R. TakagiNagoya Math. J.Vol. 96 (1984), 61-70

# KÄHLERIAN SUBMANIFOLDS IN A COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE WITH SECOND FUNDAMENTAL FORM OF POLYNOMIAL TYPE

## RYOICHI TAKAGI

Let  $P_N$  be an N-dimensional complex projective space with Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, and M be a Kählerian submanifold in  $P_N$ . Let H be the second fundamental tensor of M, and  $\overset{+}{V}$  be the covariant derivative of type (1,0) on M. We proved in [5] that, if M is locally symmetric, then

(1) 
$$V^m H = 0$$
 for some positive integer  $m$ .

So it will be a natural question to ask what Kählerian submanifolds satisfy the above condition (1). In this paper we give some partial solutions to it. First we show that the condition (1) is equivalent to

(2) 
$$\vec{V}^d R = 0$$
 for some positive integer  $d$ ,

where R denotes the curvature tensor of M. On the other hand, the curvature tensor R of every Kählerian C-space satisfies the condition (2) ([4]). Thus every Kählerian C-space holomorphically embedded in  $P_N$  satisfies the condition (1) too. Next we prove that, if M is a Kählerian hypersurface with condition (1) in  $P_N$ , then M is totally geodesic or a complex quadric. Finally we give some examples of Kählerian submanifold in  $P_N$  satisfying  $\nabla^2 H = 0$  but  $\nabla^2 H \neq 0$ .

### §1. Preliminaries

In this section we survey briefly the notion of Kählerian submanifold in  $P_N$  (for the detail, see e.g. [2]). Let M be an n-dimensional Kählerian submanifold in  $P_{n+q}$ . We use the following convention on the range of indices unless otherwise stated:  $A, B, \dots = 1, \dots, n, n+1, \dots, n+q$ ;  $i, j, \dots = 1, \dots, n$ ;  $\alpha, \beta, \dots = n+1, \dots, n+q$ . Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+q}\}$ 

Received July 16, 1983.

be a local field of unitary frames in  $P_{n+q}$  such that, restricted to M,  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are tangent to M. Denote its dual frame field by  $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^{n+q}$ . The connection forms  $\omega_B^A$  with respect to  $\omega_A$  and the connection  $\vec{V}$  on  $P_{n+q}$  are related by

(1.1) 
$$V_{e_A} e_B = \sum_C \omega_B^C(e_A) e_C .$$

Restrict the forms under consideration to M. Then, since  $\omega^a = 0$ , the forms  $\omega_i^a$  can be written as

(1.2) 
$$\omega_i^{\alpha} = \sum\limits_j h_{ij}^{\alpha} \omega^j \;, \qquad h_{ij}^{\alpha} = h_{ji}^{\alpha} \;.$$

The quadratic form  $\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^{\alpha} \omega^i \cdot \omega^j$  is called the second fundamental form of M in the direction of  $e_{\alpha}$ . The curvature form  $\Omega_j^i$  of M is defined by

(1.3) 
$$\Omega^i_j = d\omega^i_j + \sum\limits_k \omega^i_k \wedge \omega^k_j$$
 .

It can be expressed as

(1.4) 
$$\Omega^i_j = \sum\limits_{k,\ell} R^i_{jkar\ell} \, \omega^k \, \wedge \, ar\omega^\ell$$
 .

The equation of Gauss is given by

$$(1.5) R^i_{jk\bar{\ell}} = c(\delta^i_j \delta_{k\ell} + \delta^i_k \delta_{j\ell}) - \sum_i h^a_{jk} \overline{h}^a_{i\ell},$$

where 2c denotes the constant holomorphic sectional curvature of  $P_{n+q}$ . The value c itself is not important in this paper. The Ricci tensor  $S = (S_{ij})$  of M is defined by

(1.6) 
$$S_{i\bar{j}} = \sum\limits_{k} R^k_{ik\bar{j}} = (n+1)c\delta_{ij} - \sum\limits_{\alpha,k} h^{\alpha}_{ik} \overline{h}^{\alpha}_{kj}$$

We define the higher covariant derivatives  $h_{i_1...i_{mj}}^{\alpha}$  and  $h_{i_1...i_{mj}}^{\alpha}$  of  $h_{ij}^{\alpha}$  inductively as follows.

