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1. Introduction. Higher dimensional quasigroups (a set $Q$ with a cancellative, $n$-ary operation 〈 $\rangle$, ([2]) have been studied by T. Evans ([3], [4]), A. Cruse [1], C. C. Lindner ([10], [11]) and also by many others under the guise of magic cubes, Graeco-latin cubes, etc. Conjugates or parastrophes have been discussed by S. K. Stein [18], A. Sade [17] and more recently by C. C. Lindner and D. Steedley in [14], where it is shown that ordinary quasigroups exist of every order $\geqq 4$ with a prescribed number of distinct conjugates. It is suggested that the problem be extended to $n$-ary quasigroups.

This paper is primarily concerned with ternary quasigroups (§3) and the problem is completely solved for $1,3,4,6,12$ and 24 distinct conjugates as summarized in Theorem 3.6.1. The missing cases of 2 and 8 distinct conjugates are discussed in [15]. A partial explanation for the separation of these two cases is given in Conclusion 2.10. Although the methods used in this paper provide simple alternate constructions to those given in [14] for 3 and 6 distinct conjugates (see the remarks following Theorems 2.8 and 3.1.6), they do not apply to the case of 2 conjugacy classes for ordinary quasigroups or 2 and 8 conjugacy classes in the ternary case. For ordinary quasigroups, C. C. Lindner has successfully used a special variation of the singular direct product of quasigroups [16]. Unfortunately, it has been found by the author and further substantiated by B. Ganter [5] that this product does not extend naturally to three dimensions. In [15], several infinite classes of orders of 3 -quasigroups with 2 or 8 distinct conjugates have been found, however, using block designs.

In $\S 2$, some results for general $n$-ary quasigroups are given. The examples chosen are those which also apply to the case $n=3$, although a couple of additional examples are given to indicate further possibilities. The main results are given in Conclusion 2.10.

As additional results that arose as a result of the conjugacy problem, the generalized idempotent law and generalized idempotent, commutative, nonSteiner 3-quasigroups are discussed in Lemma 3.2.3 and Theorem 3.4.4 respectively. It should be noted that, in fact, the whole problem of conjugates is equivalent to finding the spectrum of certain sets of $n$-quasigroup identities.

[^0]
## 2. N -ary quasigroups.

Definition 2.1. Let $(Q,\langle \rangle)$ be an $n$-ary quasigroup where $\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle=$ $a_{n+1}$ (or $d$ ), $a_{i}, d \in Q, i=1,2, \ldots, n+1$. Let $\pi$ be any member of $S_{n+1}$. Then the conjugate $n$-ary quasigroup $\left(Q,\langle \rangle_{\pi}\right)$ is defined by $\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle=a_{n+1}$ if and only if $\left\langle a_{\pi(1)}, a_{\pi(2)}, \ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle_{\pi}=a_{\pi(n+1)}$.
$|C(Q,\langle \rangle)|$ is called the conjugacy class number of $(Q,\langle \rangle)$.
Theorem 2.2. $|C(Q,\langle \rangle)|$ divides $(n+1)$ !
Proof. Let $S=\left\{\pi(\langle \rangle)=\langle \rangle_{\pi} \mid \pi \in S_{n+1}\right\}$ where $\rangle$ is a fixed member of the set $E$ of all $n$-ary quasigroup operations on $Q$. Then $|S|=|C(Q,\langle\quad\rangle)|$ and $S$ forms a set of transitivity of $E$. The permutations of $S_{n+1}$ which fix $\rangle$ form a subgroup of $S_{n+1}$ of index $|S|$ in $S_{n+1}$. (cf. [6]).

Theorem 2.3. There exists an $n$-ary quasigroup with exactly one conjugacy class for all orders $m \geqq 1$.

Proof. Let $Q=\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ and define an $n$-ary operation $\langle\quad\rangle$ on $Q$ by $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle=-\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{n}\right) \equiv d=a_{n+1}(\bmod m)$ where $a_{i}$, $i=1, \ldots, n+1 \in Q$ and $d \in Q$. Then $(Q,\langle\quad\rangle)$ is an $n$-ary quasigroup. Clearly $\left\rangle_{\pi}=\langle\quad\rangle\right.$, if $\pi(n+1)=n+1$, where $\pi \in S_{n+1}$. However if we consider some permutation $\pi$ where $\pi(n+1) \neq n+1$ and $\pi$ acts on $\{1, \ldots, n+1\}$, then $\left\langle a_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, a_{\pi(j-1)}, d, a_{\pi(j+1)}, \ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle=-\left(a_{\pi(1)}+a_{\pi(2)}+\ldots+a_{\pi(j-1)}-\right.$ $\left.\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{n}\right)+a_{\pi(j+1)}+\ldots+\pi\left(a_{n}\right)\right)=a_{\pi(j)}$.

Lemma 2.4. If $\phi(n)$ is the Euler function, then $\phi(n) \geqq \sqrt{n}$, except when $n=2$ or 6 .

Proof. This follows from $\phi(n)=\prod_{p^{\alpha / n}} p^{\alpha-1}(p-1)$. [7].
Theorem 2.5. If $m>4(n-1)^{2}$ then there exists an $n$-ary quasigroup of order $m$ with $(n+1)$ ! conjugacy classes.

Proof. Let $(Q,\langle,\rangle$,$) be an n$-ary quasigroup of order $m$ with $Q=\{0,1, \ldots$, $m-1\}$; where $\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle=d \equiv a_{1}+a_{2} p_{2}+\ldots+a_{n} p_{n}(\bmod m)$; where all the $p_{i}$ are relatively prime to $m$; and where $p_{i}+p_{j} \neq 0(\bmod m)$, $(i \neq j), \quad p_{i} \neq p_{j}, \quad$ and $p_{i} \neq n-1, \quad \forall i$. Then $(Q,\langle \rangle)$ has $(n+1)$ ! conjugacy classes.

To show this consider the following cases:
(1) Clearly any single transposition $\pi$ among the integers 1 to $n$ will result, in some instance, in $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle \neq\left\langle a_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle$.
(2) Consider any permutation of $\{1,2, \ldots, n+1\}$, which leaves $n+1$ fixed. Then suppose $\pi=\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right) \ldots\left(i_{n-1}, j_{n-1}\right)$, when written as a product of transpositions. Suppose that $i_{1} \neq j_{1}$. Let $a_{i_{1}} \neq a_{j_{1}} \neq 0$ and the remaining $a_{i_{k}}, a_{j_{k}}$ all be 0 . Then we have a contradiction from case 1 again.
(3) Suppose $\pi(n+1)=s, \quad s \neq 1$ and $\pi(s)=n+1$, where every other
element is left unchanged by $\pi$. Then we have $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle=d$ and suppose

$$
\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s-1}, d, a_{s+1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle \equiv a_{1}+\sum_{\substack{i \neq s \\ i \geqq 2}}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}+p_{s}\left(a_{1}+\sum_{2}^{n} a_{i} p_{i}\right)=a_{s}
$$

If $a_{i}=0, \forall i, i \neq s$, then $p_{s}{ }^{2} a_{s} \equiv a_{s}$ implies $p_{s}{ }^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod m)$. But then

$$
a_{1}\left(1+p_{s}\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{i}\left(1+p_{s}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod m)
$$

