The influence of the gut microflora on the digestion of dietary and endogenous proteins: studies of the amino acid composition of the excreta of germ-free and conventional chicks

By D. N. SALTER AND ROSEMARY J. FULFORD

National Institute for Research in Dairying, Shinfield, Reading RG2 9AT

- 1. To assess the part played by the microflora in the digestion of proteins, the amino acid composition of the excreta and the apparent and true digestibilities of individual amino acids were measured in germ-free (GF) and conventional (CV) chicks.
- 2. Three diets were used: diet 1, nitrogen-free; diet 2, 280 g protein/kg as (g/kg): casein 80, gelatin 100 and freeze-dried egg albumen 100 and diet 3, 280 g protein/kg as diet 2 but with heat-damaged instead of freeze-dried egg albumen. Half of the GF and half of the CV chicks received the N-free diet in the first 7 d of test and the other half of each group received either diet 2 or diet 3. In the second 7 d test period those chicks which had been given the N-free diet received either diet 2 or diet 3 while those which had been given protein diets received the N-free diet. Total amino acids were measured in hydrolysates of the soluble and insoluble fractions of the excreta collected in the last 3 d of each test period.
- 3. The amino acid composition of the soluble fraction of the excreta of chicks given either diet 2 or diet 3 differed markedly from that of chicks given the N-free diet. The amino acid composition of the insoluble fraction of the excreta of chicks given diet 2 was similar to that of chicks given the N-free diet, whereas that of chicks given diet 3 was markedly different and resembled egg albumen in composition.
- 4. In the soluble fraction of excreta from CV chicks given diets 1 and 2 the proportions of threonine, serine and glucosamine were lower and those of methionine, leucine, isoleucine and phenylalanine were higher than in those from GF chicks, particularly on diet 1. In the insoluble fraction of excreta from CV chicks given these two diets, compared with GF chicks, there were lower proportions of serine and proline and higher proportions of cysteic acid and lysine, the latter particularly with diet 1.
- 5. Lower proportions of threonine, serine and glucosamine were also observed in the soluble fractions of excreta from the CV chicks given diets 1 and 3, compared with GF chicks, whereas the proportion of glutamic acid was higher. With these two diets the insoluble fraction from CV chicks contained a higher proportion of alanine. In each instance the environmental effect was greater with diet 1.
- 6. No effect of environment on either apparent or true digestibility of individual dietary protein amino acids was demonstrated, with the exception of threonine in diet 2, the true digestibility of which was higher in GF than in CV chicks.
- 7. It was concluded that the gut microflora of the chick had little influence on the digestion of the proteins in the diets tested, but may serve an important role in the degradation of endogenous proteins and the recycling of N.

In studies on the digestion of ¹⁴C-labelled proteins in chicks it was shown that separation of nitrogen from the ¹⁴C moiety occurred under the influence of the gut microflora, and that the separated N was probably absorbed as ammonia (Salter & Coates, 1971; Salter, 1973). The implication was that protein residues that escaped digestion in the upper gut were further digested in the lower gut by microbial proteases and that some deamination of liberated amino acids took place. Consequently differences should be found between germ-free (GF) and conventional (CV) chicks in the amino acid composition of the residues in the lower gut contents and excreta. In the experiment reported here, the effect of the microflora on the amino acid composition of the

residues from good- and poor-quality dietary proteins and endogenous proteins has been studied by analysis of the amino acids in the excreta of groups of GF and CV chicks given protein diets containing unheated or heat-damaged egg albumen or a N-free diet. The significance of the observed effects was assessed in terms of the effect of the microflora on the true and apparent digestibilities of the individual amino acids.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chicks

Chicks of the Rhode Island Red × Light Sussex cross were used. GF chicks were reared in Gustafsson isolators and CV chicks in a controlled-environment room (Salter & Coates, 1971).

Diets

Three diets were used: diet 1, N-free; diet 2, 280 g protein/kg provided by (g/kg diet): egg albumen 100, casein 80 and gelatin 100; and diet 3, 280 g protein/kg provided by (g/kg diet): heat-damaged egg albumen 100, casein 80 and gelatin 100. The preparation of the unheated and heat-damaged egg albumen has been described by Salter & Coates (1971) and the composition of the diets by Salter, Coates & Hewitt (1974).

Design of experiments

In a preliminary experiment to measure the proportions of protein, peptide and free amino acids in the lower gut contents, six GF and six CV chicks were reared from 1 to 14 d old on diet 2, then transferred to diet 3. At 24 d they were fasted overnight and given a test meal of 10 g diet 3 introduced directly into the crop. The combined contents of the caecums and colons were collected from the anaesthetized chicks by washing out with warm saline, and then separated into saline-soluble and saline-insoluble fractions. The procedure has been described by Salter & Coates (1971).