(1.7) 
$$\sum_{j} h_{i_{1}...i_{m}j}^{\alpha} \omega^{j} + \sum_{j} h_{i_{1}...i_{m}\bar{j}}^{\alpha} \bar{\omega}^{j}$$

$$= dh_{i_{1}...i_{m}}^{\alpha} - \sum_{r=1}^{m} \sum_{j} h_{i_{1}...i_{r-1}ji_{r+1}...i_{m}}^{\alpha} \omega_{i_{r}}^{j}$$

$$+ \sum_{\beta} h_{i_{1}...i_{m}}^{\beta} \omega_{\beta}^{\alpha} .$$

Then the component of the tensor  $\stackrel{+}{V}^mH$  used in the introduction is nothing but  $h_{i_1\cdots i_{m+2}}^{\sigma}$ .

LEMMA 1.1 ([2]). The following relation holds.

$$egin{aligned} h_{i_1 \dots i_m ar{j}}^lpha &= rac{m-2}{2} c \sum_{r=1}^m h_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_m}^lpha \delta_{i_r j} \ &= \sum_{r=1}^{m-2} rac{1}{r! \, (m-r)!} \sum_{lpha, eta, \ell, \sigma} h_{\ell t_{\sigma(1)} \dots i_{\sigma(r)}}^lpha h_{i_{\sigma(r+1)} \dots i_{\sigma(m)}}^eta ar{h}_{\ell j}^eta \ , \end{aligned}$$

where the summation on  $\sigma$  is taken over all permutations of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ . In particular,  $h_{i_1 \dots i_m}^{\alpha}$  is symmetric with respect to  $i_1, \dots, i_m$ , and  $h_{ij\bar{k}}^{\alpha} = 0$ .

# §2. Results and proofs

In this section we denote by M a Kählerian submanifold in  $P_{n+q}$  and keep the notation in Section 1.

DEFINITION. Denote the tangent space of a manifold N at a point p by  $T_p(N)$ . For a point p of M we denote by  $N_p$  the normal space of  $T_p(M)$  in  $T_p(P_{n+q})$ , and by  $N_p^C$  the complexification of  $N_p$ . Let  $m(\geq 2)$  be an integer. To each point p of M we assign the complexification of the subspace of  $N_p$  spanned by the vectors  $\sum_{\alpha} h_{i_1 \dots i_m}^{\alpha}(p)(e_{\alpha})_p$  over C, which we denote by  $H_m(p)$ .

Remark that Lemma 1.1 implies

Lemma 2.1. Assume there exist two integers r and  $\ell$  such that  $r > \ell$   $\geq 2$  and  $H_r \perp (H_2 + \cdots + H_\ell)$ . Then (1)  $H_s \perp (H_2 + \cdots + H_\ell)$  for any integer s with  $s \geq r$ , and (2)  $H_{2r-2} \perp (H_2 + \cdots + H_{\ell+1})$ .

*Proof.* Let a be any integer such that  $2 \le a \le \ell$ . Then the assumption can be rewritten as

$$\sum h_{i_1...i_r}^{\alpha} \overline{h}_{j_1...j_a}^{\alpha} = 0.$$

In order to show (1) it suffices to show  $H_{r+1} \perp (H_2 + \cdots + H_{\ell})$ . Taking the covariant derivative of (2.2) with respect to  $e_k$ , we have

$$\sum_{lpha} h^{lpha}_{i_1 \dots i_{rk}} \overline{h}^{lpha}_{j_1 \dots j_a} + \sum_{lpha} h^{lpha}_{i_1 \dots i_r} \overline{h}^{lpha}_{j_1 \dots j_a ar{k}} = 0$$
 .