If $a_{i}=0 \forall i \geqq 2$, then $1+p_{s}$ must be $0(\bmod m)$, which is false.
(4) Suppose $\pi(n+1)=1$ and $\pi(1)=n+1$, and every other element is left fixed by $\pi$. Suppose $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle=d,\left\langle d, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle=a_{1}$. Then $\left(a_{1}+\sum_{2}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}\right)+\sum_{2}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}=a_{1}$ and $2 \sum_{2}^{n} a_{i} p_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod m)$. Letting $a_{2}=1$ and all other $a_{i}=0$, one obtains $2 p_{2} \equiv 0(\bmod m)$, a contradiction.
(5) Suppose $n+1$ and 1 are interchanged under $\pi$ and the remaining $a_{i}$ are permuted by at least one transposition. Then $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle=d$ and $\left\langle d, a_{\pi(2)}, \ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle=a_{1}$. Thus $a_{1}+\sum_{2}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}+\sum_{2}^{n} p_{i} a_{\pi(i)} \equiv a_{1}(\bmod m)$, where at least one $a_{\pi(i)} \neq a_{i}$. Suppose in fact $\pi(i) \neq i$ for some fixed $i$. Then there exists a $j$ such that $\pi(j)=i$. Let $a_{k}=0$ for all $k \neq 1$ or $i$. We have $\left(p_{i}+p_{j}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod m)$, a contradiction.
(6) Suppose $n+1$ and 2 (or any $i, i \neq 1$ ) are interchanged under $\pi$ and the remaining elements are permuted by at least one transposition. Then $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.a_{n}\right\rangle=d$ and, say, $\left\langle a_{\pi(1)}, d, \ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle=a_{2}$. Thus $a_{\pi(1)}+p_{2}\left(a_{1}+\sum_{2}^{n} a_{i} p_{i}\right)+$ $\sum_{3}^{n} a_{\pi(i)} p_{i} \equiv a_{2}(\bmod m)$. From this, we may conclude that $p_{2}{ }^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod m)$, and $a_{1}\left(p_{2}+p_{s}\right)+a_{\pi(1)}\left(1+p_{2} p_{\pi(1)}\right)+\sum_{3}^{n} a_{\pi(i)}\left(p_{2} p_{\pi(i)}+p_{i}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod m)$, where $\pi(s)=1$ for some $s \neq 1$. Choose $a_{i}=0, \quad \forall a_{i}$ except $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$; let $a_{1}=1$. Then $p_{2}+p_{s} \equiv 0(\bmod m)$, a contradiction. If, however, $\pi(1)=1$, $a_{1}\left(1+p_{2}\right)+\sum_{3}^{n} a_{\pi(i)}\left(p_{2} p_{\pi(i)}+p_{i}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod m)$. If all $a_{i} \equiv 0, \quad i \geqq 3$, then $1+p_{2} \equiv 0$, a contradiction.
(7) Suppose $a_{\pi(1)}=d$, but $\pi(n+1) \neq 1$. Say $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle=d$, but $\left\langle d, a_{\pi(2)}, \ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle=a_{\pi(n+1)}$, where $a_{\pi(n+1)} \neq a_{1}$. Then $a_{1}+\sum_{2}^{n} a_{i} p_{i}+$ $\sum_{2}^{n} a_{\pi(i)} p_{i}=a_{\pi(n+1)}$. If $a_{1}=1$ and all the other $a_{i}=0$, then $a_{1}\left(1+p_{\pi(j)}\right)$ $\equiv 0(\bmod m)$, where $\pi(j)=1$, and this is impossible.
(8) Finally, suppose $\pi(i)=n+1$ for some $i \neq 1$ (without loss of generality, we may take $i=2$ ) and $\pi(n+1) \neq 2$. Then we make the following three considerations:

Suppose $\pi(n+1)=1$. Then $\left\langle a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right\rangle=d$ and $\left\langle a_{\pi(1)}, d, \ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle=a_{1}$ or $a_{\pi(1)}+p_{2} a_{1}+p_{2} \sum_{2}^{n} a_{i} p_{i}+\sum_{3}^{n} a_{\pi(i)} p_{i} \equiv a_{1}(\bmod m)$. But this implies $p_{2}=1$, a contradiction.

Suppose $\pi(1)=1$. Then

$$
\left.a_{1}+\left(a_{1}+\sum_{2}^{n} a_{i} p_{i}\right) p_{2}+\sum_{3}^{n} a_{\pi(i)} p_{i}\right) \equiv a_{\pi(n+1)}(\bmod m),
$$

which implies $1+p_{2} \equiv 0(\bmod m)$, a contradiction.
Suppose $\pi(j)=1, \quad j \neq 1$. Then

$$
a_{\pi(1)}+p_{2}\left(a_{1}+\sum^{n} a_{i} p_{i}\right)+p_{j} a_{i}+\sum_{\substack{i=3 \\ i \neq j}}^{n} a_{\pi(i)} p_{i} \equiv a_{\pi(n+1)} .
$$

But this leads to $p_{2}+p_{j} \equiv 0(\bmod m)$, a contradiction.
(9) To complete the proof of the theorem, we need only show that one can choose $n-1$ numbers $p_{i}$, which are relatively prime to $m$ and not pairwise summable to $m$ or equal to $m-1$. Now if each $p_{i}$ is less than $m / 2$ and is relatively prime to $m$, this will be the case. Thus if we can make $\phi(m) / 2>n-1$, $n-1$ such numbers can be found. By Lemma 2.4, this will be the case if $\sqrt{m} / 2>n-1$, which is true if $m>4(n-1)^{2}$.

Theorem 2.6. There exists an integer $m_{j}(n)$ such that for every order $m \geqq$ $m_{j}(n)$ there exists an $n$-ary quasigroup of order $m$ with $(n+1)!/ j!$ conjugacy classes, $\quad j=1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. (1) We have just seen the case $j=1$. Consider every case other than $j=1$ or $n$. Define $(Q,\langle \rangle)$, where $Q=\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$ by $\left\langle a_{1} ; \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle=$ $a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{j}+p_{j+1} a_{j+1}+\ldots+p_{n} a_{n}$ where addition is taken $(\bmod m)$. Here $p_{j+1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ are integers relatively prime to $m$, are not pairwise summable to $m$, and are all different from $m-1$ or 1 . Then every permutation $\pi$ on $\{1, \ldots, n+1\}$, which fixes the elements $1, \ldots, j, j<n$, will leave the product $\left\langle a_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle$ unchanged and thus not introduce a new conjugacy class. We must show that any other type of permutation $\pi$ on $\{1, \ldots, n+1\}$ results in $\left\langle a_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle \neq a_{\pi(n+1)}$.

Consider the case where $\pi$ fixes $n+1$ and $a_{\pi(i)}=a_{l}$ for some $i>j$ and $l \leqq j$. Then we have $\sum_{1}^{j} a_{\pi(i)}+\sum_{j+1}^{n} p_{i} a_{\pi(i)}=\sum_{j+1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}+\sum_{1}^{j} a_{i}$. Let $a_{l}=1$ and the rest of the $a_{i}$ be $=0$. Then $p_{i}=1$. Suppose $\pi(i)=\pi(l)$, where $i, l>j$. Let $a_{l}=1$ and the remaining $a_{i}$ 's all be zero. Then $p_{i} a_{l} \equiv p_{l} a_{l}$ implies $p_{i}=p_{l}$, a contradiction.

If $a_{\pi(i)}=d$ and $a_{\pi(n+1)}=a_{i}$ for any $i \leqq j$, and $\pi$ fixes all the other $i$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{i-1}+\left(\sum_{1}^{j} a_{i}+\sum_{j+1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}\right)+a_{i+1} & +\ldots+a_{j} \\
& +\sum_{j+1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i} \equiv a_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If all $a_{k}=0$ except $a_{j+1}=1$, we have $2 p_{j+2} \equiv 0(\bmod m)$ a contradiction.
If $a_{\pi(n+1)}=a_{i}$ and $a_{\pi(i)}=d$, where $i>j$, and $\pi$ fixes every other element, we obtain a contradiction similar to that in (3) of Theorem 2.5.

If $a_{\pi(n+1)}=a_{i}, \quad i \leqq j, \quad a_{\pi(i)}=d$, we obtain a contradiction similar to Theorem 2.5(5).

If $a_{\pi(n+1)}=a_{j}$ and $a_{\pi(j)}=d$, where $i>j$, we obtain a contradiction similar to Theorem 2.5(6).