For the main experiment, chicks were housed in pairs in metabolism cages, and the two members of each pair were fed alike. Eight pairs of GF chicks and eight pairs of CV chicks were reared on diet 2. At 14 d of age the chicks were divided into two groups, each of four pairs of GF and four pairs of CV chicks. In one group, half of the chicks (two pairs GF and two pairs CV) received diet 2 and half received the N-free diet (diet 1) for the next 7 d and the combined excreta of each pair of chicks was collected for the last 3 d. For the next 7 d the diets were interchanged and excreta were again collected for the last 3 d. In the second group the procedure was the same except that diet 2 was replaced by diet 3. Amino acid analysis was carried out on the excreta from each pair of chicks, as excreta was not collected for individuals.

Collection of excreta

The combined droppings from each pair of chicks were collected into 200 ml 0.05 M-sulphuric acid (to prevent further microbial action and avoid loss of ammonia) in deep stainless-steel trays. They were removed daily, transferred to screw-capped polyethylene bottles, and frozen at -20° . The three daily collections for each pair of

chicks were pooled and homogenized. The suspension was then centrifuged for 15 min at 5° and 9000g in an MSE High-Speed 18 centrifuge (Measuring & Scientific Equipment Ltd, London SW 1). The clear soluble fraction was decanted and stored frozen at -20° and the sediment was freeze-dried.

Analytical methods

Amino acids and hexosamines. For total amino acids, samples of the excreta fractions soluble or insoluble in 0.05 M-sulphuric acid containing 50 µg N were hydrolysed by refluxing with 6 M-HCl for 24 h in an oil-bath at 115°, then filtered, concentrated by rotary evaporation at 100° and redissolved in 0.11 M-sodium citrate buffer at pH 2.2. The amino acid and hexosamine contents of the hydrolysates were determined with a JEOL Amino Acid Analyser (Model JCL-5AH, Japan Electron Optics Laboratory Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). Under these conditions nearly 50% destruction of glucosamine occurs and there may be a greater loss of galactosamine (Nolan & Smith, 1962). It was assumed that the contribution of urinary amino acids to the pattern of total amino acids excreted was negligible, since it has been reported (O'Dell, Woods, Laerdal, Jeffay & Savage, 1960) that urinary amino acids comprise about 2% of urinary N. On this basis only 1% of the total excreted amino acids were of urinary origin when the unheated egg albumen diet was given and 0.6% when heat-damaged egg albumen was given.

For free amino acids in the contents of the caecums and colons, 4 ml of each pooled saline-soluble fraction were treated with 6 ml sulphosalicylic acid solution (36 g/l) to precipitate proteins. After standing for 16 h at 4°, the suspensions were centrifuged at 9000 g for 20 min at 4° and samples of the clear supernatant fraction were analysed for their amino acid content using an EEL Amino Acid Analyser (Evans Electroselenium Ltd, Halstead, Essex).

Other analytical methods. Total N was determined by a Kjeldahl micro-digestion procedure followed by estimation using a Technicon AutoAnalyzer (Technicon Instruments Co. Ltd, Basingstoke, Hants) of the ammonium sulphate formed (Ferrari, 1960). Uric acid was measured as described by Salter et al. (1974). A estimate of the 'peptide-N' content of saline-soluble fractions of digesta was obtained from the difference between total non-uric acid-N and the sum of the measured free amino acid-N and precipitated protein-N in sulphosalicylic acid-treated samples.

Calculations of amino acid-N digestibilities. The digestibility of N in a diet is defined as that proportion of the N consumed that is absorbed from the gut. In the calculation of true digestibility (TD) a correction is made for endogenous faecal N, i.e. N voided during the period on a N-free diet after a suitable period of adaptation:

$$TD = \frac{N_{intake} - (N_F - N_{F, endogenous})}{N_{intake}}.$$

In the calculation of apparent digestibility (AD), no account is taken of endogenous faecal N:

$$\mathrm{AD} = \frac{\mathrm{N_{intake}} - \mathrm{N_{F}}}{\mathrm{N_{intake}}},$$

where N_F is faecal N. For the calculations of individual amino acid digestibilities the appropriate values for dietary and faecal amino acid-N were substituted in the above formulas.

Statistical analysis

In the analyses of variance for amino acid composition, effects or interactions associated with periods (week 3 v. week 4) were not significant, therefore standard errors of diet effects or interactions between environments and diets were derived from the interaction between diets and pairs of chicks within environments.

RESULTS

Distribution of N in the contents of the lower gut of GF and CV chicks

In the preliminary experiment the pooled contents of the caecums and colons of six GF and six CV chicks were analysed for N-containing compounds 5 h after they had received a 10 g dose of diet 3. It was found that in GF chicks a higher proportion of the total N was soluble in saline than in CV chicks (0.86 and 0.63 respectively). This soluble N from the GF chicks contained a higher proportion of protein (0.16) and less free amino acid-N (0.15) than that from the CV chicks, for which the corresponding proportions of protein and free amino acid-N were 0.03 and 0.27 respectively. The proportions of peptide-N were about the same in the two environments (0.69 and 0.70 in GF and CV chicks respectively).

