eine Methode zur Bestimmung der troposphärischen OH Radikalkonzentration: Jül-1604, ISSN 0366-0885.
- Weinstock, B, 1969, Carbon monoxide: residence time in the atmosphere: Science, v 166, p 224-225

Zimmerman, P R, Chatfield, R B, Fishman, J, Crutzen, P J, and Hanst, P L, 1978, Estimates on the production of CO and  $H_2$  from the oxidation of hydrocarbon emissions from vegetation: Geophys Research Letters, v 5, p 679-682.

378

Weinstock, B and Niki, H, 1972, Carbon monoxide balance in nature: Science, v 176, p 290-292.