Now if $a_{\pi(i)}=d$, where $i \leqq j$, but $a_{\pi(n+1)} \neq a_{i}$, we obtain: $\left\langle a_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, d\right.$, $\left.\ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle=a_{\pi(n+1)}$, and so $a_{\pi(1)}+\ldots+a_{\pi(i-1)}+\left(\sum_{1}^{j} a_{i}+\sum_{j+1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}\right)+$ $a_{\pi(i+1)}+\ldots+a_{\pi(j)}+\sum_{j+1}^{n} p_{i} a_{\pi(i)}=a_{\pi(n+1)}$. If $a_{\pi(n+1)}=a_{k}$, where $k \leqq j$, let all the $a_{l}$ 's be zero except one $a_{t}$ and possibly $a_{k}$, where $t \leqq j, t \neq i$. Then either
$a_{t}\left(l+p_{s}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod m)$ for some $s$ or $2 a_{t} \equiv 0(\bmod m)$; in either case we get a contradiction for $m>2$.

If $a_{\pi(n+1)} \neq a_{i}, \quad i>j, \quad$ but $a_{\pi(i)}=d$, then

$$
\sum_{1}^{j} a_{\pi(i)}+p_{i}\left(\sum_{1}^{j} a_{i}+\sum_{j+1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}\right)+\sum_{\substack{s=j+1 \\ s \neq i}} p_{s} a_{\pi(s)} \equiv a_{\pi(n+1)},
$$

if $\left\rangle_{\pi}=\langle \rangle\right.$. Then if $a_{l} \neq 0, \forall l$ except $k$, where $a_{k}=a_{\pi(n+1)}$, we obtain either $p_{k}{ }^{2} a_{k} \equiv a_{k}(\bmod m)$ or $p_{i} a_{k} \equiv a_{k}(\bmod m)$. The latter case is impossible and in the former, we cancel $p_{k}{ }^{2} a_{k}$ and $a_{k}$ from the equation. In the resulting equation, let $a_{1}=1$ and the remaining $a_{i}$ 's be all zero. Then if $1=\pi(k)$, where $k \leqq j, a_{1}+p_{i} a_{1} \equiv 0 \quad$ or $\left(1+p_{i}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod m)$. If $\pi(k)>j, p_{i} a_{1}+$ $p_{k} a_{1} \equiv 0(\bmod m)$ implies $\left(p_{i}+p_{k}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod m)$. Both these are impossible, and so the theorem is proven for $j<n$.
(2) If $j=n$, we define $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle=a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{n}$. Clearly there are $n$ ! members of one class. Consider the two following possibilities: $\langle d$, $\left.a_{\pi(2)}, \ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle=a_{1}$ or $\left\langle d, a_{\pi(2)}, \ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle=a_{\pi(n+1)} \neq a_{1}$, say $a_{\pi(n+1)}=$ $a_{k}$. In the first case $\sum_{1}^{n} a_{i}+\sum_{2}^{n} a_{\pi(i)} \equiv a_{1}$ and so $2\left(\sum_{2}^{n} a_{i}\right) \equiv 0$, a contradiction. In the second case, $\sum_{1}^{n} a_{i}+\sum_{2}^{n} a_{\pi(i)} \equiv a_{k}$, or

$$
\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^{n} a_{i}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{\pi(i)}\right) \equiv 0
$$

and hence

$$
2\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^{n} a_{i}\right) \equiv 0
$$

a contradiction. Therefore, there are exactly $n+1$ classes.
(3) As in Theorem 2.5, Lemma 2.4 may be used to determine $m_{j(n)}$, depending on the number of relatively prime numbers required.

Theorem 2.7. If $m \geqq 3$, there exists an $n$-ary quasigroup $(n>3$ ) of order $m$ with exactly $n(n+1) / 2$ conjugacy classes.

Proof. Define $(Q,\langle \rangle)$, where $Q=\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$, by $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.a_{n}\right\rangle=d=-a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{n}$ and addition is taken $(\bmod m), a_{i}$, $i=1, \ldots, n, \quad d \in Q$. If $\pi$ is any permutation on $\{1,2, \ldots, n+1\}$ which fixes 1 and $n+1$, then $\left\langle a_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle=a_{\pi(n+1)}$. Therefore, the conjugate 3-quasigroup ( $Q,\langle \rangle_{\pi}$ ) defined by $\left\langle a_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle_{\pi}=a_{\pi(n+1)}$ if and only if $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle=d=a_{n+1}$ is identical with $(Q,\langle\quad\rangle)$. There are $(n+1)$ ! such permutations and therefore at least $(n-1)$ ! members of one conjugacy class.

Suppose $\pi$ is a permutation on $\{1,2, \ldots, n+1\}$ such that $a_{\pi(1)}=d$ and $a_{\pi(n+1)}=a_{1}$. Then $\left\langle d, a_{\pi(2)}, \ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle=a_{1}$ only if $-\left(-a_{1}+\sum_{2}^{n} a_{i}\right)+$ $\sum_{2}^{n} a_{\pi(i)} \equiv a_{i}(\bmod m)$. Therefore $\left(Q,\langle\quad\rangle_{\pi}\right)=(Q,\langle\quad\rangle)$. As there are another $(n-1)$ ! permutations of this type, there are at least $2(n-1)$ ! members of the conjugacy class identical with $Q$.

However, if $\left\langle a_{1}, d, a_{\pi(3)}, \ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle=a_{2}$, that is $\pi(2)=n+1, \pi(n+1)=2$, $\pi(1)=1$, then $-a_{1}-a_{1}+\sum_{2}^{n} a_{i}+\sum_{3}^{n} a_{\pi(i)} \equiv a_{2}(\bmod m)$. This becomes $-2 a_{i}+2 \sum_{3}^{n} a_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod m)$, a contradiction if $m \geqq 3$.

Consider the case where $\left\langle d, a_{\pi(2)}, \ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle=a_{\pi(n+1)}=a_{k}, \quad k \neq 1$. Then $a_{1}-\sum_{2}^{n} a_{i}+\sum_{2}^{n} a_{\pi(i)} \equiv a_{k}(\bmod m) \quad$ and $\quad 2\left(a_{1}-a_{k}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod m)$, again a contradiction.

If $\left\langle a_{\pi(1)}, d, a_{\pi(3)}, \ldots, a_{\pi(n)}\right\rangle=a_{\pi(n+1)}=a_{k}, k \neq 2$, then $-a_{\pi(1)}-a_{1}+$ $\sum_{2}^{n} a_{i}+\sum_{3}^{n} a_{\pi(i)} \equiv a_{k}(\bmod m)$. If $n=3$, there is one case in which this is indeed true. Namely, if $\left\langle a_{2}, d, a_{1}\right\rangle=a_{3}, \quad-a_{2}-a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{1}$ equals $a_{3}$. However, if $n>3$ and $m(n)>3$, then $-\pi\left(a_{1}\right)-a_{1}+\sum_{2}^{n} a_{i}+\sum_{3}^{n} a_{\pi(i)}$ $\equiv 0(\bmod m)$, and there remains at least one variable, with a coefficient $\leqq 2$, that will not cancel out on the left hand side. Hence the congruence will not always be identically zero. Thus $(Q,\langle \rangle)$ has $(n+1)!/ 2(n-1)!=$ $n(n+1) / 2$ conjugacy classes.

Theorem 2.8. If $m \geqq 3$ and $n \geqq 3$ is odd, there exists an $n$-ary quasigroup of order $m$ with $(n+1)!/ 2[(n+1 / 2)!]^{2}$ conjugacy classes.
(Letting $n=2 r-1$, this becomes $(2 r)!/ 2(r!)^{2}=1 / 2_{r} C_{2_{r}}$.)
Proof. Define $\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle=a_{n+1} \equiv\left(a_{1}-a_{2}+a_{3}+\ldots+a_{n}\right)(\bmod m)$ on $Q=\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$. Briefly, permutations of the following types only will result in $\langle\quad\rangle_{\pi}=\langle \rangle$.
(1) Any permutation $\pi$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n+1\}$ such that $\pi(2 t)=2 s$ and $\pi\left(2 t^{\prime}-1\right)=2 s^{\prime}-1$ for any integers $s, t, s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}$. That is, the even numbers are permuted among themselves only and similarly for the odd numbers. There are clearly $[(n+1 / 2)!]^{2}$ such permutations.
(2) Any permutation $\pi$ in which $\pi(n+1)=t$, where $t$ is odd and $\pi(2 s-1)$ $=2 l, \pi\left(2 s^{\prime}\right)=2 l^{\prime}-1$ for any integers $s, l, s^{\prime}, l^{\prime}$. That is, $\pi$ takes even numbers into odd ones and vice versa. There are another $[(n+1 / 2)!]^{2}$ permutations of this type.