The amino acid and hexosamine composition of the excreta of GF and CV chicks

Diets 1 and 2. (a) Soluble fraction. Table 1 shows the total amino acid compositions and hexosamine contents of the 0.05 M-H₂SO₄-soluble fraction of the excreta from pairs of GF and CV chicks that had been given the N-free diet (diet 1) or the diet containing unheated egg albumen, casein and gelatin (diet 2). In chicks given diet 2 compared with chicks given diet 1, there were significantly higher proportions of aspartic acid, proline, glycine, alanine and arginine and lower proportions of threonine, glutamic acid, valine, leucine, tyrosine, phenylalanine, histidine and ammonia. There were also significantly lower proportions of laevulinic acid and galactosamine in chicks given diet 2. Significant effects of environment, particularly on diet 1, were found with serine and glucosamine which were lower in the CV chicks than in GF chicks, and with leucine and phenylalanine which were slightly higher in CV chicks. An effect of environment averaged over the two diets was also apparent for methionine, which was higher in CV than in GF chicks. Although there were no average environmental effects for threonine and isoleucine the observed decrease in threonine and the increase in isoleucine were significant (P < 0.05) for CV chicks given diet 1.

(b) Insoluble fraction. The total amino acid compositions and hexosamine contents of the insoluble fraction of excreta from the groups of GF and CV chicks that had been given diets 1 or 2 are shown in Table 2. In the excreta of chicks given diet 2, there were significantly lower proportions of threonine, valine, leucine, tyrosine and

Table 1. Mean values for amino acid, laevulinic acid and hexosamine composition of the fraction soluble in $0.05 \text{ M-H}_2 \text{SO}_4$ of excreta from germ-free (GF) and conventional (CV) chicks given a nitrogen-free diet (diet 1) or protein diet (diet 2) containing (g/kg) freeze-dried egg albumen 100, casein 80 and gelatin 100

_	
parentheses)	
Ξ.	
chicks	
ō	
pairs	
οĘ	
ġ.	
~	

	Interaction¶	8.3	4.4	-7.4	*0.II-	13.8	*2.6	8.11.	3.0	0.1	6.0	4.0-	2.8	3.6	3.5	3.5	2.7	-0.3	12.5	6.0-		4.4	4.4		-22.2	-9.4
of diet et 1)	SE	9.43	6.32	3.67	3.47	2.77	2.77	8.54	3.58	27.05	1.56	91.1	94.1	16.1	1.42	1.44	5.26	2.14	7.55	1.48		2.17	3.27		15.36	5.38
Main effect of diet (diet 2 – diet 1)	Mean	1.21	32.7**	-24.8**	6.9	-24·I**	36.5	***9.06	13.4**	9.66—	-5.7**	1.5	2.1	**9·II-	-12.6***	****-6	0.1	*6.5-	-56.6*	*****II		3.5	28.4 ***		-30.6	-38.5**
ect of ment CV)	SE§	8.04	4.86	3.08	2.58	6.13	91.5	6.62	3.64	22.22	68.0	0.78	1.64	1.34	2.38	86.0	15.1	2.03	11.75	2.40		2.56	3.61		6.65	60.6
Main effect of environment (GF-CV)	Mean	-5.0	8.4	8.8	13.3**	9.9-	2.5	13.2	0.5	6.6-	- I.8	-2.7*	-3.5	-5.3*	- 2.5	-4.7 **	-3.7	1.1	1.5	2.2		4.5	-2.0		30.4*	16.4
74 12	GF(3)‡ $CV(4)$	5.11	6.811	41.3	73.8	1.811	6.501	163.5	51.8	53.6	27.7	5.2	21.6	23.1	12.3	13.6	6.29	13.1	62.3	39.4	tr	9.6	4.8		73.0	12.3
Die	GF (3)‡																									
ı,	CV (4)	36.8	9.06	58.7	55.6	1.951	57.5	1.19	41.4	64.5	34.3	3.6	22.3	38.3	28.2	2.92	9.49	18.8	86.4	9.92	23.8	7.0	37.5		9.18	41.3
Die	GF (3)‡		9.4.6						38.6												14.4		30.5		134.3	
	Amino acids (μ g/mg total AA + NH ₃)	Cysteic acid	Aspartic acid	Threonine	Serine	Glutamic acid	Proline	Glycine	Alanine	Cystine	Valine	Methionine	Isoleucine	Leucine	Tyrosine	Phenylalanine	Lysine	Histidine	Ammonia	Arginine	Unidentified	Hydroxyproline	Laevulinic acid†	Hexosamines†	Glucosamine	Galactosamine

tr, trace; AA, amino acids.

* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001.

† Hexosamines and laevulinic acid are given as \(\mu g/\)mg total amino acids + NH3 + hexosamines + laevulinic acid.

One missing value due to an error in collection of excreta.

There was some evidence of heterogeneity among diet variances for cysteic acid, cystine, hydroxyproline, laevulinic acid and galactosamine. Therefore approximate standard errors are presented for these variables.

§ se based on the variation between pairs of chicks within environments (5 df).

SE based on the interaction between diets and pairs within environments (5 df),

¶ SE of interaction is equal to SE of main diet effect.