The second term of the left hand side of this equation vanishes by (2.1) and (2.2), which shows (1). Now by (1) we have

(2.3) 
$$\sum_{\alpha} h_{i_1 \dots i_{2r-2}}^{\alpha} \overline{h}_{j_1 \dots j_a}^{\alpha} = 0.$$

Taking the covariant derivative of (2.3) with respect to  $\bar{e}_k$ , we have

$$\sum_{\alpha} h_{i_1 \dots i_{2r-2}}^{\alpha} \overline{h}_{j_1 \dots j_a k}^{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha} h_{i_1 \dots i_{2r-2} \bar{k}}^{\alpha} \overline{h}_{j_1 \dots j_a}^{\alpha} = 0$$
.

It follows from Lemma 1.1 that the second term of the left hand side of this equation is equal to

$$\begin{split} &2(r-2)c\sum_{b=1}^{2r-2}h_{i_{1}...i_{b}...i_{2r-2}}^{\alpha}\delta_{i_{b}k}\overline{h}_{j_{1}...j_{a}}^{\alpha}\\ &-\sum_{b=1}^{2r-4}\sum_{\beta,\ell,\sigma}\frac{1}{b!\left(2r-4-b\right)!}h_{\ell i_{\sigma}(1)...i_{\sigma}(b)}^{\alpha}h_{i_{\sigma}(b+1)...i_{\sigma}(2r-2)}^{\beta}\overline{h}_{\ell k}^{\beta}\overline{h}_{j_{1}...j_{a}}^{\alpha}\;. \end{split}$$

But the first term vanishes by (1), and the second also vanishes by (1) since  $b+1 \ge r$  or  $2r-2-b \ge r$ . q.e.d.

DEFINITION. Let d be an integer with  $d \ge 3$ . Define a sequence  $\{d_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots}$  of integers inductively as follows. First put  $d_1=2$  and  $d_2=d$ . Assume  $d_k$  was defined for  $k=1,\dots,i$ . Let  $\{c_m\}$  be a sequence of integers defined by  $c_1=d_i$  and  $c_{m+1}=2c_m-2$ . Then put  $d_{i+1}=c_m$  where  $m=d_i-d_{i-1}$ . The sequence  $\{d_i\}$  shall be said to be associated with an integer d.

Lemma 2.2. Assume there exists an integer  $d \ge 3$  such that  $H_a \perp H_2$ . Let  $\{d_i\}$  be the sequence of integers associated with d. Then the vector spaces  $H_{d_1}$ ,  $H_{d_2}$ ,  $\cdots$  are mutually orthogonal.

*Proof.* Since  $H_a \perp H_2$ , applying Lemma 2.1(2)  $d_2 - d_1$  times, we find  $H_{d_3} \perp (H_{d_1} + \cdots + H_{d_2})$ . Repeat this argument to obtain

$$H_{d_i} \perp (H_{d_1} + \cdots + H_{d_2} + \cdots + H_{d_{i-1}})$$

for each positive integer i.

q.e.d.

The following Theorem gives our problem a geometric meaning.

THEOREM 2.3. Let M be an n-dimensional Kählerian submanifold in  $P_{n+q}$ . Let R be the curvature tensor of M, H be the second fundamental tensor of M, and  $\overset{+}{V}$  be the covariant derivative of type (1,0) on M. Then the following two conditions are equivalent.

- (A) There exists a positive integer d such that  $\overset{+}{V}{}^{d}R=0$ .
- (B) There exists a positive integer m such that  $V^mH = 0$ .

Proof. By (1.5) the condition (A) is equivalent to

(C) 
$$H_{d+2} \perp H_2$$
.