We note one last result which provides an alternate construction, in the case $n=2$, for 3 conjugacy classes, to that given in [14], where embedding theorems are needed.

Theorem 2.9. If $m \geqq 3$ and $n$ is even, there exists an $n$-ary quasigroup of order $m$ with $(n+1)!/((n+2) / 2)!(\because / 2)$ ! conjugacy classes.
(Letting $n=2 r$, this becomes $(2 r+1)!/(r+1)!r!={ }_{r} C_{2 r+1}$.)
Proof. Define $\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle=a_{n+1} \equiv\left(a_{1}-a_{2}+a_{3} \ldots-a_{n}\right)(\bmod m)$ on $Q=\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$.
2.10. Conclusion to the $n$-ary case. We have found that for sufficiently large $m(n)$, there exists an $n$-ary quasigroup of order $m \geqq m(n)$ having each of the following number of conjugacy classes:
(1) $(n+1)!/ q!, \quad q=1,2, \ldots, n+1$,
(2) $n(n+1) / 2$,
(3) $(1 / 2)_{r} C_{2 r}$ where $n=2 r-1, \quad r \geqq 2$ or
(4) ${ }_{r} C_{2 r+1}$ where $n=2 r$.

Clearly examples of $n$-ary quasigroups with other conjugacy class numbers can be found by variations of the techniques used here. However, if a permutation $\pi$ containing a cycle ( $a b c$ ) must satisfy $\left\rangle_{\pi}=\langle \rangle\right.$ for some ( $Q,\langle \rangle$ ) and at the same time one requires $\left\rangle_{\alpha},\langle \rangle_{\beta}\right.$ and $\left\rangle_{\gamma}\right.$ all to be different from $\rangle$, where $\alpha=(a b), \beta=(b c)$ and $\gamma=(a c)$, these algebraic methods are of no use. In the case of $n=3$, some results were found using "weakened" Steiner quadruple systems [15]. However, the general question "Given integers $m, n$ and $c$, where $c$ divides $(n+1)$ !, does there exist a $n$-ary quasigroup of order $m$ with $c$ conjugacy classes', has still to be answered.
3. Ternary Quasigroups. The case of 1 conjugacy class is covered in Theorem 2.3 and 2 and 8 conjugacy classes are discussed in [15]. There remains then the cases of $3,4,6,12$ or 24 conjugacy classes.

As shown in [14] for ordinary quasigroups, each conjugate quasigroup is identical to the original quasigroup if and only if a certain identity is satisfied by the original quasigroup. The following list is given for future reference. Here $\langle,\rangle=,\langle,,\rangle_{\pi_{i}}, \quad \pi_{i} \in S_{4}$, if and only if $L_{i}$ holds in $(Q,\langle,\rangle$,$) .$

Table I

|  | Permutation $\pi_{i}$ | Type | Corresponding Identity $L_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1243 | ( $\cdot$ ) ( ) ( $\cdot \cdot$ ) | $\langle a, b,\langle a, b, d\rangle\rangle=d$ |
| 2. | 2134 | $(\cdot \cdot)(\cdot)(\cdot)$ | $\langle a, b, c\rangle=\langle b, a, c\rangle$ |
| 3. | 2143 | ( $\cdot \cdot(\cdot \cdot)$ | $\langle a, b,\langle b, a, d\rangle\rangle=d$ |
| 4. | 1324 | (.)( $\cdot$ ) ( $\cdot$ ) | $\langle a, b, c\rangle=\langle a, c, b\rangle$ |
| 5. | 4231 | (.)( $\cdot$ )( $\cdot$ ) | $\langle\langle d, b, c\rangle, b, c\rangle=d$ |
| 6. | 4321 | $(\cdot)(\cdot)$ | $\langle\langle d, c, b\rangle, b, c\rangle=d$ |
| 7. | 3214 | $(\cdot \cdot)(\cdot)(\cdot)$ | $\langle c, b, a\rangle=\langle a, b, c\rangle$ |
| 8. | 3412 | (..)( $\cdot \cdot$ | $\langle a,\langle c, d, a\rangle, c\rangle=d$ |
| 9. | 1432 | (.) ( $\cdot(\cdot \cdot)$ | $\langle a,\langle a, d, c\rangle, c\rangle=d$ |
| 10. | 2314 | (.) ( $\cdot \cdot$ ) | $\langle c, a, b\rangle=\langle a, b, c\rangle$ |
| 11. | 3124 | $(\cdots)(\cdot)$ | $\langle b, c, a\rangle=\langle a, b, c\rangle$ |
| 12. | 2431 | (.) ( $\cdot \cdot$ ) | $\langle a,\langle d, a, c\rangle, c\rangle=d$ |
| 13. | 4132 | (.) ( $\cdot \cdots$ | $\langle\langle b, d, c\rangle, b, c\rangle=d$ |
| 14. | 3241 | (.) ( $\cdot \cdot$ ) | $\langle a, b,\langle d, b, a\rangle\rangle=d$ |
| 15. | 4213 | (.)( $\cdot \cdots$ | $\langle c, b,\langle d, b, c\rangle\rangle=d$ |
| 16. | 1423 | (.) ( $\cdot \cdots$ | $\langle a,\langle a, c, d\rangle, c\rangle=d$ |
| 17. | 1342 | (.)( $\cdot \cdot$ ) | $\langle a, b,\langle a, d, b\rangle\rangle=d$ |
| 18. | 4123 | ( $\cdots \cdot$ | $\langle\langle b, c, d\rangle, b, c\rangle=d$ |
| 19. | 4312 | ( $\cdots \cdot$ ) | $\langle\langle c, d, b\rangle, b, c\rangle=d$ |
| 20. | 2341 | ( $\cdots \cdot$ ) | $\langle a, b,\langle d, a, b\rangle\rangle=d$ |
| 21. | 2413 | ( $\cdots \cdot$ ) | $\langle a,\langle c, a, d\rangle, c\rangle=d$ |
| 22. | 3142 | (...) | $\langle a, b,\langle b, d, a\rangle\rangle=d$ |
| 23. | 3421 | ( $\cdots$ ) | $\langle a,\langle d, c, a\rangle, c\rangle=d$ |
| 24. | 1234 | the identity permutation | $\langle a, b, c\rangle=d$ |

We also give all the subgroups of $S_{4}$, considered as sets of identities (cf. [6], [9]).

Order 2 . The nine subgroups are generated by $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}, L_{4}, L_{5}, L_{6}, L_{7}, L_{8}$ and $L_{9}$.

Order 3. The 4 subgroups are generated by $L_{10}, L_{12}, L_{14}$ and $L_{16}$.
Order 4. The 6 subgroups are: $\left\{L_{3}, L_{6}, L_{8}, L_{24}\right\},\left\{L_{18}, L_{20}, L_{8}, L_{24}\right\},\left\{L_{19}, L_{23}\right.$, $\left.L_{3}, L_{24}\right\},\left\{L_{21}, L_{22}, L_{6}, L_{24}\right\},\left\{L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}, L_{24}\right\},\left\{L_{4}, L_{5}, L_{6}, L_{24}\right\}$ and $\left\{L_{7}, L_{8}, L_{9}\right.$, $\left.L_{24}\right\}$.