1974

from germ-free (GF) and conventional (CV) chicks given a nitrogen-free diet (diet 1) or protein diet (diet 2) containing (g/kg): freeze-dried Table 2. Mean values for amino acid, laevulinic acid and hexosamine composition of the fraction insoluble in 0.05 M-H₂SO₄ of excreta egg albumen 100, casein 80 and gelatin 100

(No. of pairs of chicks in parentheses)

	Interaction¶	0.4-0	4.6	9.0	5.6	8.8	8·I =	0.1-	8.1	-15.2	2.7	5.6	3.7**	6.1	-1.5	1.2	v.v. *	1.1	-8.5	3.8			14.7		1.7	-0.4	
of diet liet 1)	SE	3.33	4.16	2.27	4.38	7.12	3.84	2.63	3.18	65.01	1.57	2.05	0.82	1.62	68.1	3.33	5.06	0.58	12.65	4.66			16.82		4.03	2.54	
Main effect of diet (diet 2 – diet 1)	Mean																										
fect of ment CV)	SE§	2.11	5.80	1.72	2.07	6.37	2.23	3.24	5.68	12.33	18.1	01.1	2.23	18.1	3.78	5.64	2.53	0.72	10.75	3.78			20.02		2.88	69.1	
Main effect of environment (GF – CV)	Mean SE§	*2.2	7.1.	-3.0	14.1**	14.9	***	2.8	-5.3	-3.8	-1.5	-2.3	0.4	6.0	-3.6	-2.1	*8.9-	-0.5	1.1—	-2.5			-24.2		-6.3	-3.0	
2	GF(3)‡ $CV(4)$	15.4	74.8	42.2	6.29	121.1	50.8	69.4	43.4	55.6	48.4	15.8	40.6	57.2	33.0	38.2	46.2	16.2	0.011	40.3	tr	tr	30.8		9.12	10.0	
Die	GF (3)‡	90	78.1	39.6	9.64	144.8	60.3	74.2	39.9	37.0	9.64	1.91	45.0	0.09	28.0	32.6	8+4-8	1.41	100.5	41.6	tr	tr	21.2		0.41	9.9	
ı ı	CV (4)	2.0	80.8	50.5	64.1	119.5	56.8	72.2	52.0	33.6	1.95	2.91	43.2	72.6	41.5	47.6	55.3	18.2	45.3	28.8	tr	tr	8.86		26.7	6.11	
Die	GF (3)‡	, x.	74.8	46.9	75.6	125.2	0.04	0.64	44.8	45.0	6.15	6.11	36.6	9.14	38.8	4.44	43.0	6.91	52.7	52.4	tr	tr	54.9		18.7	6.6	
:	Amino acids ($\mu g/mg$ total $AA+NH_3$)	Cysteic acid	Aspartic acid	Threonine	Serine	Glutamic acid	Proline	Glycine	Alanine	Cysteine	Valine	Methionine	Isoleucine	Leucine	Tyrosine	Phenylalanine	Lysine	Histidine	Ammonia	Arginine	Unidentified	Hydroxyproline	Laevulinic acid†	Hexosamines†	Glucosamine	Galactosamine	

tr, trace; AA, amino acids.

* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001.

[†] Hexosamines and laevulinic acid are given as µg/mg total amino acids + NH3 + hexosamines + laevulinic acid.

For laevulinic acid there was some evidence of heterogeneity among diet variances, therefore approximate standard errors are presented. One missing value due to an error in collection of excreta.

SE based on the interaction between diets and pairs within environments (5 df), SE based on the variation between pairs of chicks within environments (5 df).

SE of interaction is equal to SE of main diet effect.

Table 3. Mean values for amino acid, laevulinic acid and hexosamine composition of the fraction soluble in 0.05 M-H₂SO₄ of excreta from germ-free (GF) and conventional (CV) chicks given a nitrogen-free diet (diet 1) or protein diet (diet 3) containing (g/kg): heat-damaged egg albumen 100, casein 80 and gelatin 100

(No. of pairs of chicks in parentheses)

