BJPsych Open S7

hypercalcaemia in 2 patients resulted in improvement in their mental state.

We found that risk of PHPT in mental health inpatients aged over 40 years old was increased by 1.45% (95% CI: -0.0620% to 5.6256%, P=0.0390) in females, and was increased by 1.52% (95% CI: 0.3573% to 5.5031%, P<0.0001) in males. Hereby, testing for bone profile should be routinely recommended for mental health patients.

Abstracts were reviewed by the RCPsych Academic Faculty rather than by the standard BJPsych Open peer review process and should not be quoted as peer-reviewed by BJPsych Open in any subsequent publication.

A Comparison of Cognitive Performance in Patients With Parkinson's Disease Psychosis According to Psychosis Severity: A Meta-Analysis

Mr Luca Gosse^{1*}, Miss Sara Pisani², Dr Latha Velayudhan², Professor Dominic Ffytche² and Professor Sagnik Bhattacharyya² ¹University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom and ²King's College London, London, United Kingdom *Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2023.96

Aims. People with Parkinson's disease psychosis (PDP) have reported cognitive and executive deficits. However, it is unclear whether these deficits are different depending on psychosis severity. Here, we aimed to compare cognitive performance between PDP patients with varying severity of their psychosis symptoms (such as delusions and hallucinations), relative to patients with Parkinson's disease without psychosis (PDnP), using a meta-analytical approach.

Methods. Searches were conducted on PubMed, MEDLINE, Web of Science, PsycINFO and SCOPUS. Standard mean differences between PDP and PDnP patients on cognitive and executive function tests were expressed as Hedges' g effect sizes from eligible studies (K=23). PDP patients were classified based on the severity of their psychosis symptoms into formed and unformed hallucinations, and hallucinations with/without insights. Separate multi-level meta-analyses were conducted for symptom severity groups of PDP patients, and for different cognitive domains due to studies contributing to multiple cognitive domains. Meta-regressions were conducted to examine the effect of age. Analyses were conducted in R (version 4.2.2).

Results. Hedges' g effect sizes were negative in all analyses, suggesting that PDP patients with formed hallucinations (n = 317)showed a significantly worse performance than PDnP patients (n = 734) across all domains (global cognition, g=-0.853, p < 0.001; language, g=-0.602, p < 0.001; episodic memory, g=-0.899, p < 0.001; executive functions, g=-0.543, p = 0.007; processing speed, g=-0.698, p<0.001). There was presence of significant heterogeneity across all the analyses (all p < 0.05), except for language (p = 0.053) and processing speed (p = 0.077). There was also presence of significant publication bias (assessed with Egger's regression test) in all analyses (all p < 0.001), except for global cognition (p = 0.656). PDP patients with unformed hallucinations (n = 135) performed worse relative to PDnP patients on the same domains but these results were not significant. Publication bias was not significant for global cognition for results of unformed hallucinations (p = 0.4054). Age was shown to be a significant moderator for all domains except global cognition (global cognition, b=-0.02, p=0.13; language, b=-0.042, p=0.037; episodic memory, b=-0.055, p=0.001; executive functions, b=-0.098, p<0.001; processing speed,

b=-0.048, p = 0.022). Relative to PDnP patients (n = 322), both PDP patients with/without insights had worse scores on global cognition tests (no insights, n = 37, g=-2.747, p = 0.021; insights, n = 83, g=-0.942, p = 0.019). Due to the low number of studies (k < 7), Egger's test was not applied.

Conclusion. Decreased cognitive performance may underlie presence of impairments in PDP patients. Formed hallucinations and lack of insights are associated with greater cognitive deficits. In addition, older age could result in worse cognitive scores.

Abstracts were reviewed by the RCPsych Academic Faculty rather than by the standard BJPsych Open peer review process and should not be quoted as peer-reviewed by BJPsych Open in any subsequent publication.

Racial Microaggressions in Healthcare Settings: A Scoping Review

Dr Nagina Khan¹, Dr Danish Hafeez^{2*}, Dr Tayyib Goolamallee³, Ms Ananya Arora⁴, Dr Will Smith⁵, Professor Rohit Shankar⁶ and Professor Subodh Dave⁷

¹Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, Canada; ²Barking, Havering and Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust, London, United Kingdom; ³Mid and South Essex NHS Foundation Trust, Chelmsford, United Kingdom; ⁴School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom; ⁵Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Gloucester, United Kingdom; ⁶University of Plymouth, Plymouth, United Kingdom and ⁷Derbyshire Healthcare Foundation Trust, Derby, United Kingdom *Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2023.97

Aims. Racial microaggressions occur when subtle or often automatic exchanges of aversive and covert racism are directed towards people identifying as racialized groups. Consequently, affecting individuals' mental and physical health. Healthcare professionals are a vulnerable group to the effects of racial microaggressions, given the high prevalence of burnout. The aim of the review was to explore healthcare professionals and students' experience of racial microaggressions in healthcare settings

Methods. A PROSPERO registered scoping review was conducted using the PRISMA extension for scoping review guidelines. The literature search was undertaken in August 2020, of five databases, MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PsycINFO, EMCARE and we also searched the 'grey literature.' Studies featuring primary data on racialized or migrant microaggressions towards professionals or students in healthcare settings were included. We excluded studies that were not in English. QDA Miner was used to analyse the data, using a non-essentialist perspective, which suggests that 'culture' is a movable concept used by different people at different times to suit purposes of identity, politics and science.

Results. Our search identified 8 papers (5 qualitative, 2 mixed and 1 quantitative) on the experience of microaggressions towards healthcare professionals and students (n = 602). Almost all (87.5%) were conducted in North America and only one (12.5%) in the UK. The primary themes were as follows:

Intersectionality: Individual and group social categorizations of race, class, and gender were described as interconnected, leading to interdependent systems of discrimination or disadvantage. Healthcare professionals indicated that increasing diversity and racial representation can reduce bias and thus microaggressions among stakeholders in the culture of work.