Order 6. The 4 subgroups are: $\left\{L_{2}, L_{4}, L_{7}, L_{10}, L_{11}, L_{24}\right\},\left\{L_{2}, L_{5}, L_{9}, L_{12}, L_{13}\right.$, $\left.L_{24}\right\},\left\{L_{1}, L_{5}, L_{7}, L_{14}, L_{15}, L_{24}\right\}$, and $\left\{L_{1}, L_{4}, L_{9}, L_{16}, L_{17}, L_{24}\right\}$.

Order 8. These 3 subgroups are all isomorphic to the dihedral group of order 8. $\left\{L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}, L_{6}, L_{8}, L_{19}, L_{23}, L_{24}\right\}$ has $A=\pi_{19}$ and $B=\pi_{2}$ as generators, where $A^{4}=1, B^{2}=1$ and $B A=A^{3} B .\left\{L_{3}, L_{4}, L_{5}, L_{6}, L_{8}, L_{21}, L_{22}, L_{24}\right\}$ corresponds to $A=\pi_{21}, B=\pi_{4} ;\left\{L_{3}, L_{6}, L_{8}, L_{9}, L_{18}, L_{20}, L_{24}\right\}$ corresponds to $A=\pi_{18}, B=\pi_{7}$.

Order 12. There is only the alternating group $A_{4}:\left\{L_{3}, L_{6}, L_{8}, L_{10}, L_{11}, L_{12}\right.$, $\left.L_{13}, L_{14}, L_{15}, L_{16}, L_{17}, L_{24}\right\}$. We also note that $\pi_{18}$ and $\pi_{10}$ generate $S_{4}$. In fact, any pair where the first is an even permutation of order 3 and the second is odd of order 4 will be generators.
3.1. 24 Conjugacy classes. From Theorem 2.5, if $m>16$, there exists a ternary quasigroup of order $m$ with 24 conjugacy classes. We will now consider the lower orders.

Lemma 3.1.1. If (i) $m \geqq 7$, $m$ odd, or if
(ii) $m \geqq 14$, $m$ even,
then there exists a ternary quasigroup of order $m$ with 24 conjugacy classes.
Proof. By Theorem 2.5, we need only show there exist integers $q, r \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, m-1\}$ such that $q \neq r, q, r$ are relatively prime to $m$, $q+r \not \equiv 0(\bmod m)$ and $q, r \neq m-1$ or 1 . If $m$ is odd, $m \geqq 7$, then $q=2, \quad r=[m / 2]$ may be chosen. If $m=2^{s} t \geqq 14$ where $s \geqq 1, t$ is odd, then we may take $q=t+2$ and $r=t+4$.

It is not difficult to see the following.
Lemma 3.1.2. There do not exist any 3-quasigroups with 24 conjugacy classes of orders 1, 2 or 3 .

Consider the following definition, which also provides a representation of a ternary quasigroup as a latin cube.

Definition 3.1.3. Define the front vertical faces of a ternary quasigroup $(Q,\langle,\rangle$,$) to be the Cayley tables of the quasigroups ( Q, \circ_{F_{i}}$ ) derived from $(Q,\langle,\rangle$,$) by setting a \circ_{F_{i}} b=c$ if and only if $\langle a, b, i\rangle=c \quad \forall a, b, c, \in Q$, where $Q=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Similarly the side vertical faces are the Cayley tables of the quasigroups $\left(Q, \circ_{s i}\right)$ where $a \circ_{s_{i}} b=c$ if and only if $\langle a, i, b\rangle=c$. Finally, the
horizontal faces are the Cayley tables of $\left\{\left(Q, \circ_{H_{i}}\right)\right\}$ where $a \circ_{H_{i}} b=c$ if and only if $\langle i, a, b\rangle=c$.

Lemma 3.1.4. There exists a 3 -quasigroup of order 4 with 24 conjugacy classes.
Proof. Consider the 3 -quasigroup defined by the following front vertical faces.


FACE 1


FACE 3

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 |

FACE 2


FACE 4

Law 2 is clearly not satisfied. $L_{1}$ is contradicted by $\langle 2,2,3\rangle=1$, and $\langle 2,2,1\rangle=4$. If $L_{1}$ is not satisfied with the first two positions equal (2), then $L_{3}$ is not satisfied. $L_{6}$ is contradicted by $\langle 3,2,1\rangle=3,\langle 1,2,3\rangle=4$. This also means $L_{4}$ does not hold. $\mathrm{L}_{9}$ is contradicted by $\langle 1,3,2\rangle=1,\langle 1,1,2\rangle=4 . L_{8}$ is contradicted by $\langle 2,3,1\rangle=1,\langle 1,1,2\rangle=4$, while for $L_{7}$, consider $\langle 3,2,1\rangle=$ $3,\langle 1,2,3\rangle=4$. Now $L_{10}$ does not hold because $\langle 1,2,3\rangle=4,\langle 2,3,1\rangle=1$ and therefore $L_{11}$ does not hold either. For $L_{12}$ to $L_{17}$, we need only consider $L_{12}, L_{14}$ and $L_{16} . L_{12}$ is violated by $\langle 2,1,3\rangle=2,\langle 1,2,3\rangle=4 ; L_{14}$ by $\langle 3,2,1\rangle=3$, $\langle 1,2,3\rangle=4$; and $L_{16}$ by $\langle 2,1,3\rangle=2,\langle 2,2,1\rangle=4$.

In fact $L_{18}$ to $L_{23}$ cannot hold as $\pi_{18}$ generates a subgroup of order 4 containing $\pi_{8}, \pi_{3}$ is in the subgroup $\left\{\pi_{3}, \pi_{19}, \pi_{23}, \pi_{24}\right\}$ and $\pi_{6} \in\left\{\pi_{6}, \pi_{21}, \pi_{22}, \pi_{24}\right\}$. Therefore $|C(Q,\langle,\rangle)|=$,24 .

The example for $n=5$ was found to generalize to produce an alternate method for constructing 3 -quasigroups of higher orders with 24 conjugacy classes.

Lemma 3.1.5. There exists a ternary quasigroup of order $m$ with 24 conjugacy classes for all $m \geqq 5$.

Proof. Consider the following construction. Let $\left(Q, \circ_{F_{1}}\right)$ be defined by $a \circ_{F_{1}} b \equiv(a+b-1)(\bmod m) \quad \forall a, b \in Q, Q=\{1,2, \ldots, m\}, m \geqq 5$. Interchange the first 2 rows of the Cayley table of ( $Q, \circ_{F_{1}}$ ). Construct the remaining front vertical faces $2, \ldots, m$ by adding $1(\bmod m)$ successively to each of the corresponding elements of face 1 . Clearly the resulting cube will be latin.

Now interchange the first and second front vertical faces. Also interchange the second and third side vertical faces (the first and second cannot be chosen as commutativity is then restored to the original faces). Call the corresponding 3 -quasigroup ( $Q,\langle,$,$\rangle .$

These manipulations result in the following entries in the first, second and third front vertical faces:


The zeroed entries have values greater than 5 if $m>6,1$ or 2 if $m=5$, and 1 and 6 if $m=6$.

Now face 1 is non-commutative, so $(Q,\langle,\rangle$,$) does not satisfy L_{2}$. Further, $L_{1}$ is violated by $\langle 2,2,1\rangle=3$, and $\langle 2,2,3\rangle=5$. This also violates $L_{3}$. $L_{4}$ is violated by $\langle 2,3,1\rangle=2,\langle 2,1,3\rangle=3$. $L_{5}$ doesn't hold because $\langle 2,1,3\rangle=3$, while $\langle 3,1,3\rangle=5 . L_{6}$ is contradicted by the example for $L_{4}$. $L_{7}$ does not hold because $\langle 2,1,3\rangle=3$, but $\langle 3,1,2\rangle=4$. $L_{8}$ is contradicted by $\langle 1,1,1\rangle=2$ and $\langle 1,2,1\rangle=4$, which also contradicts $L_{9}$. It remains only to discuss $L_{10}, L_{12}$, $L_{14}$ and $L_{16}$. The fact that $\langle 1,2,1\rangle=4,\langle 2,1,1\rangle=1$ contradicts $L_{10}$. For $L_{12},\langle 1,1,1\rangle=2$ should imply $\langle 1,2,1\rangle=1$, but $\langle 1,2,1\rangle=4$. For $L_{14}$, $\langle 1,1,1\rangle=2$ implies $\langle 1,1,2\rangle=1$, while we have $\langle 1,1,2\rangle=3$. Finally $\langle 1,1,1\rangle=2$ and $\langle 1,2,1\rangle=4$, violating $L_{16}$. Theorem $|C(Q,\langle,\rangle)|=$,24 .