	Interaction [0.1-	-2.6	-16.8	-12.8	12.0*	9.01 –	-2.9	1.2	17.8	9.1-	7.0-	4.0-	4.1	I.I	2.8	3.3	0.3	12.6	2.8		0.2	-2.5		-29.3	-14.2	
of diet iet 1)	SE	99.9											1.14													9.47	
Main effect of diet (diet 3 – diet 1)	Mean	-26.8*	40.I**	56.4**	4.1 -	6.0	33.7**	72.3***	***0.61	-32.0*	** I. I I	×***	**8.9	4.0	-12.4**	-4.6	-3.3	-2.3	-60.3***	**9.0I		*** 6. *	-32.0***		-67.5	-42.2**	
ffect nment CV)	SEŞ	5.39	61.5	5.73	2.38	5.64	5.14	81.9	1.67	14.81	1.94	89.0	1.78	1.48	2.50	4.21	5.42	2.03	4.47	2.58		0.57	5.76		9.28	99.8	
Main effect of environment (GF-CV)	Mean	8.2	-2.0	*0.8I	20.8**	-12.6*	4.0	1.3	-3.3	-13.7	2.0	-1.5	6.0-	-2.5	4.0-	-6.4	I.I —	1.5	9.6-	-I.2		-1.5	3.0		36.1*	1.91	
t 3	GF(3)‡ $CV(4)$	7.8	140.3	43.1	66.4	136.4	102.4	8.681	60.4	8.6	43.8	8. 8.	27.2	34.1	13.1	21.2	52.2	0.91	35.8	34.0	tr	7.5	3.6		31.2	9.2	
Die	GF (3)‡	1.5.1	135.6	44.4	74.4	135.0	92.5	138.2	58.3	6.61	42.6	7.1	52.6	33.3	13.7	20.2	54.4	17.8	38.8	35.2	Ħ	8.9	4.4		38.3	9.6	
t t	CV (4)	33.6	97.5	52.8	55.0	150.6	58.1	9.49	45.6	63.4	31.1	7.8 8.7	2.61	35.4	5.92	31.6	58.8	9.81	9.801	1.92	27.2	3.1	33.4		2.69	36.0	
Die	GF (3)‡			9.48									19.5													66.3	
	Amino acids ($\mu g/mg$ total $AA+NH_3$)	Cysteic acid	Aspartic acid	Threonine	Serine	Glutamic acid	Proline	Glycine	Alanine	Cystine	Valine	Methionine	Isoleucine	Leucine	Tyrosine	Phenylalanine	Lysine	Histidine	Ammonia	Arginine	Unidentified	Hydroxyproline	Laevulinic acid†	Hexosamines†	Glucosamine	Galactosamine	

tr, trace; AA, amino acids.

* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001.

† Hexosamines and laevulinic acid are given as µg/mg total amino acid + NH3 + hexosamines + laevulinic acid.

‡ One missing value due to an error in collection of excreta.

There was some evidence of heterogeneity among diet variances for cystine, laevulinic acid and galactosamine, therefore approximate standard errors are presented for these variables.

§ se based on variation between pairs of chicks within environments (5 df).

SE based on the interaction between diets and pairs within environments (5 df),

SE of interaction is equal to SE of main diet effect.

D. N. SALTER AND ROSEMARY J. FULFORD

Table 4. Mean values for amino acid, laevulinic acid and hexosamine composition of the fraction insoluble in 0.05 M-H₂SO₄ of excreta from germ-free (GF) and conventional (CV) chicks given a nitrogen-free diet (diet 1) or protein diet (diet 3) containing (g|kg): heat-damaged egg albumen 100, casein 80 and gelatin 100

(No. of pairs of chicks in parentheses)

	Interaction¶																		- 2.5					8.11		2.4	0.3	
of diet iet 1)	SE	8.48		3.45 1.86	00.1	4.33	3.00	3.66	12.73	1.67	8.78	1.83	1.83	1.78	2.55	4.43	3.65	0.05	1.07	82.6	2.38			80.6	·	3.27	1.63	
Main effect of diet (diet 3 – diet 1)	Mean	9.5-	*1:01	***************************************	7.0	*** ***	21.0	-19.5	- 18.5	**I.6	-13.5	12.6***	22.5***	13.0	****	-6.5	6.3	1.1	3.4*	12.4	-22.I***			-46.6**		+2.6-	**7.6-	
ect of ment CV)	SE§	2.80	· × ·	50:1	66.1	5.05	2.02	5.76	11.44	1.35	7.32	1.64	1.47	98.1	1.88	4.93	1.54	0.50	1.36	6.37	2:84			9.31		2.82	1.38	ı
Main effect of environment (GF-CV)	Mean	18.5*	1 2) ; ·	o ;	T 1	-3.7	4.6	0.6	**1.9-	16.3	1.4	0.1	1.0	9.0-	3.8	5.	1.41 —	1.1	8.5	1.2			-11.5		-6.3	9.0-	
t 3	CF (3)‡ CV (4)	0.0	` &	2,00	+ 4 5 1	7 40	129.8	45.7	62.4	9.95	51.6	65.3	34.5	53.4	80.8	32.6	59.8	2.95	21.1	44.6	36.1	Ħ	tr	10.5		9.91	4.7	
Die	CF (3)‡	5.6	8.78	43.6	44.0	4 50	134.2	49.5	58.5	56.2	28.2	9.99	35.5	53.8	80.5	31.0	26.7	51.8	9.61	48.6	33.2	tr	tr	10.7		12.7	2.1	
t 3	CV (4)	14.4	20.5	40.0	8,7	0 1	0.211	63.6	0.89	53.3	25.0	52.6	12.3	36.8	21.1	36.4	46.8	8.29	15.5	42.7	54.4	tr	tr	6.89		28.2	6.11	
Die	GF (3)‡	2.5	60.4	+ 50	9.99		105.0	4.69	6.68	41.4	1.15	54.1	13.5	9.68	20.8	45.6	1.15	38.4	18.8	55.4	59.4	Ħ	tr	45.6		9.61	0.11	
Amino orien A	total AA+NH ₃)	Cysteic acid	Aspartic acid	Threonine	Serine	Characterist	Glutarnic acid	Proline	Glycine	Alanine	Cystine	Valine	Methionine	Isoleucine	Leucine	Tyrosine	Phenylalanine	Lysine	Histidine	Ammonia	Arginine	Unidentified	Hydroxyproline	Laevulinic acid†	Hexosamines†	Glucosamine	Galactosamine	

tr, trace; AA, amino acids.

* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001.

[†] Hexosamines and laevulinic acid are given as µg/mg total amino acids + NH₃ + hexosamines + laevulinic acid.

One missing value due to an error in collection of excreta.

[†] One missing value due to an error in collection of excreta.

There was some evidence of heterogeneity among environment variances for cysteic acid and among diet variances for laevulinic acid. Therefore approximate of andard errors are presented for these variables. standard errors are presented for these variables.

[§] SE based on the variation between pairs of chicks within environments (5 df).

^{||} SE based on the interaction between diets and pairs within environments (5 df).
¶ SE of interaction is equal to SE of main diet effect.

arginine, and a higher proportion of ammonia than in the excreta of chicks given diet 1. The proportion of laevulinic acid was also markedly lower in the excreta of chicks given diet 2. Compared with the GF environment, significantly lower proportions of serine and proline and higher proportions of cysteic acid and lysine were found in the CV environment. The increase in lysine was more marked in chicks given diet 1 (P < 0.01) than in those given diet 2, for which it was not significant. Although there were relatively large increases in the mean proportions of laevulinic acid and hexosamines and a smaller increase in the proportion of alanine excreted in the CV-compared with the GF-environment, the results were variable and the differences were not significant (P > 0.05).

Diets 1 and 3. (a) Soluble fraction. In Table 3 the total amino acid compositions and hexosamine contents of the excreta of the groups of GF and CV chicks that had been given diets 1 or 3 are presented. A marked and significant mean effect of diet was apparent, proportions of aspartic acid, proline, glycine, alanine, valine, methionine, isoleucine, arginine and hydroxyproline being higher, and proportions of cysteic acid, threonine, cystine, tyrosine and ammonia lower in chicks given diet 3 than in those given diet 1. The proportions of laevulinic acid, glucosamine and galactosamine were also markedly lower for chicks given diet 3. A mean effect of environment on the proportions of threonine, serine and glucosamine, which were lower in CV chicks than in GF chicks, and on the proportion of glutamic acid, which was higher in the CV chicks, was observed. These effects were almost entirely due to large and significant differences between GF and CV chicks given diet 1 (P < 0.01 for threonine, serine and glucosamine; P < 0.05 for glutamic acid).

(b) Insoluble fraction. The effects of diet and environment on the amino acid compositions and hexosamine contents of the insoluble fraction of the excreta of chicks given diet I or diet 3 are shown in Table 4. There was a pronounced diet effect, there being significantly higher proportions of aspartic acid, glutamic acid, alanine, valine, methionine, isoleucine, leucine, and histidine, and lower proportions of threonine, proline, arginine, laevulinic acid and hexosamines in chicks given diet 3 than in those given diet I. A significant difference between environments with diet I was established for alanine only, which was higher in CV than in GF chicks.

True and apparent digestibility of individual amino acids

The results for true and apparent digestibility showed, as expected, that there were significant differences between diets 2 and 3, the mean digestibility of each amino acid being greater in diet 2 than that for diet 3. The average value of the true digestibility of sixteen amino acids in diet 2 was 0.908 in GF chicks and 0.893 in CV chicks, and in diet 3 it was 0.698 in GF chicks and 0.730 in CV chicks. The corresponding values for apparent digestibility in GF and CV chicks respectively were 0.868 and 0.863 (diet 2) and 0.703 and 0.696 (diet 3). No significant average environmental effects or interactions between environments and diets were established although for each amino acid the mean true digestibility was higher for GF chicks than for CV chicks for diet 2 and lower for diet 3.

Table 5. Mean values for the amino acid composition ($\mu g/mg$ total amino acids+ammonia) of egg albumen and of the fraction insoluble in 0.05 M- H_2SO_4 of excreta from groups of three pairs of germ-free chicks given diet 2* or diet 3†

	Egg albumen (a)	Diet 2 (b)	b: a	Diet 3 (c)	c: a
Aspartic acid	104.2	78·1	0.75	84.8	0.81
Threonine	48.3	39.9	0.83	42.6	o·88
Serine	73.5	79.6	1.08	63.2	o·86
Glutamic acid	141.8	144.8	1.03	134.5	0.95
Proline	31.6	60.3	1.01	49.2	1.26
Glycine	36.6	74.2	2.03	58.5	1.60
Alanine	58.9	39.9	o·68	56.2	0.95
Valine	68.5	49.6	0.72	66.6	0.97
Methionine	39.8	16.1	0.40	35.5	0.89
Isoleucine	53.9	45.0	0.83	53.8	1.00
Leucine	88.8	60.0	0.68	80.5	0.91
Tyrosine	39.7	28.0	0.41	31.0	0.78
Phenylalanine	62.1	37.6	0·61	56.7	0.91
Lysine	61·4	44.8	0.73	51.8	0.84
Histidine	24.1	17.1	0.41	19.6	0.81
Arginine	51.8	41.6	0.80	33.2	0.65
Mean ratio			0.91		0.96
Range of ratios			0.40-2.03		0.65-1.60

- * Containing (g/kg): freeze-dried egg albumen 100, casein 80 and gelatin 100.
- † Containing (g/kg): heat-damaged egg albumen 100, casein 80 and gelatin 100.