Thus clearly (B) implies (A). Now assume (C). If  $H_m \neq \{0\}$  for all integers

 $m \ (\ge 2)$ , then Lemma 2.2 implies that for each point p of M there exists a sequence  $H_{a_1}(p)$ ,  $H_{a_2}(p)$ ,  $\cdots$  of infinitely many mutually orthogonal nonzero vector subspaces of  $N_p^c$ , which is a contradiction. q.e.d.

Now we state a relation between two integers d and m in Theorem 2.3.

THEOREM 2.4. Let M,  $P_{n+q}$ , R, H and  $\overset{+}{V}$  be as in Theorem 2.3. Assume that M is neither flat nor totally geodesic, and that there exists a positive integer d such that  $\overset{+}{V}{}^{d}R = 0$  and  $\overset{+}{V}{}^{d-1}R \neq 0$ . Let m be the positive integer determined by  $\overset{+}{V}{}^{m}H = 0$  and  $\overset{+}{V}{}^{m-1}H \neq 0$ . Let  $\{d_i\}$  be the sequence of integers associated with d+2. Then  $m \leq d_{g+1}-2$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2 we see that there exist a positive integer i and a point p of M such that the subspaces  $H_{d_1}(p), H_{d_2}(p), \cdots, H_{d_i}(p)$  of  $N_p^C$  are mutually orthogonal and  $H_{d_i}(p) \neq \{0\}$  and  $H_{d_{i+1}}(p) = \{0\}$ . Since  $\dim_c N_p^C = q$ , we have  $i \leq q$ . This and the definition of m give  $m+2 \leq d_{i+1} \leq d_{q+1}$ .

Here we consider our problem in the case of codimension 1.

THEOREM 2.5. Let M be a Kählerian hypersurface in  $P_{n+1}$ . Let H be the second fundamental tensor of M and  $\overset{+}{V}$  be the covariant derivative of type (1,0) on M. Assume there exists a positive integer m such that  $\overset{+}{V}{}^mH$  = 0. Then M is totally geodesic or a part of a complex quadric.

*Proof.* Since q=1, we may omit the index  $\alpha$ . In the case where m=1, our theorem has been already proved by B. Smyth [3]. So assume  $m\geq 2$ . Let an index a (resp. r) stand for any index i such that  $h_{i odos i}^{m+1} \neq 0$  (resp.  $h_{i odos i}^{m+1} = 0$ ). The set of such indices a's is not empty. In fact, if empty, we have  $h_{i odos i}^{m+1} = 0$  for each i, which implies  $H_{m+1} = 0$ . In this proof, let the index  $\ell$  run from 1 to m-1, and the index u run from 0 to  $\ell-1$ . By Lemma 1.1, we can rewrite

$$h_{\underbrace{a\cdots ar\cdots ri}_{m+2+\ell}}^{u} = 0$$

as follows.

$$E_{\ell,u}\cdots\sum_{w=0}^{u}\sum_{v=\ell+2}^{m+1}\binom{m+2+\ell-u}{m+2+\ell-v-w}\binom{u}{w}\sum_{j}h_{j\underline{a}\ldots\widehat{ar\ldots r}}^{\underline{w}}h_{j\underline{a}\ldots\widehat{ar\ldots r}}h_{\underline{a}\ldots\widehat{ar\ldots r}}\overline{h}_{ji}=0.$$

Then  $E_{m-1,0}$  is given by

$$\sum_{i}h_{j\widehat{a\cdots a}}^{m}h_{\widehat{a\cdots a}}^{m+1}\overline{h}_{ji}=0$$
 ,

which yields

$$\sum_{j} h_{j\widehat{a}\cdots a}^{m} h_{ji} = 0 ,$$

since  $h_{\widetilde{a\cdots a}}^{m+1} \neq 0$ .

Moreover  $E_{m-2,0}$  is given by

$${2m\choose m}\sum\limits_j h_{j\widehat{a\cdots a}}^m h_{\widehat{a\cdots a}}^{m} ar{h}_{ji} + {2m\choose m-1}\sum\limits_j h_{j\widehat{a\cdots a}}^{m-1} h_{\widehat{a\cdots a}}^{m+1} ar{h}_{ji} = 0$$
 ,

which, together with (2.1), implies

$$\sum_{j}h_{j\widehat{a}\cdots\widehat{a}}^{m-1}\overline{h}_{ji}=0$$
 .