Lemmas 3.1.1-3.1.5 result in:
Theorem 3.1.6. Ternary quasigroups with 24 conjugacy classes exist for all orders $m \geqq 4$ and do not exist for any $m<4$.

We remark that if $x \circ y$ on $Q=\{0,1,2, \ldots, m-1\}$ is defined to be $x+p y(\bmod m)$, where $p \neq 1$ or $m-1$ and $p$ is relatively prime to $m$, then $|C(Q, \circ)|=6$. If $m \geqq 5$ and odd, take $p=(m+1) / 2$. If $m \geqq 8$ and even, $m=2^{s} t, t$ odd, take $p=t+2$. This provides a simple alternate construction to that given in [14] for ordinary quasigroups, where again embedding theorems are needed.

### 3.2. Twelve conjugacy classes.

Lemma 3.2.1. There exists a ternary quasigroup of order $m$ with 12 conjugacy classes whenever
(i) $m \geqq 5$, if $m$ odd or
(ii) $m \geqq 8$, if $m$ even.

Proof. From Theorem 2.6 we may define $(a, b, c\rangle \equiv(a+b+p c)(\bmod m)$ on $Q=\{0,1,2, \ldots, m-1\}$, where $p \in Q, p \neq m-1$ or 1 , and $p$ is relatively prime to $m$. If $m \geqq 5$ and odd, let $p=2$. If $m \geqq 8, m=2^{s} t, t$ odd, let $p=t+2$.

Definition 3.2.2. A 3 -quasigroup ( $Q,\langle,$,$\rangle ) is said to satisfy the generalized$ idempotent law if $\langle x, x, y\rangle=\langle x, y, x\rangle=\langle y, x, x\rangle \quad \forall x, y \in Q$.

Lemma 3.2.3. There exists a 3-quasigroup of order 6 with 12 conjugacy classes which also satisfies the generalized idempotent law.

Proof. Let $Q=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$. Define $\langle a, b, c\rangle$ so that $\langle a, b, c\rangle \neq a, b$ or $c$, where $a, b, c$ are distinct, by the table below. Then the remaining products may be defined by the generalized idempotent law. One may check that these products do indeed define a 3 -quasigroup.

From the definition of $(Q,\langle,\rangle$,$) one sees that L_{7}$ is satisfied. Suppose $(Q,\langle,\rangle$, had only 3 conjugacy classes. Then there would be a subgroup of order 8 of $S_{4}$ such that all the corresponding identities were satisfied. However, $\pi_{7}$ is in the subgroup $\left\{\pi_{18}, \pi_{20}, \pi_{8}, \pi_{7}, \pi_{6}, \pi_{3}, \pi_{9}, \pi_{24}\right\}$ and no other subgroup of order 8 . We have $\langle 1,\langle 3,6,1\rangle, 3\rangle=\langle 1,2,3\rangle=4 \neq 6$, contradicting $L_{8}$. Therefore $(Q,\langle,\rangle$, cannot have only 3 conjugacy classes. $\pi_{7}$ is an odd permutation and thus cannot belong to the alternating subgroup $A_{4}$. But then ( $Q,\langle,$,$\rangle ) cannot have 2$ conjugacy classes.

The only subgroups of order 6 containing $\pi_{7}$ are $\left\{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \pi_{4}, \pi_{7}, \pi_{10}, \pi_{11}, \pi_{24}\right\}$ and $\left\{\pi_{5}, \pi_{1}, \pi_{7}, \pi_{14}, \pi_{15}, \pi_{24}\right\}$. As $\langle,$,$\rangle is clearly not commutative in the first 2$ positions, $L_{2}$ is not satisfied. Also $\langle 2,1,3\rangle=6$, but $\langle 2,1,6\rangle=4 \neq 3$, contradicting $L_{1}$. Therefore we do not have a subgroup of order 6 of $S_{4}$ with all the corresponding identities satisfied.

Finally, the only subgroup of order 4 containing $\pi_{7}$ is $\left\{\pi_{24}, \pi_{7}, \pi_{8}, \pi_{9}\right\}$. But this is itself a subgroup of the subgroup of order 8 above. Therefore $|C,(Q,\langle,\rangle)|=$,12 .

Remark 3.2.4. L. Humbolt in [8] constructs idempotent $(\langle x, x, x\rangle=x)$ 3 -quasigroups. However, the spectrum of 3 -quasigroups satisfying the generalized idempotent law is not completely known. Any 3 -quasigroup derived from a Steiner quadruple system (cf. [12], [13]) will satisfy this law providing orders congruent to 2 or $4(\bmod 6)$, and as the ordinary direct product of 3 -quasigroups will clearly preserve it, there exist generalized idempotent quasigroups of orders $6^{m}, 6^{m}(2+6 n), 6^{m}(4+6 n)$. (cf. also [10], [11]).

