

# ON A TYPE PROBLEM

JAMES A. JENKINS

Considerable interest has attached to the problem of determining the type of a Riemann surface obtained by performing an identification between the edges of a strip or a half-strip **(1, 2, 4, 5, 8)**. A fairly thorough analysis was made in 1946 by Volkovyskii **(6)** who gave various sufficient conditions for parabolic and hyperbolic type. The object of the present paper is to show that his principal sufficient condition for hyperbolic type can be substantially improved.

We regard the half-strip  $S$  in the  $z$ -plane,  $z = x + iy$

$$x > a, 0 < y < b$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are finite real numbers,  $b > 0$ . (The case of a full strip is entirely equivalent.) We denote its edges  $x > a, y = 0$  and  $x > a, y = b$  respectively by  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . We consider the identification on  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  determined by the mapping (defined for  $x \geq a$ )

$$T(x) = f(x) + ib$$

where  $f(x)$  is an increasing function of  $x$  with  $f(a) > a$ . We will suppose that this identification determines a Riemann surface  $\mathcal{R}$  which is then doubly-connected with one boundary component  $C$  determined by the segments

$$x = a, 0 \leq y \leq b; a \leq x \leq f(a), y = b.$$

For this to hold it is necessary and sufficient that for each  $x_0 > a$  there exist a disc  $|w| < 1$  divided by a simple open arc  $\lambda$  into two domains  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  and neighbourhoods  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  of  $x_0$  and  $T(x_0)$  relative to  $S$  such that there exist conformal mappings  $w = \psi_i(z)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , of  $E_i$  onto  $D_i$  each admitting a homeomorphic extension to an open boundary arc  $\gamma_i$  of  $E_i$  and  $L_i$  which it carries onto  $\lambda$  and such that

$$\psi_1(x) = \psi_2(T(x)), \quad x \in \gamma_1.$$

Non-trivial necessary and sufficient conditions on  $f(x)$  for the identification  $T$  to determine a Riemann surface are not known. Some sufficient conditions were given by Volkovyskii **(7)**. An easily verified sufficient condition is that  $f(x)$  should possess a continuous derivative which does not take the value

---

Received August 20, 1958. Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation, Mathematics Section, through the University of Notre Dame and by the Office of Ordnance Research under contract No. DA-36-034-ORD-2453 through the Institute for Advanced Study.

zero. For our purposes here we assume that  $f(x)$  and its inverse are absolutely continuous, that the identification  $T$  does determine a Riemann surface and that the extension of  $\psi_i(z)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , to  $\gamma_i$  is continuously differentiable for each  $x_0$  together with its inverse mapping. Without some restriction it is not *a priori* certain that such a surface is uniquely determined by the identification  $T$ . Volkovyskii (7) gave some sufficient conditions for this to hold but they are probably far from necessary.

A Riemann surface  $\mathcal{R}$  determined by the above identification can be mapped conformally on the circular ring

$$1 < |\zeta| < R$$

where  $C$  corresponds to the boundary component  $|\zeta| = 1$  and  $R \leq \infty$ . We will distinguish the cases according as  $R < \infty$  or  $R = \infty$  as being respectively hyperbolic or parabolic.

In order that we have the hyperbolic case it is sufficient that  $\mathcal{R}$  have finite module for the family of curves  $\Gamma$  separating its boundary components (3, p. 13). That means that if  $\rho(w)|dw|$  ranges over all conformally invariant metrics on  $\mathcal{R}$  ( $w$  denotes a local uniformizing parameter) such that for any locally rectifiable simple closed curve  $\gamma$  separating the boundary components of  $\mathcal{R}$

$$\int_{\gamma} \rho |dw| \geq 1$$

then ( $w = u + iv$ )

$$\min \iint_{\mathcal{R}} \rho^2 du dv$$

is finite. In particular it is enough to manifest one admissible metric for which the above integral is finite. First, however, we wish to study the images in  $\tilde{S}$  of the family of curves  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathcal{R}$ .

To curves on  $\mathcal{R}$  in  $\Gamma$  correspond sets on  $\tilde{S}$  which may display quite considerable complication. We will denote the family of such sets by  $\Gamma^*$ . Points of such a set  $\gamma^*$  on  $L_1$  are accompanied by their images on  $L_2$  under the mapping  $T$ . For any set  $\omega$  in  $\tilde{S}$  we will call the (orthogonal) projection of  $\omega$  on  $L_1$  the projection  $\pi(\omega)$  of  $\omega$ . We denote the function  $f(x)$  iterated  $n$  times by  $f_n(x)$ , also we take  $f_0(x) \equiv x$ . Points  $(x', 0)$ ,  $(x'', 0)$  on  $L_1$  such that

$$x'' = f_n(x')$$

for some  $n$  will be called congruent points. The essential property of sets in  $\Gamma^*$  is given in the following lemma.

LEMMA. *For every  $\gamma^* \in \Gamma^*$  there exists a value  $c$ ,  $c \geq a$ , such that  $\pi(\gamma^*)$  contains a point congruent to each point in the interval  $[c, f(c)]$ .*

The set  $\gamma^*$  consists of arcs running from  $L_1$  to  $L_2$ , intervals on  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  and arcs running from  $L_1$  back to  $L_1$  or from  $L_2$  back to  $L_2$ . We note first that

since the corresponding curve  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  is compact there can be only a finite number of arcs running from  $L_1$  to  $L_2$ . Further we can replace these arcs by rectilinear segments with the same end-points not increasing their projections. If we replace an arc running from  $L_1(L_2)$  back to  $L_1(L_2)$  by the segment joining its end-points in the projection we at most replace a segment by a congruent segment. Finally a number of segments on  $L_1(L_2)$  described consecutively (possibly overlapping) joining two points can be replaced by a single segment joining these points without increasing the projection. Thus it is enough to prove the result of the lemma when  $\gamma^*$  consists of a finite number of segments joining  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  and lying on  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