Repeat this argument m-3 more times to obtain

(2.2) 
$$\sum_{i} h_{j\widehat{a} \cdot \cdot \cdot a} \overline{h}_{ji} = 0 \quad \text{for } \ell \geq 2.$$

Next  $E_{m-1,1}$  is given by

$${2m\choose m}\sum\limits_j h_{j\widehat{a\cdots a}}^m h_{a\widehat{\cdots ar}}^{m+1} \overline{h}_{ji} + {2m\choose m-1}\sum\limits_j h_{j\widehat{a\cdots ar}}^m h_{a\widehat{\cdots ar}}^{m+1} \overline{h}_{ji} = 0$$
 ,

which, together with (2.1), yields

$$\sum_{i} h_{j\widehat{a\cdots ar}} \overline{h}_{ji} = 0.$$

Just as we obtained (2.2), we have from  $E_{m-2,1}, \dots, E_{1,1}$  and (2.2)

(2.3) 
$$\sum_{i} h_{j\widehat{a} \cdots ar} \overline{h}_{ji} = 0 \quad \text{for } \ell \geq 2.$$

Similarly, from  $E_{m-1,2}, \dots, E_{1,2}$ , (2.2) and (2.3) we have

(2.4) 
$$\sum_{j} h_{j\widehat{a}\cdots\widehat{arr}} \overline{h}_{ji} = 0 \quad \text{for } \ell \geq 2.$$

In particular, from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) we have

$$\sum h_{jk\ell} \bar{h}_{ji} = 0$$
.

This and (1.6) mean that the Ricci tensor of M is parallel. Now our theorem is reduced to Takahashi's one [6]. q.e.d.

# §3. Examples of $\vec{r}^{1}H = 0$ but $\vec{r}H \neq 0$

In this section we give three examples of a Kählerian submanifold in  $P_n$  satisfying  $\overset{+}{V^2}H=0$  but  $\overset{+}{V}H\neq 0$ . They are given as orbits in  $P_n$  under certain Lie subgroups of the special unitary group SU(n+1). We fix a flat Hermitian metric on  $C^{n+1}$ . Let S be a hypersphere in  $C^{n+1}$  centered Let  $\pi$  be the canonical projection of S onto  $P_n$ . For a point p of S we denote by  $H_p$  the linear subspace of  $T_p(S)$  orthogonal to the 1-dimensional linear subspace RI(p), where I denotes the complex structure of  $C^{n+1}$ . The restriction  $\pi_{*|H_p}$  of the differential map  $\pi_*$  of  $\pi$  at p to  $H_p$  is an isometric isomorphism of  $H_p$  onto  $T_{\pi(p)}(P_n)$ . For  $v \in T_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ (resp.  $v \in T_{v}(S)$ ) we denote by  $v_{s}$  (resp.  $v_{H}$ ) the orthogonal projection of vto  $T_p(S)$  (resp.  $H_p$ ). Let X be any element of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{Su}(n+1)$ of SU(n+1). Then the 1-parameter subgroup exp tX of SU(n+1) induces Killing vector fields both on  $C^{n+1}$  and  $P_n$ , which are denoted by  $X^*$  and  $\tilde{X}^*$  respectively. The restriction  $X^*|_{S}$  is a Killing vector field of S, which is also denoted by  $X^*$  for simplicity. Clearly  $\pi_*X^*=\tilde{X}_*$ . Let V (resp.  $\overline{V}$ ) denote the connection on S (resp.  $P_n$ ). Then we have

$$(3.1) V_x Y^* = (YX(p))_s \text{for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{Su}(n+1),$$

where we put  $x = X_p^*$ . In fact, if we denote by  $\mathring{\mathcal{V}}$  the flat connection on  $C^{n+1}$ , then

$$egin{aligned} 
aligned & 
alig$$

Moreover the following formula is fundamental.