## Definition of triples with 3 distinct elements

| $\langle 1,2,3\rangle=4$ | $\langle 1,3,4\rangle=6$ | $\langle 1,4,6\rangle=2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\langle 2,3,1\rangle=5$ | $\langle 3,4,1\rangle=5$ | $\langle 4, €, 1\rangle=5$ |
| $\langle 3,1,2\rangle=6$ | $\langle 4,1,3\rangle=2$ | $\langle 6,1,4\rangle=3$ |
| $\langle 2,1,3\rangle=6$ | $\langle 3,1,4\rangle=2$ | $\langle 4,1,6\rangle=3$ |
| $\langle 1,3,2\rangle=5$ | $\langle 1,4,3\rangle=5$ | $\langle 1,6,4\rangle=5$ |
| $\langle 3,2,1\rangle=4$ | $\langle 4,3,1\rangle=6$ | $\langle 6,4,1\rangle=2$ |
| $\langle 1,2,4\rangle=3$ | $\langle 1,3,5\rangle=2$ | $\langle 1,5,6\rangle=3$ |
| $\langle 2,4,1\rangle=6$ | $\langle 3,5,1\rangle=6$ | $\langle 5,6,1\rangle=4$ |
| $\langle 4,1,2\rangle=5$ | $\langle 5,1,3\rangle=4$ | $\langle 6,1,5\rangle=2$ |
| $\langle 2,1,4\rangle=5$ | $\langle 3,1,5\rangle=4$ | $\langle 5,1,6\rangle=2$ |
| $\langle 1,4,2\rangle=6$ | $\langle 1,5,3\rangle=6$ | $\langle 1,6,5\rangle=4$ |
| $\langle 4,2,1\rangle=3$ | $\langle 5,3,1\rangle=2$ | $\langle 6,5,1\rangle=3$ |
| $\langle 1,2,5\rangle=6$ | $\langle 1,3,6\rangle=4$ | $\langle 2,3,4\rangle=1$ |
| $\langle 2,5,1\rangle=4$ | $\langle 3,6,1\rangle=2$ | $\langle 3,4,2\rangle=6$ |
| $\langle 5,1,2\rangle=3$ | $\langle 6,1,3\rangle=5$ | $\langle 4,2,3\rangle=5$ |
| $\langle 2,1,5\rangle=3$ | $\langle 3,1,6\rangle=5$ | $\langle 3,2,4\rangle=5$ |
| $\langle 1,5,2\rangle=4$ | $\langle 1,6,3\rangle=2$ | $\langle 2,4,3\rangle=6$ |
| $\langle 5,2,1\rangle=6$ | $\langle 6,3,1\rangle=4$ | $\langle 4,3,2\rangle=1$ |
| $\langle 1,2,6\rangle=5$ | $\langle 1,4,5\rangle=3$ | $\langle 2,3,5\rangle=6$ |
| $\langle 2,6,1\rangle=3$ | $\langle 4,5,1\rangle=2$ | $\langle 3,5,2\rangle=4$ |
| $\langle 6,1,2\rangle=4$ | $\langle 5,1,4\rangle=6$ | $\langle 5,2,3\rangle=1$ |
| $\langle 2,1,6\rangle=4$ | $\langle 4,1,5\rangle=6$ | $\langle 3,2,5\rangle=1$ |
| $\langle 1,6,2\rangle=3$ | $\langle 1,5,4\rangle=2$ | $\langle 2,5,3\rangle=4$ |
| $\langle 6,2,1\rangle=5$ | $\langle 5,4,1\rangle=3$ | $\langle 5,3,2\rangle=6$ |
| $\langle 2,3,6\rangle=5$ | $\langle 2,4,5\rangle=1$ | $\langle 2,4,6\rangle=3$ |
| $\langle 3,6,2\rangle=1$ | $\langle 4,5,2\rangle=6$ | $\langle 4,6,2\rangle=5$ |
| $\langle 6,2,3\rangle=4$ | $\langle 5,2,4\rangle=3$ | $\langle 6,2,4\rangle=1$ |
| $\langle 3,2,6\rangle=4$ | $\langle 4,2,5\rangle=3$ | $\langle 4,2,6\rangle=1$ |
| $\langle 2,6,3\rangle=1$ | $\langle 2,5,4\rangle=6$ | $\langle 2,6,4\rangle=5$ |
| $\langle 6,3,2\rangle=5$ | $\langle 5,4,2\rangle=1$ | $\langle 6,4,2\rangle=3$ |
| $\langle 2,5,6\rangle=1$ | $\langle 3,4,5\rangle=2$ | $\langle 3,4,6\rangle=1$ |
| $\langle 5,6,2\rangle=3$ | $\langle 4,5,3\rangle=1$ | $\langle 4,6,3\rangle=2$ |
| $\langle 6,2,5\rangle=4$ | $\langle 5,3,4\rangle=6$ | $\langle 6,3,4\rangle=5$ |
| $\langle 5,2,6\rangle=4$ | $\langle 4,3,5\rangle=6$ | $\langle 4,3,6\rangle=5$ |
| $\langle 2,6,5\rangle=3$ | $\langle 3,5,4\rangle=1$ | $\langle 3,6,4\rangle=2$ |
| $\langle 6,5,2\rangle=1$ | $\langle 5,4,3\rangle=2$ | $\langle 6,4,3\rangle=1$ |


| $\langle 3,5,6\rangle=2$ | $\langle 5,3,6\rangle=4$ | $\langle 4,5,6\rangle=3$ | $\langle 5,4,6\rangle=2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\langle 5,6,3\rangle=1$ | $\langle 3,6,5\rangle=1$ | $\langle 5,6,4\rangle=1$ | $\langle 4,6,5\rangle=1$ |
| $\langle 6,3,5\rangle=4$ | $\langle 6,5,3\rangle=2$ | $\langle 6,4,5\rangle=2$ | $\langle 6,5,4\rangle=3$ |

Theorem 3.2.5. There exists a ternary quasigroup of order $m$ with exactly 12 conjugacy classes if and only if $m \geqq 4$.

Proof. One may show that no 3 -quasigroup of order 2 or 3 exists with 12 conjugacy classes. If $m=4$, consider the following example. (Here, the first fron vertical face is circled and the third front vertical face is squared.) The ternary quasigroup thus represented may be seen to have 12 conjugacy classes.

3.3. Six conjugacy classes. Although Theorem 2.7 does not apply, one may obtain the following results.

Lemma 3.3.1. If $m>3$ and odd, there exists a ternary quasigroup of order $m$ with 6 conjugacy classes.

Proof. Let $Q=\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$. Define $\langle,$,$\rangle on Q$ by $\langle a, b, c\rangle \equiv(2 a+$ $2 b-c)(\bmod m) \quad \forall a, b, c \in Q$. It can be easily shown that $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$ are satisfied.

Now the only subgroup larger than $\left\{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \pi_{3}, \pi_{24}\right\}$ and containing it is the subgroup of order $8=\left\{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \pi_{3}, \pi_{6}, \pi_{8}, \pi_{9}, \pi_{23}, \pi_{24}\right\}$. However, consider $L_{6}:\langle\langle d, c, b\rangle, b, c\rangle=(2 d+2 c-b) 2+2 b-c \equiv d$. If $b=0, c=1, d=1$, $4 \equiv 1(\bmod m)$, which is false.

Lemma 3.3.2. If $m>6, m$ is even and $m \neq 8,12$, or 24 , there exists a ternary quasigroup of order $m$ with exactly 6 conjugacy classes.

Proof. Let $Q=\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$. Define $\langle,$,$\rangle on Q$ by $\langle a, b, c\rangle \equiv(a(t+2)+$ $b(t+2)-c(\bmod m)$ where $m=2^{s} t, \quad t$ odd. We need only show that $L_{6}$ does not hold. Now $\langle\langle d, c, b\rangle, b, c\rangle \equiv(d(t+2)+c(t+2)-b)(t+2)+$ $b(t+2)-c \equiv c\left((t+2)^{2}-1\right)+d(t+2)^{2} \equiv d(\bmod m)$. This implies $(t+2)^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod m)$, or $t^{2}+4 t+4 \equiv 1(\bmod m)$, which gives $t^{2}+4 t+$ $3 \equiv 0(\bmod m)$. As $t|m, \quad t| 3$. Therefore $t=1$ or 3 . If $t=1,9 \equiv 1(\bmod m)$ or $m=2,4$ or 8 . If $t=3,25 \equiv 1(\bmod m)$ and $m=6,12$ or 24 . In every other case $(t+2)^{2} \not \equiv 1(\bmod m)$.

It may be shown that no 3 -quasigroups exist of orders $m<3$ with 6 conjugacy classes. If $m=3$, let $Q=\mathbf{Z}_{3}$ and define $\langle a, b, c\rangle=d$ if and only if $a+b-c-d=1$. (This example is due to D. G. Hoffman.) If $m=4$ or 6 , constructions may be made however. We need the following definition.

Definition 3.3.2. Two quasigroups $\left(Q_{1}, 0\right)$ and $\left(Q_{2}, x\right)$ are isotopic [2] if there exists an ordered triple of one-to-one maps $(\theta, \phi, \psi)$ of $Q_{1}$ onto $Q_{2}$ such that $\theta(a) \times \phi(b)=\psi(a \circ b) \quad \forall a, b \in Q_{1}$.

Lemma 3.3.3. There exists a ternary quasigroup of order 4 and of order 6 with 6 conjugacy classes and do not exist any of orders $\leqq 3$ with 6 classes.

Proof. If $n=4$, consider the following example, whose front vertical faces are:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

FACE 1

| 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 |

FACE 3

| 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 |

FACE 2

| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 |

FACE 4

If $n=6$, the first vertical face is given below

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 5 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| 4 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 |

The remaining faces are chosen all isotopic to Face 1 as follows: Let $\theta=\phi=$ dentity mapping. For each face let $\psi$ be defined as below:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\psi_{2}(1)=2 & \psi_{3}(1)=3 & \psi_{4}(1)=4 \\
\psi_{2}(2)=1 & \psi_{3}(2)=5 & \psi_{4}(2)=6 \\
\psi_{2}(3)=4 & \psi_{3}(3)=1 & \psi_{4}(3)=2 \\
\psi_{2}(4)=5 & \psi_{3}(4)=6 & \psi_{4}(4)=1 \\
\psi_{2}(5)=6 & \psi_{3}(5)=4 & \psi_{4}(5)=3 \\
\psi_{2}(6)=3 & \psi_{3}(6)=2 & \psi_{4}(6)=5 \\
\text { FACE } 2 & \text { FACE } 3 & \text { FACE } 4
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\psi(1)=5 & \psi(1)=6 \\
\psi(2)=3 & \psi(2)=4 \\
\psi(3)=6 & \psi(3)=5 \\
\psi(4)=2 & \psi(4)=3 \\
\psi(5)=1 & \psi(4)=2 \\
\psi(6)=4 & \psi(4)=1
\end{array}
$$

FACE 5 FACE 6
We give now a general theorem, which can be used to provide many alternate constructions to those given throughout this paper, and which here provides examples for the missing orders 8,12 and 24 .