However, for both true and apparent digestibility it was evident that there was less variation within diet 2 than within diet 3, although the numbers of observations were limited. In separate statistical analyses for each diet, a significant effect of environment (P < 0.05) was established with diet 2 for the true digestibility of threonine, the values being 0.888 and 0.862 for GF and CV chicks respectively. (SE of difference 0.0075, with 4 df, based on the variation between pairs of chicks within environments for diet 2.)

DISCUSSION

The results of the preliminary experiment showed that in the saline-soluble contents of the lower digestive tract of GF chicks the proportion of protein was higher and that of free amino acids lower than in CV chicks. This suggested that the microflora played a part in the further breakdown of protein to amino acids, and encouraged further investigation.

In the main experiment the three dietary treatments were chosen to assess the influence of the microflora on the amino acid composition of the residues of endogenous N secretions (diet 1), of a good-quality, well-digested protein mixture (diet 2), and of a protein mixture containing a poorly digested protein (diet 3), and to measure the effect of the microflora on the digestibility of the individual amino acids supplied by diets 2 and 3. It is clear from the results presented in Table 1–4 that the influence of dietary protein on the amino acid composition of the excreta of chicks was much greater than that of the microflora.

Considering first the influence of diet on amino acid composition, it is apparent that, comparing diet 2 with diet 1, the dietary protein had a much more marked effect on the soluble fraction of the excreta (Table 1) than on the insoluble fraction (Table 2). This indicates that the residues of the dietary protein in this instance were mainly in the soluble fraction, the insoluble fraction differing little in composition from that of the endogenous secretions. Comparing diet 3 with diet 1, however, in chicks given diet 3 both the soluble and insoluble fractions differed markedly from the corresponding fractions for chicks given diet I (Tables 3 and 4) showing that residues of dietary protein were present in sufficiently high proportion in both fractions to mask the amino acid pattern due to endogenous material. Furthermore, a subjective comparison of the amino acid composition of the insoluble fraction of the excreta of GF chicks given heat-damaged (but not freeze-dried) egg albumen as part of their dietary protein with that of egg albumen itself (Table 5) shows that they were closely similar. The divergence of individual amino acids in this fraction from the pattern for egg albumen (except for tyrosine and arginine) can be explained largely by the effect of the endogenous protein amino acids, represented by the results for the GF chicks on diet 1 (Table 4). Thus it is probable that a large proportion of the insoluble material remaining in the excreta of GF chicks given diet 3 was undigested heat-damaged egg albumen. In the GF chicks that had been given the N-free diet, the faecal N would have consisted mainly of endogenous secretions (enzymes and mucoproteins) and mucosal cell debris. Mucoproteins characteristically contain high proportions of threonine, serine, proline, glucosamine and galactosamine (Bella & Kim, 1972; Cetta, Pallavicini, Callatroni & Castellani, 1972) and the enzymes trypsin, chymotrypsin and pepsin are also rich in threonine and serine. The relatively high proportions of threonine and the hexosamines in the soluble but not the insoluble protein of the excreta of GF chicks given diet 1 (Tables 1 and 3, cf. 2 and 4) suggest that the mucoproteins were present mainly in the soluble fraction. The proportion of laevulinic acid, which was also more abundant in excreta from chicks given the N-free diet than in those from chicks given protein diets, was however apparently higher in the insoluble than in the soluble

The influence of the microflora on the amino acid composition of the excreta was not large. The effect of the gut micro-organisms on the composition of the soluble fractions from chicks given diets 1 and 2 (Table 1) indicates destruction of threonine, serine and glucosamine and synthesis of methionine, isoleucine, leucine and phenylalanine. As these are net effects, a decrease in the proportions of these particular amino acids does not necessarily indicate their selective destruction but rather the breakdown of proteins rich in these amino acids, the N of which may be taken up by gut micro-organisms or the host either in the form of amino acids or as ammonia after deamination. The effect of environment was much larger in the excreta of chicks given the N-free diet than of those given diet 2, and suggests a preferential action of the microflora on mucoproteins. With the same two diets, the influence of the microflora on the insoluble fractions of the excreta, which in GF chicks was presumably composed mainly of mucosal cell debris, and which in the CV chicks contained microbial

fraction (cf. Tables 1 and 2 or 3 and 4), suggesting that it may have been largely

derived from mucosal cell debris.