(3.2) 
$$\tilde{V}_{\pi_*(x)}\tilde{Y}^* = \pi_*((V_x Y^*)_H)$$
 for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{Su}(n+1)$ .

Let G be a Lie subgroup of SU(n+1). We consider an orbit  $\tilde{M}=G(\tilde{p})=\pi(G(p))$ , where  $\tilde{p}=\pi(p)$ . Denote the normal space of  $T_{\tilde{v}}(\tilde{M})$  (resp.

 $T_p(S)$ ) in  $T_{\tilde{p}}(P_n)$  (resp.  $T_p(M)$ ) by  $\tilde{N}$  (resp. N). Let  $\tilde{x}$ ,  $\tilde{y} \in T_{\tilde{p}}(\tilde{M})$ , and Y be any element of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of G such that  $\tilde{y} = Y_p^*$ . Then the  $\tilde{N}$ -component of a vector  $\tilde{V}_{\tilde{x}}\tilde{Y}^*$  is not independent of a choice of Y, which is denoted by  $\alpha(\tilde{x},\tilde{y})$ .  $\alpha$  is just the second fundamental form of  $\tilde{M}$  at  $\tilde{p}$ . The image of  $\alpha$  is called the first normal space of  $\tilde{M}$  at  $\tilde{p}$ . Similarly we can define the first normal space of M in S at p. From (3.1) and (3.2) we have

LEMMA 3.1. Let the notation be as above. If the vectors  $(XY(p))_N$  where  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$  span the normal space N, then the first normal space of  $\widetilde{M}$  at  $\widetilde{p}$  coincides with the normal space  $\widetilde{N}$ .

In the following we shall give a Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $\mathfrak{Su}(n+1)$  and a point p satisfying the assumption of Lemma 3.1. Let  $\ell(\geq 3)$  be an integer, and let the indices  $A, B, \cdots$  stand for  $2\ell+1$  values  $\overline{1}, \cdots, \overline{\ell}, 0, 1, \cdots, \ell$ . Denote by  $E_{AB}$  the matrix  $(\delta_{CA}\delta_{DB})$ . Define the elements  $H_i$ ,  $X_{AB}$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{Sl}(n+1)$  of the special linear group by

(3.3) 
$$\begin{cases} H_i = E_{ii} - E_{\bar{i}\bar{i}} & (i = 1, \cdots, \ell) \\ X_{AB} = E_{A\bar{B}} - E_{B\bar{A}}, & \text{where } \bar{\bar{A}} = A. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the complex vector space generated by the vectors  $H_1, \dots, H_\ell$ , and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$  be the dual forms of  $H_1, \dots, H_\ell$ . Then the vectors  $H_i$  and  $X_{AB}$  generate a complex simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  of type  $B_\ell$  in the sence of E. Cartan in such a way that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and a vector  $X_{AB}$  is a root vector belonging to a root  $\lambda_4 + \lambda_B$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}$ , where  $\lambda_o = 0$  and  $\lambda_i = -\lambda_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, \ell)$  (cf. [1]). It is easily seen that, with respect to an ordering  $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_\ell$ , the set  $\{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\ell-1} - \lambda_\ell, \lambda_\ell\}$  is a fundamental root system. Let  $\{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_\ell\}$  be the corresponding fundamental weight system. Then the above description (3.3) of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is nothing but the one of the irreducible representation  $\rho_1$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  with the highest weight  $\Lambda_1 = \lambda_1$ . Define a representation  $\rho_2$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  on  $\bigwedge^2 C^{2\ell+1}$  by

$$(3.4) \rho_2(X)(e_A \wedge e_B) = Xe_A \wedge e_B + e_A \wedge Xe_B, X \in \mathfrak{g}_1.$$