Lemma 3.3.4. If $q$ is any factor of $m$ and if there exists a ternary quasigroup of order $q$ with a specified number of conjugacy classes, then there exists a ternary quasigroup of order $m$ with the same specified number of classes.

For a proof see [15].
Theorem 3.3.5. There exists a 3-quasigroup of order $m$ with 6 conjugacy classes if and only if $m \geqq 3$.

### 3.4. Four conjugacy classes.

Theorem 3.4.1. There exists a ternary quasigroup of order $m$ with 4 conjugacy classes if and only if $m \geqq 3$.

Proof. From Theorem 2.6, if $j=3$, we have $\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\rangle \equiv\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+\right.$ $\left.a_{3}\right)(\bmod m)$ and so $m_{3}(3)=3$ as no primes are needed.

Remark 3.4.2. The 3 -quasigroups in Theorem 3.4 . 1 satisfy identities $L_{2}, L_{4}$, $L_{7}, L_{10}$ and $L_{11 \cdot}$. It is easy, in this case, to provide constructions of 3-quasigroups satisfying sets of identities corresponding to the other subgroups of order 6 . For the subgroup $\left\{\pi_{2}, \pi_{5}, \pi_{9}, \pi_{12}, \pi_{13}, \pi_{24}\right\}$, define $\langle a, b, c\rangle \equiv(-a-b+$ c) $(\bmod m)$. Similarly the subgroup $\left\{\pi_{1}, \pi_{5}, \pi_{7}, \pi_{14}, \pi_{15}, \pi_{24}\right\}$ is obtained by defining $\langle a, b, c\rangle \equiv(-a+b-c)(\bmod m)$ and the subgroup $\left\{\pi_{1}, \pi_{4}, \pi_{9}, \pi_{16}\right.$, $\left.\pi_{17}, \pi_{24}\right\}$ be defining $\langle a, b, c\rangle \equiv(a-b-c)(\bmod m)$.

The following discussion provides a non-algebraic alternate construction to Theorem 3.4.1.

Definition 3.4.3. Let $(Q,\langle,\rangle$,$) be a 3$-quasigroup which satisfies the generalized idempotent and commutative laws, but does not satisfy Steiner's law: $\langle x, y,\langle x, y, z\rangle\rangle=z, \quad \forall x, y, z \in Q$. Such a 3-quasigroup is called a generalized idempotent and commutative non-Steiner ternary quasigroup.

Such 3 -quasigroups have 4 conjugacy classes and satisfy the identities $L_{2}, L_{4}, L_{7}, L_{10}$ and $L_{11}$. They have also been considered by C.C. Lindner (private communication), who observed that they will exist only if the order $m \equiv 2 \quad$ or $4(\bmod 6)$. The next theorem shows the sufficiency of this condition.

Theorem 3.4.4. A generalized idempotent and commutative non-Steiner ternary quasigroup of order $m$ exists if and only if $m \equiv 2$ or $4(\bmod 6)$, $m>2$.

Proof. (1) Suppose $(Q,\langle,\rangle$,$) is a ternary quasigroup derived from a Steiner$ quadruple system of order $m$. (cf. [12], [13]). ( $Q,\langle,$,$\rangle ) is constructed to satisfy$ the generalized idempotent and commutative laws. On the sets $\{2,3, \ldots, m\}$, $\{1,3,4, \ldots, m\}, \ldots,\{1,2, \ldots, m-1\}$ respectively define a set of quasigroups $\left\{\left(Q_{i}, \circ_{i}\right) \mid \quad i=1, \ldots, m\right\}$ by $a \circ_{i} b=c$ if $\langle a, b, c\rangle=i$, when $a \neq b$. The quasigroups are all required to be idempotent. Now perform the following interchange between $\left(Q_{1}, \circ_{1}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$. Whenever $a \mathrm{O}_{1} b=c, a, b, c \neq 2$, $a^{\prime} \mathrm{O}_{2} b^{\prime}=c^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime} \neq 1$, define $a^{\prime} \times_{1} b^{\prime}=c^{\prime}$ on $Q_{1}$. Otherwise $X_{1}=\circ_{1}$ and $X_{2}=O_{2}$.

Now ( $Q_{1}, X_{1}$ ) and ( $Q_{2}, X_{2}$ ) will still be quasigroups and we may form (as in [15]) a new ternary quasigroup ( $\left.Q_{1}\langle,,\rangle^{\prime}\right)$ from $\left\{\left(Q_{1}, X_{1}\right),\left(Q_{2}, \times_{2}\right),\left(Q_{3}, \circ_{3}\right)\right.$, $\left.\ldots,\left(Q_{m}, \circ_{m}\right)\right\}$ according to $\langle a, b, c\rangle=d$ if $a \circ_{d} b=c$ or $a \times_{a} b=c$ when $a, b$ and $c$ are distinct. Otherwise the generalized idempotent law holds.
(2) Suppose $\langle a, b, c\rangle^{\prime}=2$ where $a \times{ }_{2} b=\mathrm{c}$ in $\left(Q_{2}, \times_{2}\right)$ and none of $a, b, c$ are equal to 1 . Now $\left\langle\langle a, b, c\rangle^{\prime}, b, c\right\rangle^{\prime}=\langle 2, b, c\rangle^{\prime}=\langle 2, b, c\rangle$. But $\langle\langle a, b, c\rangle, b, c\rangle=$ $\langle 1, b, c\rangle=a$. Therefore $\langle 2, b, c\rangle \neq a$ and $\left(Q,\langle,,\rangle^{\prime}\right)$ is non-Steiner.

### 3.5. Three conjugacy classes.

Theorem 3.5.1. A ternary quasigroup of order $m$ with exactly 3 conjugacy classes exists if and only if $m \geqq 3$.

Proof. On $Q=\{0,1,2, \ldots, m-1\}$, define $\langle a, b, c\rangle=a-b+c$ with addition $(\bmod m)$. One may check that all the identities corresponding to the elements of the subgroup $\left\{\pi_{3}, \pi_{6}, \pi_{7}, \pi_{8}, \pi_{9}, \pi_{18}, \pi_{20}, \pi_{24}\right\}$ are satisfied and no others. Again one may construct examples for the other subgroups of order 8 . For the subgroup generated by $\pi_{2}$ and $\pi_{19}$, define $\langle a, b, c\rangle \equiv(a+b-$ c) $(\bmod m)$ and for the subgroup generated by $\pi_{7}$ and $\pi_{18}$, take $\langle a, b, c\rangle \equiv$ $(-a+b+c)(\bmod m)$.

### 3.6. Conclusion to ternary case.

Theorem 3.6.1. (1) There exist 3 -quasigroups of order $n$ with 6 , 12, or 24 conjugacy classes if and only if $m \geqq 3$.
(2) There exist 3 -quasigroups of order $m$ with 3 or 4 conjugacy classes if and only if $m \geqq 3$.
(3) There exists a ternary quasigroup with one conjugacy class for all orders $\geqq 1$.

Throughout Section 3, the emphasis has been on the existence of some 3 -quasigroup with a specified number of conjugates. Occasionally additional examples are given, but the broader question of finding the spectrum of ternary quasigroups satisfying exactly the identities corresponding to each of the subgroups of $S_{4}$ remains unanswered.
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