There must be at least one segment joining  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . Let then  $P_1$  be the end-point of such a segment farthest to the right on  $L_1$  and  $P_2$  be the end-point of such a segment farthest to the right on  $L_2$ . If  $P_2 = T(P_1)$ ,  $\gamma^*$  consists of a single segment and the result of the lemma is evident. If  $P_2$  is to the right of  $T(P_1)$  it must be either the end-point of both a segment joining  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  and a segment on  $L_2$  or the end-point of two segments joining  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . In the first instance replacing the segments by a segment forming with them a triangle (and deleting the corresponding segment on  $L_1$ ) we obtain a new  $\gamma' \in \Gamma^*$  with one less side (counting only one side for a pair of corresponding segments on  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ ) and a not larger projection. In the second instance replacing the segments by the segment on  $L_1$  forming with them a triangle (and inserting the corresponding segment on  $L_2$ ) we obtain a new  $\gamma'' \in \Gamma^*$  with one less side and a not larger projection. Similarly if  $P_2$  is to the left of  $T(P_1)$ ,  $P_1$  must be either the end-point of both a segment joining  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  and a segment on  $L_1$  or the end-point of two segments joining  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . Proceeding as before the same conclusions apply. Since the result of the lemma is true for  $\gamma^*$  consisting of a single segment it follows in full generality by induction.

We now make our final assumption, that

$$(I) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(a) = \infty.$$

We denote by  $I_n, n = 0, 1, \dots$ , the region

$$f_n(a) \leq x < f_{n+1}(a), 0 \leq y < b.$$

Let  $\phi_n(x)$  denote the function inverse to  $f_n(x)$ . Let  $\mu(x)$  be a non-negative integrable function defined for  $a \leq x < f(a)$  with

$$0 < \int_a^{f(a)} \mu(x) dx.$$

Then we consider in  $S$  the metric  $\rho(z)|dz|$  where

$$\rho(z) = \mu(\phi_n(x))\phi'_n(x), \quad z \in I_n.$$

Let  $\gamma^* \in \Gamma^*$ . Under assumption (1) it follows from our lemma that  $\pi(\gamma^*)$  contains a point congruent to every point of the interval  $[a, f(a))$ . Thus

$$\int_{\gamma^*} \rho(z) |dz| \geq \int_a^{f(a)} \mu(x) dx.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_S \rho^2(z) dx dy &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \iint_{I_n} [\mu(\phi_n(x)) \phi'_n(x)]^2 dx dy \\ &= b \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{f_n(a)}^{f_{n+1}(a)} [\mu(\phi_n(x)) \phi'_n(x)]^2 dx \\ &= b \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_a^{f(a)} \frac{(\mu(x))^2}{f'_n(x)} dx \\ &= b \int_a^{f(a)} (\mu(x))^2 \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{f'_n(x)} \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

provided that the operations involved are legitimate. This will be the case if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{f'_n(x)}$$

converges at those points where  $\mu(x)$  is positive and the last integral is convergent. In these circumstances the identification determined by  $f$  comes under the hyperbolic case.

We state our result as follows.

**THEOREM.** *If the function  $f(x)$  defined for  $a \leq x$  is absolutely continuous together with its inverse, if the identification it provides on the strip  $S$  determines a Riemann surface  $\mathcal{R}$ , if the corresponding functions  $\psi_i(z)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , admit extensions to the open boundary arcs of  $E_i$  on  $L_i$  which are continuously differentiable together with their inverse mappings, if  $f(x)$  satisfies the condition*

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(a) = \infty$$

and if the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{f'_n(x)}$$

converges on a set of positive measure on  $[a, f(a))$  then the identification comes under the hyperbolic case.

Indeed this sum is greater than or equal to one on the set of positive measure in question; thus we can take  $\mu(x)$  in the preceding argument as the reciprocal of the sum on that set and elsewhere zero.

This result represents a substantial improvement of one of Volkovyskii's basic results which requires that the above series have a bounded sum on an interval as a sufficient condition for the hyperbolic case in addition to other requirements on the function  $f(x)$  some of which are not germane to the present problem. It is immediately seen that the present considerations extend similarly to the case of identification of two strips also discussed by Volkovyskii (6).

## REFERENCES

1. C. Blanc, *Les surfaces de Riemann des fonctions méromorphes*, *Comm. Math. Helvet.*, *9* (1936-7), 193-216, 335-68.
2. ———, *Les demi-surfaces de Riemann. Application au problème du type*, *Comm. Math. Helvet.*, *10* (1938-9), 130-50.
3. James A. Jenkins, *Univalent functions and conformal mapping* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1958).
4. R. Nevanlinna, *Ueber die Polyondarstellung einer Riemannschen Fläche*, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. AI*, no. 122 (1952).
5. ———, "Polygonal representation of Riemann surfaces," *Lectures on functions of a complex variable* (Michigan, 1955), 65-70.
6. L. I. Volkovskii, *On the problem of type of simply-connected Riemann surfaces*, *Mat. Sbornik*, *18* (N.S.) (1946), 185-211 (Russian, English summary).
7. ———, *Quasiconformal mapping and the problem of conformal pasting*, *Ukrain. Mat. Z.*, *3* (1951), 39-52 (Russian).
8. E. M. Wirth, *Ueber die Bestimmung des Typus einer Riemannschen Fläche*, *Comm. Math. Helvet.*, *31* (1956), 90-107.

*University of Notre Dame  
Institute for Advanced Study*