Then  $\rho_2$  is irreducible and the highest weight is equal to  $\Lambda_2 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}_u$  be a compact real form of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}_u \subset \mathfrak{Sl}(2\ell+1)$ , and  $G_u$  be the Lie subgroup of  $SU(2\ell+1)$  with the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_u$ . We want to show that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_u$  and  $p = e_1 \wedge e_2$  satisfy the assumption of Lemma 3.1. For this it suffices to show that the vectors

$$(3.5) \rho_2(X)\rho_2(Y)(p) , X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$$

span the complexification  $N^c$  of the normal space of an orbit  $G_u(p)$  in  $T_p(S)$  over C. Hereafter we abbreviate  $e_A \wedge e_B$  to  $A \wedge B$ . Let the indices i, j run from 3 to  $\ell$ . Since  $E_{AB}(e_C) = \delta_{BC}e_A$ , it follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that the complexification  $\mathfrak{g}_1(p)$  of  $T_p(G_u(p))$  is spanned by the  $4\ell-5$  vectors

$$egin{aligned} H_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(p) &= 1 \wedge 2 \;, \qquad H_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(p) = 1 \wedge 2 \;, \ X_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{1}}(p) &= (E_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{1}0} - E_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}) 1 \wedge 2 = 2 \wedge 0 \;, \quad X_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(p) = (E_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{2}0} - E_{\scriptscriptstyle 02}) 1 \wedge 2 = -1 \wedge 0 \;, \ X_{\scriptscriptstyle I\overline{1}}(p) &= (E_{\scriptscriptstyle I1} - E_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{1}\overline{1}}) 1 \wedge 2 = -2 \wedge i \;, \quad X_{\scriptscriptstyle I\overline{2}}(p) = (E_{\scriptscriptstyle I2} - E_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{2}\overline{1}}) 1 \wedge 2 = 1 \wedge i \;, \ X_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{1}\overline{1}}(p) &= (E_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{1}2} - E_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{2}i}) 1 \wedge 2 = 2 \wedge \bar{i} \;, \quad X_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{2}\overline{i}}(p) = (E_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{1}2} - E_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{2}i}) 1 \wedge 2 = -1 \wedge \bar{j} \;, \ X_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{1}\overline{1}}(p) &= 1 \wedge \bar{1} + 2 \wedge \bar{2} \;. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the space  $N^c$  is spanned by the vectors

$$1 \wedge \overline{1} - 2 \wedge \overline{2}, \ 1 \wedge \overline{2}, \ 2 \wedge \overline{1}, \ i \wedge 0, \ i \wedge \overline{1}, \ i \wedge \overline{2}, \ i \wedge \overline{j}, \ 0 \wedge \overline{1}, \ 0 \wedge \overline{2},$$
 $0 \wedge \overline{i}, \ \overline{1} \wedge \overline{2}, \ \overline{1} \wedge \overline{i}, \ \overline{2} \wedge \overline{i}, \ \overline{i} \wedge \overline{j}, \ i \wedge j.$ 

On the other hand, the following vectors are of the form (3.5)

$$egin{aligned} X_{ar{1}}X_{ar{1}}(p) &= 2 \wedge ar{1}, & X_{ar{2}}X_{ar{1}}(p) = 2 \wedge ar{2}, & X_{iar{2}}X_{ar{1}}(p) = i \wedge 0, & X_{ar{2}ar{1}}X_{ar{1}}(p) = -0 \wedge ar{i} \;, \ X_{ar{1}ar{2}}X_{ar{1}}(p) &= -0 \wedge ar{1}, & X_{ar{2}}X_{ar{2}}(p) = -1 \wedge ar{2}, & X_{ar{1}ar{2}}X_{ar{2}}(p) = -0 \wedge ar{2} \;, \ X_{ar{2}ar{2}}X_{ar{i}ar{1}}(p) &= i \wedge ar{j} + \delta_{ij}2 \wedge ar{2}, & X_{ar{1}ar{2}}X_{ar{i}ar{1}}(p) = i \wedge ar{1} \;, \ X_{ar{1}ar{2}}X_{ar{i}ar{1}}(p) &= -i \wedge ar{2}, & X_{ar{2}ar{j}}X_{ar{1}ar{i}}(p) &= ar{i} \wedge ar{j}, & X_{ar{1}ar{2}}X_{ar{1}ar{i}}(p) &= ar{i} \wedge ar{1} \;, \ X_{ar{1}ar{2}}X_{ar{2}ar{i}}(p) &= -ar{2} \wedge ar{i}, & X_{ar{1}ar{2}}X_{ar{1}ar{i}}(p) &= ar{1} \wedge ar{2} \;. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved that  $G=G_u$  and  $p=e_1 \wedge e_2$  satisfy the assumption of Lemma 3.1.