2I NUT 32

cells in addition, was shown by destruction of serine and proline and synthesis of lysine and possibly also of cysteic acid, although the latter was probably partly formed from cystine during acid-hydrolysis of the samples. Other workers (Combe & Pion, 1966) found that the gut microflora similarly affected the amino acid composition of a fraction of rat caecum contents that was insoluble in ethanol and trichloroacetic acid; they reported increases in proportions of lysine and aspartic acid and decreased proportions of proline, glycine and serine.

The results obtained with diets I and 3 (Tables 3 and 4) also showed destruction in the soluble fractions of hexosamines and amino acids characteristic of the mucoproteins in CV chicks, but the increase in methionine, isoleucine, leucine and phenylalanine were not significant. However, the increase in glutamic acid, particularly in chicks given the N-free diet, which was also apparent in the comparison of diets I and 2 (Table I), was significant. The influence of the microflora on the insoluble fraction (Table 4) was small. The significant increase in alanine in CV chicks was due almost entirely to an increase in this amino acid in birds given the N-free diet and is in agreement with a similar but non-significant increase found in chicks given diets I and 2 (Table 2). The increase in cysteic acid with both diets also confirms that found with diets I and 2. The cysteic acid values did not parallel those for cystine, suggesting that it was not all produced from cystine during acid-hydrolysis of the excreta. A considerable increase in the proportion of lysine in CV chicks was observed, as with diets I and 2, but on this occasion the increase was significant only at P < 0.1 for diet I.

It is evident therefore that the microflora demonstrated little ability to modify the composition of the undigested heat-damaged egg albumen, and that those bonds formed within the protein as a result of heating which were not hydrolysed by the host's proteolytic enzymes were not susceptible to microbial attack. This finding is in accord with the results of Erbersdobler & Riedel (1972), who concluded that gut micro-organisms were unable to break down soya-bean protein that had been severely damaged by heating at 140° for 24 h. It is nevertheless clear that the microflora attacked proteins of endogenous origin and, assuming that the N released was absorbed by the chick it could have some importance in the conservation of endogenous N. In this respect this function of the microflora would be analogous to its role in the recycling of endogenous N secreted into the gut as urea (Richards, 1972). These results are also consistent with our previous observations that GF chicks excrete more endogenous N than do CV chicks (Salter et al. 1974). It is unlikely that the observed microbial synthesis of the essential amino acids, lysine, methionine, isoleucine, leucine and phenylalanine would be of any value to the host in the absence of coprophagy.

That the microflora played little part in the digestion of dietary proteins was clear from the absence of a significant effect of environment on either the true or apparent digestibility of any amino acid except threonine. In the latter instance the small increase in true digestibility (but not in apparent digestibility) of threonine in diet 2 in GF compared with CV chicks was presumably due to the higher amounts of endogenous threonine in the GF chick excreta, since the contribution to faecal N of any amino acid that was destroyed by the microflora in CV chicks would have been underestimated. Similar small increases in the values calculated for true digestibility

would be expected for other amino acids which were decreased due to the action of the microflora on endogenous proteins. The proportion of endogenous N to total N in the excreta of chicks given diets 2 and 3 appeared, however, to be small, as the amino acid patterns differed strikingly from that of the GF chicks given N-free diet, and with the degree of biological variation found in these experiments the effect on true digestibility would be difficult to detect.

It may be concluded from these results that the microflora of the lower part of the alimentary tract has little influence on the digestion of dietary proteins in chicks. It may, however, serve an important role in the degradation of endogenous proteins and the recycling of N. The action of the microflora on endogenous N may result in slight underestimation of the digestibility of amino acids in CV chicks.

The authors are indebted to Dr M. E. Coates and Dr J. W. G. Porter for helpful discussions and advice in planning the work and to Mrs K. E. A. Smith and Mr J. E. Cockburn for technical assistance.

REFERENCES

Bella, A. & Kim, S. Y. (1972). Archs Biochem. Biophys. 150, 679.

Cetta, G., Pallavicini, G., Callatroni, A. & Castellani, A. A. (1972). Ital. J. Biochem. 21, 275.

Combe, E. & Pion, R. (1966). Annls Biol. anim. Biochim. Biophys. 6, 255.

Erbersdobler, H. & Riedel, G. (1972). Arch. Geflügelk. 6, 218.

Ferrari, A. (1960). Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 87, 792.

Nolan, C. & Smith, E. L. (1962). J. biol. Chem. 237, 446.

O'Dell, B. L., Woods, W. D., Laerdal, O. A., Jeffay, A. M. & Savage, J. E. (1960). Poult. Sci. 39, 42.

Richards, P. (1972). Am. J. clin. Nutr. 25, 615.

Salter, D. N. (1973). Proc. Nutr. Soc. 32, 65.

Salter, D. N. & Coates, M. E. (1971). Br. J. Nutr. 26, 55.

Salter, D. N., Coates, M. E. & Hewitt, D. (1974). Br. J. Nutr. 31, 307.