Now we assert that the second fundamental tensor H of our orbit  $\tilde{M}=G_u(\pi(p))$  in  $P_N$ , where  $N=2\ell^2+\ell$ , satisfies  $\overset{+}{V^2}H=0$  but  $\overset{+}{V}H\neq 0$ . Indeed, let R be the curvature tensor of M. Then we proved in [4] that  $\overset{+}{V^2}R=0$  but  $\overset{+}{V}R\neq 0$ . This and (1.5) imply

$$\sum_lpha h_{ijk}^lpha ar{h}_{mr}^lpha = 0$$
 ,  $\sum_lpha h_{ijk}^lpha ar{h}_{lm}^lpha 
eq 0$  .

Hence every normal vector  $h_{ijk\ell} = (h_{ijk\ell}^{\alpha})$  is orthogonal to the complexification of the first normal space of M at every point. Thus, owing to

Lemma 3.1, we have  $h_{ijk\ell}^{\alpha}(p) = 0$ . By homogeneity of  $\tilde{M}$  we find  $h_{ijk\ell}^{\alpha} = 0$ ,  $h_{ijk}^{\alpha} \neq 0$ , which proves our assertion.

We have two more examples of Kählerian submanifold in  $P_n$  such that  $\overset{\scriptscriptstyle +}{\mathcal{V}}{}^2H=0$  but  $\overset{\scriptscriptstyle +}{\mathcal{V}}H\neq 0$ . But we omit to descrive them since their constructions are essentially the same as above. We only mention that they are given as C-spaces  $M_1=M(A_{\ell},\,\alpha_1,\,\alpha_{\ell})$  and  $M_2=M(D_{\ell},\,\alpha_2)$  holomorphically embedded in  $P_n$  (see [4] for the notation). Under the same notation, the previous example is a C-space  $M(B_{\ell},\,\alpha_2)$ . We remark that  $\dim_C M=2\ell-1$  ( $\ell\geq 2$ ),  $\dim_C M_2=4\ell-7$  ( $\ell\geq 4$ ),  $\operatorname{codim}_C M_1=\ell^2$  and  $\operatorname{codim}_C M_2=2\ell^2+3\ell+6$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bourbaki, Groups et algèbres de Lie, VII et VIII, Hermann, Paris, 1975.
- [2] H. Nakagawa and R. Takagi, On locally symmetric Kaehler submanifolds in a complex projective space, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 28 (1976), 638-667.
- [3] B. Smyth, Differential geometry of complex hypersurfaces, Ann. of Math., 85 (1967), 246-266.
- [4] R. Takagi, On higher covariant derivatives of the curvature tensors of Kählerian C-spaces, Nagoya Math. J., 91 (1983), 1-18.
- [5] R. Takagi and M. Takeuchi, Degree of symmetric Kählerian submanifolds of a complex projective space, Osaka J. Math., 14 (1977), 501-518.
- [6] T. Takahashi, Hypersurfaces with parallel Ricci tensor in a space of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 19 (1967), 199-204.

Department of Mathematics University of Tsukuba Sakura-mura, Niihari-gun Ibaraki, Japan